

# Some examples of symmetry

Victor Wang  
(based on work of many authors)

IST Austria

Diophantine and Rationality Problems, March 2025



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program  
under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101034413

What do the following have in common?

- (1) Varieties of commuting matrices.
- (2) Markov-type surfaces  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = k$ .
- (3) Moment, ratio, and zero statistics of  $L$ -function families.
- (4) The singular dP4 surface  $x_0^2 + x_0x_3 + x_2x_4 = x_1x_3 - x_2^2 = 0$ , possibly blown up at singularities  $\mathbf{A}_3 + \mathbf{A}_1$ , or (repeatedly) at intersections of lines and/or exceptional divisors.

---

<sup>1</sup>Generalizes to any punctured surface (J. Whang); good candidate for studying Hasse failures (cf. Colliot-Thélène–Wei–Xu, Loughran–Mitankin).

What do the following have in common?

- (1) Varieties of commuting matrices.
- (2) Markov-type surfaces  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = k$ .
- (3) Moment, ratio, and zero statistics of  $L$ -function families.
- (4) The singular dP4 surface  $x_0^2 + x_0x_3 + x_2x_4 = x_1x_3 - x_2^2 = 0$ , possibly blown up at singularities  $\mathbf{A}_3 + \mathbf{A}_1$ , or (repeatedly) at intersections of lines and/or exceptional divisors.

All exhibit symmetry, and connect to representation theory.

- ▶ Modulo conjugation, (1) is a character variety of a torus.
- ▶ (2) is a *relative character variety* of a punctured torus.<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ (3) carries a monodromy representation (Deligne).
- ▶ (4) is a *solv-variety* (Derenthal–Loughran).
- ▶ (3) and (4) involve interesting compactifications. Over  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ , homological stability might hold (cf. Boyer et al.).

---

<sup>1</sup>Generalizes to any punctured surface (J. Whang); good candidate for studying Hasse failures (cf. Colliot-Thélène–Wei–Xu, Loughran–Mitankin).

# Solubility of Markov-type surfaces

The polynomial  $M = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz$  is fixed by a group  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Aut}(M)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is formed by  $S_3$ , sign changes  $\pm 1$ , and Vieta involutions  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z)$ . Let  $h_M(k)$  be the number of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of the set  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : M = k\}$ .

## Theorem (Ghosh–Sarnak 2017)

*We have  $h_M(k) \rightarrow \infty$  along a density 1 of admissible<sup>a</sup>  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the Hasse principle holds for almost all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

---

<sup>a</sup> $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \not\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{9}$

# Solubility of Markov-type surfaces

The polynomial  $M = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz$  is fixed by a group  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Aut}(M)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is formed by  $S_3$ , sign changes  $\pm 1$ , and Vieta involutions  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z)$ . Let  $h_M(k)$  be the number of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of the set  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : M = k\}$ .

## Theorem (Ghosh–Sarnak 2017)

*We have  $h_M(k) \rightarrow \infty$  along a density 1 of admissible<sup>a</sup>  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the Hasse principle holds for almost all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

---

<sup>a</sup> $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \not\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{9}$

## Theorem (Mishra 2024; lower bound is new)

*Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . The inequality  $(\log |k|)^{2-\epsilon} \leq h_M(k) \leq (\log |k|)^{2+\epsilon}$  holds for a density 1 of admissible  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(Upper bound  $\Leftarrow$  Ghosh–Sarnak + Markov's inequality.)

For all  $k < 0$  (and for all “generic”  $k \geq 5$ ), Ghosh–Sarnak construct a fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}_k$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = k\}$ . Let  $r_M(k)$  be the number of points in a well-chosen region  $\mathcal{F}'_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k$ .

- ▶ For Ghosh–Sarnak,  $\mathcal{F}'_k$  satisfies  $|x| \asymp |yz| \asymp |k|^{1/2}$  and  $|z| \leq |k|^\epsilon$ . Real density of solutions:  $\sigma_\infty(k) \asymp \epsilon \log |k|$ .
- ▶ For Mishra,  $\mathcal{F}'_k$  is part of a  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ -torus  $|xyz| \asymp |k|$ , with  $|k|^\delta \leq |x/y| \leq |k|^{-\delta} |z| \leq |k|^{2\delta}$ . Here  $\sigma_\infty(k) \asymp (\log |k|)^2$ .

For all  $k < 0$  (and for all “generic”  $k \geq 5$ ), Ghosh–Sarnak construct a fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}_k$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = k\}$ . Let  $r_M(k)$  be the number of points in a well-chosen region  $\mathcal{F}'_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k$ .

