

# Conditional approaches to sums of cubes

Victor Wang

Princeton University  
Advised by Peter Sarnak

Browning Group Working Seminar, October 2021

# The story of 33

Via computer, Booker obtained (at “five past nine in the morning on the 27th of February 2019”)

$$(8866128975287528)^3 + (-8778405442862239)^3 \\ + (-2736111468807040)^3 = 33.$$

## Remark

See the Youtube video “33 and all that” for a nice talk by Booker (with T-shirt and mug links) on the discovery of this and related results. (And for some drama involving an old version of Browning’s website.)

## Exercise

Try Google Calculator, then Wolfram Alpha.

# Main qualitative results (roughly)

## Theorem (W., 2021)

Assume certain standard conjectures on

- ▶ *L*-functions (e.g. GRH and the Ratios Conjectures), and
- ▶ “unlikely” divisors (the Square-free Sieve Conjecture).

Then the following hold:

1. 100% of admissible<sup>a</sup> integers are sums of three cubes.
2. A positive fraction are sums of three nonnegative cubes.

---

<sup>a</sup>i.e. locally represented; i.e.  $\not\equiv \pm 4 \pmod{9}$

## Remark (Based on Cassels–Guy, 1966)

In the analog of (1) for the ternary cubic  $5x^3 + 12y^3 + 9z^3$ , “almost all” cannot be replaced with “all”.

# Homogeneously expanding point counts

## Definition

Given  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$  in  $s \geq 2$  variables, let

$$N_{P,\Omega}(X) := \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap X\Omega : P(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

for each nice<sup>a</sup> region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  and scalar  $X > 0$ .

---

<sup>a</sup>say compact, semi-algebraic

## Example

- ▶ If  $\Omega = [-1, 1]^s$ , then  $N_{P,\Omega}(X)$  is the number of integral solutions  $\mathbf{x} \in [-X, X]^s$  to  $P(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $P = y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 - b \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}]$ . If  $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$  and  $X \geq b^{1/3}$ , then  $N_{P,\Omega}(X) = r_3(b)$ .

# The usual randomness heuristic in $\ell^1$ (intro)

Fix  $P_0 := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_s^3$  ( $s \geq 2$ ) and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  (nice, non-null).  
For  $X > 0$ , the map

$$\mathbb{Z}^s \cap X\Omega \xrightarrow{P_0} \mathbb{Z}$$

has images  $P_0(\mathbf{x}) \ll X^3$  and fibers

$$\underbrace{\{P_0(\mathbf{x}) = b\}}_{\text{size } N_{P_0(\mathbf{x})=b, \Omega}(X)} \rightarrow b.$$

## Observation

As  $X \rightarrow \infty$ , the total space  $\mathbb{Z}^s \cap X\Omega$  has  $\asymp X^s$  points  $\mathbf{x}$ , each with  $P_0(\mathbf{x}) \ll X^3$ . So  $N_{P_0(\mathbf{x})=b, \Omega}(X)$  is

- ▶ 0 if  $|b| \gg X^3$  is sufficiently large, and
- ▶  $\asymp X^{s-3}$  on average (in  $\ell^1$ ) over  $b \ll X^3$ .

# The usual randomness heuristic in $\ell^1$ (cont'd)

Fix  $P_0 := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_s^3$  ( $s \geq 3$ ) and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  (nice, non-null).

## Observation

As  $X \rightarrow \infty$ , the point count  $N_{P_0(\mathbf{x})-b, \Omega}(X)$  is

- ▶ 0 if  $|b| \gg X^3$  is sufficiently large, and
- ▶  $\asymp X^{s-3}$  on average (in  $\ell^1$ ) over  $b \ll X^3$ .

## Remark

This is a *real* observation. More precise *real* considerations, alongside *p-adic* analogs, lead to the *Hardy–Littlewood prediction*, a “randomness heuristic” roughly of the form

$$N_{P_0(\mathbf{x})-b, \Omega}(X) \approx c_{\text{HL}, P_0}^{\text{fin}}(b) \cdot c_{\text{HL}, P_0, \Omega}^{\infty}(b/X^3) \cdot X^{s-3}$$

( $X \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $b/X^3$  fixed).

