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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove a formula conjectured by B. Gross and S. Kudla in [11] which relates the heights of modified diagonal cycles on the triple products of Shimura curves and the derivative of the triple product L-series. More precisely, for three cusp forms $f, g, h$ of weight 2 for a congruent subgroup $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $N$ square free, we may consider the function $F:=f \times g \times h$ on $\mathscr{H}^{3}$. There is a triple product L-series $L(s, F)$ as studied by Garrett [7] in classical setting and by Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis [32] in adelic setting. This function is entire and has functional equation with center at $s=2$ and a decomposition of the global into a product of local signs:

$$
\epsilon(F)=\prod_{p \leq \infty} \epsilon_{p}(F), \quad \epsilon_{p}(F)= \pm 1
$$

Assume that the global sign is -1 , then there is canonically defined a Shimura curve $X$ defined by some congruent subgroup of $B^{\times}$where $B$ is an indefinite quaternion algebra $B$ which is nonsplit over a non-archimedean prime $p$ if and only if $\epsilon_{p}(F)=-1$. There is an $F$-eigen component $\Delta(F)$ of the diagonal $\Delta$ of $X^{3}$ as an elements in the Chow group of
codimension 2 cycles in $X^{3}$ as studied by Gross and Schoen [13]. The conjecture formulated by Gross and Kudla takes the shape

$$
L^{\prime}(2, F)=\Omega(F)\langle\Delta(F), \Delta(F)\rangle_{\mathrm{BB}},
$$

where $\Omega(F)$ is an explicit positive constant and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{BB}}$ is the Beilinson-Bloch height pairing. This formula is an immediate higher dimensional generalization of the Gross-Zagier formula [14].

The objective in this paper is more general than that considered by Gross and Kudla. In fact, we will consider cuspidal Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight 2 and Gross-Schoen cycles on Shimura cures over totally real number fields. We will formulate a conjecture 1.2.3 in terms of automorphic representations and linear forms. This conjecture is analogous to a central value formula of Ichino [16]. In this paper, we can prove this conjecture under some assumption on ramifications, see Theorem 1.2.4. In the following we will describe our conjecture, theorem, and the main idea of proof.

### 1.1 Shimura curves and abelian varieties

### 1.1.1 Incoherent quaternion algebras and Shimura curves

Let $F$ be a number field with adele ring $\mathbb{A}_{F}$ and let $\mathbb{A}_{f}$ be the ring of finite adeles. Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of places of $F$. Up to isomorphism, let $\mathbb{B}$ be the unique $\mathbb{A}$-algebra, free of rank 4 as an $\mathbb{A}$-module, whose localization $\mathbb{B}_{v}:=\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} F_{v}$ is isomorphic to $M_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$ if $v \notin \Sigma$ and to the unique division quaternion algebra over $F_{v}$ if $v \in \Sigma$. We call $\mathbb{B}$ the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}$ with ramification set $\Sigma(\mathbb{B}):=\Sigma$.

If $\# \Sigma$ is even then $\mathbb{B}=B \otimes_{F} \mathbb{A}$ for a quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$ unique up to an $F$-isomorphism. In this case, we call $\mathbb{B}$ a coherent quaternion algebra. If $\# \Sigma$ is odd, then $\mathbb{B}$ is not the base change of any quaternion algebra over $F$. In this case, we call $\mathbb{B}$ an incoherent quaternion algebra. This terminology is inspired by Kudla's notion of incoherent collections of quadratic spaces.

Now assume that $F$ is a totally real number field and that $\mathbb{B}$ is an incoherent quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}$, totally definite at infinity in the sense that $\mathbb{B}_{\tau}$ is the Hamiltonian algebra for every archimedean place $\tau$ of $F$.

For each open compact subgroup $U$ of $\mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}:=\left(\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{A}_{f}\right)^{\times}$, we have a (compactified) Shimura curve $X_{U}$ over $F$. For any embedding $\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the complex points of $X_{U}$ at $\tau$ forms a Riemann surface as follows:

$$
X_{U, \tau}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq B(\tau)^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{ \pm} \times \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} / U \cup\{\text { cusps }\} .
$$

Here $B(\tau)$ is the unique quaternion algebra over $F$ with ramification set $\Sigma \backslash\{\tau\}, \mathbb{B}_{f}$ is identified with $B(\tau)_{A_{f}}$ as an $A_{f^{-}}$-algebra, and $B(\tau)^{\times}$acts on $\mathscr{H}^{ \pm}$through an isomorphism $B(\tau)_{\tau} \simeq M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The set $\{$ cusps $\}$ is non-empty if and only if $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Sigma=\{\infty\}$.

For any two open compact subgroups $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$ of $\mathbb{B}_{f} \times$, one has a natural surjective morphism

$$
\pi_{U_{1}, U_{2}}: X_{U_{1}} \rightarrow X_{U_{2}}
$$

Let $X$ be the projective limit of the system $\left\{X_{U}\right\}_{U}$. It is a regular scheme over $F$, locally noetherian but not of finite type. In terms of the notation above, it has a uniformization

$$
X_{\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq B(\tau)^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H}^{ \pm} \times \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} / D \cup\{\operatorname{cusps}\}
$$

Here $D$ denotes the closure of $F \times$ in $\mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times}$. If $F=\mathbb{Q}$, then $D=F^{\times}$. In general, $D$ is much larger than $F^{\times}$.

The Shimura curve $X$ is endowed with an action $\mathrm{T}_{x}$ of $x \in \mathbb{B}^{\times}$given by "right multiplication by $x_{f}$." The action $\mathrm{T}_{x}$ is trivial if and only if $x_{f} \in D$. Each $X_{U}$ is just the quotient of $X$ by the action of $U$. In terms of the system $\left\{X_{U}\right\}_{U}$, the action gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{T}_{x}: X_{x U x^{-1}} \rightarrow X_{U}$ for each $U$.

The induced action of $\mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}$on the set $\pi_{0}\left(X_{U, \bar{F}}\right)$ of geometrically connected components of $X_{U}$ factors through the norm map $q: \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times}$and makes $\pi_{0}\left(X_{U, \bar{F}}\right)$ a principal homogeneous space over $F_{+}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times} / q(U)$. There is a similar description for $X$.

### 1.1.2 Hodge classes

The curve $X_{U}$ has a Hodge class $L_{U} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(X_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It is the line bundle whose global sections are holomorphic modular forms of weight two. The system $L=\left\{L_{U}\right\}_{U}$ is a direct system in the sense that it is compatible under the pull-back via the projection $\pi_{U_{1}, U_{2}}: X_{U_{1}} \rightarrow X_{U_{2}}$.

Here are some basic explicit descriptions. If $X_{U}$ is a modular curve, which happens exactly when $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Sigma=\{\infty\}$, then $L_{U}$ is linearly equivalent to some linear combination of cusps on $X_{U}$. If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ or $\Sigma \neq\{\infty\}$, then $X_{U}$ has no cusps and $L_{U}$ is isomorphic to the canonical bundle of $X_{U}$ over $F$ for sufficiently small $U$.

For each component $\alpha \in \pi_{0}\left(X_{U, \bar{F}}\right)$, denote by $L_{U, \alpha}=\left.L_{U}\right|_{X_{U, \alpha}}$ the restriction to the connected component $X_{U, \alpha}$ of $X_{U, \bar{F}}$ corresponding to $\alpha$. It is also viewed as a divisor class on $X_{U}$ via push-forward under $X_{U, \alpha} \rightarrow X_{U}$. Denote by $\xi_{U, \alpha}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} L_{U, \alpha}} L_{U, \alpha}$ the normalized Hodge class on $X_{U, \alpha}$, and by $\xi_{U}=\sum_{\alpha} \xi_{U, \alpha}$ the normalized Hodge class on $X_{U}$.

We remark that deg $L_{U, \alpha}$ is independent of $\alpha$ since all geometrically connected components are Galois conjugate to each other. It follows that $\operatorname{deg} L_{U, \alpha}=\operatorname{deg} L_{U} /\left|F_{+}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times} / q(U)\right|$. The degree of $L_{U}$ can be further expressed as the volume of $X_{U}$.

For any open compact subgroup $U$ of $\mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times}$, define

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right):=\int_{X_{U, \tau}(\mathbb{C})} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi y^{2}} .
$$

Here the measure $\frac{d x d y}{2 \pi y^{2}}$ on $\mathscr{H}$ descends naturally to a measure on $X_{U, \tau}(\mathbb{C})$ via the complex uniformization for any $\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It can be shown that $\operatorname{deg} L_{U}=\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right)$. In particular, the volume is always a positive rational number.

For any $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$, the projection $\pi_{U_{1}, U_{2}}: X_{U_{1}} \rightarrow X_{U_{2}}$ has degree

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{U_{1}, U_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U_{1}}\right) / \operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U_{2}}\right) .
$$

It follows from the definition. Because of this, we will often use $\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right)$ as a normalizing factor.

### 1.1.3 Abelian varieties parametrized by Shimura curves

Let $A$ be a simple abelian variety defined over $F$. We say that $A$ is parametrized by $X$ if there is a non-constant morphism $X_{U} \rightarrow A$ over $F$ for some $U$. By the Eichler-Shimura theory, if $A$ is parametrized by $X$, then $A$ is of strict GL(2)-type in the sense that

$$
M=\operatorname{End}^{0}(A):=\operatorname{End}_{F}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
$$

is a field and $\operatorname{Lie}(A)$ is a free module of rank one over $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ by the induced action.
Define

$$
\pi_{A}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\xi}^{0}(X, A):=\underset{\vec{U}}{\lim _{\vec{~}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\xi_{U}}^{0}\left(X_{U}, A\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\xi_{U}}^{0}\left(X_{U}, A\right)$ denotes the morphisms in $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}\left(X_{U}, A\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ using $\xi_{U}$ as a base point. More precisely, if $\xi_{U}$ is represented by a divisor $\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}$ on $X_{U, \bar{F}}$, then $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}\left(X_{U}, A\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is in $\pi_{A}$ if and only if $\sum_{i} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ in $A(\bar{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Since any morphism $X_{U} \rightarrow A$ factors through the Jacobian variety $J_{U}$ of $X_{U}$, we also have

$$
\pi_{A}=\operatorname{Hom}^{0}(J, A):=\underset{U}{\lim } \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(J_{U}, A\right)
$$

Here $\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(J_{U}, A\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{F}\left(J_{U}, A\right)_{\otimes \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. The direct limit of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(J_{U}, A\right)$ defines an integral structure on $\pi_{A}$ but we will not use this.

The space $\pi_{A}$ admits a natural $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$-module structure. It is an automorphic representation of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$over $\mathbb{Q}$. We will see the natural identity $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{B} \times}\left(\pi_{A}\right)=M$ and that $\pi_{A}$ has a decomposition $\pi=\otimes_{M} \pi_{v}$ where $\pi_{v}$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$over $M$. Using the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, one can define $L$-series

$$
L(s, \pi)=\prod_{v} L_{v}\left(s, \pi_{v}\right) \in M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}
$$

as an entire function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
L(s, A, M)=\prod L_{v}(s, A, M) \in M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}
$$

be the L-series defined using $\ell$-adic representations with coefficients in $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, completed at archimedean places using the $\Gamma$-function. Then $L(s, A, M)$ converges absolutely in $M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>3 / 2$. The Eichler-Shimura theory asserts that, for almost all finite places $v$ of $F$, the local L-function of $A$ is given by

$$
L_{v}(s, A, M)=L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right)
$$

Conversely, by the Eichler-Shimura theory and the isogeny theorem of Faltings, if $A$ is of strict GL(2)-type, and if for some automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$over $\mathbb{Q}, L_{v}(s, A, M)$ is equal to $L\left(s-1 / 2, \pi_{v}\right)$ for almost all finite places $v$, then $A$ is parametrized by the Shimura curve $X$.

If $A$ is parametrized by $X$, then the dual abelian variety $A^{\vee}$ is also parametrized by $X$. Denote by $M^{\vee}=\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A^{\vee}\right)$. There is a canonical isomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{\vee}$ sending a homomorphism $m: A \rightarrow A$ to its dual $m^{\vee}: A^{\vee} \rightarrow A^{\vee}$.

There is a perfect $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$-invariant pairing

$$
\pi_{A} \times \pi_{A^{\vee}} \longrightarrow M
$$

given by

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right)^{-1}\left(f_{1, U} \circ f_{2, U}^{\vee}\right), \quad f_{1, U} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(J_{U}, A\right), f_{2, U} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(J_{U}, A^{\vee}\right)
$$

where $f_{2, U}^{\vee}: A \rightarrow J_{U}$ is the dual of $f_{2, U}$ composed with the canonical isomorphism $J_{U}^{\vee} \simeq J_{U}$. It follows that $\pi_{A^{\vee}}$ is dual to $\pi_{A}$ as representations of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$over $M$. Replacing $A^{\vee}$ in the above construction, then we get a perfect $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$-invariant pairing

$$
\pi_{A} \times \pi_{A^{\vee}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(A^{\vee}, A\right)
$$

where the $M$ acts on the right hand side through its action on $A$, and the $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$acts via the central character $\omega_{\pi_{A}}$ of $\pi_{A}$. So we will denote

$$
\omega_{A}:=\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(A^{\vee}, A\right)
$$

In the case that $A$ is an elliptic curve, we have $M=\mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_{A}$ is self-dual. For any morphism $f \in \pi_{A}$ represented by a direct system $\left\{f_{U}\right\}_{U}$, we have

$$
(f, f)=\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{deg} f_{U}
$$

Here $\operatorname{deg} f_{U}$ denotes the degree of the finite morphism $f_{U}: X_{U} \rightarrow A$.

### 1.2 Trilinear cycles on the triple product of abelian varieties

### 1.2.1 Trilinear cycles on triple product of abelian varieties

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be three abelian varieties defined over a number field $F$. Let $A=A_{1} \times A_{2} \times A_{3}$ be denote their product. We consider the space $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ of 1-dimensional Chow cycles with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

Using Mukai-Fourier transformation, we have a decomposition

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)=\oplus_{s} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A, s),
$$

where $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ are non-negative integers, and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}\left(A, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ consists of cycles $x$ such that under push-forward of by multiplication by $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ on $A$ :

$$
[k]_{*} x=k^{s} \cdot x, \quad k^{s}:=k_{1}^{s_{1}} k_{2}^{s 2} k_{3}^{s_{3}} .
$$

If $s$ has non-trivial contribution in the decomposition, then it is known that $|s|=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3} \geq$ 2 , and conjectured that $|s| \leq 3$. When $|s|=3$ the cycles are homologically trivial. Further
more, the cycles with $s=(1,1,1)$ are conjecturally the complement of the subspace generated by cycles supported on the image of $A_{i} \times A_{j} \times 0_{k}$ for some reordering $(i, j, k)$ of $(1,2,3)$, where $0_{k}$ denote the 0 -point on $A_{k}$. Using Mukai-Fourier transfer, the group $\operatorname{Ch}_{1}(A,(1,1,1))$ can be further defined as the group of trilinear cycles, namely those cycles $z \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ satisfying the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}^{*} z=p_{i}^{*} z+q_{i}^{*} z, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}, p_{i}, q_{i}$ are respectively the addition map, first projection, and second projection on the $i$-th factor:

$$
m_{i}, p_{i}, q_{i}: \quad A_{i} \times A_{i} \times A_{j} \times A_{k} \longrightarrow A_{i} \times A_{j} \times A_{k}, \quad\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} .
$$

We will denote

$$
\operatorname{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A):=\operatorname{Ch}_{1}(A,(1,1,1))
$$

Let $L\left(s, A_{1} \boxtimes A_{2} \boxtimes A_{3}\right)$ denote the L-series attached the triple product of $\ell$-adic representation of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ on

$$
H^{1}\left(A_{1}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(A_{2}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(A_{3}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

Then it is conjectured that $L\left(s, A_{1} \boxtimes A_{2} \boxtimes A_{3}\right)$ has homomorphic continuation on whole complex plane. An extension of the Birch and Swinneron-Dyer or Beilison-Bloch conjecture gives the following:
Conjecture 1.2.1. The space group $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\text {lel }}(A)$ is finitely generated with rank given by

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=2} L\left(s, A_{1} \boxtimes A_{2} \boxtimes A_{3}\right) .
$$

Like Neron-Tate height pairing between points on $A$ and $A^{\vee}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$, there is a canonical height pairing between $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}\left(A^{\vee}\right)$ given by Poincare bundles $\mathscr{P}_{i}$ on $A_{i} \times A_{i}^{\vee}$ with trivializations on $A_{i} \times 0$ and $0 \times A_{i}^{\vee}$ :

$$
\langle x, y\rangle:=(x \times y) \cdot \widehat{c}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{c}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{c}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{3}\right), \quad x \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A), \quad y \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}\left(A^{\vee}\right),
$$

where $\widehat{c}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{i}\right)$ is the first Chern class of arithmetic cubic structure $\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{i}$ of $\mathscr{P}_{i}$. This paring can also defined using Tate's iteration formula analogous to the Neron-Tate height pairing. The right hand of the formula makes sense for all elements in $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ and vanishes on $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)$.

### 1.2.2 Refinement for abelian varieties of strictly $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$-type

Assume that $A_{i}$ are strictly $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$-type over fields $M_{i}:=\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{i}\right)$. Let $M=M_{1} \otimes M_{2} \otimes M_{3}$. Then $M$ acts on $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)$ by push forward and on $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}\left(A^{\vee}\right)$ by duality. Using equation 1.2.1, one can show that these actions are linear. As $M$ is a direct sum of its quotients fields $L, \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)$ is the direct sum of $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L):=\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A) \otimes_{M} L$. We can also define the triple product L-series $L\left(s, A_{1} \boxtimes A_{2} \boxtimes A_{3}, L\right) \in L \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with coefficients in $L$ using Galois representation on

$$
H^{1}\left(A_{1}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \otimes_{L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \otimes H^{1}\left(A_{2}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \otimes_{L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} H^{1}\left(A_{3}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

where we choose $\ell$ inert in $L$.

Conjecture 1.2.2. The space group $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)_{L}$ is finitely generated with rank given by

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{L} \operatorname{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)=\operatorname{ord}_{s=2} \iota L\left(s, A_{1} \boxtimes A_{2} \boxtimes A_{3}, L\right),
$$

where $\iota: L \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the surjection given by any embedding $L \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
Also we have a unique height paring with coefficient in $M$ :

$$
\langle-,-\rangle_{L}: \quad \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\text {el }}(A, L) \otimes_{L} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\text {el }}\left(A^{\vee}, L\right) \longrightarrow L \otimes \mathbb{R}
$$

such that

$$
\left.\operatorname{tr}_{L \otimes \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{R}}(a x, y)_{L}\right)=\langle a x, y\rangle, \quad a \in L, \quad x \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L), \quad y \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}\left(A^{\vee}, L\right) .
$$

### 1.2.3 Gross-Kudla-Shoen cycles

Now we assume that $A_{i}$ are parametrized by a Shimura curve $X$ as before. Let $M_{i}=$ $\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $L$ a quotient of $M_{1} \otimes M_{2} \otimes M_{3}$. For any $f_{i} \in \pi_{A_{i}}$, we have a morphism

$$
f:=f_{1} \times f_{2} \times f_{3}: \quad X \longrightarrow A
$$

We define $f_{*}(X) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)$ by

$$
f_{*}(X):=\operatorname{vol}\left(X_{U}\right)^{-1} f_{U *}(X) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}(A)
$$

if $f_{i}$ is represented by $f_{i U}$ on $X_{U}$. It is clear that this definition does not depend on the choice of $U$. Define

$$
P_{L}(f):=f_{*}(X)^{\ell \ell \ell} \otimes 1 \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L) .
$$

Let $\pi_{i}=\pi_{A_{i}} \otimes_{M_{i}} L$ be the automorphic representation of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$with coefficients in $L$. Let $\pi_{L}=\pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{2} \otimes \pi_{3}$ be their triple representation of $\left(\mathbb{B}^{\times}\right)^{3}$. Then by equation 1.2.1 $f \mapsto P(f)$ defines a linar map:

$$
P_{L}: \quad \pi_{L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)
$$

It is clear that this map is invariant under the action of the diagonal $\Delta\left(\mathbb{B}^{\times}\right)$; thus it defines an element

$$
P_{L} \in \mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{A, L}\right) \otimes_{L} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{A, L}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta\left(\mathbb{B}^{\times}\right)}\left(\pi_{A, L}, L\right)
$$

Thus $P_{L}(f) \neq 0$ for some $f$ only if $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{A, L}\right) \neq 0$.
By the following Theorem 1.4.1, $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{A, L}\right)$ is at most one dimensional, and it is onedimensional if and only if the central characters $\omega_{i}$ of $\pi_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\omega_{1} \cdot \omega_{2} \cdot \omega_{3}=1
$$

and the ramification $\Sigma(\mathbb{B})$ of $\mathbb{B}$ is equal to

$$
\Sigma(A, L)=\left\{\text { places } v \text { of } F: \quad \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi_{A, L, v}\right)=-1\right\}
$$

The next problem is to find a non-zero element $\alpha$ of $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{A, L}\right)$ if it is non-zero. It is more convenient to work with $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{L}\right) \otimes \mathscr{P}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{L}\right)$ where $\widetilde{\pi}_{L}$ is the contragradient of $\pi_{L}$ is by the product $A^{\vee}$ of $A_{i}^{\vee}$. Decompose $\pi_{L}=\otimes_{v}$ then we have decomposition $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{L}\right)=\otimes \mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ where the space $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ is defined analogously. We will construct element $\alpha_{v}$ in $\mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{v}\right) \otimes$ $\mathscr{P}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{v}\right)$ for each place $v$ of $F$ by

$$
\alpha\left(f_{v} \otimes \widetilde{f}_{v}\right):=\frac{L\left(1, \pi_{v}, a d\right)}{\zeta_{v}(2)^{2} L\left(1 / 2, \pi_{v}\right)} \int_{F_{v}^{\times} \backslash B_{v}^{\times}}\left(\pi(b) f_{v}, \widetilde{f}_{v}\right) d b, \quad f_{v} \otimes \widetilde{f}_{v} \in \pi_{v} \otimes \widetilde{\pi}_{v}
$$

Conjecture 1.2.3. Assume $\omega_{1} \cdot \omega_{2} \cdot \omega_{3}=1$. For any $f_{1} \in \pi_{A, L}$ and $f_{2} \in \pi_{A^{\vee}, L}$,

$$
\left\langle P_{L}\left(f_{1}\right), P_{L}\left(f_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{8 \zeta_{F}(2)^{2}}{L\left(1, \pi_{L}, a d\right)} L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \pi_{L}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

as an identity in $L \otimes \mathbb{C}$.
Theorem 1.2.4. The conjecture is true under the assumption that $\mathbb{B}$ has at least two finite place not split over $F$ and that $\pi$ is unramified over the places which is split in $\mathbb{B}$.

