

# The standard sign conjecture on algebraic cycles: the case of Shimura varieties

By *Sophie Morel* at Princeton, NJ and *Junecue Suh* at Santa Cruz, CA

---

**Abstract.** We show how to deduce the standard sign conjecture (a weakening of the Künneth standard conjecture) for Shimura varieties from some statements about discrete automorphic representations (Arthur’s conjectures plus a bit more). We also indicate what is known (to us) about these statements. <sup>1)</sup>

## 1. Introduction

First we recall the standard sign conjecture, its origin, statement and significance.

Let  $k$  be a field and fix a Weil cohomology theory  $H^*$  on the category of smooth projective varieties over  $k$  with coefficients in a field  $F$  of characteristic zero (cf. [3] 3.3.1). Denote by  $M_{hom}(k)_F$  the category of homological motives over  $k$  associated with  $H^*$ , and by  $M_{num}(k)_F$  the category of numerical motives over  $k$ , both with coefficients in  $F$  (cf. [3] 4.1). The functor  $H^*$  defines a realization functor from  $M_{hom}(k)_F$  to the category of graded  $F$ -vector spaces, that we will denote by  $H^*$  (cf. [3] 4.2.5).

The Künneth standard conjecture (cf. [3] 5.1.1) states that, for every smooth projective variety  $X/k$ , the Künneth projectors  $p_X^i$  onto the direct factor  $H^i(X)$  of  $H^*(X)$  are given by algebraic cycles. In the classical theory of motives (of Grothendieck), one uses it to modify the sign in the commutativity constraints in the  $\otimes$ -structure in order to get the Tannakian category of homological motives.

For classical cohomology theories, the conjecture would be a consequence of the Hodge conjecture over the complex numbers and the Tate conjecture over finitely generated fields. Namely, as noted by Grothendieck (see [25] p.99), the projectors (and any linear combination thereof) clearly are morphisms of Hodge structures and commute with the Galois action, and these cohomology classes make natural test cases for the Hodge and Tate conjectures.

The strongest evidence for the Künneth conjecture is given by Katz and Messing ([15], Theorem 2): It is true when  $k$  is algebraic over a finite field and  $H^*$  is either the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology for a prime  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ , or the crystalline cohomology.

For the purpose of modifying the commutativity constraints and getting the Tannakian category, one needs somewhat less, and the necessary weakening is called the standard “sign”

---

<sup>1)</sup> 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11G18; Secondary 14C15

conjecture (terminology proposed by Jannsen; cf. [3] 5.1.3 for the formulation and see [3] 6.1.2.1 for obtaining the Tannakian category):

**Conjecture 1.1.** *For every  $M \in \text{Ob } M_{\text{hom}}(k)_F$ , there exists a decomposition  $M = M^+ \oplus M^-$  such that  $H^*(M^+)$  (resp.  $H^*(M^-)$ ) is concentrated in even (resp. odd) degrees.*

Equivalently, for every smooth projective  $X/k$ , the sum  $p_X^+$  (resp.  $p_X^-$ ) of the even (resp. odd) Künneth projectors on  $H^*(X)$  is given by an algebraic cycle. Note that such a decomposition is necessarily unique (up to unique isomorphism).

This conjecture has, in addition to the consequences in terms of the category of homological motives and the algebraicity of (Hodge or Tate) cohomology classes, also the following interesting consequence, due to André and Kahn. Recall that the numerical and the homological equivalences on algebraic cycles on projective smooth varieties are conjectured to be the same.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([3] 9.3.3.3) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an additive  $\otimes$ -subcategory of  $M_{\text{hom}}(k)_F$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{num}}$  be its image in  $M_{\text{num}}(k)_F$ . If the sign conjecture is true for every object of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the functor  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{num}}$  admits a section compatible with  $\otimes$ , unique up to  $\otimes$ -isomorphism.*

Next we turn to the main geometric objects of this paper, Shimura varieties. In this paper, we will take for  $k$  a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and for  $H^*$  the cohomology theory that sends a smooth projective variety  $X$  over  $k$  to the Betti cohomology of  $X(\mathbb{C})$  with coefficients in number fields  $F$ .

Let  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{h})$  be pure Shimura data (cf [11] 2.1.1 or [22] 3.1),  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$  the reflex field and  $K$  a neat open compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . Denote by  $S^K$  the Shimura variety at level  $K$  associated to  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{h})$ ; it is a smooth quasi-projective variety over  $E$ . Assume that  $E \subset k$ . If  $S^K$  is projective, denote by  $M(S^K)$  the image of  $S^K$  in  $M_{\text{hom}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

For a general connected reductive group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we say that  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies condition (C), if

- (i) Arthur's conjectures (cf section 3) are known for  $\mathbf{G}$ ,
- (ii) the cohomological Arthur parameters for  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfy a certain condition that will be spelled out at the end of section 3 (roughly, that what happens at the finite places determines the parameter) and
- (iii) the classification of cohomological representations of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  given by Adams and Johnson in [1] agrees with the classification given by Arthur's conjectures.

Given the current state of knowledge of (C) (see below), we will also consider a weaker condition. We say that  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies condition (C'), if there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic subgroup  $\mathbf{G}'$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  which contains the derived group  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$  and satisfies (C).