- ▶ For Ghosh–Sarnak,  $\mathcal{F}'_k$  satisfies  $|x| \asymp |yz| \asymp |k|^{1/2}$  and  $|z| \leq |k|^\epsilon$ . Real density of solutions:  $\sigma_\infty(k) \asymp \epsilon \log |k|$ .
- ▶ For Mishra,  $\mathcal{F}'_k$  is part of a  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ -torus  $|xyz| \asymp |k|$ , with  $|k|^\delta \leq |x/y| \leq |k|^{-\delta} |z| \leq |k|^{2\delta}$ . Here  $\sigma_\infty(k) \asymp (\log |k|)^2$ .

One then expands and upper-bounds an *arithmetic variance*

$$\text{Var}(K, A) := \sum_{k \leq K} (r_M(k) - r_M^{\text{loc}}(k; A))^2.$$

- ▶ The sum  $\sum_{k \leq K} r_M(k)^2$  counts solutions in a region to  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = u^2 + v^2 + w^2 - uvw$ .
- ▶ Here  $r_M^{\text{loc}}(k; A)$  is roughly a truncated  $L$ -function at 1. Ghosh–Sarnak (resp. Mishra) use a multiplicative (resp. additive) truncation.
- ▶ Some of this generalizes to sums of three cubes.

Let  $L(s, \mathbf{c})$  be the  $L$ -function of  $V_{\mathbf{c}} : x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_6) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]^6$ , with  $\gcd(q, 6) = 1$  and  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) := \text{disc}(V_{\mathbf{c}}) = \prod (c_1^{3/2} \pm c_2^{3/2} \pm \cdots \pm c_6^{3/2}) \neq 0$ .

### Theorem (Browning–Glas–W. 2024)

*Assume sufficient progress on moments of  $\frac{1}{L(s, \mathbf{c})}$  for  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ . Then  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = n$  is soluble in elements  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  of degree  $\sim \frac{1}{3} \deg n$  for a density 1 of elements  $n \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .*



Let  $L(s, \mathbf{c})$  be the  $L$ -function of  $V_{\mathbf{c}} : x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_6) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]^6$ , with  $\gcd(q, 6) = 1$  and  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) := \text{disc}(V_{\mathbf{c}}) = \prod (c_1^{3/2} \pm c_2^{3/2} \pm \cdots \pm c_6^{3/2}) \neq 0$ .

## Theorem (Browning–Glas–W. 2024)

*Assume sufficient progress on moments of  $\frac{1}{L(s, \mathbf{c})}$  for  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ . Then  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = n$  is soluble in elements  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  of degree  $\sim \frac{1}{3} \deg n$  for a density 1 of elements  $n \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .*

Builds on ideas of many authors, such as the following:

- ▶ Ghosh–Sarnak, Diaconu (log-K3 variance analysis),
- ▶ Kloosterman, Hooley 1986, Heath-Brown,
- ▶ Beauville (quadric bundles over  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ), Getz, Tran,
- ▶ Rubinstein–Sarnak (Chebyshev’s bias via prime squares),
- ▶ Deligne (GRH), Hooley 1994 (singular cubics),
- ▶ Huang ( $\approx \mathbb{Q}$ -points), Busé–Jouanolou ( $\Delta \in (f, (f')^2)$ ),
- ▶ Bhargava (Ekedahl sieve), Poonen (square-free sieve).

# What kind of progress on $L$ -functions?

Let  $2 \nmid q$ . Let  $\mu(r)$  be the Möbius function over  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , and let  $\chi_m(r) = \left(\frac{r}{m}\right)$  be the Jacobi symbol over  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .

Theorem (Bergström–Diaconu–Petersen–Westerland, Miller–Patz–Petersen–Randal-Williams, W. 2024)

If  $1 \leq M = 2g + 1$  and  $1 \leq R \leq \alpha M$ , and  $q \gg_{\alpha} 1$ , then

$$\frac{\sum_{|m|=q^M} \sum_{|r|=q^R} \mu(r) \chi_m(r)}{q^M q^{R/2}} \ll q^{-0.001M + O(1)},$$

where the sums over  $m$  and  $r$  run through square-free, monic  $m, r \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  with  $\deg m = M$  and  $\deg r = R$ , respectively.

Theorem (Petersen et al.; new for  $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ )

The set  $\{m : L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_m) = 0\}$  has upper density  $o_{q \rightarrow \infty}(1)$ .

