

# A nonabelian circle method

Victor Wang

(joint work with Nuno Arala, Jayce Getz, Jiaqi Hou,  
Chun-Hsien Hsu, and Huajie Li; NSF RTG DMS-2231514)

IoM Academia Sinica

Pacific Rim, June 2026



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program

under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101034413

## Some matrix equations

Let  $M_d(R)$  be the set of  $d \times d$  matrices with entries in  $R$ .

- ▶  $XY = YX$ , where  $X, Y \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . Counting with entries in  $[-T, T]$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in [Browning–Sawin–W. 2024, Mudgal 2024, Chapman–Mudgal 2025].
- ▶  $X^d = A$ , where  $X \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . If  $\det(A) \neq \square^d$ , no solutions. If  $A = kI_d$  with  $t^d - k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  irreducible, this has  $\sim c_k T^{d(d-1)/2}$  solutions [Eskin–Mozes–Shah 1996]. See also [Habegger–Ostafe–Shparlinski 2024].

## Some matrix equations

Let  $M_d(R)$  be the set of  $d \times d$  matrices with entries in  $R$ .

- ▶  $XY = YX$ , where  $X, Y \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . Counting with entries in  $[-T, T]$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in [Browning–Sawin–W. 2024, Mudgal 2024, Chapman–Mudgal 2025].
- ▶  $X^d = A$ , where  $X \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . If  $\det(A) \neq \square^d$ , no solutions. If  $A = kI_d$  with  $t^d - k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  irreducible, this has  $\sim c_k T^{d(d-1)/2}$  solutions [Eskin–Mozes–Shah 1996]. See also [Habegger–Ostafe–Shparlinski 2024].
- ▶ This talk will concentrate on nonabelian sums of  $n$  squares, especially the most basic measure of equidistribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (Weyl sums). In many cases the pointwise Weyl bounds will do better than mean-value alternatives.

## Theorem (Arala–Getz–Hou–Hsu–Li–W. 2024)

Let  $D/\mathbb{Q}$  be a quaternion algebra ramified at  $S \supseteq \{2, \infty\}$ . Fix a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_D \subset D$  and a function  $w \in C_c^\infty(D^n \otimes \mathbb{R})$ , where  $n \geq 8$ . Then for  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $T \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_D^n: P(x)=0} w(x/T) = c_{P,w} T^{4n-8} + O_{w,\epsilon}(T^{3n+\epsilon}),$$

where  $P(x) := v_1 x_1^2 + \dots + v_n x_n^2$ . (Asymptotic for  $n \geq 9$ .)

## Theorem (Arala–Getz–Hou–Hsu–Li–W. 2024)

Let  $D/\mathbb{Q}$  be a quaternion algebra ramified at  $S \supseteq \{2, \infty\}$ . Fix a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_D \subset D$  and a function  $w \in C_c^\infty(D^n \otimes \mathbb{R})$ , where  $n \geq 8$ . Then for  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $T \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_D^n: P(x)=0} w(x/T) = c_{P,w} T^{4n-8} + O_{w,\epsilon}(T^{3n+\epsilon}),$$

where  $P(x) := v_1 x_1^2 + \dots + v_n x_n^2$ . (Asymptotic for  $n \geq 9$ .)

Previously an asymptotic was available for  $n \geq 17$ , thanks to Myerson's 2018 strengthening of Birch's 1962 classical result.

### Remark

If  $k \neq 0$ , solutions  $X \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$  to  $X^d = kI_d$  lie in finitely many  $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation orbits. But the equations  $XY = YX$  and  $P(x) = 0$  seem to lack such a nearly-transitive group action.

Example: Hamilton quaternions  $D = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}i + \mathbb{Q}j + \mathbb{Q}k$ ,

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1 \quad (\text{Broome Bridge, Dublin, 1843}),$$

and  $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z}\frac{1+i+j+k}{2} + \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}j + \mathbb{Z}k$  (Hurwitz, 1919).