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem :

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{h})$  be simple Shimura data. Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is anisotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  modulo its center (so that  $S^K$  is projective and smooth) and that it satisfies condition (C').*

*Then  $M(S^K) \in M_{\text{hom}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfies the sign conjecture.*

If  $\mathbf{G}$  is not anisotropic modulo its center, then  $S^K$  is not projective, so we can not talk about its homological motive. A possible generalization is the motive representing the intersection cohomology of the minimal compactification of  $S^K$ . Such a motive is not known to exist for general varieties (though we certainly expect that it does), but in the case of minimal compactifications of Shimura varieties it has been constructed by Wildeshaus, even in the category of Chow motives over  $E$  (cf [27] Theorems 0.1 and 0.2). We then have the following generalization of the previous theorem :

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{h})$  be simple PEL Shimura data, and assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies condition (C'). Denote by  $IM(S^K)$  the “intersection motive” of the minimal compactification of  $S^K$ .*

*Then  $IM(S^K)$  satisfies the sign conjecture.*

We actually have versions of these two theorems for motives with coefficients in smooth motives (whose Betti realizations are automorphic local systems), see Theorem 2.1.

We will deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 from another result that we need some more notation to state. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A_{\mathbf{G}}$  the maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the center of  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $K_{\infty}$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $K'_{\infty} = A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ} K_{\infty}$ , and  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/K'_{\infty}$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Hermitian symmetric domain; this is satisfied by the group  $\mathbf{G}$  in any Shimura data, and also by any subgroup thereof as in condition (C').

With the notation and under this assumption, the double coset space

$$S^K = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{G}(A_{\mathbf{f}})/K)$$

still makes sense for open compact subgroups  $K$  of  $\mathbf{G}(A_{\mathbf{f}})$ , and is a finite disjoint union of quotients of Hermitian symmetric domains by arithmetic subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ . In particular, it is a disjoint union of locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds if  $K$  is neat. Moreover, by a theorem of Baily and Borel (cf [7] Theorem 10.11),  $S^K$  is a quasi-projective complex algebraic variety, smooth if  $K$  is neat.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_K = C_c^{\infty}(K \backslash \mathbf{G}(A_{\mathbf{f}})/K, \mathbb{Q})$  be the algebra of functions  $K \backslash \mathbf{G}(A_{\mathbf{f}})/K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  that are locally constant and have compact support, with multiplication given by the convolution product.

Let  $j : S^K \rightarrow \overline{S}^K$  be the embedding of  $S^K$  in its minimal compactification. Let  $W$  be an irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over a field  $F$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{F}_W$  the associated  $F$ -local system on  $S^K$  (cf [18], p 113). Let  $d$  be the dimension of  $S^K$  (as an algebraic variety). The *intersection complex* of  $\overline{S}^K$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{F}_W$  (or  $W$ ) is the complex

$$\mathrm{IC}_W^K := (j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}_W[d]))[-d].$$

The *intersection cohomology* of  $\overline{S}^K$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{F}_W$  (or  $W$ ) is

$$\mathrm{IH}^*(S^K, W) := \mathrm{H}^*(\overline{S}^K, \mathrm{IC}_W^K).$$

It admits an  $F$ -linear action of  $\mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$  (cf [18] p 122-123).

We write

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{IH}^i(S^K, W) \otimes_F \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{\pi_f} \pi_f^K \otimes \sigma^i(\pi_f),$$

where the sum is over all irreducible admissible representations  $\pi_f$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ ,  $\pi_f^K$  is the space of  $K$ -invariant vectors in  $\pi_f$  (a representation of  $\mathcal{H}_K \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ) and the  $\sigma^i(\pi_f)$  are finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Then :

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies (C) and let  $\pi_f$  be as above. Then, either  $\sigma^i(\pi_f) = 0$  for every  $i$  even, or  $\sigma^i(\pi_f) = 0$  for every  $i$  odd.*

**Remark 1.5.** In general, for  $\pi_f$  fixed, there can be several degrees  $i$  with  $\sigma^i(\pi_f) \neq 0$  (as is clear on the formula for  $\mathrm{IH}^i$  in section 4). Hence the methods of this paper cannot be used to prove the full Künneth conjecture.

This is also clear from the fact that the Lefschetz operator on  $\mathrm{IH}^*$  commutes with the action of the Hecke operators. Note that the action of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on the  $\mathrm{IH}^i$  that gives the pure Hodge structure (whose existence follows from M. Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules) also commutes with the action of the Hecke operators (and with the Lefschetz operator). See page 8 of Arthur's review paper [5] for a more precise version of these two statements.

Here is the present state of knowledge about condition (C) :

- (i) Arthur's conjectures (with substitute parameters) are known for split symplectic and quasi-split special orthogonal groups, by the book [6] of Arthur, modulo the stabilization of the twisted trace formula and a local theorem at the archimedean place (see the end of the introduction of [6]). They are also known for quasi-split unitary groups by work of Mok ([21]) and for their inner forms by work of Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White ([14]), modulo the same hypotheses. Finally, still assuming the same hypotheses, the conjectures are known for tempered representations of split general symplectic and quasi-split general orthogonal groups, by work of Bin Xu ([28]).<sup>2)</sup>
- (ii) This condition, in the cases where Arthur's conjectures are (almost) known, follows easily from strong multiplicity one for the groups  $\mathbf{GL}_n$ .
- (iii) The agreement of the classifications of Arthur and Adams-Johnson for cohomological representations of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  is still open, though it should be accessible.