Remarks 1.2.1. 1. it is conjectured that the theorem is true without the assumption in the theorem; we plan to treat other case in future;
2. the theorem implies that $L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \pi_{L}\right)=0$ if and only if it is zero for all conjugates of $\sigma$;
3. assume that $\sigma$ is unitary, then we take $\tilde{f}=\bar{f}$. The Hodge index conjecture implies $L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \pi_{L}\right) \geq 0$. This is an consequence of the Riemann Hypothesis.

### 1.3 Application to adjoint and exterior products

### 1.3.1 Adjoint product

Assume that $A_{1}=A_{2}^{\vee}$ and that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are identified in $L$ via the dual map $M_{1} \mapsto M_{2}$. Let $\varphi: A_{1} \longrightarrow A_{2}$ be any polarization. Define an involution $s \in \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}\right)$ by

$$
s(x, y)=\left(\varphi^{-1} y, \varphi(x)\right)
$$

Then $s$ induced an involution on $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\text {lel }}(A)$ which does not depend on the choice of $\varphi$. Decompose $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)$ as a direct sum of $\pm$ eigen spaces. The Beilonson-Bloch conjecture in this case gives

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{-}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1 / 2} L\left(s, \operatorname{Ad}\left(A_{1}\right)^{2} \boxtimes A_{3}, L\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1 / 2} L\left(s, A_{3}, L\right)
$$

In view of the usual BSD

$$
\operatorname{dim} A_{3}(F)_{L}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1 / 2} L\left(s, A_{3}, L\right)
$$

we will define a homomorphism:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha: \quad \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+} \longrightarrow A(F)_{L} \\
\left.z \mapsto \frac{1}{2} p_{3 *}\left(z \cdot \pi_{12}^{*} \mathscr{P}_{12}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{0}\left(A_{3}\right)_{L}^{\mathrm{deg}=0}=A_{3}(F)_{L} .
\end{gathered}
$$

One can prove that the Neron-Tate height paring are related by:

$$
\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\alpha z_{1}, \alpha z_{2}\right\rangle
$$

For $f \in \pi$, we denote

$$
P_{L}^{A_{3}}(f):=\alpha P_{L}(f) \in A_{3}(F)_{L} .
$$

Since $\mathscr{P}(\widetilde{\pi}):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{B} \times}(\widetilde{\pi}, \mathbb{C})$ is one dimensional, it is given by a $\operatorname{sign} \epsilon(s)= \pm 1$. By work of Prasad [30],

$$
\epsilon(s)=\epsilon\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \pi_{3}\right)
$$

Corollary 1.3.1. Assume that $\pi_{1}=\pi_{2}^{\vee}$ and $\epsilon(s)=-1$, then $\epsilon\left(\pi_{3}\right)=1$ and

$$
P_{L}(f) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{-}, \quad f \in \pi
$$

and for any $f_{1} \in \pi, f_{2} \in \widetilde{\pi}$,

$$
\left\langle P_{L}\left(f_{1}\right), P_{L}\left(f_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{8 \zeta_{F}(2)^{2} L\left(1 / 2, \pi_{3}\right)}{L(1, \pi, a d)} L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \operatorname{Ad} \pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{3}\right) .
$$

Corollary 1.3.2. Assume that $\pi_{1}=\pi_{2}^{\vee}$ and $\epsilon(s)=1$, then $\epsilon\left(\pi_{3}\right)=-1$ and

$$
P_{L}(f) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+}, \quad f \in \pi
$$

and for any $f_{1} \in \pi$ and $f_{2} \in \widetilde{\pi}$,

$$
\left\langle P_{L}^{A_{3}}\left(f_{1}\right), P_{L}^{A_{3}}\left(f_{2}\right)_{L}\right\rangle=\frac{4 \zeta_{F}(2)^{2} L\left(1 / 2, \operatorname{Ad} \pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{3}\right)}{L(1, \pi, a d)} L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \pi_{3}\right) \alpha\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

Here is a simple formula for $P_{L}^{A_{3}}(f)$ for $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ whose first two components satisfy $f_{2}=\varphi \circ f_{1}$ where $\varphi: A_{1} \longrightarrow A_{1}^{\vee}=A_{2}$ is a polarization associated to an ample and symmetric line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ :

$$
\varphi(x)=\mathrm{T}_{x}^{*} \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{-1}
$$

In this case

$$
P_{L}^{A_{3}}(f)=\sum\left(f_{3 *} f_{1}^{*} c_{1}(\mathscr{L})\right) \in A_{3}(F)_{L}
$$

where the sum is the group addition map $\mathrm{Ch}_{0}\left(A_{3}\right)_{L} \longrightarrow A_{3}(F)_{L}$.

### 1.3.2 Symmetric product

Finally we assume that $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}$ with $M_{i}=L$ and with trivial central characters. Then the permutation group $\mathscr{S}_{3}$ acts on $A^{3}$ and then $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\text {lel }}(A)$ and decompose it into subspaces according three irreducible representations of $\mathscr{S}_{3}$

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)=\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+} \oplus \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{-} \oplus \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{0}
$$

where $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+}$is the space of invariants under $\mathscr{S}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{-}$is the space where $\mathscr{S}_{3}$ acts as sign function, and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{0}$ is the space where $\mathscr{S}_{3}$ is acts as a direct sum of the unique 2 dimensional representation. Then the Beilinson-Bloch conjecture gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{+}=0 \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{-}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=2} L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} A_{1}, L\right) \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A, L)^{0}=\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L\left(s, A_{1}, L\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The action of $\mathscr{S}_{3}$ on $\mathscr{P}(\pi)$ is either trivial or given by sign function. By Prasad's theorem we have:

Corollary 1.3.3. Assume that $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}$ with trivial central character. Let $L=M_{i}$.

1. If $\epsilon\left(\mathrm{Sym}^{3} \sigma_{1}\right)=1$ or $\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=-1$, then

$$
P_{L}(f)=0, \quad f \in \pi
$$

2. If $\epsilon\left(\mathrm{Sym}^{3}\right)=-1$ and $\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=1$, then

$$
P_{L}(f) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{1}^{\ell \ell \ell}(A)^{-},
$$

and for any $f_{1} \in \pi$ and $f_{2} \in \widetilde{\pi}$,

$$
\left\langle P_{L}\left(f_{1}\right), P_{L}\left(f_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{8 \zeta_{F}(2)^{2} L\left(1 / 2, \pi_{1}\right)^{2}}{L(1, \pi, a d)} L^{\prime}\left(1 / 2, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \pi_{1}\right)
$$

### 1.4 Local linear forms over local fields

Let $F$ be a local field and $E$ a cubic semisimple algebra over $F$. More precisely, $E$ can be taken as one of the following:

- $F \oplus F \oplus F$,
- $F \oplus K$ for a quadratic field extension $K$ of $F$, and
- a cubic field extension $E$ of $F$.

Let $B$ be a quaternion algebra over $F$. Thus $B$ is isomorphic to either the matrix algebra $M_{2}(F)$ or the division quaternion algebra $D$ (unique up to isomorphism). We define the sign $\epsilon(B)$ of $B$ as 1 if $B \simeq M_{2}(F)$ and -1 if $B \simeq D$. Let $\pi$ be a admissible representation of $B_{E}^{\times}$ and $\sigma$ its Jacquet-Langlands correspondence on $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(E)$. Assume that the central character $\omega$ of $\pi$ has trivial restriction to $F^{\times}$

$$
\left.\omega\right|_{F^{\times}}=1
$$

Consider the space of linear functionals invariant under the subgroup $B^{\times}$of $B_{E}^{\times}$:

$$
\mathscr{P}(\pi):=\operatorname{Hom}_{B^{\times}}(\pi, \mathbb{C})
$$

By the following result of Prasad and Loke, this space is determined by the local root number

$$
\epsilon(\sigma):=\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma, \psi \circ \operatorname{tr}_{E / F}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}
$$

(The definition here does not depend on the choice of the non-trivial character $\psi$ of $F$.)
Theorem 1.4.1. [Prasad, Loke [28, 2'7]] The space $\mathscr{P}(\pi)$ is at most one dimensional. Moreover it is non-zero if and only if

$$
\epsilon(\sigma)=\epsilon(B)
$$

Now assume that $\pi$ is tempered or a local component of an irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation, then the following integration of matrix coefficients with respect to a Haar measure on $F^{\times} \backslash B^{\times}$is absolutely convergent by Ichino [16]:

$$
I(f \otimes \widetilde{f}):=\int_{F^{\times} \backslash B^{\times}}(\pi(b) f, \widetilde{f}) d b, \quad f \otimes \widetilde{f} \in \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}
$$

This integration defines an element $I$ in $\mathscr{P}(\pi) \otimes \mathscr{P}(\widetilde{\pi})$ which is invariant under $B^{\times} \times B^{\times}$, i.e., an element in

$$
\mathscr{P}(\pi) \otimes \mathscr{P}(\widetilde{\pi})=\operatorname{Hom}_{B^{\times} \times B^{\times}}(\pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}, \mathbb{C})
$$

One can show that this linear form is nonzero if and only if $\mathscr{P}(\pi) \neq 0$. Moreover, we may evaluate the integral in the following spherical case:

1. $E / F$ and $\pi$ are unramified, $f$ and $\widetilde{f}$ are spherical vectors such that $(f, \widetilde{f})=1$;
2. the measure $d g$ is normalized such that the volume of the maximal compact subgroup of $B^{\times}$is one.

In this case, one can show that the integration is given by

$$
\frac{\zeta_{E}(2)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \frac{L(1 / 2, \sigma)}{L(1, \sigma, a d)}
$$

See Ichino [16, Lem. 2.2]. Thus we can define a normalized linear form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(\pi) \otimes \mathscr{P}(\widetilde{\pi}) \\
\alpha:=\frac{\zeta_{F}(2)}{\zeta_{E}(2)} \frac{L(1, \sigma, a d)}{L(1 / 2, \sigma)} I .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\pi$ is tempered and unitary then this pairing induces a positive hermitian form on $\mathscr{P}(\pi)$. We remark that the linear form depends only on a choice of the Haar measure on $F^{\times} \backslash B^{\times}$.

### 1.5 Global linear forms

Let $F$ be a number field with ring of adeles $\mathbb{A}$ and $E$ a cubic semisimple algebra over $F$. We start with an irreducible (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$. In [32], Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis defined an eight dimensional representation $r_{8}$ of the $L$ group of the algebraic group $\operatorname{Res}_{F}^{E} G L_{2}$. Thus we have a Langlands L-series $L\left(s, \sigma, r_{8}\right)$ which we abbreviate as $L(s, \sigma)$ in this paper. When $E=F \oplus F \oplus F$ and $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}$, this L-function is the Rankin type triple product L-function. When $E$ is a field, the L-function $L(s, \sigma)$ is the Asai L-function of $\sigma$ for the cubic extension $E / F$. Without confusion, we will simply denote the L-function by $L(s, \sigma)$.

Assume that the central character $\omega$ of $\sigma$ is trivial when restricted to $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$

$$
\left.\omega\right|_{\mathbb{A}^{x}}=1 .
$$

Then we have a functional equation

$$
L(s, \sigma)=\epsilon(s, \sigma) L(1-s, \sigma)
$$

And the global root number $\epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma) \in\{ \pm 1\}$. For a fixed non-trivial additive character $\psi$ of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$, we have a decomposition

$$
\epsilon(s, \sigma,)=\prod_{v} \epsilon\left(s, \sigma_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) .
$$

The local root number $\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \sigma_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$ does not depend on the choice of $\psi_{v}$. Thus we have a well-defined (finite) set of places of $F$ :

$$
\Sigma=\left\{v: \quad \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \sigma_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)=-1 .\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}$ which is obtained from $M_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ with $M_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$ replaced by $D_{v}$ if $v \in \Sigma$. Let $\pi=\otimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ be the admissible representation of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$such that $\pi_{v}$ is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of $\sigma_{v}$. Define

$$
\mathscr{P}(\pi):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{B} \times}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathscr{P}(\pi)=\otimes_{v} \mathscr{P}\left(\pi_{v}\right)
$$

Fix a Haar measure $d b=\otimes d b_{v}$ on $\mathbb{A}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{B}^{\times}$then we have integral of matrix coefficients $\alpha_{v}$ for each place $v$.

If $\Sigma$ is even then $\mathbb{B}$ is coherent in the sense that it is the base change a quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$ :

$$
\mathbb{B}=B \otimes_{F} \mathbb{A}
$$

In this case $\pi$ is automorphic and the periods integrals over diagonal will define an element $P_{\pi} \in \mathscr{P}(\pi)$ and the Ichino formula will give an expression for $\left\langle P_{\pi}, P_{\pi}\right\rangle$ in terms of $L(1 / 2, \sigma)$.

If $\Sigma$ is odd, the $\mathbb{B}$ is incoherent in the sense that such a $B$ does not exit. In this case, $\epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma)=-1$, the central value $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)=0$ as forced by the functional equation, and we are led to consider the first derivative $L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)$. In this case $\pi$ is no longer an automorphic representation. Instead, heights of certain cohomologically trivial cycles will provide an invariant linear form $P_{\pi}$ whose heights will be given in terms of $L^{\prime}(1 / 2, \sigma)$.

We will need to impose certain constraints as follows:

1. $F$ is a totally real field.
2. $E=F \oplus F \oplus F$ is split. We may thus write $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}$ where each $\sigma_{i}$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. In this case, the condition on the central character of $\sigma$ can be rewritten as

$$
\omega_{1} \cdot \omega_{2} \cdot \omega_{3}=1
$$

3. For $i=1,2,3$ and $v \mid \infty$, all $\sigma_{i, v}$ are discrete of weight 2 . It follows that the odd set $\Sigma$ must contain all archimedean places.

### 1.6 Ichino's formula

Assume that the global root number is 1 . Then $|\Sigma|$ is even. In this case, $\mathbb{B}$ is the base change $B_{\mathbb{A}}$ of a quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$, and $\pi$ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$. Thus we may view elements in $\pi$ and $\widetilde{\pi}$ as functions on $B_{E}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$with duality given by Tamagawa measures. As the central characters of $\pi$ (resp. $\widetilde{\pi}$ ) is trivial when restricted to $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$, we can define an element $P_{\pi} \in \mathscr{P}(\pi)$ by periods integral:

$$
P_{\pi}(f):=\int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) B^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{B}^{\times}} f(b) d b
$$

Here the Haar measure is normalized as Tamagawa measure. Jacquet's conjecture says that $\ell_{\pi} \neq 0$ if and only if $L(1 / 2, \sigma) \neq 0$. this conjecture has been proved by Harris and Kudla [15] for the split case, Prasad and Schulze-Pillot [31] in the general case. A refinement of Jacquet's conjecture is the following formula due to Ichino:

Theorem 1.6.1 (Ichino [16]). For each $f_{1} \in \pi$ and $f_{2} \in \widetilde{\pi}$,

$$
P_{\pi}\left(f_{1}\right) \cdot P_{\tilde{\pi}}\left(f_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{c}} \frac{\zeta_{E}(2)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \frac{L(1 / 2, \sigma)}{L(1, \sigma, a d)} \cdot \alpha\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

Here the constant c is 3, 2, and 1 respectively if $E=F \oplus F \oplus F, E=F \oplus K$ for a quadratic $K$, and a cubic field extension $E$ of $F$ respectively.

Here if the RHS use the measure $d b_{v}$ on $F^{\times} \backslash B_{v}^{\times}$, then we require that $d b=\prod_{v} d b_{v}$.

### 1.7 Strategy of proof

The strategy of proof of the height formula will be analogous in spirit to the proof of GrossZagier formula [14]. Basically it contains the analytic and geometric sides and the comparison between them. Instate of newforms theory, we will make use of representation of adelic groups and linear forms in the same sprit of our recent work of Gross-Zagier formula [36].

First of all, we notice that our conjecture 1.2.3 is an identity between two liner functionals in

$$
\mathscr{P}(\pi) \otimes \mathscr{P}(\widetilde{\pi}) \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}, \mathbb{C})
$$

The reduced norm on $\mathbb{B}_{E}$ defines an orthogonal form with values in $\mathbb{A}_{E}=\mathbb{A}^{3}$. Thus we have a Weil representation on $R=G\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right) \times \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathbb{V}_{E}\right)\right)$ on the space $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{E}\right)$ of Schwartz functions. We can define a Shimizu lifting

$$
\theta: \quad \sigma \otimes r \longrightarrow \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}
$$

by a decomposition $\theta=\otimes \theta_{v}$ and a normalized local theta lifting in 2.1.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{v}: \quad \sigma_{v} \otimes r_{v} \rightarrow \pi_{v} \otimes \widetilde{\pi}_{v} \tag{1.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via Shimizu's lifting, the height formula can be expressed as an identity of two functionals $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ in

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)}(\sigma \otimes r, \mathbb{C})
$$

For each $\ell_{i}$, we will construct a kernel function

$$
k_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)}\left(r, C^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})\right)\right)
$$

to represent $\ell$ in the sense

$$
\ell_{i}(\varphi \otimes \phi)=\int_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(E) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) k_{i}(g, \phi) d g
$$

Thus at the end, we need only to prove an identity $k_{1}=k_{2}$ of two kernel functions.
The kernel function for analytic side is given by the derivative of the restriction of a Siegel-Eisenstein series By the work of Garrett and Piatetski-Shapiro-Rallis. More precisely, consider $\mathbb{B}_{E}$ as an orthogonal space over $\mathbb{A}$ via trace $\mathbb{A}_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. One can associate to $\phi \in$ $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{E}\right)$ the Siegel-Eisenstein series $E(s, g, \phi)$. Due to the incoherence, $E(s, g, \phi)$ vanishes at $s=0$. We obtain an integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E^{\prime}\left(g, 0, f_{\Phi}\right) \varphi(g) d g=-\frac{L^{\prime}(1 / 2, \sigma)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \prod_{v} m(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi) . \tag{1.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this method we obtain $E^{\prime}\left(g, 0, f_{\Phi}\right)$ as a kernel function. This kind of Siegel-Eisenstein series has been studied extensively. In particular, its first derivative was firstly studied by Kudla in [21]. It is natural to consider its Fourier expansion:

$$
E^{\prime}\left(g, 0, f_{\Phi}\right)=\sum_{T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)} E_{T}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, f_{\Phi}\right)
$$

For nonsingular $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)$, we have an Euler expansion as a product of local Whittaker functions (for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0)$

$$
E_{T}\left(g, s, f_{\Phi}\right)=\prod_{v} W_{T, v}(g, s, \Phi)
$$

It is known that the Whittaker functional $W_{T, v}(g, s, \Phi)$ can be extended to an entire function on the complex plane for the $s$-variable and that $W_{T, v}(g, 0, \Phi)$ vanishes if $T$ cannot be represented as moment matrix of three vectors in the quadratic space $B_{v}$. This motivates the following definition. For $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}$ (here "reg" meaning that $T$ is regular), let $\Sigma(T)$ be the set of places over which $T$ is anisotropic. Then $\Sigma(T)$ has even cardinality and the vanishing order of $E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ at $s$ is at least

$$
\mid\left\{v: T \text { is not representable in } B_{v}\right\}|=|\Sigma \cup \Sigma(T)|-|\Sigma \cap \Sigma(T)| .
$$

Since $|\Sigma|$ is odd, $E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ always vanishes at $s=0$. And its derivative is non-vanishing only if $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma(T)$ is nearby: they differ by precisely one place $v$, i.e., only if $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$ with

$$
\Sigma(v)= \begin{cases}\Sigma \backslash\{v\} & \text { if } v \in \Sigma \\ \Sigma \cup\{v\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover when $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$, the derivative is given by

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\prod_{w \neq v} W_{T, w}\left(g_{w}, 0, \Phi_{w}\right) \cdot W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

We thus obtain a decomposition of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ according to the difference of $\Sigma_{T}$ and $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)+E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{1.7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{\Sigma_{T}=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{1.7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{T, \operatorname{det}(T)=0} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) .
$$

Moreover, the local Whittaker functional $W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)$ is closely related to the evaluation of local density. In the spherical case (i.e., $B_{v}=M_{2}\left(F_{V}\right)$ is split, $\psi_{v}$ is unramified, $\Phi_{v}$ is the characteristic function of the maximal lattice $\left.M_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)^{3}\right), W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)$ has essentially been calculated by Katsurada ([19]).