Example: Hamilton quaternions  $D = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}i + \mathbb{Q}j + \mathbb{Q}k$ ,

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1 \quad (\text{Broome Bridge, Dublin, 1843}),$$

and  $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z} \frac{1+i+j+k}{2} + \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}j + \mathbb{Z}k$  (Hurwitz, 1919). Issues with zerodivisors currently prevent us from taking  $D = M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_D = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  in the previous theorem. However, we have the following level-of-distribution result:

### Theorem (Arala–W. 2026+)

Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $w \in C_c^\infty(M_d(\mathbb{R})^n)$ . If  $b, r \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $T \asymp |r| > 0$  with  $|\det(r)|$  prime and  $|\det(r)| \asymp |r|^d$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})^n \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - b \in rM_d(\mathbb{Z})}} w(x/T) = \frac{c_w T^{d^2 n}}{|\det(r)|^d} + O_{w,\epsilon}(T^{(d^2 - \frac{d}{2})n + \epsilon}).$$

Asymptotic for  $n \geq 2d + 1$ . Previously,  $n > 4d + 4 + \frac{4}{d-1}$  sufficed, by [Myerson 2018] along fibers  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = b + ry$ .

## Rough idea of the algebraic circle method

Let  $A$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank. Fix a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map  $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\text{tr}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and a vector norm  $|\cdot|: A \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $e(t) := e^{2\pi it}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $x \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{x=0} &= \int_{(A \otimes \mathbb{R})/A} e(\theta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \theta_{\text{rank } A} x_{\text{rank } A}) d\theta \\ &= \int_{(A \otimes \mathbb{R})/A} e(\text{tr}(\theta x)) d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

provided that the pairing  $\text{tr} \circ \mu: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is perfect.

## Rough idea of the algebraic circle method

Let  $A$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank. Fix a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map  $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\text{tr}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and a vector norm  $|\cdot|: A \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $e(t) := e^{2\pi it}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $x \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{1}_{x=0} &= \int_{(A \otimes \mathbb{R})/A} e(\theta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \theta_{\text{rank } A} x_{\text{rank } A}) d\theta \\ &= \int_{(A \otimes \mathbb{R})/A} e(\text{tr}(\theta x)) d\theta,\end{aligned}$$

provided that the pairing  $\text{tr} \circ \mu: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is perfect.

### Proposition (Algebraic Dirichlet-type covering)

Let  $\theta \in A \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and  $Q \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $(a, r) \in A^2$  such that  $0 \neq |r| \ll Q$  and  $|\theta r - a| \ll 1/Q$ .

In the circle method, we plug in polynomial sequences for  $x$ , leading to Weyl sums over  $x$  (next slide) for each  $\theta \approx a/r$ .

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}$ (classical)

Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gcd(a, r) = 1$ . Let  $1 \leq T \leq |r|$ . Writing  $e(\theta) := e^{2\pi i \theta}$  for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\Sigma_T(a/r) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w(x/T) e(ax^2/r) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} I_r(c) S_{a,r}(c)$$

by Poisson summation, where  $I_r(c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x/T) e(-cx/r) dx$  and  $S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} e(\frac{ax^2+cx}{r})$ .

- ▶ Integration by parts:  $I_r(c) \ll_A \frac{T}{|Tc/r|^A}$  for all  $A > 0$ .
- ▶ Squaring and differencing:  $S_{a,r}(c) \ll \frac{1}{|r|^{1/2}}$  (Gauss).

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}$ (classical)

Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gcd(a, r) = 1$ . Let  $1 \leq T \leq |r|$ . Writing  $e(\theta) := e^{2\pi i \theta}$  for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\Sigma_T(a/r) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w(x/T) e(ax^2/r) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} I_r(c) S_{a,r}(c)$$

by Poisson summation, where  $I_r(c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x/T) e(-cx/r) dx$  and  $S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} e(\frac{ax^2+cx}{r})$ .

- ▶ Integration by parts:  $I_r(c) \ll_A \frac{T}{|Tc/r|^A}$  for all  $A > 0$ .
- ▶ Squaring and differencing:  $S_{a,r}(c) \ll \frac{1}{|r|^{1/2}}$  (Gauss).