**Remark 1.6.** In the case of even special orthogonal groups, Arthur's methods don't allow to distinguish between a representation and its conjugate under the orthogonal group. This doesn't affect the methods of this paper and thus is not a problem for us, see the end of section 4.

In the final section, we discuss the possibility of using finite correspondences to attack the standard Künneth (or sign) conjecture for general projective smooth varieties.

**Remark 1.7.** Some time after receiving an earlier draft of this article, C. Mœglin has informed us through private communication that the facts we need in (ii) and (iii) of the condition (C) have been established. In order to clarify the points and the way we make use of these nontrivial facts in our arguments, we retain these conditions in this article.

---

<sup>2)</sup> Note that we only need condition (C') for theorem 1.3, so Arthur's results already allow us to get theorem 1.3 for the Shimura varieties of split general symplectic groups.

## 2. Reduction to Theorem 1.4

First, we review the motivic constructions of coefficient systems and intersection motives, by Ancona and Wildeshaus. This will allow us to state Theorem 2.1 with coefficients, which, together with Theorem 1.4, implies both 1.2 and 1.3. We then make certain reduction steps necessary for passing from PEL Shimura varieties to the associated connected Shimura varieties. Finally we prove Theorem 2.1, modulo Theorem 1.4.

**2.1. Review of motivic constructions.** Let  $F$  be a number field and let  $W$  be a finite dimensional algebraic representation of  $\mathbf{G}_F$ .

One applies Théorème 4.7 and Remarque 4.8 of [2] to get a Chow motive  $\tilde{\mu}(W)$  over  $S^K$ , whose cohomological Betti realization over  $S^K(\mathbb{C})$  is the local system corresponding to  $W$ . By construction, it is a direct sum of Tate twists of direct summands in the motives

$$\pi_*^{(r)} \mathbf{1}_{A^r}$$

where  $r \geq 0$  and  $\pi^{(r)} : A^r \rightarrow S^K$  is the  $r$ th power of the Kuga-Sato abelian scheme.

Then one applies<sup>3)</sup> the main result of [27] (Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 and Corollary 0.3) to obtain the intermediate extension  $j_{!*} \tilde{\mu}(W)$  on the minimal compactification  $\overline{S}^K$ , whose cohomological Betti realization is naturally isomorphic to the intersection complex.

Finally, by taking the direct image of  $j_{!*} \tilde{\mu}(W)$  under the structure morphism  $m : \overline{S}^K \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ , one gets the intersection motive  $IM(S^K, W)$  whose Betti realization is canonically isomorphic to the intersection cohomology  $\text{IH}^*(S^K(\mathbb{C}), W)$ .<sup>4)</sup>

Also constructed in [27] (Theorem 0.5) is an endomorphism  $KgK$  of  $IM(S^K, W)$ , for each double coset  $KgK \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)/\mathbb{K}$ , whose Betti realization coincides with the usual action of the Hecke operator for the coset on the intersection cohomology. The construction uses the compatibility of the motivic middle extension and the “change of level” maps  $[h \cdot]_*$ , see Theorem 0.4 of [27].

To avoid possible confusion, we will use the notation  $\widetilde{KgK}$  for the endomorphisms of  $IM(S^K, W)$ .<sup>5)</sup>

**2.2. Sign conjecture with coefficients.** Now we are ready to state a version with coefficients.

Let  $W$  be an irreducible algebraic representation of  $\mathbf{G}$  over a number field  $F$ . We denote by  $\text{IH}^+$  (resp.  $\text{IH}^-$ ) the direct sum of  $\text{IH}^i(S^K(\mathbb{C}), W)$  for  $i$  even (resp. odd), and denote by  $p_W^+ = p_{W,F}^+$  (resp.  $p_W^- = p_{W,F}^-$ ) the corresponding projector on  $\text{IH}^*(S^K(\mathbb{C}), W)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that the group  $\mathbf{G}$  in the simple PEL data satisfies condition (C'), so that there exists a subgroup  $\mathbf{G}'$  which contains  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$  and satisfies condition (C).*

<sup>3)</sup> Wildeshaus' construction requires a condition, which he names (+) and is the same as (3.1.5) in [22], on the central torus in the Shimura data. It is satisfied by any PEL Shimura data: See for instance the analysis of the maximal torus quotient of  $\mathbf{G}$  in §7 of [17].

<sup>4)</sup> Strictly speaking, Wildeshaus' construction works only for direct factors  $N$  of  $\pi_*^{(r)} \mathbf{1}_{A^r}$ . Given a Tate twist  $N(m)$ , one takes the  $m$ th Tate twist of  $IM(S^K, N)$ , which has Betti realization  $\text{IH}^*(S^K(\mathbb{C}), N(m))$ .

<sup>5)</sup> Wildeshaus does not construct an action of the Hecke algebra on  $IM(S^K, W)$ . One expects, but does not know at the moment, that there is a canonical choice of  $\widetilde{KgK}$ .