Now two difficulties arise:

1. The vanishing of singular Fourier coefficients (parameterized by singular $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)$ ) are not implied by local reason. Hence it is hard to evaluate the first derivative $E_{T}^{\prime}$ for singular $T$.
2. The explicit calculation of $W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)$ for a general $\Phi_{v}$ seems to be extremely complicated.

The solution is to utilize the uniqueness of linear form (note that we have a lot of freedom to choose appropriate $\Phi$ ) and to focus on certain very special $\Phi_{v}$. More precisely, define the open subset $B_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}$ of $B_{v}^{3}$ to be all $x \in B_{v}^{3}$ such that the components of $x$ generates a nondegenerate subspace of $B_{v}$ of dimension 3. Then we can prove

1. If $\Phi_{v}$ is supported on $B_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}$ for $v \in S$ where $S$ contains at least two finite places, then for singular $T$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S}\right)$, we have

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, v, \Phi)=0
$$

2. If the test function $\Phi_{v}$ is "regular at a sufficiently higher order" (see Definition 6.2.1), we have for all non-singular $T$ with $\Sigma_{T}=\Sigma(v)$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{v}\right)$ :

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=0 .
$$

To conclude the discussion of analytic kernel function, we choose $\Phi_{v}$ to be a test function "regular at a sufficiently higher order" for $v \in S$ where $S$ is a set of finite places with at least two elements such that any finite place outside $S$ is spherical. And we always choose the standard Gaussian at all archimedean places. Then for $g \in \mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{1.7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over $v$ outside $S$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{T, \Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{1.7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over nonsingular $T$.
Moreover, we can have a decomposition of its holomorphic projection, denoted by $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{\text {hol }}$. And it has a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=\sum_{v} \sum_{T, \Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l} \tag{1.7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we only change $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ to $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{\text {hol }}$ when $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$ for $v$ an archimedean place. So similarly we may define $E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}$.

This yields an analytic kernel function of the central derivative $L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)$ for all three possibilities of the cubic algebra $E$.

Now we describe the geometric kernel function under the further assumptions appeared in the beginning of the last subsection. The construction of geometric kernel function is similar to that in the proof of Gross-Zagier formula. More precisely, for $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{f}\right)$ we
can define a generating function of Hecke operators, denoted by $Z(\Phi)$ (see Section 3). Such generating functions have appeared in Gross-Zagier's paper. Works of Kudla-Millson and Borcherds first relate it to the Weil representation. A little extension of our result ([35]) shows that $Z(\Phi)$ is a modular form on $G L_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. Thus it is natural to consider the generating function for a triple $\Phi=\otimes_{i} \Phi_{i} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{f}^{3}\right)$ fixed by $U^{6}$ for a compact open $U \subset B_{f}^{\times}$valued in the correspondences on $Y_{U}^{3}$. The kernel function for geometric side is given by

$$
Z\left(g, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right):=\left\langle\Delta_{U, \xi}, Z(g, \Phi) \Delta_{U, \xi}\right\rangle, \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{A})
$$

where $\Delta_{\xi}$ is the projection of the diagonal $\Delta_{U}$ of $Y_{U}^{3}$ in $\operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(Y_{U}\right)^{00}$.
Now the main ingredient of our proof is the following weak form of an arithmetic SiegelWeil formula:

$$
-E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \equiv Z\left(g, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right), \quad g \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})
$$

where " $\equiv$ " means modulo all forms on $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})$ that is perpendicular to $\sigma$. Note that this is parallel to the classical Siegel-Weil formula in the coherent case

$$
E(g, 0, \Phi)=2 I(g, \Phi)
$$

The replacement of " $=$ " by " $\equiv$ " should be necessary due to representation theory reason.
To make local computation, we will define arithmetic generating series $\widehat{Z}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ with generic fiber $Z\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ on the product $Y_{U} \times Y_{U}$ and their triple product

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=\widehat{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)
$$

and show that

$$
Z\left(g, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right) \equiv Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)
$$

It follows that we have a decomposition to a sum of local heights:

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta) \equiv \sum_{v} Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}
$$

where the intersection takes place on certain "good" model of $Y_{U}^{2}$.
Under our assumption that for $v \notin \Sigma, U_{v}$ is maximal and the Shimura curve $Y_{U}$ has good reduction at $v$. The work of Gross-Keating ([10]) essentially implies that for $g \in \mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S}\right)$ :

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v} \equiv-E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)
$$

And when $v \mid \infty$, using the complex uniformization we may construct the Green current. And we prove that the contribution from the main diagonal to the archimedean height in the intersection is equal to $E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}(1.7 .7)$.

Finally, when $v$ is a finite place in $\Sigma$, then we use Cerednik-Drinfeld uniformization to show that

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v} \equiv 0
$$

Under our assumption of $\Phi$, we have the same conclusion that $E_{v}^{\prime}=0$ in this case.

### 1.8 Notations

In the following, $k$ denotes a local field of a number field.

- Normalize the absolute value $|\cdot|$ on $k$ as follows:

It is the usual one if $k=\mathbb{R}$.
It is the square of the usual one if $k=\mathbb{C}$.
If $k$ is non-archimedean, it maps the uniformizer to $N^{-1}$. Here $N$ is the cardinality of the residue field.

- Normalize the additive character $\psi: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$as follows:

If $k=\mathbb{R}$, then $\psi(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$.
If $k=\mathbb{C}$, then $\psi(x)=e^{4 \pi i \operatorname{Re}(x)}$.
If $k$ is non-archimedean, then it is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for some prime $p$. Take $\psi=\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{k / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. Here the additive character $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is defined by $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(x)=e^{-2 \pi i \iota(x)}$, where $\iota: \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is the natural embedding.

- For a reductive algebraic group $G$ defined over a number field $F$ we denote by $Z_{G}$ its center and by $[G]$ the quotient

$$
[G]:=Z_{G}(\mathbb{A}) G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})
$$

- We will use measures normalized as follows. We first fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi=\otimes_{v} \psi_{v}$ of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$. Then we will take the self-dual measure $d x_{v}$ on $F_{v}$ with respect to $\psi_{v}$ and take the product measure on $\mathbb{A}$. We will use this measure for the standard unipotent subgroup $N$ of $S L_{2}(F)$ and $G L_{2}(F)$. We will take the Haar measure on $F_{v}^{\times}$as $d^{\times} x_{v}=\zeta_{F_{v}}(1)\left|x_{v}\right|^{-1} d x_{v}$. Similarly, the measure on $B_{v}$ and $B_{v}^{\times}$are the self-dual measure $d x_{v}$ with respect to the character $\psi_{v}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{\iota}\right)\right)$ and $d^{\times} x_{v}=\zeta_{F_{v}}(1)\left|\nu\left(x_{v}\right)\right|^{-2} d x_{v}$. If $\mathbb{B}$ is coherent: $\mathbb{B}=B_{\mathbb{A}}$ then we have a decomposition of the Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{B}^{\times}: d x=\prod d x_{v}$. We will choose the Tamagawa measure on $S L_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ defined by an invariant differential form and denote the induced decomposition into a product $d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}$. Then we choose a decomposition $d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}$ of the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})$ such that locally at every place it is compatible with the chosen measure on $S L_{2}\left(E_{v}\right)$.
- For the non-connected group $O(V)$, we will normalize the measure on $O(V)(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$
\operatorname{vol}([O(V)])=1
$$

- For the quadratic space $V=(B, \nu)$ associated to a quaternion algebra, we have three groups: $S O(V), O(V)$ and GSpin $(V)$. They can be described as follows.

$$
\left.\operatorname{GSpin}(V)=\{x, y) \in B^{\times} \times B^{\times} \mid \nu(x)=\nu(y)\right\} .
$$

$$
S O(V)=\operatorname{GSpin}(V) / \Delta\left(F^{\times}\right) .
$$

Let $\mu_{2}$ be the group of order two generated by the canonical involution on $B$. Then we have a semi-direct product

$$
O(V)=\mathrm{SO}(V) \rtimes \mu_{2}
$$

Moreover, by the description above, we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{GSpin}(V)=B^{\times} \times B^{1},
$$

where $B^{1}$ is the kernel of the reduced norm:

$$
1 \rightarrow B^{1} \rightarrow B^{\times} \rightarrow F^{\times} \rightarrow 1
$$

And similarly, we have an isomorphism

$$
S O(V)=B^{\times} / F^{\times} \times B^{1}
$$

Then for a local field $F$, we will choose the measure on $B^{1}, B^{\times} / F^{\times}$induced from the measure we have fixed on $F^{\times}$and $B^{\times}$via the exact sequences. In this way, we also get a Haar measure on $S O(V)$. We normalize the measure on $\mu_{2}(F)=\{ \pm 1\}$ such that the total volume is 1 . The measure on $O(V)$ is then the product measure.

$$
\mathbb{G}=\mathrm{GL}_{2, E}^{\circ}:=\left\{g \in G L_{2}(E) \mid \operatorname{det}(g) \in F^{\times}\right\} .
$$

- We will also identify $\mathrm{Sym}_{3}$ with the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $S p_{6}$ :

$$
n(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
& 1
\end{array}\right), \quad b \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{A})
$$

And we denote $\left[\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\right]=\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F) \backslash \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$. And we use the self-dual measure on $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$ with respect to the additive character $\psi \circ \operatorname{tr}$ of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$. We denote by $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}$ the subset of non-singular elements. For a non-archimedean local field $f$, denote by $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)^{\vee}$ the dual of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$ with respect to the pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(x y)$. For $X, Y \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)$, we write $X \sim Y$ if there exists $g \in G L_{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$ such that $X={ }^{t} g Y g$. For $F=\mathbb{R}$, we have similar notation but with $g \in S O(3)$.

## 2 Weil representations and Ichino's formula

In this section, we will review Weil representation and apply it to triple product $L$-series. We will follow work of Garrett, Piateski-Shapiro-Rallis, Waldspurger, Harris-Kudla, Prasad, and Ichino etc. The first main result is Theorem 2.3.1 about integral representation of the triple product $L$-series using Eisenstein series from the Weil representation on an adelic quaternion algebra.

When the sign of the functional equation is +1 , then the adelic quaternion algebra is coherent in the sense that it comes form a quaternion algebra over number field, then our main result is the special value formula of Ichino Theorem 2.4.3.

When the sign is -1 , then the quaternion algebra is incoherent, and the derivative of the Eisenstein series is the kernel function for the derivative of $L$-series, see formula (2.3.7). We will study the non-singular Fourier coefficients $T$. We show that these coefficients are non-vanishing only if $T$ is represented by elements in $\mathbb{B}$ if we remove one factor at a place $v$, see formula (2.5.2).

### 2.1 Weil representation and theta liftings

In this subsection, we will review the Weil representation as its its extension to similitudes by Harris and Kudla, and normalized Shimuzu lifting by Waldspurger.

## Extending Weil representation to similitudes

Let $F$ be a local filed. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$ be the symplectic group with the standard alternating form $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1_{n} \\ -1_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)$ on $F^{2 n}$. With the standard polarization $F^{2 n}=F^{n} \oplus F^{n}$, we have two subgroups of $S p_{2 n}$ :

$$
M=\left\{\left.m(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & { }^{t} a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)\right\}
$$

and

$$
N=\left\{\left.n(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, b \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(F)\right\} .
$$

Note that $M, N$ and $J$ generate the symplectic group $S p_{2 n}$.
Let $(V,(\cdot, \cdot))$ be a non-degenerate quadratic space of even dimension $m$. Associated to $V$ there is a character $\chi_{V}$ of $F^{\times} / F^{\times, 2}$ defined by

$$
\chi_{V}(a)=\left(a,(-1)^{m / 2} \operatorname{det}(V)\right)_{F}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{F}$ is the Hilbert symbol of $F$ and $\operatorname{det}(V) \in F^{\times} / F^{\times, 2}$ is the determinant of the moment matrix $Q\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right)$ of any basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ of $V$. Let $\mathrm{O}(V)$ be the orthogonal group.

Let $\mathscr{S}\left(V^{n}\right)$ be the space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions on $V^{n}=V \otimes F^{n}$ (for archimedean $F$, functions corresponding to polynomials in the Fock model). Then the Weil representation $r=r_{\psi}$ of $S p_{2 n} \times O(V)$ can be realized on $\mathscr{S}\left(V^{n}\right)$ by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r(m(a)) \Phi(x)=\chi_{V}(\operatorname{det}(a))|\operatorname{det}(a)|_{F}^{\frac{m}{2}} \Phi(x a) \\
r(n(b)) \Phi(x)=\psi(\operatorname{tr}(b Q(x))) \Phi(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
r(J) \Phi(x)=\gamma \widehat{\Phi}(x)
$$

where $\gamma$ is an eighth root of unity and $\widehat{\Phi}$ is the Fourier transformation of $\Phi$ :

$$
\widehat{\Phi}(x)=\int_{F^{n}} \Phi(y) \psi\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}\right) d y
$$

for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.
Now we want to extend $r$ to representations of groups of similitudes. Let GSp ${ }_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ be groups of similitudes with similitude homomorphism $\nu$ (to save notations, $\nu$ will be used for both groups). Consider a subgroup $R=G\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} \times \mathrm{O}(V)\right)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2 n} \times \mathrm{GO}(V)$

$$
R=\left\{(g, h) \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2 n} \times \mathrm{GO}(V) \mid \nu(g)=\nu(h)\right\}
$$

Then we can identify $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$ ) as a subgroup of $R$ consisting of $(d(\nu(h)), h)$ where

$$
d(\nu)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{n} & 0 \\
0 & \nu \cdot 1_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(resp. $(g, 1)$ ). We then have isomorphisms

$$
R / \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} \simeq \mathrm{GO}(V), \quad R / O(V) \simeq \mathrm{GSp}_{2 n}^{+}
$$

where $\mathrm{GSp}_{2 n}^{+}$is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2 n}$ with similitudes in $\nu(\mathrm{GO}(V))$.
We then extend $r$ to a representation of $R$ as follows: for $(g, h) \in R$ and $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V^{n}\right)$,

$$
r((g, h)) \Phi=L(h) r\left(d\left(\nu(g)^{-1}\right) g\right) \Phi
$$

where

$$
L(h) \Phi(x)=|\nu(h)|_{F}^{-\frac{m n}{4}} \Phi\left(h^{-1} x\right) .
$$

For $F$ a number field, we patch every local representation to obtain representations of adelic groups. For $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ we can define a theta series as an automorphic form on $R(\mathbb{A})$ :

$$
\theta(g, h, \Phi)=\sum_{x \in V} r(g, h) \Phi(x), \quad(g, h) \in R(\mathbb{A})
$$

## Theta lifting: local and global

In this subsection, we consider the case when $n=1$ and $V$ is the quadratic space attached to a quaternion algebra $B$ with its reduced norm. Note that $\mathrm{Sp}_{2}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{GSp}_{2}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. And $\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(F)=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ unless $F=\mathbb{R}$ and $B$ is the Hamilton quaternion in which case $\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with positive determinants.

We first consider the local theta lifting. For an infinite-dimensional representation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$, let $\pi$ be the representation of $B^{\times}$associated by Jacquet-Langlands correspondence and let $\widetilde{\pi}$ be the contragredient of $\pi$. Note that we set $\pi=\sigma$ when $B=M_{2 \times 2}$.

We have natural isomorphisms between various groups:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow B^{\times} \times B^{\times} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSO}(V) \rightarrow 1
$$

where $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in B^{\times} \times B^{\times}$acts on $B$ via $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) x=b_{1} x b_{2}^{-1}$,

$$
\mathrm{GO}(V)=\operatorname{GSO}(V) \rtimes\{1, c\}
$$

where $c$ acts on $B$ via the canonical involution $c(x)=x^{\iota}$ and acts on $\operatorname{GSO}(V)$ via $c\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=$ $\left(b_{2}^{\iota}, b_{1}^{\iota}\right)^{-1}$, and

$$
\left.R^{\prime}=\{(h, g)) \in \operatorname{GSO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2} \mid \nu(g)=\nu(h)\right\}
$$

Proposition 2.1.1 (Shimizu liftings). There exists an $\mathrm{GSO}(V) \simeq R^{\prime} / \mathrm{SL}_{2}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma \otimes r)_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}} \simeq \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi} \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that this is stronger than the usual Howe's duality in the present setting. The result essentially follows from results on Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Here we explain why we can replace $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ by $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$. In fact, there are exactly two ways to extend an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ to $\mathrm{GO}(V)$. But only one of them can participate the theta correspondence due to essentially the fact that the sign of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ does not occur in the theta correspondence unless $\operatorname{dim} V \leq 2$.

Let $\mathscr{W}_{\sigma}=\mathscr{W}_{\sigma}^{\psi}$ be the $\psi$-Whittaker model of $\sigma$ and let $W_{\varphi}$ be a Whittaker function corresponding to $\varphi$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
S: \mathscr{S}(V) \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\sigma} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
(\Phi, W) & \mapsto S(\Phi, W)=\frac{\zeta(2)}{L(1, \sigma, a d)} \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)} r(g) \Phi(1) W(g) d g
\end{aligned}
$$

See the normalization of measure in "Notations". The integral is absolutely convergent by Lemma 5 of [34] and defines an element in

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2} \times B^{\times}}(r \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C})
$$

where $B^{\times}$is diagonally embedded into $B^{\times} \times B^{\times}$. The factor before the integral is chosen so that $S(\Phi, W)=1$ when everything is unramified. Since

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2} \times B^{\times}}(r \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{\times}}\left((r \otimes \sigma)_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}, \mathbb{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{\times}}(\pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}, \mathbb{C})
$$

and the last space is of one dimensional spanned by the canonical $B^{\times}$-invariant pairing between $\pi$ and its (smooth) dual space $\widetilde{\pi}$, we may define a normalized $R^{\prime}$-equivariant map $\theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta: \sigma \otimes r \rightarrow \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi} \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
S(\Phi, W)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

where $f_{1} \otimes f_{2}=\theta(\Phi \otimes W)$.
Now in the global situation where $B$ is a quaternion algebra defined over a number field, we define the normalized global theta lifting by

$$
\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)(h)=\frac{\zeta(2)}{2 L(1, \sigma, a d)} \int_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi\left(g_{1} g\right) \theta\left(g_{1} g, h, \Phi\right) d g_{1}, \quad(h, g) \in R^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})
$$

Proposition 2.1.1. With definition as above, we have a decomposition $\theta=\otimes \theta_{v}$ in

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})}(r \otimes \sigma, \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi})
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the identity after composing with the tautological pairing on $\pi \times \widetilde{\pi}$. More precisely, let $f_{1}, \otimes f_{2} \in \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}$ be an element in a cuspidal representatio, $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \sigma$ so that

$$
f_{1} \otimes f_{2}=\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)
$$

Assume everything is decomposable, we want to compute $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ in terms of local terms in

$$
\Phi=\otimes \Phi_{v} \in r=\otimes r_{v}, \quad \varphi=\otimes \varphi_{v} \in \sigma=\otimes \sigma_{v}
$$

Then what we need to prove is

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\prod_{v} S\left(\Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)
$$

This follows from a result of Waldspurger (see. [16, Prop. 3.1]). Note that we have different normalizations of $\theta$ and the map $S$ (which is essentialy the map $B_{v}^{\sharp}$ in [16]).