## From stingy ternary to rich senary, via $\ell^2$ (intro)

Let  $P_0 := y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}]$ . Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$ .  
If  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}, -\mathbf{z})$ , then

$$P_0(\mathbf{y}) = P_0(\mathbf{z}) \iff F(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

### Observation (Second moment method)

Let  $\Omega := [-1, 1]^6$ . If  $N_{F, \Omega}(X) \ll X^3 = X^{6-3}$  ( $X \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $\{b \in \mathbb{Z} : r_3(b) > 0\}$  has positive lower density in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

One can go further by *variance* analysis. For *critical* problems like  $P_0(\mathbf{y}) = b$ , cf. Ghosh–Sarnak (2017).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>They study the Markoff-type equations  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = b$ .

## From stingy ternary to rich senary, via $\ell^2$ (cont'd)

Let  $P_0 := y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}]$ . Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$ .

### Theorem (Cf. S. Diaconu, 2019)

Say for all nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ , Hooley's conjecture<sup>a</sup> holds on  $\Omega$ . Then 100% of admissible  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are sums of three cubes.

---

<sup>a</sup>of the form  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \approx c_{\text{HLH},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3$

### Remark (Cf. S. Diaconu, 2019)

To capture 100% of  $b$ 's, we *must* let  $\Omega$  deform.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>For each *fixed*  $\Omega$ , there is a "stingy" arithmetic progression of  $b$ 's.



# The senary state of art

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$  and  $\Omega := [-1, 1]^6$ . Then

$$N_{F,\Omega}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} d\theta |T(\theta)|^6,$$

where  $T(\theta) := \sum_{|x| \leq X} e(\theta x^3)$ . What is known here?

- ▶ Hua (1938) proved  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \ll X^{7/2+\epsilon}$ , by Cauchy between  $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} d\theta |T(\theta)|^4 \ll X^{2+\epsilon}$  (structure) and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} d\theta |T(\theta)|^8 \ll X^{5+\epsilon}$  (randomness).
- ▶ By isolating a new source of randomness,<sup>2</sup> Vaughan (1986, 2020) gave a more robust proof of Hua's bound, ultimately leading to  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \ll X^{7/2}(\log X)^{\epsilon-5/2}$  ( $X \rightarrow \infty$ ).
- ▶ Under standard conjectures on  $L$ -functions (e.g. GRH), Hooley (1986, 1997) proved  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \ll X^{3+\epsilon}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup> "typical divisors" of integers  $x \ll X$

# Senary randomness and structure

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ . For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$  nice, Hardy–Littlewood predicts  $\approx c_{\text{HL},F,\Omega} \cdot X^{6-3}$  solutions  $\mathbf{x} \in X\Omega$  to  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  “arising randomly” (as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ ). But  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  also has  $\asymp X^{6/2}$  “special structured” solutions  $\mathbf{x} \ll X$ .

## Definition

Call  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$  *trivial* (or *diagonal-type*) if there exists  $\pi \in S_6$  such that  $x_{\pi(1)} + x_{\pi(2)} = x_{\pi(3)} + x_{\pi(4)} = x_{\pi(5)} + x_{\pi(6)} = 0$ .

## Theorem (Hooley, 1986')

There exists  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$  nice, and  $\delta > 0$ , such that (as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$N_{F,\Omega}(X) \geq \delta X^3 + \max(c_{\text{HL},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3, \#\{\text{trivial } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \cap X\Omega\}).$$

# Hooley's conjecture (interpreted for general $\Omega$ )

(Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ .)

## Definition

Call  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$  *trivial* (or *diagonal-type*) if there exists  $\pi \in S_6$  such that  $x_{\pi(1)} + x_{\pi(2)} = x_{\pi(3)} + x_{\pi(4)} = x_{\pi(5)} + x_{\pi(6)} = 0$ .

If  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$  is trivial, then  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ .

## Conjecture (Hooley, 1986', interpreted generally)

For any nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ , we have (as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$N_{F,\Omega}(X) = c_{\text{HL},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3 + \#\{\text{trivial } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \cap X\Omega\} + o(X^3).$$

(Under this conjecture, Diaconu's methods show that 100% of admissible  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are sums of three cubes.)