Then there exists an endomorphism  $\tilde{p}_W^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{p}_W^-$ ) of the image of  $IM(S^K, W)$  in  $M_{\text{hom}}(k)_F$  whose Betti realization is  $p_W^+$  (resp.  $p_W^-$ ). It follows that the image of  $IM(S^K, W)$  in  $M_{\text{hom}}(k)_F$  admits a decomposition

$$IM(S^K, W)_{\text{hom}} = IM(S^K, W)_{\text{hom}}^+ \oplus IM(S^K, W)_{\text{hom}}^-$$

such that  $H^*(IM(S^K, W)_{\text{hom}}^+) = \text{IH}^+$  and  $H^*(IM(S^K, W)_{\text{hom}}^-) = \text{IH}^-$  are concentrated in even and odd degrees, respectively.

**2.3. Reduction steps.** *Change of base field:* First, we reduce to the case where  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . For this, note that the vector spaces of algebraic correspondences modulo an adequate equivalence that is coarser than the algebraic equivalence (in particular the homological equivalence) are invariant under the change of ground field from  $\bar{k}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then use the fact that the even and odd projectors are invariant under the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ .

*Connected components:* As the minimal compactification  $\bar{S}^K$  is normal by construction, its connected components and irreducible components coincide. Thus the even projector for  $S^K$  is the sum of the even projectors for the connected components, and the sign conjecture is true for  $S^K$  and  $W$  iff it is true for each of its connected components.

*Raising the level:* Finally, we may pass from a neat level subgroup  $K$  to any level subgroup  $K' \subset K$ . It suffices to show that  $IM(S^K, W)$  is a direct factor of  $IM(S^{K'}, W)$  in  $M_{\text{hom}}(\mathbb{C})_F$ . Take a connected component  $\bar{X}$  of  $\bar{S}^K$ , with  $X = \bar{X} \cap S^K$ . The change of level map  $f := [1\cdot] : S^{K'} \rightarrow S^K$  is a finite étale surjection that extends to a finite surjection  $\bar{f} : \bar{S}^{K'} \rightarrow \bar{S}^K$ . Let  $\bar{Y}$  be the inverse image  $\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{X})$  and  $Y := \bar{Y} \cap S^{K'} = f^{-1}(X)$ .

Over  $X$  we have the adjunction map for direct image and the trace map:

$$\text{adj}_f : \mathcal{F}_W \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}_W \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}_f : f_*\mathcal{F}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_W.$$

Since  $\bar{f}$  is finite, these maps extend to

$$\overline{\text{adj}}_f : j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W \rightarrow \bar{f}_*(j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{Tr}}_f : \bar{f}_*(j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W) \rightarrow j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W$$

(here  $j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W$  means  $j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}_W[\dim X])[-\dim X]$ ). In Betti cohomology, these maps give rise to

$$\text{IH}^i(S^K, W) \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} \text{IH}^i(S^{K'}, W) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \text{IH}^i(S^K, W)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The composite map is equal to multiplication by  $\deg(f)$ .*

*Proof.* We may replace  $\bar{Y}$  (hence  $Y$ ) with any connected component, denoting the restriction of  $\bar{f}$  (also  $f$ ) by the same letter. It suffices to show that

$$\overline{\text{Tr}}_f \circ \overline{\text{adj}}_f = \deg(f) \quad \text{on } j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_W.$$

By construction  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_W$  is a semisimple local system, and we may replace it with a direct summand and assume it is irreducible. Then  $j_{!*}\mathcal{F}$  is a simple perverse sheaf (cf. Théorème 4.3.1(ii) of [8]), and it suffices to show the equality over the dense open subset  $X$ . This last follows from Théorème 2.9 (Var 4) (I), exposé XVIII, SGA4.  $\square$

As we have recalled, Wildeshaus constructs  $[1\cdot]_*$  between intersection motives; see also the construction leading up to Corollary 8.8 in [27]. Thus  $IM(S^K, \mathcal{F}_W)$  is canonically a direct factor of  $IM(S^{K'}, \mathcal{F}_W)$  modulo homological equivalence.

**2.4. Proof of Theorem 2.1 modulo Theorem 1.4.** By the previous reduction steps, we may pass to the connected Shimura varieties, see 2.1.2, 2.1.7 and 2.1.8 in [11]: The projective system of connected locally symmetric varieties depend only on the triple  $(\mathbf{G}^{\text{ad}}, \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}, \mathcal{X}^+)$ . The motivic constructions of the coefficient systems and the intersection cohomology can be therefore transferred to the connected locally symmetric varieties attached to the subgroup  $\mathbf{G}'$ , for all small enough level subgroups.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If Theorem 1.4 is true for  $W$ , then there exist elements*

$$h_{W,F}^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$$

which act as  $p_W^{\pm}$  on  $\text{IH}^*(S^K(\mathbb{C}), W)$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the statement over the field of coefficients  $F' = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the finite set consisting of the irreducible admissible representations  $\pi_f$  that have nonzero contribution to the right hand side of (1) for some  $i$ . Then as representations of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\pi_f^K)_{\pi_f \in \Sigma}$  are irreducible and pairwise inequivalent. By Jacobson's density theorem, for each  $\pi_f \in \Sigma$  there exists an element  $h_{\pi_f} \in \mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  that acts as 1 on  $\pi_f^K$  and as 0 on  $\pi_{f'}^K$  for every other  $\pi_{f'}$  in  $\Sigma$ .