### 2.2 Trilinear form

In this subsection, we review a tri-linear form following Garrett, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis, Prasad and Loke, and Ichino.

Consider the symplectic form on the six-dimensional space $E^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{2} \otimes E^{2} \xrightarrow{\wedge} E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} F \\
(x, y) \otimes\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}_{E / F}\left(x y^{\prime}-y x^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

where the first map is by taking wedge product and the second one is the trace map from $E$ to $F$. Let $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$ be the group of similitudes relative to this symplectic form. In this way, we see that elements in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(E)$ with determinants in $F^{\times}$belong to $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$. So we define

$$
\mathbb{G}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(E) \mid \operatorname{det}(g) \in F^{\times}\right\} .
$$

and identify it with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$.
Let $I(s)=\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{GSp}_{6}} \lambda_{s}$ be the degenerate principle series of $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$. Here, $P$ is the Siegel parabolic subgroup:

$$
P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & * \\
0 & \nu^{t} a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GSp}_{6} \right\rvert\, a \in \mathrm{GL}_{F}(E), \nu \in F^{\times}\right\}
$$

and for $s \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_{s}$ is the character of $P$ defined by

$$
\lambda_{s}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & * \\
0 & \nu^{t} a^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right)=|\operatorname{det}(a)|_{F}^{2 s}|\nu|_{F}^{-3 s} .
$$

For an irreducible admissible representation $\sigma$ of $\mathbb{G}$, let $W_{\sigma}=W_{\sigma}^{\psi}$ be the $\psi$-Whittaker module of $\sigma$.

There is a $G\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{6} \times O\left(B_{F}\right)\right)$-intertwining map

$$
\begin{gather*}
i: \quad \mathscr{S}\left(B_{E}\right) \rightarrow I(0)  \tag{2.2.1}\\
\Phi \mapsto f_{\Phi}(\cdot, 0)
\end{gather*}
$$

where for $g \in \mathrm{GSp}_{6}$,

$$
f_{\Phi}(g, 0)=|\nu(g)|^{-3} r\left(d(\nu(g))^{-1} g\right) \Phi(0) .
$$

We extend it to a standard section $f_{\Phi, s}$ of $I(s)$ and called the Seigel-Weil section associated to $\Phi$.

Let $\Pi(B)$ be the image of the map (2.2.1). Similarly, for $B^{\prime}$, we can define $\Pi\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ for the unique quaternion algebra $B^{\prime}$ over $F$ not equivalent to $B$.

Lemma 2.2.1. For nonarchimedean $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)=\Pi(B) \oplus \Pi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See Harris-Kudla [15], section. 4, (4.4)-(4.7) and Kudla [20], II.1.

Now we treat the case when $F$ is archimedean.
If $F=\mathbb{C}$, then one has only one quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$. In this case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)=\Pi(B) \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is proved in Lemma A. 1 of Appendix of Harris-Kudla [15].
If $F=\mathbb{R}$, then one has two quaternion algebras, $B=M_{2 \times 2}$ and $B^{\prime}$ the Hamilton quaternion. The replacement of Lemma 2.2.1 is the following isomorphism Harris-Kudla ([15], (4.8))

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)=\Pi(B) \oplus \Pi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\Pi(4,0) \oplus \Pi(0,4)$ where the two spaces are associated to the two quadratic spaces obtained by changing signs of the reduced norm on the Hamilton quaternion.

## Local zeta integral of triple product

The local zeta integral of Garrett ([7]) and Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis ([32]) is a (family of) linear functional on $I(s) \times W_{\sigma}$ defined as

$$
Z(s, f, W)=\int_{F^{\times} N_{0} \backslash G} f_{s}(\eta g) W(g) d g, \quad(f, W) \in I(s) \times W_{\sigma}
$$

See the normalization of measure in "Notations". Here, $N_{0}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ defined as

$$
N=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, b \in E, \operatorname{tr}_{E / F}(b)=0\right\}
$$

and $\eta \in \mathrm{GSp}_{6}$ is a representative of the unique open orbit of $\mathbb{G}$ acting on $P \backslash \mathrm{GSp}_{6}$. The integral is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$. And the integral $Z(0, f, W)$ is absolutely convergent when the exponent $\Lambda(\sigma)<\frac{1}{2}$. This condition holds if $\sigma$ is a local component of a cuspidal automorphic representation by the work of Kim-Shahidi [26]. If $f$ is the image of a $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}(B)$, we also write $Z(s, f, W)$ as $Z(s, \Phi, W)$.

Proposition 2.2.2. For $\sigma$ with $\Lambda(\sigma)<\frac{1}{2}, Z(f, W):=Z(0, f, W)$ defines a non-vanishing linear functional on $I(0) \times W_{\sigma}$.

Proof. See [32, Prop. 3.3] and [18, pp. 227]. But we will reprove this later in the proof of Theorem 6.3.1.

## Local tri-linear forms

Let $\pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $B_{E}^{\times}$with trivial restriction on $F^{\times}$. Let $\sigma$ be the Langlands correspondence to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(E)$. Assume that $\Lambda(\sigma)<1 / 2$.

Proposition 2.2.3 (Ichino [16]). Under the normalization of $\theta$ as in 2.1.2, we have

$$
Z(\Phi, \varphi)=(-1)^{\epsilon(B)} \frac{L(1 / 2, \sigma)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} m(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi))
$$

Proof. This is Proposition 5.1 of Ichino [16]. Notice that our choice of the local Haar measure on $F^{\times} \backslash B^{\times}$differs from that of [16] by $\zeta_{F}(2)$.

Proposition 2.2.4. Assume that $\pi$ is unitary.

1. One has the following positivity $I(f, \bar{f}) \geq 0$ for $f \in \pi$.
2. Moreover, the following are equivalent:
(a) $m(\pi)=1$.
(b) $Z$ does not vanish on $\sigma \otimes \Pi(B)$.
(c) I does not vanish on $\pi$.

Proof. The first one follows essentially from a theorem of He [17]. We need to prove the second one. Obviously, $c) \Rightarrow a)$. The previous proposition implies that $b) \Leftrightarrow c$ ). We are left to prove $a) \Rightarrow b$ ). Let $B^{\prime}$ be the (unique) quaternion algebra non-isomorphic to $B$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence on $\left(B_{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$of $\sigma$. By the dichotomy, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\left(B^{\prime}\right)} \times\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right)=0$, and thus $Z=I=0$ identically for $B^{\prime}$. First we assume that $F$ is non-archimedean. Then by the direct sum decomposition $I(0)=\Pi(B) \oplus \Pi(B)$ and the non-vanishing of $Z$ on $I(0) \otimes \sigma$, we conclude that $Z$ does not vanish on $\Pi(B) \otimes \sigma$. If $F$ is archimedean, we only need to consider $F$ is real. The assertion is trivial if $B$ is the Hamilton quaternion since then $B^{\times} / F^{\times} \simeq \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is compact. We assume that $B=M_{2 \times 2, \mathbb{R}}$. Then one can use the same argument as above.

### 2.3 Integral representation of $L$-series

In this subsection, we review integral representation of triple product $L$-series of Garrett, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis, and various improvements of Harris-Kudla. Let $F$ be a number field with adeles $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}$ a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}, E$ a cubic semisimple algebra. We write $\mathbb{B}_{E}:=\mathbb{B} \otimes_{F} E$ the base changed quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}_{E}:=\mathbb{A} \otimes_{F} E$.

## Eisenstein series

For $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{E}\right)$, we define

$$
f_{\Phi}(g, s)=r(g) \Phi(0) \lambda_{s}(g)
$$

where the character $\lambda_{s}$ of $P$ defined as

$$
\lambda_{s}(d(\nu) n(b) m(a))=|\nu|^{-3 s}|\operatorname{det}(a)|^{2 s} .
$$

and it extends to a function on $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$ via Iwasawa decomposition $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}=P K$ such that $\lambda_{s}(g)$ is trivial on $K$. It satisfies

$$
f_{\Phi}(d(\nu) n(b) m(a) g, s)=|\nu|^{-3 s-3}|\operatorname{det}(a)|^{2 s+2} f_{\Phi}(g, s)
$$

It thus defines a section, called a Siegel-Weil section, of $I(s)=\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{\operatorname{GSp}_{6}}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)$. Then the Siegel-Eisenstein series is defined to be

$$
E(g, s, \Phi)=\sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash \operatorname{GSp}_{6}(F)} f_{\Phi}(\gamma g, s) .
$$

This is absolutely convergent when $\operatorname{Re}(s)>2$. It extends to a meromorphic function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and holomorphic at $s=0$ ([21, Thm. 2.2]).

For $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)$, we define its $T$-th Fourier coefficients to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=\int_{\left[\mathrm{Sym}_{3}\right]} E(n(b) g, s, \Phi) \psi(-T b) d b \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. "Notations" and we have shorten $\psi(T)$ for $\psi(\operatorname{tr}(T))$ without confusion.)
Suppose $\Phi=\otimes_{v} \Phi_{v}$ is decomposable. When $T$ is non-singular, we have a decomposition into a product of local Whittaker functions

$$
E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=\prod_{v} W_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, s, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

where the local Whittaker function is given by

$$
W_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{\Phi}(w n(b) g, s) \psi(-T b) d b
$$

where

$$
w=\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& 1_{3} \\
-1_{3} &
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By [21, Prop. 1.4], for non-singular $T$, the Whittaker function $W_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, s, \Phi_{v}\right)$ has an entire analytic extension to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, under the following "unramified" conditions:

- $v$ is non-archimedean, $T$ is integral with $\operatorname{det}(T) \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}^{\times}$,
- The maximal ideal of $F_{v}$ on which $\psi_{v}$ is trivial is $\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}$,
- $V_{v}$ has a self-dual lattice $\Lambda$ and $\Phi_{v}$ is the characteristic function of $\Lambda_{v}$,
- $g_{v} \in K_{v}$ the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\operatorname{GSp}\left(F_{v}\right)$,
we have [21, Prop. 4.1]:

$$
W_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, s, \Phi_{v}\right)=\zeta_{F_{v}}(s+2)^{-1} \zeta_{F_{v}}(2 s+2)^{-1}
$$

## Rankin triple L-function

Let $\sigma$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$. Let $\pi$ be the associated to Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$. Let $\omega_{\sigma}$ be the central character of $\sigma$. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega_{\sigma}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}}=1 \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a finite set of places of $F$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(\sigma)=\left\{v \left\lvert\, \epsilon\left(\sigma_{v}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=-1\right.\right\} \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the zeta integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(s, \phi, \varphi)=\int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E(g, s, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g \tag{2.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbb{G}]=\mathbb{A}^{\times} \mathbb{G}(F) \backslash \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})$.
Theorem 2.3.1 (Piatetski-Shapiro-Rallis [32]). Assume that $\Phi=\otimes \Phi_{v}$ is decomposable. For a cusp form $\varphi \in \sigma$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ we have an Euler product

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(s, \Phi, \varphi)=\prod_{v} Z\left(s, \Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)=\frac{L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{\zeta_{F}(2 s+2) \zeta_{F}(4 s+2)} \prod_{v} \alpha\left(s, \Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right) \tag{2.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha\left(s, \Phi_{v}, W_{\varphi_{v}}\right)=\frac{\zeta_{F_{v}}(2 s+2) \zeta_{F_{v}}(4 s+2)}{L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{v}\right)} Z\left(s, \Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)
$$

which equals one for almost all $v$.
For $\sigma_{v}$ a local component of an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation, by KimShahidi's work we have $\lambda\left(\sigma_{v}\right)<1 / 2$. Hence the local zeta integral is absolutely convergent for all $v$ at $s=0$. At $s=0$, the local zeta integral has already appeared earlier in this paper:

$$
Z\left(\Phi_{v}, W_{\varphi_{v}}\right)=\int_{F^{\times} N_{0} \backslash \mathbb{G}} f_{\Phi_{v}, s}(\eta g) W_{\varphi_{v}}(g) d g
$$

This constant is non-zero only if $\epsilon\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}\right)=\epsilon\left(\sigma_{v}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. By 2.2 .3 , the normalization local constant becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(0, \Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(B)} \zeta_{F}(2) m\left(\theta\left(\Phi_{v} \otimes \varphi_{v}\right)\right. \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the global $Z(\Phi, \varphi, 1 / 2) \neq 0$ only if $\Sigma(\mathbb{B})=\Sigma(\sigma)$ and both of them have even cardinality. In this case we have an identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E(g, 0, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g=\frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \prod_{v} m\left(\theta\left(\Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)\right) \tag{2.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Sigma=\Sigma(\sigma)$ is odd, $L(1 / 2, \sigma)=0$. We have the following representation for the derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g=-\frac{L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \prod_{v} m\left(\theta\left(\Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)\right) \tag{2.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Ichino's formula

In this subsection, we review a special value formula of Ichino. We assume that $\Sigma$ is even. Let $B$ be a quaternion algebra with ramification set $\Sigma$. We write $V$ for the orthogonal space $(B, q)$.

## Siegel-Weil for similitudes

The theta kernel is defined to be, for $(g, h) \in R(\mathbb{A})$,

$$
\theta(g, h, \Phi)=\sum_{x \in B_{E}} r(g, h) \Phi(x)
$$

It is $R(F)$-invariant. The theta integral is the theta lifting of the trivial automorphic form, for $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_{6}^{+}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$
I(g, \Phi)=\int_{\left[O\left(B_{E}\right)\right]} \theta\left(g, h_{1} h, \Phi\right) d h
$$

where $h_{1}$ is any element in $\operatorname{GO}\left(B_{E}\right)$ such that $\nu\left(h_{1}\right)=\nu(g)$. It dees not depend on the choice of $h_{1}$. When $B=M_{2 \times 2}$ the integral needs to be regularized. The measure is normalized such that the volume of $\left[O\left(B_{E}\right)\right]$ is one.
$I(g, \Phi)$ is left invariant under $\operatorname{GSp}_{6}^{+}(\mathbb{A}) \cap \operatorname{GSp}_{6}(F)$ and trivial under the center $Z_{\mathrm{GSp}_{6}}(\mathbb{A})$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_{6}$.

The following Siegel-Weil formula can be found [15, Thm. 4.2].
Theorem 2.4.1 (Siegel-Weil). $E(g, s, \Phi)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(g, 0, \Phi)=2 I(g, \Phi) \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To eliminate the dependence of the choice of measure on $O(V)(\mathbb{A})$, we rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(g, 0, \Phi)=2(\operatorname{vol}([O(V)]))^{-1} I(g, \Phi) \tag{2.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we deduce a formula for the $T$-th Fourier coefficient of the Siegel-Eisenstein series. Corollary 2.4.2. Assume that $V$ is anisotropic and $\operatorname{det}(T) \neq 0$. Then for $g \in \operatorname{GSp}^{+}(\mathbb{A})$ we have

$$
E_{T}(g, 0, \Phi)=2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\left[O(V)_{x_{0}}\right]\right) \int_{O(V)(\mathbb{A}) / O(V)_{x_{0}}(\mathbb{A})} r(g, h) \Phi\left(h_{1}^{-1} x_{0}\right) d h_{1}
$$

where $h \in \mathrm{GO}\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ has the same similitude as $g, x_{0} \in V(F)$ is a base point with $Q\left(x_{0}\right)=T$, and $O(V)_{x_{0}} \simeq O(1)$ is the stabilizer of $x_{0}$.
Proof. $g_{1}=d(\nu(g))^{-1} g$, We obtain by Siegel-Weil for similitudes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{T}(g, 0, \Phi) & =2 \int_{\left[\mathrm{Sym}_{3}\right]} \psi(-T b) I(n(b) g, \Phi) d b \\
& =2 \int_{\left[\mathrm{Sym}_{3}\right]} \psi(-T b) \int_{[O(V)]} \sum_{x \in V(F)}|\nu(g)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-3} r\left(d\left(\nu(g)^{-1}\right) n(b) g\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} x\right) d h_{1} d b
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $d\left(\nu(g)^{-1}\right) n(b) g=n(\nu(g) b) d\left(\nu(g)^{-1}\right) g$. We thus have
$r\left(d\left(\nu(g)^{-1}\right) n(b) g\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} x\right)=\psi\left(\nu(g) b Q\left(h^{-1} x\right)\right) r\left(g_{1}\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} x\right)=\psi(b Q(x)) r\left(g_{1}\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} x\right)$.
Since $[O(V)]$ is compact, we may interchange the order of integration. Then the integral is zero unless $T=Q(x)$. Since $T$ is non-singular, by Witt theorem, the set of $x \in V(F)$ with $Q(x)=T$ is either empty or a single $O(V)(F)$-orbit. Fix a base point $x_{0}$. Then the stabilizer $O(V)_{x_{0}}$ of $x_{0}$ is isomorphic to $O(W)$ for the orthogonal complement $W$ of the space spanned by the components of $x_{0}$. We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{T}(g, 0, \Phi) & =2 \int_{[O(V)]} \sum_{\gamma \in O(V)(F) / O(V)_{x_{0}}(F)} r\left(g_{1}\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} \gamma^{-1} x_{0}\right) d h_{1} \\
& =2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\left[O(V)_{x_{0}}\right]\right) \int_{O(V)(\mathbb{A}) / O(V)_{x_{0}}(\mathbb{A})} r\left(g_{1}\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} h_{1} x_{0}\right) d h_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
We now define for non-singular $T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}(g, \Phi)=2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\left[O(V)_{x_{0}}\right]\right) \int_{O(V)(\mathbb{A}) / O(V)_{x_{0}}(\mathbb{A})} r(g, h) \Phi\left(h_{1}^{-1} x_{0}\right) d h_{1} . \tag{2.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or equivalently, let $\Omega_{T}$ be the set of elements $x$ with $Q(x)=T$ and we may endow $\Omega_{T}(\mathbb{A})$ an $O(V)$-invariant measure denoted by $\mu_{T}(x)$ by identifying with $O(V)(\mathbb{A}) / O(V)_{x_{0}}(\mathbb{A}) \cdot x_{0}$. So we have

$$
I_{T}(g, \Phi)=2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\left[O(V)_{x_{0}}\right]\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}(\mathbb{A})} r(g, h) \Phi(x) d \mu_{T}(x)
$$

We also do so locally to define an $O(V)$-invariant measure to define

$$
I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{T}\left(F_{v}\right)} r\left(g_{v}, h_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}(x) d \mu_{T, v}(x)
$$

and we then have

$$
I_{T}(g, \Phi)=2 \operatorname{vol}\left(\left[O(V)_{\left.x_{0}\right]}\right]\right) \prod_{v} I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

when $\Phi=\otimes_{v} \Phi_{v}$ is decomposable.
We may identify it with $\mu_{2}$ as an algebraic group. and therefore $O(V)=S O(V) \rtimes \mu_{2}$ (cf. Notations) where $\mu_{2} \subset O(V)$ is generated by the canonical involution on the quaternion algebra. When $T$ is non-singular, it is easy to see that $S O(V)$ is surjective onto $O(V) / O(V)_{x_{0}}$. We then may choose a measure on $O(V)(\mathbb{A})$ such that it is the product measure of the Tamagawa measure on $S O(V)(\mathbb{A})$ and the measure on $\mu_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(\mu_{2}(\mathbb{A})\right)=1
$$

Since the Tamagawa number of $S O(V)$ is 2 , we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}([O(V)])=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{vol}(S O(V)(F) \backslash O(V)(\mathbb{A}))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{vol}([S O(V)]) \operatorname{vol}\left(\mu_{2}(\mathbb{A})\right)=1
$$

Now we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(\mu_{2}(F) \backslash \mu_{2}(\mathbb{A})\right)=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mu_{2}(\mathbb{A})\right)}{\left|\mu_{2}(F)\right|}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

So we may rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}(g, \Phi)=\prod_{v} I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}\right) \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the local factor is a certain orbital integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}\right)=\int_{S O(V)\left(F_{v}\right)} \Phi_{v}\left(h x_{0}\right) d h \tag{2.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the Siegel-Weil formula implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}(g, 0, \Phi)=I_{T}(g, \Phi) \tag{2.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later use we also need what we may call a local Siegel-Weil.
Proposition 2.4.3. Suppose that $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)$ is non-singular. Then there is a non-zero constant $\kappa$ such that for all $g_{v} \in \operatorname{GSp}_{6}\left(F_{v}\right), \Phi_{v} \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{v}^{3}\right)$

$$
W_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)=\kappa \cdot I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

In particular, the functional $\Phi_{v} \mapsto W_{T, v}\left(1,0, \Phi_{v}\right)$ is non-zero if and only if $T$ is represented by $V_{v}$.
Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for $g_{v}=1$. Consider the space of linear functionals $\ell$ on $\mathscr{S}\left(V_{v}^{3}\right)$ that satisfy

$$
\ell\left(r(n(b)) \Phi_{v}\right)=\psi(T b) \ell\left(\Phi_{v}\right)
$$

Then by [21, Prop. 1.2], this space is spanned by $\Phi_{v} \mapsto I_{T, v}\left(1, \Phi_{v}\right)$ (whose definition depends on the normalization of the measure $\left.d \mu_{T, v}\right)$. Since $\Phi_{v} \mapsto W_{T, v}\left(1,0, \Phi_{v}\right)$ also satisfies this relation, it defines a multiple of the linear functional $I_{T, v}(1, \cdot)$ above. The multiple can be chosen to be non-zero by [21, Prop. 1.4 (ii)].