Thus  $\Sigma_T(a/r) \ll_A \frac{T}{|r|^{1/2}} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(1, |Tc/r|^{-A}) \ll_A \frac{T}{|r|^{1/2}} |r/T|$ , essentially coming from  $|c| \leq |r/T|$ . So:  $\Sigma_T(a/r) \ll |r|^{1/2}$ .

- ▶ This is square-root cancellation if  $T \asymp |r|$ .

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ (classical)

Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|\gcd(a, r)| = 1$ . Let  $1 \leq T \leq |r|$ . Directly adapting to  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  the slide for  $\mathbb{Z}$  gives

$$\Sigma_T(a/r) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} w(x/T) e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll |\mathbb{Z}[i]/r\mathbb{Z}[i]|^{1/2} = |r|.$$

Again, this is square-root cancellation over  $x$  if  $T \asymp |r|$ .

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ (classical)

Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|\gcd(a, r)| = 1$ . Let  $1 \leq T \leq |r|$ . Directly adapting to  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  the slide for  $\mathbb{Z}$  gives

$$\Sigma_T(a/r) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} w(x/T) e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll |\mathbb{Z}[i]/r\mathbb{Z}[i]|^{1/2} = |r|.$$

Again, this is square-root cancellation over  $x$  if  $T \asymp |r|$ .

- ▶ Key to this generalization is that  $r \in \text{Center}(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ , so that  $e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2))$  depends only on  $x \bmod r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]/r\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}\langle i, j \rangle$ ( $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ )

Let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}\langle i, j \rangle = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}j + \mathbb{Z}k$ . Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{R})$ .

Given  $x = x_1 + x_2i + x_3j + x_4k$ , let  $x^\dagger := x_1 - x_2i - x_3j - x_4k$ ,  $\text{trd}(x) := x^\dagger + x = 2x_1$ , and  $\text{nrd}(x) := x^\dagger x = x_1^2 + \dots + x_4^2$ .

### Theorem (Arala–Getz–Hou–Hsu–Li–W. 2024)

Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{L} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(ar^\dagger, \text{nrd}(r)) \asymp \gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(r)^a$  where  $\gcd$  is computed in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ , respectively. If  $T \asymp |r|$ , then

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{L}} w(x/T) e(\text{trd}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll_{w, \epsilon} T^{3+\epsilon}.$$

---

<sup>a</sup>For example, take  $\text{nrd}(r)$  square-free and  $\gcd(\text{nrd}(a), \text{nrd}(r)) = 1$ .

## Quadratic Weyl sums over $\mathbb{Z}\langle i, j \rangle$ ( $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ )

Let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}\langle i, j \rangle = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}j + \mathbb{Z}k$ . Fix  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{R})$ .

Given  $x = x_1 + x_2i + x_3j + x_4k$ , let  $x^\dagger := x_1 - x_2i - x_3j - x_4k$ ,  $\text{trd}(x) := x^\dagger + x = 2x_1$ , and  $\text{nrd}(x) := x^\dagger x = x_1^2 + \dots + x_4^2$ .

### Theorem (Arala–Getz–Hou–Hsu–Li–W. 2024)

Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{L} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(ar^\dagger, \text{nrd}(r)) \asymp \gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(r)^a$  where  $\gcd$  is computed in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ , respectively. If  $T \asymp |r|$ , then

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{L}} w(x/T) e(\text{trd}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll_{w, \epsilon} T^{3+\epsilon}.$$

---

<sup>a</sup>For example, take  $\text{nrd}(r)$  square-free and  $\gcd(\text{nrd}(a), \text{nrd}(r)) = 1$ .

Are there near-equality cases? If  $\text{trd}(ar^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\Sigma_T(ar^{-1})$  has a non-oscillatory contribution of size  $T^3$  from  $\text{trd}(x) = 0$ .