By Theorem 1.4,  $\Sigma$  is the disjoint union of two subsets  $\Sigma^{\pm}$ , consisting of those  $\pi_f \in \Sigma$  that have contribution in even or odd degrees, respectively. Therefore

$$h_{W,\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} = \sum_{\pi_f \in \Sigma^{\pm}} h_{\pi_f} \in \mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

acts as  $p_{W,\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} = p_{W,F}^{\pm} \otimes_F 1_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

To conclude the proof, use the fact: If  $f : H \rightarrow E$  is an  $F$ -linear map of  $F$ -vector spaces and  $F'$  is an extension field of  $F$ , then an element  $p \in E$  lies in the image of  $f$  iff  $p \otimes 1_{F'}$  is in the image of  $f \otimes_F 1_{F'}$ . □

Now Theorem 2.1 follows easily from the lemma: Writing

$$h_{W,F}^{\pm} = \sum_{g \in K \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)/K} c_g^{\pm} [1_K g K], \quad c_g^{\pm} \in F$$

the endomorphism of  $IM(S^K, W)$  in  $M_{\text{rat}}(\mathbb{C})_F$  and also its image in  $M_{\text{hom}}(\mathbb{C})_F$

$$\tilde{p}_W^{\pm} := \sum c_g^{\pm} \widetilde{K g K}$$

has Betti realization  $p_W^{\pm}$ .

In the case  $W$  is the trivial representation defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we get Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

**Remark 2.2.** We have focused on PEL Shimura varieties, in order to apply the known constructions. However, the deduction via Lemma 2.2 of the sign conjecture from Theorem 1.4 is valid for more general varieties.

First, for the trivial coefficient system, the motivic construction of coefficient systems is unnecessary, and we do not need to restrict to PEL types.

Then for compact Shimura varieties (that is, in case the group is anisotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  modulo center), we do not need Wildeshaus' construction of intersection motives, and the Shimura data do not need to satisfy his condition (+) on its central torus.

Over the complex numbers (or even over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , see [12]), the sign conjecture can be verified for the locally symmetric varieties considered in Theorem 1.4. Through the work of Shimura, Deligne, Milne, and others we have a complete theory of canonical models of Shimura varieties over reflex fields, and the sign conjecture holds for these models.

Finally, if we know the sign conjecture for the varieties attached to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -anisotropic semisimple group  $\mathbf{G}$ , we also know it for the varieties attached to any isogenous quotient group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For any variety of the latter kind admits a finite étale covering from a variety of the former kind, and we can apply an argument similar to the one in 2.3.

Of course, Theorem 1.4 is essential in all these generalizations.

### 3. Arthur's conjectures

We follow the presentation of Kottwitz in section 8 of [16]. As before,  $\mathbf{G}$  is a connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\xi : A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a character of  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ}$ . Let  $L_{\mathbf{G}}^2$  be the space of functions  $f : \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that :

- $f(zg) = \xi(z)f(g) \forall z \in A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ}, g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ ;
- $f$  is square-integrable modulo  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ}$ .

(Cf. the beginning of section 2 of [4].)

Then  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$  acts on  $L_{\mathbf{G}}^2$  by right multiplication on the argument of the function. We say that an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$  is *discrete automorphic* if it appears as a direct summand in the representation  $L_{\mathbf{G}}^2$ . In that case, we write  $m(\pi)$  for the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in  $L_{\mathbf{G}}^2$ ; it is known to be finite. We denote by  $\Pi_{disc}(\mathbf{G})$  the set of equivalence classes of discrete automorphic representations of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$  and by  $L_{\mathbf{G},disc}^2$  the discrete part of  $L_{\mathbf{G}}^2$  (ie the completed direct sum of the isotypical components of the  $\pi \in \Pi_{disc}(\mathbf{G})$ ).

Arthur conjectured that

$$L_{\mathbf{G},disc}^2 \simeq \bigoplus_{\psi} \bigoplus_{\Pi_{\psi}} m(\psi, \pi) \pi,$$

where the  $\psi$  are equivalence classes of global Arthur parameters, the  $\Pi_{\psi}$  are sets of (isomorphism classes of) smooth admissible representations of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$  called Arthur packets and  $m(\psi, \pi)$  are nonnegative integers that we will define later. Note that we are not saying that the representations  $\pi$  are irreducible. (They are not in general.)

The traditional statement of Arthur's conjectures involves the conjectural Langlands group  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and Arthur parameters are morphisms  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L\mathbf{G}$ , where  ${}^L\mathbf{G} = \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \rtimes \mathbf{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the Langlands dual group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . In some cases, it is possible to use instead substitute parameters defined in terms of cuspidal automorphic representations of general linear groups. This is the point of view that is taken in the proofs of Arthur's conjectures by Arthur for symplectic and orthogonal groups (cf [6]) and by Mok in the case of quasi-split unitary groups (cf [21]). In any case, a global Arthur parameter  $\psi$  gives rise to :



- a character  $\xi_\psi : A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ ;
- a reductive subgroup  $S_\psi$  of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  such that  $S_\psi^\circ \subset Z(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})^\Gamma \subset S_\psi$ , where  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ ;
- a character  $\varepsilon_\psi$  of the finite group  $\mathfrak{S}_\psi := S_\psi/Z(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})^\Gamma S_\psi^\circ$  with values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

In the sum above, we only take the parameters  $\psi$  such that  $\xi_\psi = \xi$ .