# The delta method (intro)

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$  and  $\Omega := [-1, 1]^6$ .

- ▶ Under Hypothesis HW (practically “modularity, plus GRH”) for certain Hasse–Weil  $L$ -functions, Hooley (1986, 1997) proved  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \ll X^{3+\epsilon}$ .<sup>3</sup>
- ▶ Hooley used an “upper-bound precursor” to the delta method. The latter has the advantage that it is an equality rather than an inequality.<sup>4</sup>

## Remark

In a nutshell, the delta method relates NT of a “+” flavor to NT of a “ $\times$ ” flavor. It is a modern version of the “completed averaging” method of H. Kloosterman (1926).

---

<sup>3</sup>Actually, a large-sieve hypothesis a la Bombieri–Vinogradov would suffice (W., 2021).

<sup>4</sup>See DFI (1993) and Heath-Brown (1996, 1998).

## The delta method (cont'd)

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ . Fix a nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ . In the circle method for  $N_{F,\Omega}(X)$ , one encounters sums resembling

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \sum'_{a \bmod q} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} w(\mathbf{x}/X) \cdot e_q(aF(\mathbf{x})),$$

where “ $w \approx 1_\Omega$ ” ( $w \in C_c^\infty$ ), and “ $\prime$ ” means  $a \perp q$ . (Here  $e_q(t) := e^{2\pi it/q}$ .)

### Remark

In this setting, H. Kloosterman (1926) would suggest

1. averaging over  $a$  ( $q$  fixed), and
2. “completing” incomplete sums over  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

in either order.

## The delta method (cont'd<sup>2</sup>)

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ . Fix a nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ . In the circle method for  $N_{F,\Omega}(X)$ , one encounters sums resembling

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \sum'_{a \bmod q} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} w(\mathbf{x}/X) \cdot e_q(aF(\mathbf{x})).$$

### Remark

H. Kloosterman (1926) would rewrite the sum above as

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} S_{\mathbf{c}}(q) \cdot (X/q)^6 \hat{w}(X\mathbf{c}/q),$$

where

$$S_{\mathbf{c}}(q) := \sum'_{a \bmod q} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \bmod q} e_q(aF(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

## The delta method (cont'd<sup>3</sup>)

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ . Fix a nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ . In the delta method for  $N_{F,\Omega}(X)$ , one encounters sums resembling

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} S_{\mathbf{c}}(q) \cdot (X/q)^6 \hat{w}(X\mathbf{c}/q),$$

where

$$S_{\mathbf{c}}(q) := \sum'_{a \bmod q} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \bmod q} e_q(aF(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

**Observation (Partly classical; used in Hooley, 1986)**

$F$  is homogeneous, so  $S_{\mathbf{c}}(mn) = S_{\mathbf{c}}(m)S_{\mathbf{c}}(n)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$ .

Also, if  $p \nmid \mathbf{c}$ , then  $p^{-7/2}S_{\mathbf{c}}(p) \approx \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{c}}(p)$ , where  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{c}}(p)$  measures the “bias modulo  $p$ ” of the cubic 3-fold  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{c}} : F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$ .

Here if  $p \nmid \Delta(\mathbf{c})$ , then  $|\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{c}}(p)| \leq 10$  (Weil conjectures).

## The delta method (cont'd<sup>4</sup>)

Let  $F := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$ . Fix a nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ . In the delta method for  $N_{F,\Omega}(X)$ , one encounters sums resembling

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} S_{\mathbf{c}}(q) \cdot (X/q)^6 \hat{w}(X\mathbf{c}/q). \quad (1)$$

If  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ , then the normalized sums  $\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(q) := q^{-7/2} S_{\mathbf{c}}(q)$  look (to first order) like the coefficients  $\mu_{\mathbf{c}}(q)$  of the *reciprocal* Hasse–Weil  $L$ -function  $1/L(s, V_{\mathbf{c}})$  associated to the cubic 3-fold  $V_{\mathbf{c}} := V(F, \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x})/\mathbb{Q}$  (Hooley, 1986).