## Special value formula

Theorem 2.4.4 (Ichino [16]). Let $d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}$ be the Tamagawa measure on $B_{F}^{\times} \backslash B_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$. For $f=\otimes_{v} f_{v} \in \pi, \widetilde{f}=\otimes_{v} \widetilde{f}_{v} \in \widetilde{\pi}$, we have

$$
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=\frac{1}{2^{c}} \frac{\zeta_{E}(2)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{L(1, \sigma, a d)} m(f, \widetilde{f})
$$

Here the constant $c$ is 3,2 , and 1 respectively if $E=F \oplus F \oplus F, E=F \oplus K$ for a quadratic $K$, and a cubic field extension $E$ of $F$ respectively.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f \otimes \widetilde{f}=\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)$ is the normalized theta lifting. Then we have

$$
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=\int_{\left[B^{\times}\right] \times\left[B^{\times}\right]} \theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)(x, y) d x d y
$$

We recall some results of Harris-Kudla. When the measures are normalized such that the volume of $\left[B^{\times}\right],\left[G O\left(B_{E}\right)\right]$ and $[\mathbb{G}]$ are equal to one, Harris-Kulda [15] proved that the seesaw identity, the uniqueness of Prasad and Loke together give

$$
\int_{\left[B^{\times}\right] \times\left[B^{\times}\right]} \theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)(x, y) d x d y=C \int_{[\mathbb{G}]} I(g, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g
$$

where the constant

$$
C=\frac{1}{2^{c}} \frac{\zeta_{E}(2)}{L(1, \sigma, A d)}
$$

is used in the normalization of the theta lifting. Together with Sigel-Weil formula, we have

$$
\int_{\left[B^{\times}\right] \times\left[B^{\times}\right]} \theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)(x, y) d x d y=\frac{1}{2} C \int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E(g, 0, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g .
$$

To allow us to change measures, we may rewrite the formula as

$$
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=\operatorname{vol}\left(\left[B^{\times}\right]\right)^{2}(\operatorname{vol}([\mathbb{G}]))^{-1} \frac{1}{2} C \int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E(g, 0, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g .
$$

Now with our choice of Tamagawa measures, we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(\left[B^{\times}\right]\right)=\operatorname{vol}([\mathbb{G}])=2$ and hence

$$
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=C \int_{[\mathbb{G}]} E(g, 0, \Phi) \varphi(g) d g
$$

By (2.3.6), this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=I(\theta(\Phi, \varphi))=C \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \prod_{v} m\left(\theta_{v}\left(\Phi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right)\right) \tag{2.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\theta=\bigotimes_{v} \theta_{v}$, plugging in the value of $C$ we obtain

$$
P_{\pi}(f) P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})=\frac{1}{2^{c}} \frac{\zeta_{E}(2)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right)}{L(1, \pi, a d)} \prod_{v} m\left(f_{v}, \widetilde{f}_{v}\right) .
$$

We have the following consequence on the special values of triple product $L$-series:
Theorem 2.4.5. Let $F$ be an number field and $E / F$ be a cubic semisimple algebra. For any cuspidal automorphic representation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\left.\omega\right|_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}}=1$, we have

1. (Positivity)

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right) \geq 0
$$

2. (Jacquet's conjecture) $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists (uniquely determined) quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$ such that the period

$$
\int_{\left[B^{\times}\right]} f(b) d b \neq 0
$$

for some $f \in \Pi_{B, E}$, the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of $\sigma$.
Proof. These trivially follow from local results above and the global period formula

$$
\frac{\left|\int_{\left[B^{\times}\right]} f(b) d b\right|^{2}}{(f, f)_{P e t}}=C \cdot L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right) \prod_{v} \alpha_{v}\left(f_{v}, f_{v}\right)
$$

where $C>0$ is an explicit real number and $\alpha$ is proportional to $I_{v}$ by a positive multiple such that $\alpha_{v}=1$ for almost all $v$.

Remark 2.4.1. The non-vanishing and positivity of the matrix coefficient integral is conjectured to be true for all pair $(S O(n), S O(n+1))$ in the refinement of Gross-Prasad conjecture by Ichino-Ikeda. One consequence of the non-vanishing and positivity (together with the global period formula) is the positivity of the central value of L-function.

### 2.5 Derivatives of Eisenstein series

Fix an incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ over $\mathbb{A}$ with ramification set $\Sigma$. We assume that $\mathbb{B}$ has totally positive component $\mathbb{B}_{v}$ at archimedean places. We consider the Eisenstein series $E(g, s, \Phi)$ for $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)$. We always take $\Phi_{\infty}$ to be standard Gaussian. In this case this Eisenstein series vanishes at $s=0$ as observed by Kudla [21, Thm. 2.2(ii)]. The vanishing of a non-singular $T$-th Fourier coefficient is easier to see as we now discuss.

For $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}$, let $\Sigma(T)$ be the set of places over which $T$ is anisotropic. Then $\Sigma(T)$ has even cardinality. By Prop. 2.4.3, the vanishing order of the $T$-th Fourier coefficient $E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ at $s=$ is at least

$$
|\Sigma \cup \Sigma(T)|-|\Sigma \cap \Sigma(T)| .
$$

Also cf. [21, Coro. 5.3]. Since $|\Sigma|$ is odd, $E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ always vanishes at $s=0$. And its derivative is non-vanishing only if $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma(T)$ is nearby: they differ by precisely one place $v$. Thus we define

$$
\Sigma(v)= \begin{cases}\Sigma \backslash\{v\} & \text { if } v \in \Sigma \\ \Sigma \cup\{v\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

When $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$, the derivative is given by

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\prod_{w \neq v} W_{T, w}\left(g_{w}, 0, \Phi_{w}\right) \cdot W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

We thus obtain a decomposition of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ according to the difference of $\Sigma(T)$ and $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)+E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{2.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{T, \operatorname{det}(T)=0} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) .
$$

## Weak Intertwining property

In the case where $\Sigma$ is odd, the formulation $\Phi \mapsto E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ is not equivariant under the action of $S p_{6}(\mathbb{A})$. The following gives a weak intertwining property:

Proposition 2.5.1. Let $\mathscr{A}(G)_{0}$ be the image of $\Pi\left(B_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ under the map $f \mapsto E(g, 0, f)$ for all quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$. Then for any $h \in G(\mathbb{A}), f \in I(0)$, the function

$$
g \mapsto E^{\prime}(g h, 0, f)-E^{\prime}(g, 0, r(h) f) \in \mathscr{A}_{0} .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha(s, h)(g)=\alpha(s, g, h)=\frac{1}{s}\left(\left|\frac{\delta(g h)}{\delta(g)}\right|^{s}-1\right), s \neq 0$. Then it obviously extends to an entire function of $s$ and it is left $P_{\mathbb{A}}$-invariant. Now for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
E(g h, s, f)-E(g, s, r(h) f)=s E(g, s, \alpha(s, h) r(h) f)
$$

Now note that the section $g \rightarrow \alpha(s, h) r(h) f(g) \delta(g)^{s}$ is a holomorphic section of $I(s)$. Hence the Eisenstein series $E(g, s, \alpha(s, h) r(h) f)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ since any holomorphic section of $I(s)$ is a finite linear combination of standard section with holomorphic coefficients. This implies the desired assertion.

Similarly one can prove the $(\mathscr{G}, K)$-intertwining if $v_{1}$ is archimedean. We skip this and refer to [23].

## 3 Gross-Schoen cycles and generating series

In this section we construct the geometric kernel function for $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)$ where $\mathbb{B}$ is an incoherent totally definite quaternion algebra over a totally real field $F$. We first give a decomposition 3.1.1 for codimension 2 cycles on the triple curves and their Bloch-Beilinson height pairing following the Gross-Schoen [13]. Then we define the direct and inverse limits of these cycles on the product of Shimura curves as representation of Hecke operators. This allows us to reformulate our main conjecture 1.2.3 in terms of Hecke operators acts on modified diagonal $P$ of Gross and Schoen, see Conjecture 3.2.1. Then we review the generating series of Hecke operators and its modularity on product of Shimura curves associate to $\mathbb{B}$, see Proposition 3.3.1 following our previous paper [35]. The main conjeture can then be further reformulated as a kernel identity betweenthe derivative of Eisenstein series and geometric kernel associate $\Phi$, see Conjecture 3.5.1. Finally, we introduce arithematic Hodge classes and arithemtic Hecke operators which gives a decomposition of the geometric kernel function to a sum of local heights and singular parirings.

### 3.1 Cycles on triple product of curves

## Decomposition of cycles

Let $C_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ be three smooth, projective, and connective curves over a number field $k$. Let $V=C_{1} \times C_{2} \times C_{3}$. We want to study the group of codimension 2 cycles $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)$. First of all, let us define a filtration as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V) \supset \mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V) \supset \mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V) \supset \mathrm{Ch}^{2,3}(V) .
$$

For $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$, we consider the class map

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V) \longrightarrow H^{4}\left(\bar{V}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

where the right hand side denotes the $\ell$-adic cohomology of $V:=V_{\bar{k}}$. Let $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$ and $N^{2}(V)$ denote the kernel and image respectively then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V) \longrightarrow N^{2}(V) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The space $N^{2}(V)$ has dimension predict by Tate's conjecture.
For $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)$, we consider the Kunneth decomposition of

$$
H^{4}\left(\bar{V}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)=\oplus_{i+j+k=4} H^{i}\left(\bar{C}_{1}\right) \otimes H^{j}\left(\bar{C}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{k}\left(\bar{C}_{k}\right)
$$

It is immediate that a class in $N^{2}(V)$ is zero if and only if it has zero projection to all $H^{2}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)$. Thus we define a subgroup $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)$ consisting of elements in $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)$ with 0 projection to all $\mathrm{Ch}^{1}\left(V_{i} \times V_{j}\right)$. The quotient $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V) / \mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of homologically trivial cycles on $C_{i} \times C_{j}$ :

$$
\bigoplus_{i<j} \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)^{0}=\otimes_{i} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)^{\oplus 2}
$$

where we have used the identity

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)^{0} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{j}\right)
$$

These groups are finitely generated and taking cared by the BSD conjecture on curves. See Zhang [39], Lemma 5.1.2.

The last subspace $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,3}(V)$ is defined to be generated by elements of the form

$$
\pi_{i}^{*} \alpha_{i} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \alpha_{j}, \quad i<j, \quad \alpha_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)
$$

By a conjecture of Beilinson-Bloch, this subgroup is finite.
In the following we would like to give a spliting for the above filtration by choosing classes $e_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{1}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficient and degree 1 :

$$
e_{i}=\sum a_{i j} p_{j}, \quad \sum_{j} a_{i j} \operatorname{deg} p_{j}=1 .
$$

For each $i<j$, let $\operatorname{Pic}^{-}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)$ denote the class $\alpha$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$
\pi_{i *}\left(\alpha \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} e_{j}\right)=0, \quad \pi_{j *}\left(\alpha \cdot \pi_{i}^{*} e_{i}\right)=0
$$

By Zhang [39], Lemma 2.2.1, we have a decomposition given by canonical maps:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} . \\
\mathrm{NS}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \pi_{i}^{*} e_{i} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \pi_{j}^{*} e_{j} \oplus \operatorname{Pic}^{-}\left(C_{i} \otimes C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Kunneth formula, the class $N^{2}(V)$ is generated by $\pi_{i}^{*} e_{i} \otimes \operatorname{NS}\left(C_{j} \times C_{k}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it follows the isomorphism given by canonical maps:

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\bigoplus_{i<j}\left(\mathbb{Q} \pi_{i}^{*} e_{i} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} e_{j} \oplus \mathbb{Q P i c}^{-}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right) \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} e_{k}\right)
$$

Similarly, by the proof in Zhang [39], Lemma 5.1.2,

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\bigoplus_{i \neq j} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} e_{j}
$$

Finally, we define $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)^{00}$ to be subgroup of $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)$ consists of elements $\alpha$ such that

$$
\pi_{i j *}\left(\alpha \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} e_{k}\right)=0, \quad i<j
$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)$. Then the canonical map gives an isomorphism:

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2,2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathrm{Ch}^{2,3}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)^{00}
$$

In summary, we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}= & \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{00} \oplus \bigoplus_{i j} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right) \mathbb{Q}_{j} \oplus  \tag{3.1.1}\\
& \bigoplus_{i<j}\left(\pi^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right) \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{j}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Q} e_{i} e_{j} \oplus \operatorname{Pic}^{-}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} e_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For each $i$, let $T_{i}$ be the subspace of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}^{1}\left(C_{i} \times C_{i}\right)$ consisting of elements $\alpha$ such that both $\alpha_{*}$ and $\alpha^{*}$ fixes the line $\mathbb{Q} e_{i}$. Then

$$
T_{i}=\mathbb{Q}\left(e_{i} \times C_{i}\right)+\mathbb{Q}\left(C_{i} \times e_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Pic}^{-}\left(C_{i} \times C_{i}\right) .
$$

Under the composition, this algebra is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \operatorname{End}\left(J_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{i}$ is the Jacobian of $C_{i}$. The actions of $T_{i}$ 's on $\mathrm{Ch}^{*}\left(C_{i}\right)$ by pulling back preserve the decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{*}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{Q} e_{i} \oplus \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} .
$$

Consequently $T_{i}$ 's act on $\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by pullbacks and fix the decomposition 3.1.1. The subspace $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)^{00}$ is the subspace where all $C_{i} \times e_{i}$ and $e_{i} \times C_{i}$ acts as 0 .

For a codimension 2 cycle $Z$ on $V$ with projection $Z_{i j}$ on $C_{i} \times C_{j}$ and $m_{k} C_{k}$ on $C_{k}$, its decomposition can be performed as follows. First of all, its projection onto $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)^{2,2}$ is given by

$$
Z^{2,2}=Z-\sum_{i<j} Z_{i j} e_{k}+\sum_{k} m_{k} e_{i} e_{j} .
$$

It has projection

$$
Z^{2,3}:=\sum_{i, j} \pi_{i j}^{*} \pi_{i j *}\left(Z \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} e_{k}\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,3}(V)$ and

$$
Z^{00}=Z^{2,2}-Z^{2,3}(V)
$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(V)^{00}$.
The cycle $Z_{i j}$ has projection $Z_{i j}^{-}$on $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\left(C_{i} \times C_{j}\right)$ given by

$$
Z_{i j}^{-}=Z_{i, j}-C_{i} \times Z_{i, j}\left(e_{i}\right)-Z_{i j}^{*}\left(e_{j}\right) \times C_{j} .
$$

The cycle $Z_{i j}\left(e_{i}\right)$ has projection on $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C_{j}\right)$ given by

$$
Z_{i j}\left(e_{i}\right)-\operatorname{deg} Z_{i j}\left(e_{i}\right) e_{j}
$$

## Height pairing

In the following we want to define a height pairing on homologically trivial cycles $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$ following Bloch [4], Beilinson [2, 3], Gillet-Soulé [9], and Gross and Schoen [13].

Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a regular and integral model of $V$ over a the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{k^{\prime}}$ for some finite extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$. For example, we may choose $k^{\prime}$ such that $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ has a regular and semistable model $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{k^{\prime}}$. Then we may blow-up

$$
\mathscr{V}^{\prime}:=\mathscr{C}_{1} \times 夭_{\mathscr{O}_{k^{\prime}}} \mathscr{C}_{2} \times \times_{\mathscr{O}_{k^{\prime}}} \mathscr{C}_{3}
$$

successively at irreducible components over singular fiber with any given order (cf. [13]). Then for each cycle $Z \in \mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$ we are going to construct an arithmetic cycle $\widehat{Z}=\left(\widetilde{Z}, g_{Z}\right)$ where

- $\widetilde{Z}$ is an extension of $\Delta_{e}$ over $\mathscr{V}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{k}$;
- $g_{Z}$ is a Green's current on the complex manifold $V(\mathbb{C})$ of the complex variety $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ for the cycle $Z: g_{Z}$ is a current on $V(\mathbb{C})$ of degree $(1,1)$ with singularity supported on $Z(\mathbb{C})$ such that the curvature equation holds:

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} g_{Z}=\delta_{Z(\mathbb{C})}
$$

Here the right hand side denotes the Dirac distribution attached to the cycle $Z(\mathbb{C})$ when integrating with forms of degree $(2,2)$ on $V(\mathbb{C})$.
The height pairing of two cycle $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ in $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$ is defined to

$$
\left\langle Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]} \widehat{Z}_{1} \cdot \widehat{Z}_{2} .
$$

It is clear that this definition does not depend on the choice of $\widehat{Z}$ 's.
Before construction of cycles $\widehat{Z}$, we want to also show that this definition does not depend on the choice of $k^{\prime}$ and model $\mathscr{V}$ using de Jong's alteration. In fact for any regular, projective, flat scheme, $\mathscr{X}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ (not necessarily geometrically connected), let $\widehat{\operatorname{Ch}}(\mathscr{X})^{0}$ denote the quotient of groups of cycles $\widehat{Z}$ which are numerically trivial over all fibers modulo the subgroup of cycles which vanishes on the generic fiber. Then we want show that this group depends only on the generic fiber $X$ of $\mathscr{X}$. More generally, if $X$ and $Y$ are two projective varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ with regular models $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$, and a generically finite morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$, then we can define maps extending the corresponding maps over generic fiber:

$$
f_{*}: \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}(\mathscr{X})^{0} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}(\mathscr{Y})^{0}, \quad f^{*}: \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}(\mathscr{Y})^{0} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}(\mathscr{Y})^{0}
$$

such that $f_{*} \circ f^{*}=\operatorname{deg} f$.
To define these maps, first we notice that the Zariski closure $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}$ of the graph of $f$ in $X \times Y$ is another model of $X$ dominating both $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$. By de Jong's alteration, there is a regular scheme $\mathscr{X}^{\prime \prime}$ dominating $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}$ : this we have generically finite morphisms:

$$
\alpha: \mathscr{X}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}, \quad \beta: \mathscr{X}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{Y} .
$$

Now we define

$$
f_{*}:=\frac{1}{\left[\mathscr{X}^{\prime \prime}: \mathscr{X}\right]} \beta_{*} \circ \alpha^{*}, \quad f^{*}=\frac{1}{\left[\mathscr{X}^{\prime \prime}: \mathscr{X}\right]} \alpha_{*} \circ \beta^{*} .
$$

Now we go back to construction of $\widehat{Z}$. From the decomposition above, we see that $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(V)$ is generated by cycles of the forms in two types:

- $\pi_{i j}^{*} D_{i j} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} D_{k}$ with $D_{i j}$ a divisor on $C_{i} \times C_{j}$ and $D_{k}$ a divisor on $C_{k}$ of degree 0 ;
- $C^{2,1}$ where $C$ is a curve on $V$ which has finite degree under each projection $\pi_{i}: V \longrightarrow$ $C_{i}$.