Part of Arthur's conjectures is that there should be a map  $\pi^0 \longmapsto \langle \cdot, \pi^0 \rangle$  from the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible constituents of elements of  $\Pi_\psi$  to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\psi$ , such that  $\langle \cdot, \pi^0 \rangle = \langle \cdot, \pi^1 \rangle$  if  $\pi^0$  and  $\pi^1$  are two irreducible constituents of the same  $\pi \in \Pi_\psi$ , and that the multiplicity  $m(\psi, \pi)$  is given by the following formula :

$$m(\psi, \pi) = m(\psi, \pi^0) := |\mathfrak{S}_\psi|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_\psi} \varepsilon_\psi(x) \langle x, \pi^0 \rangle,$$

if  $\pi^0$  is an irreducible constituent of  $\pi$ .

We can now state part (ii) of condition (C). It says that, for every irreducible admissible representation  $\pi_f$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , there is at most one Arthur parameter  $\psi$  such that  $\pi_f$  is the finite part of an irreducible constituent of an element of  $\Pi_\psi$ .

There are also local versions of Arthur's conjectures involving local Arthur parameters and local Arthur packets. We will not give details here (see for example chapter I of Arthur's book [6]).

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We use the notation of Theorem 1.4 and of section 3, and we take for  $\xi : A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  the inverse of the character by which  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})^\circ$  acts on  $W(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $\pi$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ , we can write  $\pi = \pi_f \otimes \pi_\infty$ , where  $\pi_f$  (resp.  $\pi_\infty$ ) is an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ ).

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the complexified Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $\pi_\infty$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ , we write  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)$  for the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty)$ -cohomology of the space of  $K'_\infty$ -finite vectors in  $\pi_\infty \otimes W$  (cf chapter I of [10]).

It follows from Zucker's conjecture (a theorem of Looijenga ([19]), Saper-Stern ([24]) and Looijenga-Rapoport([20])) and from Matsushima's formula (proved by Matsushima for  $S^K$  compact and by Borel and Casselman in the general case, cf Theorem 4.5 of [9]) that there is a  $\mathcal{H}_K \otimes \mathbb{C}$ -equivariant isomorphism, for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\text{IH}^k(S^K, W) \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi_{disc}(\mathbf{G})} \pi_f^K \otimes H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)^{m(\pi)}$$

(see also (2.2) of Arthur's article [4]).

If  $\pi_f$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , let  $\Pi_\infty(\pi_f)$  be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations  $\pi_\infty$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\pi := \pi_f \otimes \pi_\infty \in \Pi_{disc}(\mathbf{G})$ . Then, for every irreducible admissible representation  $\pi_f$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\dim \sigma^k(\pi_f) = \sum_{\pi_\infty \in \Pi_\infty(\pi_f)} m(\pi_f \otimes \pi_\infty) \dim H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W).$$

Vogan and Zuckerman have classified all the admissible representations  $\pi_\infty$  of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W) \neq 0$  in [26], and Adams and Johnson have constructed local Arthur packets for these representations in [1]. (It is part of our assumptions that their construction is compatible with the local and global Arthur conjectures of section 3.) We will follow Kottwitz's exposition of their results in section 9 of [16].

Let  $\theta$  be the Cartan involution of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  that is the identity on  $K_\infty$ . For every real reductive group  $H$ , let  $q(H) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(H/K_H)$ , where  $K_H$  is a maximal compact-modulo-center subgroup of  $H$ .

Fix  $\pi_f$  such that  $\Pi_\infty(\pi_f) \neq \emptyset$ . By part (ii) of condition (C),  $\pi_f$  determines a global Arthur parameter  $\psi$ , and we write  $\psi_\infty$  for the local Arthur parameter of  $\mathbf{G}_\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\psi$ . The set  $\Pi_\infty(\pi_f)$  is a subset of the local Arthur packet associated to  $\psi_\infty$ . If  $\pi_\infty \in \Pi_\infty(\pi_f)$  and  $\pi = \pi_f \otimes \pi_\infty$ , then the character  $\langle \cdot, \pi \rangle$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_\psi$  factors as  $\langle \cdot, \pi_f \rangle \langle \cdot, \pi_\infty \rangle$ , where both factors are characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\psi$ , and the first (resp. second) factor depends only on  $\pi_f$  (resp.  $\pi_\infty$ ). By the multiplicity formula in section 3, the fact that  $m(\pi) = m(\psi, \pi) \neq 0$  means that the character  $\langle \cdot, \pi_\infty \rangle$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_\psi$  is uniquely determined by  $\pi_f$ .<sup>6)</sup>

Let  $\pi_\infty \in \Pi_\infty(\pi_f)$ . Then there is a relevant pair  $(L, Q)$  such that  $\pi_\infty$  comes by cohomological induction from a 1-dimensional representation of  $L$ , cf pages 194-195 of [16]. Here  $Q$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $L$  is a Levi component of  $Q$  that is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . By proposition 6.19 of [26],  $\pi_\infty \otimes W$  can only have  $(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty)$ -cohomology in degrees belonging to  $R + 2\mathbb{N}$ , with  $R = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{u}$  is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of  $Q$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the  $-1$ -eigenspace for  $\theta$  acting on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be the (complex) Lie algebra of  $L$ . As  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  are invariant under  $\theta$  (by construction of  $L$  and  $Q$ ), we see easily that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{p}) = 2R + \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p}),$$

hence  $R = q(\mathbf{G}_\mathbb{R}) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{L})$ . So the parity of  $R$  is determined by the parity of  $q(L)$ .