### Exercise (Cf. Hooley, 1986)

Assuming that  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c}$ , that  $\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(q) = \mu_{\mathbf{c}}(q)$  for all  $\mathbf{c}, q$ , and that  $\sum_{n \leq N} \mu_{\mathbf{c}}(n) \ll_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{c}\|^{\epsilon} N^{1/2+\epsilon}$  for all  $\mathbf{c}, N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ), show that the sum (1) above is  $\ll_{\epsilon} X^{3+\epsilon}$ .



# Main quantitative results (roughly)

## Theorem (W., 2021)

Assume certain standard conjectures on

- ▶  $L(s, V_c)$  and some “second-order” relatives (“Hypothesis HW2”, the Ratios Conjectures, and an effective Krasner-type lemma), and
- ▶ “unlikely” divisors (the Square-free Sieve Conjecture for the discriminant polynomial  $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{c}]$ ).

Then for 6-variable diagonal cubic forms  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$ , and for nice  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$  with  $\Omega \cap \text{hess } F = \emptyset$ ,<sup>a</sup> we have  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) \ll_{F,\Omega} X^3$ , and in fact Hooley’s conjecture<sup>b</sup> holds for  $F, \Omega$ .

---

<sup>a</sup>This is general enough for our main qualitative needs.

<sup>b</sup>of the form  $N_{F,\Omega}(X) = (c_{\text{HLH},F,\Omega} + o(1)) \cdot X^3$

## “Glossary” (for our hypotheses)

1. “Second-order” relatives:  $L(s, V_c, \wedge^2)$  and  $L(s, V(F))$ .
2. Hypothesis HW2: Need modularity, and need  $1/L(s)$  to be holomorphic on the region  $\Re(s) > 1/2$ . And other technical things (e.g. basic expected properties of conductors and  $\gamma$ -factors).
3. Ratios Conjectures: Give predictions of Random Matrix Theory (RMT) type for the mean values of  $1/L(s, V_c)$  and  $1/L(s_1, V_c)L(s_2, V_c)$  over natural families of  $c$ 's.
4. Effective Krasner-type lemma: Need control on  $c$ -variation of the local factors  $L_p(s, V_c)$  (easy if e.g.  $p \nmid \Delta(c)$ ).
5. Square-free Sieve Conjecture: Need

$$\Pr [c \in [-Z, Z]^6 : \exists \text{ sq-full } q \in [Q, 2Q] \text{ with } q \mid \Delta(c)]$$

to be  $\ll Q^{-\delta}$ , uniformly over  $Z \geq 1$  and  $Q \leq Z^6$ .

# Proof ideas and themes (overview)

In the delta method for  $N_{F,\Omega}(X)$ , one roughly encounters

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6} \sum_{q \leq X^{3/2}} \frac{1}{qX^{3/2}} \cdot q^{7/2} \tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(q) \cdot (X/q)^6 \hat{w}(X\mathbf{c}/q),$$

for various  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$  with  $w(0) = 0$ .

1. Roughly speaking, the conjectured main term  $c_{\text{HLH},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3$  comes, *unconditionally*, from the locus  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ .
2. Meanwhile, *conditionally*, the remaining sum (over  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ ) is roughly (at least in key ranges) like

$$\sum_{\text{finite set}} (\text{typically bounded}) \times (\text{RMT-type sum}).$$

GRH would only prove these “RMT-type sums” to be  $O_\epsilon(X^{3+\epsilon})$ . But *conditionally*, each sum is  $0 + O(X^{3-\delta})$ , in part because  $w(0) = 0$  and  $w \in C_c^\infty$ .

## Proof ideas and themes (extracting main terms)

The main term  $c_{\text{HLH},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3$  comes from the locus  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ .

1. The “randomness prediction”  $c_{\text{HL},F,\Omega} \cdot X^3$  comes from  $\mathbf{c} = 0$ .
2. On the other hand,  $\#\{\text{trivial } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \cap X\Omega\}$  comes from  $\mathbf{c} \neq 0$  with  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ .

### Remark

For random  $\mathbf{c}$ , one expects  $\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{\epsilon}$  (most  $n$ ). But for some special  $\mathbf{c}$ , we have  $|\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(n)| \gg_{\epsilon} n^{1/2-\epsilon}$  (many/all  $n$ ).