# Homological stability approach

Recall that  $M = 2g + 1$ . By the Lefschetz trace formula,

$$\frac{\sum_{|m|=q^M} \sum_{|r|=q^R} \mu(r) \chi_m(r)}{q^M q^{R/2}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \operatorname{tr}(Fr_q, H_k(X_M, \operatorname{Sym}^R V_M)),$$

where  $X_M \subseteq \mathbb{A}^M$  is the square-free locus, and where  $V_M$  is a local system on  $X_M$  with fibers  $H_c^1(\{y^2 = m(t)\}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{1}{2}))$  of dimension  $2g$  over  $m \in X_M$ . Captures zeros of  $L(s, \chi_m)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>“Obstructions to cancellation”. For instance,  $H_0 = (\operatorname{Sym}^R V_M)_{\pi_1(X_M)}$ .

# Homological stability approach

Recall that  $M = 2g + 1$ . By the Lefschetz trace formula,

$$\frac{\sum_{|m|=q^M} \sum_{|r|=q^R} \mu(r) \chi_m(r)}{q^M q^{R/2}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \operatorname{tr}(Fr_q, H_k(X_M, \operatorname{Sym}^R V_M)),$$

where  $X_M \subseteq \mathbb{A}^M$  is the square-free locus, and where  $V_M$  is a local system on  $X_M$  with fibers  $H_c^1(\{y^2 = m(t)\}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{1}{2}))$  of dimension  $2g$  over  $m \in X_M$ . Captures zeros of  $L(s, \chi_m)$ .

- ▶ The first few homology groups  $H_k = H_c^{2M-k}$  are the most significant.<sup>2</sup> By Deligne,  $\operatorname{tr}(Fr_q, H_k) \leq q^{-k/2} \dim H_k$ . It is also known that  $\dim H_k \leq 2^M \binom{R+2g-1}{R} \leq 2^M 2^{R+2g-1}$ . Thus  $\dim H_k \leq 2^{(2+\alpha)M}$ , since  $1 \leq R \leq \alpha M$ .
- ▶ By geometric series,  $\sum_{k+1 > \delta M}$  is negligible if  $q^{\delta/2} \geq 3^{2+\alpha}$ .
- ▶ We are left with estimating  $\sum_{k+1 \leq \delta M}$ . Want a stability isomorphism  $H_k(X_M, \operatorname{Sym}^R V_M) \rightarrow H_k(X_{M+2}, \operatorname{Sym}^R V_{M+2})$ .

<sup>2</sup>“Obstructions to cancellation”. For instance,  $H_0 = (\operatorname{Sym}^R V_M)_{\pi_1(X_M)}$ .

No useful map  $X_M \rightarrow X_{M+2}$ . So BDPW compactify a quotient of  $X_M$  into a space  $X'_M$ . Want **gluing/stabilization maps**

$\sigma: X'_M \rightarrow X'_{M+2}$  and  $\tau: V_M \rightarrow \sigma^* V_{M+2}$ .

- ▶ Roughly, if  $m(t) \in X_M$ , then  $\sigma(m(t)) \in X'_{M+2}$  could be a *stable map*  $\mathbb{P}^1 \cup_{\{\infty\} \sim \{0\}} \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  extending  $m(t)$ .
- ▶ There are many possible maps  $\sigma$ . The collection of possible  $\sigma$  has a *braided monoidal structure*.
- ▶ In general,  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \neq \sigma_2 \sigma_1$ . This is rather different than more familiar maps like multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .

No useful map  $X_M \rightarrow X_{M+2}$ . So BDPW compactify a quotient of  $X_M$  into a space  $X'_M$ . Want **gluing/stabilization maps**

$\sigma: X'_M \rightarrow X'_{M+2}$  and  $\tau: V_M \rightarrow \sigma^* V_{M+2}$ .

- ▶ Roughly, if  $m(t) \in X_M$ , then  $\sigma(m(t)) \in X'_{M+2}$  could be a *stable map*  $\mathbb{P}^1 \cup_{\{\infty\} \sim \{0\}} \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  extending  $m(t)$ .
- ▶ There are many possible maps  $\sigma$ . The collection of possible  $\sigma$  has a *braided monoidal structure*.
- ▶ In general,  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \neq \sigma_2 \sigma_1$ . This is rather different than more familiar maps like multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .
- ▶ Here  $\sigma$  maps  $X'_M$  into the boundary  $\partial X'_{M+2} \subseteq X'_{M+2}$ . We may restrict  $V_{M+2}$  to  $\partial X'_{M+2}$  via the Galois groups of the generic points of  $X'_{M+2}$  and  $\partial X'_{M+2}$ . The map  $\tau$  on  $H_c^1$  is induced by a collapse map  $\sigma(X'_M) \rightarrow X'_M$ .
- ▶ By log geometry,  $H_k(X'_M, \text{Sym}^R V_M) = H_k(X_M, \text{Sym}^R V_M)$ . A map  $\sigma: H_k(X_M, \text{Sym}^R V_M) \rightarrow H_k(X_{M+2}, \text{Sym}^R V_{M+2})$  thus arises via  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . It can be checked to agree with a topological version of the map over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The local system  $V_M$  is symplectic, by Poincaré duality on  $H_C^1$ . So  $(V_M)^{\otimes R}$  breaks up into symplectic pieces  $(V_M)_\lambda$  associated to partitions  $\lambda$  of  $R$ , with  $\lambda = (R)$  giving  $\text{Sym}^R V_M$ .