Now lemma 9.1 of [16] says that

$$(-1)^{q(L)} = \langle \lambda_{\pi_\infty}, s_\psi \rangle,$$

where  $s_\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_\psi$  is determined by the global parameter  $\psi$  (if we see global parameters as morphisms  $\psi : L_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L\mathbf{G}$ , then  $s_\psi$  is the image by  $\psi$  of the nontrivial central element of  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ) and  $\lambda_{\pi_\infty}$  is the character of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\psi_\infty} \supset \mathfrak{S}_\psi$  defined on page 195 of [16]. But lemma 9.2 of [16] implies that the product  $\lambda_{\pi_\infty} \langle \cdot, \pi_\infty \rangle$  is independent of  $\pi_\infty$  in the Arthur packet of  $\psi_\infty$ , so the restriction of  $\lambda_{\pi_\infty}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}_\psi$  depends only on  $\pi_f$ . This implies that the parity of  $R$  depends only on  $\pi_f$ , which gives Theorem 1.4.

We have to be a bit careful if  $\mathbf{G}$  is a quasi-split even special orthogonal group, because in that case Arthur proved his conjectures only up to conjugacy by the quasi-split even orthogonal group  $\mathbf{G}' \supset \mathbf{G}$ . But, if  $\pi_\infty$  is a representation of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  with nonzero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K'_\infty)$ -cohomology, then the integer  $R$  associated to  $\pi_\infty$  as above does not change if we replace  $\pi_\infty$  by a  $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate (because the relevant pair  $(L, Q)$  is just replaced by a  $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate). So the proof above still applies.

<sup>6)</sup>  $\langle \cdot, \pi_\infty \rangle$  is actually a character of the bigger group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\psi_\infty}$ , but its values on  $\mathfrak{S}_{\psi_\infty}$  are not determined by  $\pi_f$ , otherwise  $\Pi_\infty(\pi_f)$  would be a singleton, and this is not the case in general (cf case 3 on page 90 of Rogawski's paper [23] for a counterexample if  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{GU}(2, 1)$ ).

## 5. Künneth conjecture and finite correspondences

From the proofs of the theorem of Katz and Messing and that of ours, one may wonder if the Künneth conjecture or the sign conjecture can be proved for more general projective smooth varieties, only using finite correspondences. More precisely, consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace

$$Z_{\text{fin}, H^*}^d \subseteq H^{2d}(X \times_k X)(d)$$

spanned by the cohomology classes of all the cycles of codimension  $d$  on  $X \times_k X$ , that are *finite* in both projections to  $X$  (where  $d = \dim X$ ).

**Conjecture 5.1.** *For every projective smooth variety  $X/k$  and every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the Künneth projector  $\pi_X^i$  (resp. the projector  $\pi_X^+$ ) belongs to  $Z_{\text{fin}, H^*}^d$ .*

This is a priori stronger than the Künneth (resp. the sign) conjecture. It turns out that the apparent strength is only illusory, if either (a)  $k$  is algebraically closed or (b)  $k$  is perfect and  $H^*$  is a classical Weil cohomology theory. The case (a) is a consequence of the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field. Then the abelian group*

$$Z_{\text{fin}, \sim_{\text{rat}}}^d \subseteq Z_{\sim_{\text{rat}}}^d$$

*generated by the cycles mapping finitely to  $X$  in both projections, in the group of codimension  $d$  cycles on  $X$  modulo rational equivalence, is in fact equal to the whole  $Z_{\sim_{\text{rat}}}^d$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that any irreducible closed subscheme of codimension  $d$  on  $X \times_k X$  is rationally equivalent to a cycle that is finite in both projections. Because a proper quasi-finite map is finite, it is the matter of finding a cycle in the rational equivalence class, that meets all the closed fibres over  $k$ -rational points in both projections properly, that is, in dimension at most zero. This follows from the generalized moving lemma [13] of Friedlander and Lawson: In any fixed projective embedding, all the fibres of the first (resp. second) projection have the same degree, as they are all algebraically equivalent.  $\square$

Now, in the case (b), let  $k$  be a perfect field, and suppose that  $Z$  is an algebraic cycle of codimension  $d$  on  $X \times_k X$ . If  $H^*$  is a classical Weil cohomology theory, then we have a corresponding cohomology theory  $H_{/k'}^*$  for every algebraic extension  $k'$  of  $k$ , compatible with the cycle class maps in an obvious sense.

Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $Z \otimes_k \bar{k}$  is rationally — hence homologically — equivalent to a cycle  $Z'$  which is finite over  $X \otimes_k \bar{k}$  in both projections. Let  $k'$  be a finite Galois extension of  $k$  over which  $Z'$  is defined. Taking the “average” of the  $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ -translates of  $Z'$  (which requires  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients), one gets a cycle  $Z'_0$  on  $X$ , defined over  $k$ , that is finite in both projections and has the same cohomology class as  $Z$ .