## Theorem (Arala–Getz–Hou–Hsu–Li–W. 2024)

Let  $a, r \in \mathbb{L} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(ar^\dagger, \text{nrd}(r)) \asymp \gcd_{\mathbb{Z}}(r)$ . If  $T \asymp |r|$ , then

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{L}} w(x/T) e(\text{trd}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll_{w, \epsilon} T^{3+\epsilon}.$$

The proof uses Fourier analysis, Cartan decomposition, matrix identities, Gauss sums, and the geometry of numbers. Crucially, the vector  $ar^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}i + \mathbb{Q}j + \mathbb{Q}k$  is rather special:

$$ar^{-1} = \frac{ar^\dagger}{\text{nrd}(r)} = \frac{b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4k}{\text{nrd}(r)},$$

say, where  $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  satisfies

$$b_1^2 + \cdots + b_4^2 = \text{nrd}(ar^\dagger) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{nrd}(r)}.$$

Contrast with the classical 4-dimensional circle method, whose fractions have smaller denominator but lack algebraic structure.

What about bigger algebras? Let  $d \geq 2$ , let  $r \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $T \asymp |r| > 0$ , with  $|\det(r)|$  prime and  $|\det(r)| \asymp |r|^d$ .

### Theorem (Arala–W. 2026+)

Let  $w \in C_c^\infty(M_d(\mathbb{R}))$ . If  $a \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus M_d(\mathbb{Z})r$ , then

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})} w(x/T) e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll_{w,\epsilon} T^{d^2 - \frac{d}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

## Generalizing from $d = 2$

Let  $N = \det(r) \asymp |r|^d \asymp T^d$ . We have something like

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})} w(x/T) e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll \sum_{|c| \leq N/T} T^{d^2} |S_{a,r}(c)|$$

by Poisson summation in  $M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \times M_d(\mathbb{R})$ , where

$$S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{N^{d^2}} \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} e\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(a \operatorname{adj}(r)x^2 + cx)}{N}\right),$$

where  $\operatorname{adj}(r)r = N$ . Averaging over shifts  $x \mapsto x + ry$  gives

$$S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{N^{d^2}} \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}): a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \equiv 0} e\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(a \operatorname{adj}(r)x^2 + cx)}{N}\right).$$

By Cartan decomposition,  $\#\operatorname{im}(x \mapsto \operatorname{adj}(r)xr) = N^{d-1}$ .

## Generalizing from $d = 2$ (further cancellation)

Assume  $S_{a,r}(c) \neq 0$ . From the previous slide, we have

$$S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{N^{d^2}} \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \equiv 0} e\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(a \operatorname{adj}(r)x^2 + cx)}{N}\right),$$

which vanishes unless  $cr \in a \operatorname{adj}(r)M_d(\mathbb{Z})r + NM_d(\mathbb{Z})$ . So

$$\#\{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \equiv 0\} = \#\ker(x \mapsto a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr).$$

But  $0 \neq \operatorname{rank}(a \operatorname{adj}(r) \bmod N) \leq \operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{adj}(r) \bmod N) = 1$ , so  $a \operatorname{adj}(r)$  and  $\operatorname{adj}(r)$  lie in the same Cartan decomposition class modulo  $N$ , so

$$\#\ker(x \mapsto a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr) = \#\ker(x \mapsto \operatorname{adj}(r)xr) = \frac{N^{d^2}}{N^{d-1}}.$$

## Generalizing from $d = 2$ (further cancellation)

Assume  $S_{a,r}(c) \neq 0$ . From the previous slide, we have

$$S_{a,r}(c) = \frac{1}{N^{d^2}} \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \equiv 0} e\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(a \operatorname{adj}(r)x^2 + cx)}{N}\right),$$

which vanishes unless  $cr \in a \operatorname{adj}(r)M_d(\mathbb{Z})r + NM_d(\mathbb{Z})$ . So

$$\#\{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \equiv 0\} = \#\ker(x \mapsto a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr).$$

But  $0 \neq \operatorname{rank}(a \operatorname{adj}(r) \bmod N) \leq \operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{adj}(r) \bmod N) = 1$ , so  $a \operatorname{adj}(r)$  and  $\operatorname{adj}(r)$  lie in the same Cartan decomposition class modulo  $N$ , so

$$\#\ker(x \mapsto a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr) = \#\ker(x \mapsto \operatorname{adj}(r)xr) = \frac{N^{d^2}}{N^{d-1}}.$$