If $Z$ is of first type, then over a semistable model $\mathscr{C}_{i}, D_{i}$ can be extend to an arithmetic model $\widehat{D}_{i}$ which has degree 0 on each component in the special fiber and curvature 0 at all archimedean places. Let $\widehat{\pi_{i j}^{*} D_{i j}}$ be any integral model of $\pi_{i j}^{*} D_{i j}$ on $\mathscr{Y}$. Then we may define

$$
\widehat{Z}=\widehat{\pi_{i j}^{*} D_{i j}} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \widehat{D}_{i} .
$$

If $Z=C^{2,1}$ is of second type, we consider the morphism

$$
p: \quad C \times C \times C \longrightarrow V .
$$

Let $e$ be base point $e$ on $C$ which exits if $k$ is replaced by a finite extension, and let $\Delta_{e}$ be the modified diagonal cycle defined in Gross-Schoen [13]. In our terminology, $\Delta_{e}=\Delta^{2,1}$ for the diagonal $\Delta$ on $C \times C \times C$ and base $(e, e, e)$. Then $Z-p_{*} \Delta_{e}$ is of first type. Thus to construct $\widehat{Z}$ it suffices to construct $\widehat{\Delta}_{e}$ on a model $\mathscr{Y}$ of $C \times C \times C$ which has been done by Gross-Schoen [13].

In the following, we want to recall a triple product for Gross-Schoen cycles $\Delta_{e}$. Let $t_{i} \in T(=1,2,3)$ be three classes fixing $\mathbb{Q} e$ defined as in 3.1.2, and let $t_{i}^{-}$be its projection on $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}(C \times C)$. Then by Lemma 2.2 .3 in [39], each $t_{i}^{-}$can be extended into a unique so called admissible arithmetic class $\widehat{t_{i}^{-}}$such that following holds:

1. $\widehat{t}_{i}^{-}$has zero intersection with components in the fibers over closed points for the two projects $C \times C \longrightarrow C$;
2. $\widehat{t_{i}^{-}}$is trivial on $\{e\} \times C$ and on $C \times\{e\}$.

By formula (2.3.5) in [39], we have the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta_{e},\left(t_{1} \times t_{2} \times t_{3}\right)^{*} \Delta_{e}\right\rangle=\widehat{t_{1}^{-}} \cdot \widehat{t_{2}^{-}} \cdot \widehat{t_{3}^{-}} \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side is the arithmetic intersection numbers on $C \times C$.

### 3.2 Cycles on product of Shimura curves

In the following, we want to prove the decomposition formula given in the introduction.

## First decomposition

Recall that Shimura curve $Y$ is a projective limit of the curves $Y_{U}$ which is a disjoint union of curves $Y_{U, \alpha}$ parameterized by $\alpha \in \pi_{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)$. Let $\xi_{U, \alpha}$ denote the Hodge class on $Y_{U, \alpha}$ of degree 1. Let $\operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)$ denote the subspace of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by $\xi_{U, i}$ 's. Then we have a decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} .
$$

Let us define $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)^{00}$ to be the direct sum of the corresponding group for the product of components of $X_{U}$. Then the decomposition 3.1.1 implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}= & \operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{00} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right) \oplus  \tag{3.2.1}\\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right) \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right) \oplus \\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right) \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right) \oplus \\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{-}\left(Y_{U} \times Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking direct limit gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}= & \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{00} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Ch}^{0}(Y) \oplus  \tag{3.2.2}\\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y) \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y) \cdot \operatorname{Ch}^{0}(Y) \oplus \\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y) \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Ch}^{0}(Y) \oplus \\
& \bigoplus_{i<j} \pi_{i j}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{-}(Y \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \pi_{k}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

## Hecke algebra

It remains to decompose $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(X)$. First we use Hecke operators to reduced to finite level.
Recall that the Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{E}:=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}\right)$ consists of smooth and compactlysupported functions $\Phi: \mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Its multiplication is given by the convolution

$$
\left(\Phi_{1} * \Phi_{2}\right)(h):=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}} \Phi_{1}\left(h^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{2}\left(h^{\prime-1} h\right) d h^{\prime} .
$$

For any smooth representation $(V, \rho)$ of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$, there is a representation

$$
\mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)
$$

given by

$$
\rho(f) v=\int_{\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}} f(g) \rho(g) v d g .
$$

Fix an open compact subgroup $U_{E}$ of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$. Denote

$$
\mathscr{H}_{U_{E}}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(U \backslash \mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E} U_{E}\right):=\left\{\Phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}\right): \Phi\left(U_{E} h U_{E}\right)=\Phi(h), \forall h \in \mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}\right\}
$$

It is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ whose multiplicative unit is the characteristic function $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{E}\right)^{-1} 1_{U}$. For any smooth representation $V$ of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$, the action of $\mathscr{H}_{U_{E}}$ stabilizes $V^{U_{E}}$, the subspace of vectors in $V$ fixed by $U_{E}$. The study of decomposition of $V$ under $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$ is equivalent to the study of the representation of $V^{U_{E}}$ under $\mathscr{H}_{E, U_{E}}$. In particular, the correspondence $\sigma \longrightarrow \sigma^{U_{E}}$ is bijection between the set of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$ with nontrivial $U_{E}$-invariants and the set of nonzero irreducible representations of $\mathscr{H}_{E, U_{E}}$.

We may define the similar algebras $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{U}$ of functions on $\mathbb{B}^{\times} / D$. Then we have

$$
\mathscr{H}_{E}=\mathscr{H}^{\otimes 3}, \quad \mathscr{H}_{E, U_{E}}=\mathscr{H}_{U}^{\otimes 3}
$$

for $U_{E}=U^{3}$.
For each $\Phi \in \mathscr{H}_{E}$, lets us define

$$
\mathrm{T}(\Phi):=\int_{\mathbb{B} \times / D} \Phi(x) \mathrm{T}(x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}\right)
$$

For $U_{E}$ a compact and open subgroup of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times} / D_{E}$, then $\operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ has actions by $\mathscr{H}_{U_{E}}=$ $\mathscr{H}_{U}^{\otimes 3}$. It is clear that every element in each component $\mathscr{H}_{U}$ fixes the base class $\xi_{U}$. Thus the actions fix the decomposition 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 and factor through the quotient

$$
\mathscr{H}_{U} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(\operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{End}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{End}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)
$$

The actions of $\mathscr{H}_{U}$ on $\mathrm{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}\left(Y_{U}\right)$ are both factor through actions on functions on $\pi^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)$, and the action of $\mathscr{H}_{U}$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{U}\right)$ factor through its action on the space of cusp forms. Thus the right hand side of the above quotient is isomorphic to

$$
\mathscr{H}_{U}^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{\rho} \rho^{U} \otimes \widetilde{\rho}^{U}
$$

where $\rho$ runs through automorphic characters and automorphic cuspidal representations of parallel weight 2 . Since the right hand side is of finite dimensional, any representation $V$ of $\mathscr{H}_{U}^{\prime}$ will have decomposition

$$
V=\bigoplus_{\rho} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}_{U}^{\prime}}\left(\rho^{U}, V\right) \otimes \rho^{U}
$$

Applying these to $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(X)$, we obtain decompositions

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{\rho} \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(\rho) \otimes \rho^{U}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{\rho} \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(\rho) \otimes \rho
$$

where $\rho=\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{3}$ runs through automorphic representations of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$such that each $\rho_{i}$ is either one dimensional or cuspidal of parallel weight 2 . The sum over cuspidal $\rho$ gives

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)^{00}=\bigoplus_{\rho: \text { cuspidal }} \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(\rho) \otimes \rho
$$

If we normalize the height paring on $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}\left(X_{U}\right)$ by a factor $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{E}\right)$ then we have a height pairing on $\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(X)$ which induces a bilinear pairing

$$
\mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(\rho) \otimes \mathrm{Ch}^{2,1}(\widetilde{\rho}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

## Decomposition of homological group

The decomposition on the cohomological cycles induces a decomposition on the class group:

$$
\operatorname{Ch}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}=\prod_{\rho} \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(\rho)
$$

Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}^{00}$ denote sum over components where $\rho$ is cuspidal.
The decomposition induces an inclusion

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \operatorname{Ch}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

which is given concretely by assigning an element $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ch}^{2}\left(X_{U_{E}}\right)$ to a unique element $\alpha^{*} \in$ $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ with component $\alpha_{U_{E}^{\prime}}^{*}:=\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{E}^{\prime}\right) \alpha$ if $U^{\prime} \subset U$.

This decomposition induces a pairing between $\mathrm{Ch}^{2}(X)^{00}$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}^{00}$ which is nothing than the one induced by the height pairing.

## Gross-Schoen cycle

As in Introduction, let $\Delta$ be the image of the diagonal embedding of $Y \longrightarrow X$ considered as an element in $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)$. Its projection to $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)^{00}$ is called the Gross-Schoen cycle and denoted as $\Delta_{\xi}$. For each cupidal represenation of $\mathbb{B}_{E}^{\times}$of parallel weight 2 , one has component $\Delta_{\pi} \in \mathscr{P}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{Ch}^{2}(\widetilde{\pi})$.

For $f \otimes \widetilde{f} \in \pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}$ we define

$$
\mathrm{T}(f \otimes \widetilde{f}) \Delta_{\xi}:=\widetilde{f} \otimes \Delta_{\pi}(f) \in \widetilde{\pi} \otimes \mathrm{Ch}^{2}(\widetilde{\pi}) \subset \mathrm{Ch}^{2}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}^{00} .
$$

We claim the following

$$
\left(\Delta_{\pi}, \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}\right) m(f \otimes \widetilde{f})=\left(\mathrm{T}(f \otimes \widetilde{f}) \Delta_{\xi}, \Delta_{\xi}\right)
$$

Indeed, by definition, the left hand side is equal to

$$
\left(\Delta_{\pi}(f), \Delta_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{f})\right)
$$

While the right hand side equals to

$$
\left(\widetilde{f} \otimes \Delta_{\pi}(f), \Delta_{\tilde{\pi}}\right) .
$$

These two are equal by definition. Thus we can rewrite our main theorem as

## Conjecture 3.2.1.

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{T}(f \otimes \widetilde{f}) \Delta_{\xi}, \Delta_{\xi}\right\rangle=\frac{8 \zeta_{F}(2)^{2}}{2 L(1, \sigma, \mathrm{ad})} L^{\prime}(1 / 2, \sigma) m(f \otimes \widetilde{f})
$$

### 3.3 Generating series of Hecke correspondences

Let $\mathbb{V}$ denote the orthogonal space $\mathbb{B}$ with quadratic form $q$. Recall that $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$ has an extended Weil representation on by

$$
\mathscr{R}=\left\{\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, g\right) \in \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A}): \quad q\left(b_{1} b_{2}^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det} g\right\}
$$

by

$$
r(h, g) \Phi(x)=|q(h)|^{-1} r\left(d(\operatorname{det}(g))^{-1} g\right) \Phi\left(h^{-1} x\right) .
$$

For $\alpha \in F_{+}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times}$, let $X_{\alpha}$ denote the union

$$
M_{\alpha}=\coprod_{a \in \pi_{0}(Y)} Y_{a} \times Y_{a \alpha} .
$$

This is a Shimura subvariety of $Y \times Y$ stabilized by the subgroup GSpin $(\mathbb{V})$ of $\mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$of elements with same norms. Define the group of cocycles:

$$
\operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha}\right):=\underset{\overrightarrow{U_{1}}}{\lim } \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha, U_{1}}\right)
$$

where $U_{1}$ runs through the open and compact subgroups of GSpin $(\mathbb{V})$. For an $h \in \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$, the pull-back morphism $T(h)$ of right multiplication defines an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{T}(h): \quad \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha \nu(h)^{-1}}\right) .
$$

Using Kudla's generating series and the modularity proved in [35], for each $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)_{+} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})_{+}$, we will construct an element

$$
Z(g, \Phi) \in \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\operatorname{det} g}\right)
$$

such that for any $\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{R}$,

$$
Z\left(g, r\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right) \Phi\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(h^{\prime}\right) Z\left(g g^{\prime}, \Phi\right)
$$

## Hecke correspondences

For any double coset $U x U$ of $U \backslash \mathbb{B}_{f}^{\times} / U$, we have a Hecke correspondence

$$
Z(x)_{U} \in Z^{1}\left(Y_{U} \times Y_{U}\right)
$$

defined as the image of the morphism

$$
\left(\pi_{U \cap x U x^{-1}, U}, \pi_{U \cap x^{-1} U x, U} \circ T_{x}\right): \quad Z_{U \cap x U x^{-1}} \longrightarrow Y_{U}^{2}
$$

In terms of complex points at a place of $F$ as above, the Hecke correspondence $Z(x)_{U}$ takes

$$
(z, g) \longrightarrow \sum_{i}\left(z, g x_{i}\right)
$$

for points on $X_{U, \tau}(\mathbb{C})$ represented by $(z, g) \in \mathscr{H}^{ \pm} \times \mathbb{B}_{f}$ where $x_{i}$ are representatives of $U x U / U$.

Notice that this cycle is supported on the component $M_{\nu(x)^{-1}}$ of $Y \times Y$.

## Hodge class

On $Y \times Y$, one has a Hodge bundle $\mathscr{L}_{K} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y \times Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ defined as

$$
\mathscr{L}_{K}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}+p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right) .
$$

## Generating Function

Write $M=M_{1}$ which has an action by $\operatorname{GSpin}(\mathbb{V})$. For any $x \in \mathbb{V}$ and open and compact subgroup $K$ of $\operatorname{GSpin}(\mathbb{V})$, let us define a cycle $Z(x)_{K}$ on $M_{K}$ as follows. This cycle is nonvanishing only if $q(x) \in F^{\times}$or $x=0$. If $q(x) \in F^{\times}$, then we define $Z(x)_{K}$ to be the Hecke operator $U x U$ defined in the last subsection. If $x=0$, then we define $Z(x)_{K}$ to be the pushforward of the Hodge class on the subvariety $M_{\alpha}$ which is union of connected components $Y_{a} \times Y_{a}$ with $a \in \pi_{0}(Y)$. Let $\widetilde{K}=\mathrm{O}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cdot K$ act on $\mathbb{V}$.

For $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})^{\tilde{K}}$, we can form a generating series

$$
Z(\Phi)=\sum_{x \in \widetilde{K} \backslash \mathbb{V}} \Phi(x) Z(x)_{K}
$$

It is easy to see that this definition is compatible with pull-back maps in Chow groups in the projection $M_{K_{1}} \longrightarrow M_{K_{2}}$ with $K_{1} \subset K_{2}$. Thus it defines an element in the direct limit $\operatorname{Ch}^{1}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}:=\lim _{K} \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{K}\right)$ if it absolutely convergent. We extend this definition to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$ by projection

$$
\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathrm{O}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}, \quad \Phi \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Phi}:=\int_{\mathrm{O}\left(F_{\infty}\right)} r(g) \Phi d g
$$

where $d g$ is the Haar measure on $\mathrm{O}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ with volume 1.

For $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, define

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=Z_{r(g) \Phi} \in \mathrm{Ch}^{1}(M) .
$$

By our previous paper [35], this series is absolutely convergent and is modular for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\gamma g, \Phi):=Z(g, \Phi), \quad \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $h \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g, r(h) \Phi)=\mathrm{T}(h) Z(g, \Phi) \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}(h)$ denotes the pull-back morphism on $\mathrm{Ch}^{1}(M)$ by right translation of $h_{f}$.
Let $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})^{+}$denote subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ with totally positive determinant at archimedean places. For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)^{+}$, define

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=\mathrm{T}(h)^{-1} Z(r(g, h) \Phi) \in \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\operatorname{det} g}\right),
$$

where $h$ is an element in $\mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$with norm $\operatorname{det} g$. By (3.3.1), the definition here does not depend on the choice of $h$. It is easy to see that this cycle satisfies the property

$$
Z\left(g, r\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right) \Phi\right)=T\left(h_{1}\right) Z\left(g g_{1}, \Phi\right), \quad(g, h) \in \mathscr{R}
$$

The following is the modularity of $Z(g, \Phi)$ :
Proposition 3.3.1. The cycle $Z(g, \Phi)$ is automorphic for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})^{+}$: for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)^{+}$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$
Z(\gamma g, \Phi)=Z(g, \Phi)
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)^{+}$if suffices to show

$$
\mathrm{T}(\alpha h)^{-1} Z(r(\gamma g, \alpha h) \Phi)=\mathrm{T}(h)^{-1} Z(r(g, h) \Phi)
$$

where $(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $(g, h)$ are both elements in $\mathscr{R}$. This is equivalent

$$
\mathrm{T}(\alpha)^{-1} Z(r(\gamma g, \alpha h) \Phi)=Z(r(g, h) \Phi)
$$

and then to

$$
\mathrm{T}(\alpha)^{-1} Z(r(\gamma, \alpha) \Phi)=Z(\Phi)
$$

with $r(g, h) \Phi$ replaced by $\Phi$. Write $\gamma_{1}=d(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma$. By definition, the left is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}(\alpha)^{-1} Z\left(L(\alpha) r\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \Phi\right) & =\sum_{x \in \widetilde{K} \backslash \mathbb{V}} r\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \Phi\left(\alpha^{-1} x\right) \rho(\alpha)^{-1} Z(x)_{K} \\
& =\sum_{x \in K \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \backslash \mathbb{V}} r\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \Phi\left(\alpha^{-1} x\right) Z\left(\alpha^{-1} x\right)_{K} \\
& =\sum_{x \in \widetilde{K} \backslash \mathbb{V}} r\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \Phi(x) Z(x)_{K} \\
& =Z\left(r\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \Phi\right)=Z(\Phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the natural embedding $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)^{+} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ gives bijective map

$$
\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)^{+} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)^{+} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)
$$

thus we can define $Z(g, \Phi)$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ by

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=Z(\gamma g, \Phi)
$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ such that $\gamma g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$. Then $Z(g, \Phi)$ is automorphic for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$.

### 3.4 Geometric theta lifting

Let $\sigma$ be a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ of parallel weight 2 . For any $\varphi \in \sigma, \alpha \in F_{+}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\times}$, define

$$
Z_{\alpha}(\Phi \otimes \varphi):=\int_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} Z\left(g_{1} g, \Phi\right) \varphi\left(g_{1} g\right) d g_{1} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ with determinant equal to $\alpha$. Then it is easy to see that By [36], Theorem 3.5.2, we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\Phi \otimes \varphi)=\frac{L(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad})}{2 \zeta_{F}(2)} \mathrm{T}(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)) \tag{3.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The collection $\left(Z_{\alpha}(\Phi \otimes \varphi)\right)$ defines an element

$$
\left(Z_{\alpha}(\Phi \otimes \varphi)\right) \in \prod_{\alpha} \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that this element is invariant under open compact subgroup $U \times U$ of $\mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$. Thus is given by an element

$$
Z(\Phi \otimes \varphi) \in \mathrm{Ch}^{1}(Y \times Y)
$$

## Kernel identity

Recall that the diagonal $Y$ of $X=Y^{3}$ defines a holomogical cycle in $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)$ whose projection to $\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(X)^{00}$ is denoted by $P$. For a $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{V}_{E}\right)$, we can define a correspondence $Z(\Phi)$ on $X$ by linear combination of product of correspondences on $Y$ : if $\Phi=\Phi_{1} \otimes \Phi_{2} \otimes \Phi_{3}$ and $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$ with $\Phi_{i} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$ and $g_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, then

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=\pi_{1}^{*} Z\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \pi_{2}^{*} Z\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \pi_{3}^{*} Z\left(g_{3} \Phi_{3}\right)
$$

This correspondences maps homological cycles to cohomological cycles. In particular we have cycle $Z(\Phi) \Delta_{\xi} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{2}(X)^{00}$. Thus the number

$$
Z\left(g, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right):=\left\langle\Delta_{\xi}, Z(g, \Phi) \Delta_{\xi}\right\rangle
$$

is well defined.
Our main theorem is reduced to the following identity of kernel functions:

## Conjecture 3.4.1.

$$
\left(-E^{\prime}(\cdot, 0, \Phi), \varphi\right)=\left(Z\left(\cdot, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right), \varphi\right)
$$

Deduce conjecture 3.2.1 from the above kernel identity. By (2.3.7), the left hand side of the kernel identity is

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}(1 / 2, \sigma)}{\zeta_{F}(2)} m(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)
$$

By formula (3.4.1), the right hand side is

$$
\frac{L(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad})}{8 \zeta_{F}(2)^{3}}\left\langle\Delta_{\xi}, T(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi)) \Delta_{\xi}\right\rangle
$$

As the image generates all $\pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}$, the conjecture 3.2.1 follows.
By 3.1.3, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(g, \Phi, \Delta_{\xi}\right)=\widehat{Z}^{-}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}^{-}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}^{-}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right) \tag{3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side is the intersection of the admissible class extending the projection $Z\left(g_{i}, \Phi\right)^{-} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{-}(Y \times Y)$ of $Z\left(g_{i}, \Phi\right)$.