This means that, in the two cases, if the Künneth conjecture is true for  $X/k$ , then each  $\pi_X^i$  is in fact a linear combination of the cohomology classes of finite correspondences over  $X$ . Finding enough such finite correspondences for general  $X/k$  (which can be turned into the problem of finding certain finite extensions of the function field  $k(X)$ ) seems to be an interesting open problem.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Pierre Deligne for helpful discussions, especially regarding the material on finite correspondences in the final section. We also thank Colette Moeglin for pointing out some misconceptions about Arthur’s conjectures in a previous version of this article.

## References

- [1] *J. Adams* and *J. F. Johnson*, Endoscopic groups and packets of nontempered representations, *Compositio Math.* **64** (1987), no. 3, 271–309, URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1987\\_\\_64\\_3\\_271\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1987__64_3_271_0).
- [2] *G. Ancona*, Décomposition du motif d’un schéma abélien universel, Ph.D. thesis (2013).
- [3] *Y. André*, Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes), *Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses]*, volume 17, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.
- [4] *J. Arthur*, The  $L^2$ -Lefschetz numbers of Hecke operators, *Invent. Math.* **97** (1989), no. 2, 257–290, , URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01389042>.
- [5] *J. Arthur*,  $L^2$ -cohomology and automorphic representations, in: Canadian Mathematical Society. 1945–1995, Vol. 3, Canadian Math. Soc., Ottawa, ON, 1996, 1–17.
- [6] *J. Arthur*, The endoscopic classification of representations. Orthogonal and symplectic groups, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, volume 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [7] *W. L. Baily, Jr.* and *A. Borel*, Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **84** (1966), 442–528.
- [8] *A. A. Beilinson*, *J. Bernstein* and *P. Deligne*, Faisceaux pervers, in: Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), *Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, Astérisque*, volume 100, 5–171.
- [9] *A. Borel* and *W. Casselman*,  $L^2$ -cohomology of locally symmetric manifolds of finite volume, *Duke Math. J.* **50** (1983), no. 3, 625–647, , URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-83-05029-9>.
- [10] *A. Borel* and *N. Wallach*, Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, volume 67, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000, 2nd edition.
- [11] *P. Deligne*, Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques, in: Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, 247–289.
- [12] *G. Faltings*, Arithmetic varieties and rigidity, in: Seminar on number theory, Paris 1982–83 (Paris, 1982/1983), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1984, Progress in Mathematics, 63–77.
- [13] *E. Friedlander* and *H. Lawson*, Moving algebraic cycles of bounded degree, *Invent. Math.* **132** (1998), no. 1, 91–119.
- [14] *T. Kaletha*, *A. Minguez*, *S. W. Shin* and *P.-J. White*, Endoscopic classification of representations : inner forms of unitary groups, 2014, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3731>.
- [15] *N. M. Katz* and *W. Messing*, Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 73–77.
- [16] *R. E. Kottwitz*, Shimura varieties and  $\lambda$ -adic representations, in: Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and  $L$ -functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, *Perspect. Math.*, volume 10, 161–209.
- [17] *R. E. Kottwitz*, Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), no. 2, 373–444, , URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2152772>.
- [18] *R. E. Kottwitz* and *M. Rapoport*, Contribution of the points at the boundary, in: The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, Univ. Montréal, Montréal, QC, 1992, 111–150.
- [19] *E. Looijenga*,  $L^2$ -cohomology of locally symmetric varieties, *Compositio Math.* **67** (1988), no. 1, 3–20, URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1988\\_\\_67\\_1\\_3\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1988__67_1_3_0).
- [20] *E. Looijenga* and *M. Rapoport*, Weights in the local cohomology of a Baily-Borel compactification, in: Complex geometry and Lie theory (Sundance, UT, 1989), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, volume 53, 223–260.
- [21] *C. P. Mok*, Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups, 2013, URL <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.0882v3>.
- [22] *R. Pink*, On  $l$ -adic sheaves on Shimura varieties and their higher direct images in the Baily-Borel compactification, *Math. Ann.* **292** (1992), no. 2, 197–240, , URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01444618>.

- [23] *J. D. Rogawski*, Analytic expression for the number of points mod  $p$ , in: The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1992, 65–109.
- [24] *L. Saper* and *M. Stern*,  $L_2$ -cohomology of arithmetic varieties, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **132** (1990), no. 1, 1–69, , URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1971500>.
- [25] *J. T. Tate, Jr.*, Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions, in: *Arithmetical algebraic geometry* (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963), Harper & Row, New York, 1965, 93–110.
- [26] *D. A. Vogan, Jr.* and *G. J. Zuckerman*, Unitary representations with nonzero cohomology, *Compositio Math.* **53** (1984), no. 1, 51–90, URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1984\\_\\_53\\_1\\_51\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1984__53_1_51_0).
- [27] *J. Wildeshaus*, Intermediate extension of chow motives of abelian type, 2013, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5327>.
- [28] *B. Xu*, Endoscopic classification of tempered representations: quasi-split general even orthogonal group and general symplectic group., 2013, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5327>.

---

Sophie Morel, Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Fine Hall, Washington Road, 08544-1000,  
Princeton, NJ, USA  
e-mail: [smorel@math.princeton.edu](mailto:smorel@math.princeton.edu)

Junecue Suh, Department of Mathematics, University of California at Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, 95064, Santa  
Cruz, CA, USA  
e-mail: [jusuh@ucsc.edu](mailto:jusuh@ucsc.edu)