Average over  $x + \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $K = \gcd(\operatorname{tr}(a \operatorname{adj}(r)), N)$ ,

$$S_{a,r}(c) \ll \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\exists x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \operatorname{tr}(2a \operatorname{adj}(r)x + c) \in K\mathbb{Z}}}{(N/K)^{1/2} N^{d-1}} \quad (\text{Gauss}).$$

## Geometry of numbers

For each  $K \mid N$ , we have a lattice

$$\Lambda_{a,r}(K) := \{c \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \operatorname{tr}(2a \operatorname{adj}(r)x + c) \in K\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It can be shown that

$$\operatorname{adj}(r)(2c - \operatorname{tr}(c)) \equiv 0 \pmod{KM_d(\mathbb{Z})}$$

but this seems to be less useful than it was for  $d = 2$ . We have many successive minima to deal with, since  $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{a,r}(K) = d^2$ .

## Geometry of numbers

For each  $K \mid N$ , we have a lattice

$$\Lambda_{a,r}(K) := \{c \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), a \operatorname{adj}(r)xr + cr \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \operatorname{tr}(2a \operatorname{adj}(r)x + c) \in K\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It can be shown that

$$\operatorname{adj}(r)(2c - \operatorname{tr}(c)) \equiv 0 \pmod{KM_d(\mathbb{Z})}$$

but this seems to be less useful than it was for  $d = 2$ . We have many successive minima to deal with, since  $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{a,r}(K) = d^2$ . We will use Mahler's transference theorem

$$\lambda_i(\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K)) \lambda_{d^2-i+1}(\Lambda_{a,r}(K)) \asymp_d 1,$$

which is like applying Poisson summation (again! but we took absolute values after the first Poisson, so this is not circular).

## The dual lattice

By definition,  $\Lambda^* = \{f \in M_d(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{tr}(fc) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall c \in \Lambda\}$  and

$$\Lambda_{a,r}(K) := \{c \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), a \text{adj}(r)xr + cr \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \text{tr}(2a \text{adj}(r)x + c) \in K\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Parameterizing  $c = y \text{adj}(r) - a \text{adj}(r)x$  with  $x, y \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , we see that the mod- $K$  hyperplane  $K \mid \text{tr}(a \text{adj}(r)x + y \text{adj}(r))$  cuts out  $\Lambda_{a,r}(K)$ .

# The dual lattice

By definition,  $\Lambda^* = \{f \in M_d(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{tr}(fc) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall c \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\Lambda_{a,r}(K) := \{c \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), a \text{adj}(r)xr + cr \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \text{tr}(2a \text{adj}(r)x + c) \in K\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Parameterizing  $c = y \text{adj}(r) - a \text{adj}(r)x$  with  $x, y \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , we see that the mod- $K$  hyperplane  $K \mid \text{tr}(a \text{adj}(r)x + y \text{adj}(r))$  cuts out  $\Lambda_{a,r}(K)$ . We may decouple this from the mod-1 hyperplane  $\text{tr}(fc) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; by duality, the mod-1 hyperplane contains the mod- $K$  hyperplane if and only if

$$M_d(\mathbb{Z})^2 + (-fa \text{adj}(r), \text{adj}(r)f)\mathbb{Z} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{Z})^2 + \left(\frac{a \text{adj}(r)}{K}, \frac{\text{adj}(r)}{K}\right)\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, this implies  $\delta := Nf \in rM_d(\mathbb{Z}) + \frac{N}{K}\mathbb{Z} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ . It follows upon writing  $f = \delta/N$  that

$$N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K) = \left\{ \delta \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists \mu \in \mathbb{Z}, \left(\delta + \frac{N}{K}\mu\right)a \text{adj}(r) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \text{adj}(r)\left(\delta - \frac{N}{K}\mu\right) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

# Scalar (eigenvalue) repulsion for prime $K = N$

## Lemma

Fix a small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $A = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} : \exists \delta \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), |\delta| \leq \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon}, (\delta + \lambda)a \operatorname{adj}(r), \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \lambda) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z})\}$ . Then there exists  $c = c(a, r) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that

$$4c\lambda_1\lambda_2 \in I \pmod{N}$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in A$ , where  $I := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : |x| \leq \epsilon N\}$ .