For the actually computation, we may replace $\widehat{Z}(g, \Phi)^{-}$by arithmetic classes extending $Z(g, \Phi)$. In fact, since $Z(g, \Phi)$ will fix class $\operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)$, we see it is in the space

$$
\pi_{1}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y) \otimes \operatorname{Ch}^{0}(Y)+\operatorname{Ch}^{0}(Y) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*} \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)+\operatorname{Pic}^{-}(Y \times Y)
$$

Thus we have an decomposition

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=Z_{1}^{\xi}(g, \Phi)+Z_{2}^{\xi}(g, \Phi)+Z^{-}(g, \Phi)
$$

It is easy to see that both $Z_{i}^{\xi}(g, \Phi)$ are Eisenstein series with valued in Hodge cycles. Now for each $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)$, fix an arithmetic extension $\widehat{\alpha}$. Then the above decomposition defines an arithmetic extension $\widehat{Z}(g, \Phi)$. Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=\widehat{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right) \tag{3.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have that the difference $Z(g, \Phi, P)-Z(g, \Phi)$ is sum of forms which is Eisenstein for at least one variable $g_{i}$. It follows that it has zero inner product with cusp forms. Thus we have the following equivalent form of the above theorem:

Conjecture 3.4.2 (Kernel identity).

$$
\left(-E^{\prime}(\cdot, 0, \Phi), \varphi\right)=(Z(\cdot, \Phi, \Delta), \varphi)
$$

Remark 3.4.1. Unlike the formalism $\Phi \mapsto Z(g, \Phi)$ which is equivariant under the action of $\mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$, the formalism $\Phi \mapsto \widehat{Z}(g, \Phi)$ is not $\mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$equivariant in general.

### 3.5 Arithmetic Hodge class and Hecke operators

In this section, we want to introduce an arithmetic Hodge classes and then the arithmetic Hecke operators. The construction depends on the choice of integral models which in terms depends on a maximal order $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}}$ of $\mathbb{B}$ we fix here.

## Moduli interprstation at an archimedean place

Let $U$ be an open and compact subgroup of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\times}$. Let $\tau$ be an archimedean place of $F$. Write $B$ a quaternion algebra over $F$ with ramification set $\Sigma \backslash\{\tau\}$. Fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}^{\tau} \simeq B \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\tau}$. Recall from $\S 51$ in our Asia journal paper, that the curve $Y_{U}$ parameterizes the isomorphism classes of triples $(V, h, \bar{\kappa})$ where

1. $V$ is a free $B$-module of rank 1 ;
2. $h$ is an embedding $\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{B}\left(V_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ which has has weight -1 at $\tau_{1}$, and trivial component at $\tau_{i}$ for $i>1$, where $\tau_{1}:=\tau, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{g}$ are all archimedean places of $F$;
3. $\bar{\kappa}$ is a $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\widehat{V}_{0}, \widehat{V}\right) / U$, where $V_{0}=B$ as a left $B$-module.

The Hodge structure $h$ define a Hodge decomposition on $V_{\tau, \mathbb{C}}$ :

$$
V_{\tau, \mathbb{C}}=V^{-1,0}+V^{0,-1}
$$

By Hodge theory, the tangent space of $Y$ at a point $(V, h, \kappa)$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{L}(V)_{\tau}=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(V^{-1,0}, V_{\mathbb{C}} / V^{-1,0}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(V^{-1,0}, V^{0,-1}\right)
$$

Since the complex conjugation on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ switches two factors $V^{-1,0}$ and $V^{0,-1}$, one has a canonical identification

$$
\mathscr{L}(V)_{\tau} \otimes \overline{\mathscr{L}(V)_{\tau}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(V^{-1,0}, V^{-1,0}\right)=\mathbb{C}
$$

This identification defines a Hermitian norm on $\mathscr{L}(V)_{\tau}$.
Lemma 3.5.1. Let $\delta(V)$ denote the one dimensional vector space over $F$ of reduced norms $\delta(v)$ for $v \in V$ with relation $\delta(b v)=\nu(b) \delta(v)$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism:

$$
\mathscr{L}(V)=\delta(V) \otimes_{F, \tau} \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1,0}\right)^{\vee}
$$

Proof. Indeed, there is pairing $\psi: V \otimes V \longrightarrow \delta(V)$ define by

$$
\psi(u, v):=\frac{1}{2}(\delta(u+v)-\delta(u)-\delta(v)) .
$$

Let $B^{\times}$acts on this space by multiplication by $\nu: B^{\times} \longrightarrow F^{\times}$then

$$
\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{\times}}(V \otimes V, \delta(V))
$$

This pairing is compatible with Hodge structures when $\delta(V)$ is equipped with action weight $(-1,-1)$. Thus on $V_{\tau, \mathrm{C}}$, the above pairing has isotropic spaces $V^{-1,0}$ and $V^{0,-1}$ and defines bilinaer $B_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$equivariant pairing

$$
V^{-1,0} \otimes V^{0,-1} \longrightarrow \delta(V)_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

On the other hand the wedge product defines a $B_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$pairing

$$
V^{-1,0} \otimes V^{0,-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(V^{-1,0}\right)
$$

when the later space is equipped with action $\nu: B^{\times} \longrightarrow F^{\times}$. The above two pairing define canonical identifications:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{0,-1}=\delta(V)_{\tau, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{B \times}\left(V^{-1,0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \\
V^{-1,0}=\operatorname{det}\left(V^{-1,0}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{B \times}\left(V^{-1,0}, \mathbb{C}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\mathscr{L}(V)_{\tau}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V^{-1,0}, V^{0,-1}\right)=\delta(V)_{\tau, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(V^{-1,0}\right)^{\vee}
$$

## Modular interpretation at an finite place

Let $v$ be a finite place. Recall from $\S 5.3$ in our Asia journal paper, the prime to $v$-part of $\left(\widehat{V}_{U}, \bar{\kappa}\right)$ extends to an étale system over $\mathscr{Y}_{U}$, but the $v$-part extends to a system of special divisible $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}$-module of dimension 2, height 4 , with Drinfeld level structure:

$$
(\mathscr{A}, \bar{\alpha})
$$

with an identification

$$
\kappa_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right) \simeq \mathrm{T}_{v}(\mathscr{A})
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{v}(\mathscr{A})$ is the Tate module of $\mathscr{A}$ for prime $v$.
The Lie algebra of the formal part $\mathscr{A}^{0}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ defines a two dimensional vector bundle $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{A})$ on $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}_{U}$. The tangent space of $Y_{U}$ is canonically identified with $\mathscr{L}_{v}:=\delta(V)_{\mathscr{O}_{F}} \otimes$ $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{A})^{\vee}$. The level structure defines an integral structure on $\delta(V)$ at place $v$. Thus $\mathscr{L}_{v}$ has an integral structure by the tensor product.

If $v$ is not split in $\mathbb{B}$, then $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}$ is unique and then integral structure on $\mathscr{L}$ is unique. This can also been seen from the fact that the group $\mathscr{A}$ is totally formal and supersingular. Any isogeny $\varphi: \mathscr{A}_{x} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{y}$ of two such $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}$-modules representing two points $x$ and $y$ on $\mathscr{Y}_{U}$ smooth over $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$-modules:

$$
\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A}) \simeq \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{B}) .
$$

If $v$ is split in $\mathbb{B}$, then we may choose an isomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}=M_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)$. Then the divisible module $\mathscr{A}$ is a direct sum $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$ where $\mathscr{E}$ is a divisible $\mathscr{O}_{F}$-module of dimension 1 and height 2. Then we have an isomorphism

$$
\mathscr{L}=\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{E})^{\otimes-2} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathrm{~T}_{v}(\mathscr{A})
$$

Let $x$ be an ordinary $\mathscr{O}_{v}$-point of $\mathscr{Y}_{U}$ then we have an formal-etale decomposition

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{x}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\mathscr{e t}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

This induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathscr{L}_{x}=\left(\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{E})^{\vee} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{v}\left(\mathscr{E}^{0}\right)\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes\left(\mathrm{~T}_{v}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}^{e t}\right) \otimes \mathrm{T}_{v}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}^{0}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

The first part does not depend on the level structure but the second part does. If $\varphi: \mathscr{E}_{x} \longrightarrow$ $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ be an isogeny of orders $a, b$ on the formal and etale part respectively, then it has order $b-a$ for the bundles $\mathscr{L}_{x} \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{y}$.

## Admissible arithmetic classes

Combining the above, we have introduce an arithmetic structure $\widehat{\mathscr{L}}$ for $\mathscr{L}$. The roots of this defines an arithmetic structure on elements of Hodges classes Pic ${ }^{\xi}(Y)$. We denote the resulting the groups of arithmetic classes as as $\widehat{\operatorname{Pic}}^{\xi}(Y)$. Unlike $\operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)$, the group $\widehat{\operatorname{Pic}}^{\xi}(Y)$ is not invariant under the action of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$but invariant under $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\times}$. We normalize the the metric of $\widehat{\xi}$ at one archimedean place such that on each connected component of any $Y_{U}$

$$
\widehat{\xi}^{2}=0
$$

Now for any class $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ch}^{1}\left(Y_{U, a} \times Y_{U, b}\right)$ in some irreducible component of $Y \times Y$ in a finite level which fixes $\xi$ by both push-forward and pull-back, we can attached a class $\widehat{\alpha}$ such that if $\alpha=\alpha^{-}+a \pi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1}+b \pi_{2}^{*} \xi_{2}$ with $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^{-}(Y \times Y)$ and $\xi_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\xi}(Y)$, then we

$$
\widehat{\alpha}=\widehat{\alpha}^{-}+a \pi_{1}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{1}+b \pi_{2} \widehat{\xi}_{2}
$$

We call such a class $\widehat{\xi}$-admissible. Such a class can be characterized by the following properties:

1. for any point $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \in Y_{U, a} \times Y_{U, b}$, the induced class arithmetic classes $\widehat{\alpha}_{1}:=\left.\widehat{\alpha}\right|_{p_{1} \times U_{b}}$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_{2}:=\widehat{\alpha}_{Y_{a} \times p_{2}}$ on $Y_{U, a}$ or $Y_{U, b}$ is $\widehat{\xi}$-admissible in the sense that $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}-\operatorname{deg} \alpha_{i} \widehat{\xi}$ has curvature 0 at archimedean places and and zero intersection with vertical cycles.
2. $\widehat{\alpha} \cdot \pi_{1}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{1} \cdot \pi_{2}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{2}=0$.

The class $\alpha \mapsto \widehat{\alpha}$ extends to whole group $\mathrm{Ch}^{1}(Y \times Y)$.

## Arithmetic Hecke operators

Let $Z$ be a Hecke operator as a divisor in $Y_{U} \times Y_{U}$. We want to define an adelic green's function $g=\left(g_{v}\right)$ such that the arithmetic cycle $\widehat{Z}=(Z, g)$ is $\widehat{\xi}$-admissible. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be two projection of $Z$ onto $Y_{U}$. Then $p_{i}$ 's have the same degree called $d$ and there is an canonical isomorphism $p_{1}^{*} \xi \rightarrow p_{2}^{*} \xi$ of line bundles (with fractional power). This induces an isomorphism

$$
\alpha: Z_{*} \xi_{1} \simeq d \xi_{2} .
$$

We want to construct a green function $g$ for $Z$ such that arithmetic class $\widehat{Z}$ satisfies the property 1 above and with property 2 replaced by the following refined one:
3. The isomorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$ above induces isometry of adelic metrized line bundles:

$$
\alpha: \widehat{Z}_{*} \widehat{\xi}_{1} \simeq d \widehat{\xi}_{2}
$$

First of all, we define an adelic green's function $g^{0}=\left(g_{v}^{0}\right)$ with the following two properties at each place $v$ of $F$ parallel to the properties as above,
4. $\left(Z, g^{0}\right)$ has curvatures parallel to $c_{1}\left(\widehat{\xi}_{i}\right)_{v}$ at fibers $p_{i}^{*} y$ over two projections $p_{i}$ to $Y_{U}$.
5. $g_{v}^{0}$ has integral 0 against $c_{1}\left(\widehat{\xi}_{1}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\widehat{\xi}_{2}\right)$.

The class $\widehat{Z}^{0}:=\left(Z, g^{0}\right)$ will satisfies the property 1 . Since the bundle $\widehat{Z}_{*}^{0} \widehat{\xi}_{1}$ will have same curvatures as $d \widehat{\xi}_{2}$, then we have constants $c=\left(c_{v}\right)$ such that $\alpha$ induces isometry

$$
\widehat{Z}_{*}^{0} \widehat{\xi}_{1}=d \widehat{\xi}_{2}+c .
$$

Define

$$
\widehat{Z}:=\widehat{Z}^{0}-\frac{1}{d} c .
$$

Then $\widehat{Z}$ will have required properties. Notice that by property 1 and 3 , the classes is closed under composition.
Remark 3.5.2. The above class $\widehat{Z}$ may not give an isometry between $d \widehat{\xi}_{1}$ under $\widehat{Z}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{2}$. In fact, we will give an expression of $c$ in terms of bundles bundles $p_{i}^{*} \widehat{\xi}$. Notice that the difference

$$
\widehat{Z}_{*} \widehat{\xi}_{1}-d \widehat{\xi}_{2}=\pi_{2 *}\left(\widehat{Z} \cdot\left(\pi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1}-\pi_{2}^{*} \xi_{2}\right)\right)
$$

The class $\pi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1}-\pi_{2}^{*} \xi_{2}$ is represented by a vertical divisor class $C=\left(C_{v}\right)$ and

$$
c_{v}=\pi_{2 *}\left(\widehat{Z} \cdot C_{v}\right)
$$

We intersect this with $\widehat{\xi}_{2}$ then we have

$$
c_{v}=\widehat{Z} \cdot C_{v} \cdot \pi_{2}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{2} .
$$

Since property 4 , this las sum is equal to an intersection number on $Z$ :

$$
c_{v}=\left.C_{v}\right|_{Z} \cdot p_{2}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{2}
$$

If we redo the construction for $Z^{*}$, then we will obtain a class $\widehat{Z}^{\prime}=\widehat{Z}^{0}+c^{\prime}$ with

$$
c_{v}^{\prime}=-\left.C_{v}\right|_{v} \cdots p_{1}^{*} \xi_{1}
$$

Notice that sum of $c_{v}$ and $c_{v}^{\prime}$ are both equal to $p_{1}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{1} \cdot p_{2}^{*} \widehat{\xi}_{2}$ since $\widehat{\xi}_{i}^{2}=0$. The sum $c_{v}+c_{v}^{\prime}$ is equal to $-\left(\left.C_{v}\right|_{Z}\right)^{2}$ which is nonnegative. This shows that $\widehat{Z}^{0} \geq \widehat{Z}$.

## First decomposition

With construction of cycles as above, we can decompose the intersection as follows

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta):=\widehat{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)
$$

First of this intersection is non-trivial only if all $g_{i}$ have the same norm. In this case we have one $h \in \mathbb{B}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}^{\times}$such that

$$
Z\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{T}(h) Z\left(r\left(g_{i}, h\right) \Phi_{i}\right)
$$

Thus we have that

$$
\widehat{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)=\widehat{Z}\left(r\left(g_{1}, h\right) \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(r\left(g_{2}, h\right) \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(r\left(g_{3}, h\right) \Phi_{3}\right)
$$

Assume that each $r\left(g_{i}, h_{i}\right) \Phi_{i}$ is invariant under $K$. In this case this intersection number is given by

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=\sum_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in(\tilde{K} \backslash \mathbb{V})^{3}} r(g, h) \Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \widehat{Z}\left(x_{1}\right)_{K} \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(x_{2}\right)_{K} \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(x_{3}\right)_{K}
$$

We write $Z(g, \phi)_{\text {sing }}$ for the partial sum where $Z\left(x_{i}\right)$ has non-empty intersection at the generic fiber. Then the rest term can be decompose into local intersections. Thus we have a decomposition

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{s i n g}+\sum_{v} Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}
$$

## 4 Local Whittaker integrals

As we have seen in section 2.5, we need to study the non-singular Fourier coefficients of the derivative of Eisenstein series for Schwartz function $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)$ on an incoherent (adelic) quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$ over the adeles $\mathbb{A}$ of a number field $F$. This is essentially reduced to the study of the derivative at the local Whittaker functions. In the case of unramified Siegel-Weil section section at a non-archimedean place, the computation is known. We will recall the results. Then we move to compute the archimedean Whittaker integrals.

### 4.1 Nonarchimedeanl local Whittaker integral

Now we recall some results about the local Whittaker integral and local density.
Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field with integer ring $\mathscr{O}$ whose residue field is of odd characteristic $p$. We remark that all results in this subsection actually holds for $p=2$. For simplicity of exposition, we only record the results for odd $p$. Let $\varpi$ be a uniformizer and $q=|\mathscr{O} /(\varpi)|$ be the cardinality of the residue field. Assume further that the additive character $\psi$ is unramified.

Let $V=B=M_{2}(F)$ with the quadratic form $q=\operatorname{det}$. Let $\Phi_{0}$ the characteristic function of $M_{2}(\mathscr{O})$. Let $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})^{\vee}$ (cf. "Notations"). It is a fact that $W_{T}\left(e, s, \Phi_{0}\right)$ is a polynomial of $q^{-s}$.

To describe the formula, we need several invariants of $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)^{\vee}$. Suppose that $T \sim \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{i} \varpi^{a_{i}}\right]$ with $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{i} \in \mathscr{O}^{\times}$. Then we define $\xi(T)$ to be the Hilbert symbol $\left(\frac{-u_{1} u_{2}}{\varpi}\right)=\left(-u_{1} u_{2}, \varpi\right)$ if $a_{1} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod 2)$ and $a_{2}<a_{3}$, otherwise zero. Note that this doesnot depend on the choice of the uniformizer $\varpi$.

Firstly, we have a formula for the central value of Whittaker integral $W_{T, v}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1.1. The Whittaker function at $s=0$ is given by

$$
W_{T, v}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}\right)=\zeta_{F}(2)^{-2} \beta_{v}(T)
$$

where

1. When $T$ is anisotropic, we have

$$
\beta_{v}=0
$$

2. When $T$ is isotropic, we have three cases
(a) If $a_{1} \neq a_{2} \bmod 2$, we have

$$
\beta_{v}(T)=2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{a_{1}}(1+i) q^{i}+\sum_{i=a_{1}+1}^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(a_{1}+1\right) q^{i}\right) .
$$

(b) If $a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \bmod 2$ and $\xi=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{v}(T)= & 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{a_{1}}(i+1) q^{i}+\sum_{i=a_{1}+1}^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2\right) / 2}\left(a_{1}+1\right) q^{i}\right) \\
& +\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}+1\right) q^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) If $a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \bmod 2$ and $\xi=-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{v}(T)= & 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{a_{1}}(i+1) q^{i}+\sum_{i=a_{1}+1}^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2\right) / 2}\left(a_{1}+1\right) q^{i}\right) \\
& +\left(a_{1}+1\right) q^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second result we will need is a formula of the central derivative $W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1.2. We have

$$
W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}\right)=\log q \cdot \zeta_{F}(2)^{-2} \nu(T)
$$

where $\nu(T)$ is given as follows: let $T \sim \operatorname{diag}\left[t_{i}\right]$ with $a_{i}=\operatorname{ord}\left(t_{i}\right)$ in the order $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq a_{3}$, then

1. If $a_{1} \neq a_{2} \bmod 2$, we have

$$
\nu(T)=\sum_{i=0}^{a_{1}}(1+i)\left(3 i-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}\right) q^{i}+\sum_{i=a_{1}+1}^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(4 i-2 a-1-a_{2}-a_{3}\right) q^{i} .
$$

2. If $a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \bmod 2$, we must have $a_{2} \neq a_{3} \bmod 2$. In this case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(T) & =\sum_{i=0}^{a_{1}}(i+1)\left(3 i-a_{1}-a-2-a_{3}\right) q^{i} \\
& +\sum_{i=a_{1}+1}^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2\right) / 2}\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(4 i-2 a-1-a_{2}-a_{3}\right) q^{i} \\
& -\frac{a_{1}+1}{2}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}+1\right) q^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.1.3. Let $\Phi_{0}^{\prime}$ be the characteristic function of $\mathscr{O}_{D}^{3}$ where $\mathscr{O}_{D}$ is the maximal order of the division quaternion algebra $D$. Then we have for all anisotropic $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})^{\vee}$ :

$$
W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)=-2 q^{-2}\left(1+q^{-1}\right)^{2} .
$$

For the proof of the three propositions above, we refer to [1, Chap. 15, 16] where a key ingredient is a result in [19] on the local representation density for Hermitian forms.