## Proof ideas and themes (sums to primes)

Let  $\mathcal{V}_c \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$  be cut out by  $x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3 = c \cdot x = 0$ .

### Side Conjecture (Randomness vs. structure over $\mathbb{F}_p$ )

If  $p \geq 100$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^6$  with  $|\#\mathcal{V}_c(\mathbb{F}_p) - \#\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_p)| \geq 10^{10}p^{3/2}$ , then  $\mathcal{V}_c \bmod p$  contains a plane  $P \subseteq \mathcal{V} \bmod p$ , i.e. there exists  $\pi \in S_6$  with  $c_{\pi(1)}^3 - c_{\pi(2)}^3 = c_{\pi(3)}^3 - c_{\pi(4)}^3 = c_{\pi(5)}^3 - c_{\pi(6)}^3 = 0$ .

### Remark (R. Kloosterman)

A characteristic 0 analog of a stronger version of the conjecture (in the nodal case) holds (with a Hodge-theoretic proof).

We prove *partial* results towards the conjecture, by combining work of Katz (1991) or Skorobogatov (1992) on the one hand with work of Lindner (2020) on the other. We then *apply* these partial results with the aid of the Square-free Sieve Conjecture.

## Proof ideas and themes (sums to prime powers)

We prove new boundedness and vanishing criteria for sums of the form  $\tilde{S}_c(p^{\geq 2})$ . Again, we *apply* these results in conjunction with the Square-free Sieve Conjecture.

## Proof ideas and themes (integrals)

I lied a bit. In the delta method, the archimedean (integral) factors are more complicated than  $(X/q)^6 \hat{w}(Xc/q)$ .

### Remark

In reality, we prove new oscillatory integral estimates (using a more precise stationary phase analysis than that of Hooley or Heath-Brown), somewhat parallel to our work on  $\tilde{S}_c(p^{\geq 2})$ , to establish some decay for small moduli  $q$  (which if not handled would lose a critical  $X^\epsilon$ ).

# Proof ideas and themes (typical boundedness)

## Remark (Worst- vs. average-case behavior)

Let  $q$  denote a prime power  $\ll X^{3/2}$ . For *all*  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$  with  $\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ , Hooley (1986) roughly gives  $|\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(q)| \ll$

- ▶  $q^{\geq 1/2+\delta}$  for cube-full  $q \mid \Delta(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $(c_1 \cdots c_6)^\infty$  (very few  $q$ ),
- ▶  $q^{1/2}$  for the remaining  $q \mid \Delta(\mathbf{c})$  (a few  $q$ ), and
- ▶ 10 for the rest (most  $q$ ).

For *conjecturally typical*  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$ ,<sup>a</sup> W. (2021) roughly gives

- ▶  $|\tilde{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(q)| \ll 10^{10}$  for all  $q$ .

In general, we “interpolate” between this and Hooley (1986).

---

<sup>a</sup>e.g.  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $\Delta(\mathbf{c})$  “nearly” square-free



# Proof ideas and themes (RMT-type predictions)

## Remark (Ratios Conjectures)

Conrey–Farmer–Zirnbauer (2008) give a heuristic recipe for predicting all the main terms (up to a power-saving error term) for mean values of  $L$ -function ratios (e.g.  $L$ ,  $1/L$ ,  $L/L$ ) over natural families of  $L$ -functions.

- ▶ The recipe for  $1/L$ 's is fairly simple; “randomness” pervades. One essentially replaces “incomplete” local averages with their “complete” analogs.
- ▶ The recipe for  $L$ 's is more complicated, and involves “duality”. While we do not need this directly, it supports our overall belief in the validity of the recipe.