- ▶ The map  $\sigma: H_k(X_M, (V_M)_\lambda) \rightarrow H_k(X_{M+2}, (V_{M+2})_\lambda)$  is an isomorphism for  $k + 1 \leq \delta M$ , for all  $R$  and  $\lambda$  (MPPRW).
- ▶ In general the stable  $H_k$  are nonzero, leading to arithmetic main terms (matched to  $H_k$  by a limiting process).

The local system  $V_M$  is symplectic, by Poincaré duality on  $H_c^1$ . So  $(V_M)^{\otimes R}$  breaks up into symplectic pieces  $(V_M)_\lambda$  associated to partitions  $\lambda$  of  $R$ , with  $\lambda = (R)$  giving  $\text{Sym}^R V_M$ .

- ▶ The map  $\sigma: H_k(X_M, (V_M)_\lambda) \rightarrow H_k(X_{M+2}, (V_{M+2})_\lambda)$  is an isomorphism for  $k + 1 \leq \delta M$ , for all  $R$  and  $\lambda$  (MPPRW).
- ▶ In general the stable  $H_k$  are nonzero, leading to arithmetic main terms (matched to  $H_k$  by a limiting process).
- ▶ Using **monodromy**  $\rho: \pi_1(X_M) \twoheadrightarrow Q_M \subseteq \text{Sp}(V_M)$ , MPPRW build  $\ker(H_k(X_M, (V_M)_\lambda) \rightarrow H_k(BQ_M, (V_M)_\lambda))$  out of  $H_{k+1-k'}(X_{M-M'}, (V_M)_\lambda)$  for various pairs  $(k', M')$  with  $k' \geq 2$  (by surjectivity of  $\rho$ ) and  $M' \ll k'$ .
- ▶ If  $le(\lambda) \ggg k + 1$ , then  $le(\lambda) - 2M' \geq le(\lambda) - O(k) > 0$ , so the restriction  $(V_M)_\lambda|_{\text{Sp}(V_{M-M'})}$  has no trivial piece, by branching rules for restriction between symplectic groups. By vanishing results for  $H_k(Q_M, \cdot)$  (Borel et al.), we get  $H_k(X_M, (V_M)_\lambda) = 0$  via induction on  $k \leq \delta M - 1$ .
- ▶ The case  $le(\lambda) \ll k + 1 \leq \delta M$  relies on stable branching, and on a relative version of the argument above.



## Counting with symmetry

Compactifications can also appear more directly in Diophantine problems. For example, let  $G := \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \text{GL}_2$  be the *affine group* of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Explicitly, the group law on  $(a, b), (u, v) \in G$  is

$$(a, b) \cdot (u, v) = (au, av + b).$$

### Theorem (W. '23)

*Manin's conjecture holds for sufficiently split smooth equivariant compactifications  $X$  of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

This builds on adelic harmonic analysis of Tanimoto–Tschinkel, who decomposed a point count on  $G(\mathbb{Q})$  into the form

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\text{decay factor}) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (p\text{-adic integral}) dt.$$

Tanimoto–Tschinkel decomposed the *height zeta function*  $Z(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{g}) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})} H(\mathbf{s}, \gamma \mathbf{g})^{-1}$  into the form

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\text{real integral}) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (p\text{-adic integral}) dt,$$

via the short exact sequence (of pointed sets)

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{b \mapsto (1, b)} G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\det: (a, b) \mapsto a} \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} \rightarrow 1,$$

using Fourier expansion on  $b \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  followed by Mellin inversion on  $a \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ . The  $p$ -adic integral is, roughly,

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p): \alpha a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p} H_p(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{g}_p)^{-1} e(-\alpha b_p \bmod \mathbb{Z}_p) |a_p|_p^{-it} dg_p.$$