# Scalar (eigenvalue) repulsion for prime $K = N$

## Lemma

Fix a small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $A = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} : \exists \delta \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}), |\delta| \leq \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon}, (\delta + \lambda)a \operatorname{adj}(r), \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \lambda) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z})\}$ . Then there exists  $c = c(a, r) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that

$$4c\lambda_1\lambda_2 \in I \pmod{N}$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in A$ , where  $I := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : |x| \leq \epsilon N\}$ .

## Proof sketch.

Multiplying gives  $\operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta^2 - \lambda^2)a \operatorname{adj}(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{N^2}$ , since  $(\delta - \lambda)(\delta + \lambda) = \delta^2 - \lambda^2$ . But  $\gcd(\operatorname{adj}(r)a \operatorname{adj}(r), N^2) = N$ . Generically project onto vectors  $u, v$  with  $|u|, |v| \ll |r|^{d-1+\epsilon}$  to get  $(u^t v)\lambda^2 \equiv u^t \delta^2 v \ll |r|^{2d-2\epsilon} \pmod{N^2}$ . Take  $c = \frac{u^t v}{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $c\lambda^2 \in I$ . Since  $A$  and  $I$  are roughly closed under finite addition, dispersion  $4\lambda_1\lambda_2 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2$  wins.  $\square$

## Schmidt backwards

By sum-product phenomena such as the Glibichuk–Konyagin “8AB Theorem”, our lemma implies that the set of integers  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$  associated to vectors  $\delta \in N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K)$  with  $|\delta| \leq \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon}$  has cardinality  $O(K^{1/2})$ . (This is trivial if  $K = 1$ .)

$$N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K) = \left\{ \delta \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists \mu \in \mathbb{Z}, \left( \delta + \frac{N}{K}\mu \right) a \operatorname{adj}(r) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \right. \\ \left. \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \frac{N}{K}\mu) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

Let  $T_\epsilon := \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon} \asymp T^{1-2\epsilon}$ . For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu := \#\{|\delta| \ll T_\epsilon : \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \frac{N}{K}\mu) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z})\} \ll \mathcal{C}_0 \ll 1,$$

so  $K^{1/2} \gg \#\{\delta \in N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K) : |\delta| \leq T_\epsilon\} \gg \frac{T_\epsilon^{d^2-j}}{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{d^2-j})(N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K))}$   
 $\asymp \frac{(\lambda_{d^2} \cdots \lambda_{j+1})(\Lambda_{a,r}(K))}{(N/T)^{d^2-j+O(\epsilon)}}$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq d^2$ , by Schmidt and Mahler.

## Schmidt backwards

By sum-product phenomena such as the Glibichuk–Konyagin “8AB Theorem”, our lemma implies that the set of integers  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$  associated to vectors  $\delta \in N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K)$  with  $|\delta| \leq \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon}$  has cardinality  $O(K^{1/2})$ . (This is trivial if  $K = 1$ .)

$$N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K) = \left\{ \delta \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) : \exists \mu \in \mathbb{Z}, \left( \delta + \frac{N}{K}\mu \right) a \operatorname{adj}(r) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}), \right. \\ \left. \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \frac{N}{K}\mu) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

Let  $T_\epsilon := \epsilon|r|^{1-2\epsilon} \asymp T^{1-2\epsilon}$ . For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu := \#\{|\delta| \ll T_\epsilon : \operatorname{adj}(r)(\delta - \frac{N}{K}\mu) \in NM_d(\mathbb{Z})\} \ll \mathcal{C}_0 \ll 1,$$

so  $K^{1/2} \gg \#\{\delta \in N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K) : |\delta| \leq T_\epsilon\} \gg \frac{T_\epsilon^{d^2-j}}{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{d^2-j})(N\Lambda_{a,r}^*(K))}$   
 $\asymp \frac{(\lambda_{d^2} \cdots \lambda_{j+1})(\Lambda_{a,r}(K))}{(N/T)^{d^2-j+O(\epsilon)}}$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq d^2$ , by Schmidt and Mahler.