## More on RMT-type predictions

How does  $c \mapsto L(s, V_c)$  behave on average? RMT predictions originated for  $L$ -zeros “in the bulk” from Montgomery–Dyson, and “near  $1/2$ ” from Katz–Sarnak. CFKRS (2005) developed *full main term* predictions for  $L$ -powers, and CFZ (2008) for  $L$ -ratios; e.g. for some  $\delta > 0$ , one expects the following:

### Conjecture (R1, roughly)

Over  $\Delta(c) \neq 0$ , we have (for  $X \geq 1$ ;  $s = \sigma + it$ ;  $\sigma > 1/2$ )

$$\mathbb{E}'_{c \ll X^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{1}{L(s, V_c)} - \underbrace{\zeta(2s)L(s + 1/2, V)}_{\text{polar factors}} A_F(s) \right] \ll_{\sigma, t} X^{-\delta}.$$

Here  $A_F(s) \ll 1$  for  $\Re(s) \geq 1/2 - \delta$ .

# A sample corollary of the Ratios Conjectures

We really care about *integrals* over  $s$ .

## Conjecture (R2', roughly)

For certain holomorphic  $f(s)$ , e.g.  $e^{s^2}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}'_{\mathbf{c} \ll X^{1/2}} \left| \int_{(\sigma)} ds \frac{\zeta(2s)^{-1} L(s + 1/2, V)^{-1}}{L(s, V_{\mathbf{c}})} \cdot f(s) N^s \right|^2 \ll_f N$$

$(\sigma > 1/2; 1 \ll N \ll X^{3/2}).$

- ▶ There are no  $\log N$  or  $\log X$  factors on the RHS! The numerator  $\zeta(2s)^{-1} L(s + 1/2, V)^{-1}$  serves as a *mollifier*, and  $\int ds$  also helps.
- ▶ We use (R2') for  $N_{F, \Omega}(X) \ll X^3$ , and a “slight adelic perturbation” of (R1) for HLH.

# A cartoon of today's main players

1. Let  $P_0(\mathbf{y}) := y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3$  first.
2. Let  $F(\mathbf{x}) := x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3$  second.

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{A}^3 \xrightarrow{P_0} \mathbb{A}^1 \xleftarrow{P_0} \mathbb{A}^3 \times_{P_0} \mathbb{A}^3 \cong \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \in (\mathbb{A}^3)^2 : P_0(\mathbf{y}) = P_0(\mathbf{z})\}}_{\text{Cf. Hardy-Littlewood (1925)}}$$

$$\{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \in (\mathbb{A}^3)^2 : P_0(\mathbf{y}) = P_0(\mathbf{z})\} \cong \{F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} = C(\mathcal{V})$$

$$\underbrace{C(\mathcal{V}) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{V} \xleftarrow{[\mathbf{x}]} \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{c}]) \in \mathcal{V} \times (\mathbb{P}^5)^\vee : \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{c}]} (\mathbb{P}^5)^\vee}_{\text{Cf. Kloosterman (1926), Heath-Brown (1983), Hooley (1986), \dots}}$$

## Analogs?

- ▶  $c^2 + b^4 + a^4 = t$  has some similarity to  $c^3 + b^3 + a^3 = t$ .
- ▶ Allowing *negative* integers, one might go significantly further with “exceptional sets” for *non-critical* problems, like  $c^2 + b^3 + a^3 = t$  or  $c^2 + b^2 + a^3 = t$ , than for the critical  $c^3 + b^3 + a^3 = t$ . This could be interesting, especially in view of lower bounds on such sets (from Brauer–Manin obstructions).

# Deformations?

- ▶ Let  $N_{(q)}(X) := \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \cap [-X, X]^6 : q \mid x_1^3 + \cdots + x_6^3\}$ . It is routine to estimate  $N_{(q)}(X)$  if  $q \leq X^{1-\delta}$ . The delta method gives a way to estimate  $N_{(q)}(X)$  for  $q > 6X^3$ . What can be proven in between these extremes?
- ▶ (Based on a comment from Wooley.) Let  $N^{(\gamma)}(X)$  be the number of integral solutions to

$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3$$

with  $x_1, y_1 \in [10X^\gamma, 20X^\gamma]$  and  $x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3 \in [X, 2X]$ . Then  $N^{(3/2)}(X) \asymp X^{7/2}$  unconditionally, while  $N^{(1)}(X) \ll X^{7/2}$  unconditionally and  $N^{(1)}(X) \asymp X^3$  conditionally. What about for  $\gamma \in (1, 3/2)$ ?