Tanimoto–Tschinkel decomposed the *height zeta function*  $Z(\mathbf{s}, g) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})} H(\mathbf{s}, \gamma g)^{-1}$  into the form

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\text{real integral}) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (p\text{-adic integral}) dt,$$

via the short exact sequence (of pointed sets)

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{b \mapsto (1, b)} G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\det: (a, b) \mapsto a} \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} \rightarrow 1,$$

using Fourier expansion on  $b \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  followed by Mellin inversion on  $a \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ . The  $p$ -adic integral is, roughly,

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p): \alpha a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p} H_p(\mathbf{s}, g_p)^{-1} e(-\alpha b_p \bmod \mathbb{Z}_p) |a_p|_p^{-it} dg_p.$$

Both additive and multiplicative harmonics appear above; the sum over  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  somehow reflects the non-abelian nature of  $G$ . The “central term”  $\alpha = 0$ , like  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$  in the  $\delta$ -method, gives the main term in the Manin–Peyre conjecture.

## Special divisors

Write  $D := X \setminus G = \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$ , where the  $D_j$  are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Roughly, Tanimoto–Tschinkel handled the case where

$$\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) < 0 \Rightarrow \text{ord}_{D_j}(b) < \text{ord}_{D_j}(a). \quad (1)$$

Condition (1) relates to positivity of  $K_X^{-1}$ . Similar conditions, with variables and degrees, are familiar in the circle method.

### Proposition (W.)

*Let  $j \in J$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(b - c) \leq \text{ord}_{D_j}(a)$ .*

## Special divisors

Write  $D := X \setminus G = \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$ , where the  $D_j$  are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Roughly, Tanimoto–Tschinkel handled the case where

$$\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) < 0 \Rightarrow \text{ord}_{D_j}(b) < \text{ord}_{D_j}(a). \quad (1)$$

Condition (1) relates to positivity of  $K_X^{-1}$ . Similar conditions, with variables and degrees, are familiar in the circle method.

### Proposition (W.)

Let  $j \in J$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(b - c) \leq \text{ord}_{D_j}(a)$ .

### Definition (W.)

Given  $j \in J$ , call  $D_j$  *special* if  $\max_{c \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{ord}_{D_j}(b - c) = \text{ord}_{D_j}(a)$ .

When (1) fails we seem to need a new idea. Main culprit: pairs of special divisors  $(D_j, D_i)$  with  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) \text{ord}_{D_i}(a) < 0$ .

Suppose there are  $k \geq 0$  special divisors with  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) < 0$ , and  $l \geq 0$  special divisors with  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) > 0$ . Then the main issue, after new leading-order “bias” computations (in the spirit of Heath-Brown, Getz, Tran, et al.) relying on a new  $G$ -related source of local coordinates and cancellation in  $p$ -adic integrals, is to appropriately bound multiple Dirichlet series like

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha = m_1 \cdots m_k / n_1 \cdots n_l \\ \text{pairwise coprime } m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \frac{f(\alpha) e(c_0 \alpha)}{m_1^{\beta_1} \cdots m_k^{\beta_k}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} \frac{e(-c_j \alpha \bmod \mathbb{Z}_{n_j})}{n_j^{\gamma_j}},$$

for some  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{Q}$  and a transform  $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of  $H_\infty(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{g})^{-1}$ .

Suppose there are  $k \geq 0$  special divisors with  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) < 0$ , and  $l \geq 0$  special divisors with  $\text{ord}_{D_j}(a) > 0$ . Then the main issue, after new leading-order “bias” computations (in the spirit of Heath-Brown, Getz, Tran, et al.) relying on a new  $G$ -related source of local coordinates and cancellation in  $p$ -adic integrals, is to appropriately bound multiple Dirichlet series like

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha = m_1 \cdots m_k / n_1 \cdots n_l \\ \text{pairwise coprime } m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \frac{f(\alpha) e(c_0 \alpha)}{m_1^{\beta_1} \cdots m_k^{\beta_k}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} \frac{e(-c_j \alpha \bmod \mathbb{Z}_{n_j})}{n_j^{\gamma_j}},$$

for some  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{Q}$  and a transform  $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of  $H_\infty(\mathbf{s}, g)^{-1}$ . We proceed by analytic NT methods:

- ▶ Additive reciprocity ( $\prod_v \psi_v|_{\mathbb{Q}} = 1$ ) and Weyl-type inequalities in ranges with two or more large variables  $n_j$ .
- ▶ Local cancellations (via Poisson summation over the largest  $m_i$ ) in ranges with only one large variable  $n_j$ .