But  $(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{d^2})(\Lambda_{a,r}(K)) \asymp K(N/T)^{d^2-d}$  (volume calculation),

so  $(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_j)(\Lambda_{a,r}(K)) \gg \frac{K(N/T)^{d^2-d}}{K^{1/2}(N/T)^{d^2-j+\epsilon}} = K^{1/2}(N/T)^{j-d-\epsilon}$ .

## Schmidt forwards

Since  $(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_j)(\Lambda_{a,r}(K)) \gg K^{1/2}(N/T)^{j-d-\epsilon}$ , Schmidt gives

$$\#\{c \in \Lambda_{a,r}(K) : |c| \leq N/T\} \ll \sum_{0 \leq j \leq d^2} \frac{(N/T)^j}{K^{1/2}(N/T)^{j-d-\epsilon}},$$

which is  $\ll \frac{(N/T)^{d+\epsilon}}{K^{1/2}}$ .

## Schmidt forwards

Since  $(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_j)(\Lambda_{a,r}(K)) \gg K^{1/2}(N/T)^{j-d-\epsilon}$ , Schmidt gives

$$\#\{c \in \Lambda_{a,r}(K) : |c| \leq N/T\} \ll \sum_{0 \leq j \leq d^2} \frac{(N/T)^j}{K^{1/2}(N/T)^{j-d-\epsilon}},$$

which is  $\ll \frac{(N/T)^{d+\epsilon}}{K^{1/2}}$ . Since  $S_{a,r}(c)$  is controlled by lattice conditions  $c \in \Lambda_{a,r}(K)$ , we have something like

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) &:= \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})} w(x/T)e(\text{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \\ &\ll \sum_{|c| \leq N/T} T^{d^2} |S_{a,r}(c)| \\ &\ll \sum_{K|N} \frac{T^{d^2}}{(N/K)^{1/2} N^{d-1}} \frac{(N/T)^{d+\epsilon}}{K^{1/2}} \ll T^{d^2-d} N^{1/2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This is  $\ll T^{d^2 - \frac{d}{2} + \epsilon}$ , since  $N = \det(r) \asymp |r|^d \asymp T^d$ .

We have proved the following. Let  $d \geq 2$ , let  $r \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $T \asymp |r| > 0$ , with  $|\det(r)|$  prime and  $|\det(r)| \asymp |r|^d$ .

### Theorem (Arala–W. 2026+)

Let  $w \in C_c^\infty(M_d(\mathbb{R}))$ . If  $a \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus M_d(\mathbb{Z})r$ , then

$$\Sigma_T(ar^{-1}) := \sum_{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})} w(x/T) e(\operatorname{tr}(ar^{-1}x^2)) \ll_{w,\epsilon} T^{d^2 - \frac{d}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

Averaging over  $a \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})/M_d(\mathbb{Z})r$  (“polygon method”) gives:

### Theorem (Arala–W. 2026+)

Let  $w \in C_c^\infty(M_d(\mathbb{R})^n)$ . If  $b \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in M_d(\mathbb{Z})^n \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - b \in rM_d(\mathbb{Z})}} w(x/T) = \frac{c_w T^{d^2 n}}{|\det(r)|^d} + O_{w,\epsilon}(T^{(d^2 - \frac{d}{2})n + \epsilon}).$$

## Some questions

- ▶ What about more general nonabelian angles? This is a bit cleaner if we replace  $M_d$  with a  $d \times d$  division algebra, in which case we (Arala–W. 2026+) believe we can handle more general moduli  $r$ , such as relaxing “ $\det(r)$  prime” to “ $\det(r)$  square-free” or “ $r$  is of generic split Cartan form”.<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ How does this all relate to the incomplete-Eisenstein-series perspective of [Nelson, Leung–Young]?

---

<sup>1</sup>Since sum-product phenomena are more complicated for composite moduli  $N$ , this requires some additional ideas such as vector sieving in lattices, and a simple argument from “un-representation theory” in intervals mod  $N$  (cf. Brüdern–Kawada–Wooley).