Proposition 4.1.4. Let $\Phi_{0}^{\prime}$ be the characteristic function of maximal order $\mathscr{O}_{D}$ of the division quaternion algebra $D$. Then we have for all anisotropic $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})^{\vee}$ :

$$
I_{T}\left(e, \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(V^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. A prior we know that $I_{T}\left(e, \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is a constant multiple of $W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Take any $x \in \mathscr{O}_{D}^{3}$ with moment $T$. Then it is easy to see that $h \cdot x$ is still in $\mathscr{O}_{D}^{3}$ for all $h \in S O\left(V^{\prime}\right)$. This completes the proof.

### 4.2 Archimedean Whittaker integral

We want to compute the Whittaker integral $W_{T}(g, \Phi, g, s)$ when $F=\mathbb{R}, B=\mathbb{H}$ is the Hamiltonian quaternion algebra, and

$$
\Phi_{\infty}(x)=\Phi(x)=e^{-2 \pi t r(Q(x))}, \quad x \in B^{3}=\mathbb{H}^{3} .
$$

Recall that we have choose the additive character

$$
\psi(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Let $K_{\infty}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}_{6}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
K_{\infty}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y \\
-y & x
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{6}(\mathbb{R}) \right\rvert\, x+y i \in U(3) .\right\}
$$

Denote by $\chi_{m}$ the character of $K_{\infty}$

$$
\chi_{m}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y \\
-y & x
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}(x+y i)^{m}
$$

Then the Siegel-Weil section attached to $\Phi$ transform by the character $\chi_{2}$ under the action of $K_{\infty}$ (cf. [11], [21]).

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $g=n(b) m(a) k \in \operatorname{Sp}_{6}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Iwasawa decomposition. Then we have when $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ :

$$
W_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=\chi_{2}(k) \psi(T b) \lambda_{s}\left(m\left({ }^{t} a^{-1}\right)\right)|\operatorname{det}(a)|^{4} W_{t_{a T a}}(e, s, \Phi) .
$$

Proof. This follows the invariance under $K_{\infty}$ and the property of Siegel-Weil section.

Thus it suffices to consider only the identity element $g=e$ of $\operatorname{Sp}_{6}(\mathbb{R})$. It is easy to obtain a formula for $\lambda_{s}(w n(u))$ and we have

$$
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})} \psi(-T u) \operatorname{det}\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{-s} r(w n(u)) \Phi(0) d u
$$

Lemma 4.2.2. When $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=-\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})} \psi(-T u) \operatorname{det}(1+i u)^{-s} \operatorname{det}(1-i u)^{-s-2} d u
$$

where we have the usual convention $i=\sqrt{-1}$.
Proof. Let $u=^{t} k a k$ be the Cartan decomposition where $a=\operatorname{diag}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ is diagonal and $k \in S O(3)$. Then it is easy to see that $n(u)=m(k)^{-1} n(a) m(k)$ and $w m(k)^{-1}=m\left(-k^{-1}\right) w$. Note that $\operatorname{det}(k)=1$ and $\chi_{2}(m(k))=1$. We obtain by the previous lemma:

$$
r(w n(u)) \Phi(0)=r(w n(a)) \Phi(0)
$$

By definition we have

$$
r(w n(a)) \Phi(0)=\gamma(\mathbb{H}, \psi) \int_{\mathbb{H}^{3}} \psi(a Q(x)) \Phi(x) d x
$$

where for our choice the Weil constant is

$$
\gamma(\mathbb{H}, \psi)=-1
$$

Therefore we have

$$
r(w n(a)) \Phi(0)=-\prod_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{H}} e^{\pi\left(i u_{j}-1\right) q\left(x_{j}\right)} d x_{j} .
$$

This is equal to a constant times

$$
\prod_{j}^{3} \frac{1}{\left(1-i u_{j}\right)^{2}}=\operatorname{det}(1-i u)^{-2}
$$

To recover the constant, we let $u=0$ and note that

$$
r(w) \Phi(0)=\chi_{2}(w) \Phi(0)=-\Phi(0)=-1
$$

We thus obtain that

$$
r(w n(u)) \Phi(0)=r(w n(a)) \Phi(0)=-\operatorname{det}(1-i u)^{-2}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(1+u^{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}(1-i u) \operatorname{det}(1+i u)$, the lemma now follows.
Following Shimura ([33, pp.274]), we introduce a function for $g, h \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in$ $\mathbb{C}$

$$
\eta(g, h ; \alpha, \beta)=\int_{x> \pm h} e^{-g x} \operatorname{det}(x+h)^{\alpha-2} \operatorname{det}(x-h)^{\beta-2} d x
$$

which is absolutely convergent when $g>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\beta)>\frac{n}{2}$. Here we use $x \pm h$ to mean that $x+h>0$ and $x-h>0$. Here we point out that the measure $d x$ in [33] is the

Euclidean measure viewing $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ as $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1) / 2}$ naturally. This measure is not self-dual but only up to a constant $2^{n(n-1) / 4}$. In the following we always use the Euclidean measure as [33] does. For two elements $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, by $h_{1} \sim h_{2}$ we mean that $h_{1}=k h_{2} k^{-1}$ for some $k \in O(n)$, the real orthogonal group for the standard positive definite quadratic form.

Before we proceed let us recall some well-known results. Let $z \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$, then we have for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})_{+}} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(z x)} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s-\frac{n+1}{2}} d x=\Gamma_{n}(s) \operatorname{det}(z)^{-s}, \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the "higher" Gamma function is defined as

$$
\Gamma_{n}(s)=\pi^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \ldots \Gamma\left(s-\frac{n-1}{2}\right) .
$$

For instance, when $n=1$, we have when $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x} x^{s-1} d x=\Gamma(s) z^{-s}
$$

Consider

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}e^{-v x} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s-\frac{n+1}{2}} & x>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Applying (4.2.1) to $z=v+2 \pi i u$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain when $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\widehat{f}(u)=\Gamma_{n}(s) \operatorname{det}(v+2 \pi i u)^{-s} .
$$

Take the inverse Fourier transformation, we obtain

$$
\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})} e^{2 \pi i u x} \operatorname{det}(v+2 \pi i u)^{-s} d u= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2^{n(n-1) / 2} \Gamma_{n}(s)} e^{-v x} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s-\frac{n+1}{2}} & x>0  \tag{4.2.2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 4.2.3. When $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$, we have

$$
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=\kappa(s) \Gamma_{3}(s+2)^{-1} \Gamma_{3}(s)^{-1} \eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s)
$$

where

$$
\kappa(s)=-2^{9 / 2} \pi^{6 s+6}
$$

Proof. By (4.2.1) for $n=3$, we may rewrite the Whittaker function in the previous lemma as

$$
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=-\frac{\pi^{3 s+6}}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2)} \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})} e^{-2 \pi i T u} \operatorname{det}(1+i u)^{-s} \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})_{+}} e^{-\pi(1-i u) x} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s} d x 2^{3 / 2} d u
$$

Here $d u$ is changed to the Euclidean measure and the constant multiple $2^{3 / 2}$ comes from the ratio between the self-dual measure and the Euclidean one. Interchange the order of the two integrals

$$
-2^{3 / 2} \frac{\pi^{3 s+6}}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2)} \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})_{+}} e^{-\pi x} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s}\left(\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})} e^{2 \pi i u\left(\frac{1}{2} x-T\right)} \operatorname{det}(1+i u)^{-s} d u\right) d x
$$

By (4.2.2) for $n=3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2^{3 / 2} \frac{\pi^{3 s+6}}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2)} \int_{x>0, x>2 T} e^{-\pi x} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s} \frac{(2 \pi)^{6}}{2^{3} \Gamma_{3}(s)} e^{-2 \pi\left(\frac{x}{2}-T\right)} \operatorname{det}\left(2 \pi\left(\frac{x}{2}-T\right)\right)^{s-2} d x \\
= & -2^{9 / 2} \frac{\pi^{6 s+6}}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2) \Gamma_{3}(s)} \int_{x>0, x>2 T} e^{-2 \pi(x-T)} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s} \operatorname{det}(x-2 T)^{s-2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we may substitute $x \rightarrow T+x$ to complete the proof.
To compute the integral $\eta$ in an inductive way, we recall the "higher" confluent hypergeometric function $([33, \operatorname{pp} .280,(3.2)])$. Let $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{C})_{+}$be the set of $z$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$. Then for $z \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{C})_{+}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}(z, \alpha, \beta)=\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R})_{+}} e^{-z x} \operatorname{det}(x+1)^{\alpha-\frac{n+1}{2}} \operatorname{det}(x)^{\beta-\frac{n+1}{2}} d x \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was first introduced by Koecher and its analytic continuation is settled by Shimura:
Lemma 4.2.4 (Shimura). For $z \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$, the integral $\zeta_{n}(z ; \alpha, \beta)$ is absolutely convergent for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)>\frac{n-1}{2}$. And the function

$$
\omega(z, \alpha, \beta):=\Gamma_{n}(\beta)^{-1} \operatorname{det}(z)^{\beta} \zeta_{n}(z, \alpha, \beta)
$$

can be extended to a holomorphic function of $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$.
Proof. See [33, Thm. 3.1].
The following proposition gives an inductive way to compute the Whittaker integral $W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)$, or equivalently $\eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s)$. From now on, to simplify notations, we use $w^{\prime}$ to denote the transpose of $w$ if no confusion arises.
Proposition 4.2.5. Assume that $\operatorname{sign}(T)=(p, q)$ with $p+q=3$ so that we have $4 \pi T \sim$ $\operatorname{diag}(a,-b)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{p}, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{q}$. Let $t=\operatorname{diag}(a, b)$. Then we have

$$
\eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s)=2^{6 s} e^{-t / 2}|\operatorname{det}(T)|^{2 s} \xi(T, s)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(T, s)=\int_{M} e^{-\left(a W+b W^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{det}(1+W)^{2 s} \zeta_{p}\left(Z a Z, s+2, s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \\
& \times \zeta_{q}\left(Z^{\prime} b Z^{\prime}, s, s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\mathbb{R}_{q}^{p}, W=w \cdot w^{\prime}$, $W^{\prime}=w^{\prime} w, Z=(1+W)^{1 / 2}$ and $Z^{\prime}=\left(1+W^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Proof. We may assume that $4 \pi T=k t^{\prime} k^{-1}$ where $k \in O(3)$ and $t^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}(a,-b)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s)=\eta\left(2 \pi, t^{\prime} /(4 \pi) ; s+2, s\right)=|\operatorname{det}(T)|^{2 s} \eta\left(t / 2,1_{p, q} ; s+2, s\right)
$$

where $1_{p, q}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1_{p},-1_{q}\right)$.
By [33, pp.289, (4.16),(4.18),(4.24)], we have

$$
\eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s)=2^{6 s} e^{-t / 2}|\operatorname{det}(T)|^{2 s} \xi(T, s) .
$$

Corollary 4.2.6. Suppose that $\operatorname{sign}(T)=(p, q)$ with $p+q=3$. Then $W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ with vanishing order

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} W_{T}(e, s, \Phi) \geq\left[\frac{q+1}{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.5, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}(e, s, \Phi) \sim \frac{\Gamma_{p}\left(s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q}\left(s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2) \Gamma_{3}(s)} \int_{F} e^{-\left(a W+b W^{*}\right)} \operatorname{det}(1+W)^{2 s} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\Gamma_{p}\left(s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right)} \zeta_{p}\left(Z a Z ; s+2, s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}\left(s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right)} \zeta_{q}\left(Z^{\prime} b Z^{\prime} ; s, s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where " $\sim$ " means up to nowhere vanishing entire function. Lemma 4.2.4 implies that the latter two factors in the integral are entire functions. Thus we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} W_{T}(e, s, \Phi) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{s=0} \frac{\Gamma_{p}\left(s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q}\left(s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{3}(s+2) \Gamma_{3}(s)}=\left[\frac{q+1}{2}\right] .
$$

Remark 4.2.1. 1. The same argument also applies to higher rank Whittaker integral. More precisely, let $V$ be the $n+1$-dimensional positive definite quadratic space and $\Phi_{0}$ be the standard Gaussian $e^{-2 \pi t r(x, x)}$ on $V^{n}$. Then for $T$ non-singular we have

$$
\operatorname{order}_{s=0} W_{T}\left(e, s, \Phi_{0}\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{s=0} \frac{\Gamma_{p}\left(s-\frac{n-p}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q}\left(s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{n}\left(s+\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \Gamma_{n}(s)}=\left[\frac{n-p+1}{2}\right]=\left[\frac{q+1}{2}\right] .
$$

And it is easy to see that when $T>0$ (namely, represented by $V$ ), $W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{0}\right)$ is non-vanishing. One immediately consequence is that: $W_{T}\left(e, s, \Phi_{0}\right)$ vanishes with order precisely one at $s=0$ only if the quadratic space with signature $(n-1,2)$ represents $T$. We will see by concrete computation for $n=3$ that the formula above actually gives the exact order of vanishing at $s=0$. It should be true for general $n$ but we have not tried to verify this.

Proposition 4.2.7. When $T>0$, we have

$$
W_{T}(e, 0, \Phi)=\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1} e^{-2 \pi T} .
$$

Proof. Near $s=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta(2 \pi, T ; s+2, s) \\
= & e^{-2 \pi T} \int_{x>0} e^{-2 \pi x} \operatorname{det}(x+2 T)^{s} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s-2} d x \\
= & e^{-2 \pi T}\left(\int_{x>0} e^{-2 \pi x} \operatorname{det}(2 T)^{s} \operatorname{det}(x)^{s-2} d x+O(s)\right) \\
= & e^{-2 \pi T}\left(\operatorname{det}(2 T)^{s}(2 \pi)^{-3 s} \Gamma_{3}(s)+O(s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\Gamma_{3}(s)=\pi^{3 / 2} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(s-1)
$$

has a double pole at $s=0$ and $\Gamma_{3}(s+2)$ is non-zero at $s=0$. Thus when $s=0$, we obtain

$$
W_{T}(e, 0, \Phi)=\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1} e^{-2 \pi T} .
$$

### 4.3 Indefinite Whittaker integrals

Now we consider a non-definite $T$. We will find certain nice integral representations of the central derivative of the Whittaker integral $W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)$ in the sequel when the sign of $T$ is $(p, q)=(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$ respectively.

Case $(p, q)=(1,2)$
Proposition 4.3.1. Suppose that $4 \pi T \sim \operatorname{diag}(a,-b), b=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{T}^{\prime}(e, 0, \Phi)= & -\frac{\kappa(0)}{2 \pi^{2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\left(a\left(1+w^{2}\right)+b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)\right)} \zeta_{2}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), 0, \frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \times\left(a\left(1+w^{2}\right)-1\right) d w_{1} d w_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ are the two eigenvalues of $b\left(1+w^{\prime} w\right)$ and $w^{2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$.
Proof. Recall by Prop. 4.2.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=\kappa(s) \Gamma_{3}(s+2)^{-1} \Gamma_{3}(s)^{-1} 2^{6 s} e^{-t / 2}|\operatorname{det}(T)|^{2 s} \xi(T, s), \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(T, s)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\left(a W+b W^{*}\right)} \operatorname{det}(1+W)^{-2 s} \zeta_{p}\left(Z a Z ; s+2, s-\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \\
& \times \zeta_{q}\left(Z^{\prime} b Z^{\prime} ; s, s+\frac{q+1}{2}\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(p, q)=(1,2), \zeta_{1}(Z a Z ; s+2, s-1)$ has a simple pole at $s=0$. We here recall a fact that will be used frequently later, namely $\zeta_{1}(z ; \alpha, \beta)$ satisfies a recursive relation ([33, pp. 282,(3.14)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \zeta_{1}(z, \alpha, \beta)=z \zeta_{1}(z, \alpha, \beta+1)-(\alpha-1) \zeta_{1}(z, \alpha-1, \beta+1) . \tag{4.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating this

$$
\begin{gathered}
(s-1) \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s-1)=z \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s)-(s+1) \zeta_{1}(z, s+1, s) \\
s \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s)=z \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s+1)-(s+1) \zeta_{1}(z, s+1, s+1) \\
s \zeta_{1}(z, s+1, s)=z \zeta_{1}(z, s+1, s+1)-s \zeta_{1}(z, s, s+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

we obtain the residue at $s=0$

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s-1)=-\left(z^{2} \zeta_{1}(z, 2,1)-2 z \zeta_{1}(z, 1,1)\right)
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\zeta_{1}(z, 1,1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x} d x=\frac{1}{z}
$$

and

$$
\zeta_{1}(z, 2,1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x}(x+1) d x=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z^{2}}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s-1)=-z+1 .
$$

Suppose that $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$. Note that $\Gamma_{3}(s)$ has a double pole at $s=0$ with leading term

$$
\Gamma_{3}(s)=2 \pi^{3 / 2} \Gamma(1 / 2) s^{-2}+\ldots=2 \pi^{2} s^{-2}+\ldots
$$

Since the trace $\operatorname{tr}(t)=a+b_{1}+b_{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{T}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)= & -\frac{\kappa(0)}{2 \pi^{2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2} \int_{F} e^{-\left(a\left(1+w^{2}\right)+b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)\right)} \zeta_{2}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), 0, \frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \times(Z a Z-1) d w_{1} d w_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we note that $Z=\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
The next result involves the exponential integral Ei defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E i(-z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z(1+t)}}{1+t} d t=e^{-z} \zeta_{1}(z, 0,1), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{4.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It satisfies

$$
\frac{d}{d z} E i(z)=\frac{e^{z}}{z}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Ei}(z)=\gamma+\log (-z)+\int_{0}^{z} \frac{e^{t}-1}{t} d t
$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant. Then it is easy to see that Ei(z) has logarithmic singularity near 0 .

Lemma 4.3.2. For simplicity, we will denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=F\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=e^{-\left(b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)\right)} \zeta\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), 0,3 / 2\right)=e^{-\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} \zeta\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), 0,3 / 2\right) . \tag{4.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
$W_{T}^{\prime}(e, 0, \Phi)=\frac{\kappa(0)}{8 \pi^{2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2-a}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)\left(2 w_{1} F_{1}+2 w_{2} F_{2}+\left(1+w^{2}\right) \Delta F\right) d w-4 \pi F(0)\right)$
where $F_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} F$ and $\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}}$ is the Laplace operator.
Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta e^{-a w^{2}}=4 a\left(a w^{2}-1\right) e^{-a w^{2}} \\
\nabla E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)=\frac{2 e^{-a w^{2}}}{w^{2}}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\Delta E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)=-4 a e^{-a w^{2}}
$$

We may thus rewrite our integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)} F(w)\left(a\left(1+w^{2}\right)-1\right) d w \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)}\left(a w^{2}-1\right) F(w) d w+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} a e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)} F(w) d w \\
= & 1 /(4 a) \int \Delta e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)} F(w) d w-(1 / 4) e^{-a} \int \Delta E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) F(w) d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Stokes theorem and noting that the function $\operatorname{Ei}(z)$ has a logarithmic singularity near $z=0$, the second term is equal to:

$$
-(1 / 4) e^{-a}\left(\int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) \Delta F d w-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_{r}} \nabla E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) F(w) n d s\right)
$$

where $C_{r}$ is the circle of radius $r$ centered at the origin. It is not hard to simplify it as

$$
-(1 / 4) e^{-a}\left(\int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) \Delta F d w-4 \pi F(0)\right)
$$

Again by Stokes theorem the first term is equal to

$$
-1 /(4 a) \int \nabla e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)} \cdot \nabla F=1 / 2 \int e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)}\left(w_{1} F_{1}+w_{2} F_{2}\right) d w
$$

Note that $\nabla E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)=\frac{2 e^{-a w^{2}}}{w^{2}}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$. This last term is equal to

$$
(1 / 4) e^{-a} \int \nabla E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) \cdot\left(w^{2} F_{1}, w^{2} F_{2}\right) .
$$

Apply Stokes again:

$$
-(1 / 4) e^{-a} \int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)\left(2 w_{1} F_{1}+2 w_{2} F_{2}+w^{2} \Delta F\right) d w
$$

Together we have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-a\left(1+w^{2}\right)} F(w)\left(a\left(1+w^{2}\right)-1\right) d w \\
= & -\frac{1}{4} e^{-a}\left(\int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)\left(2 w_{1} F_{1}+2 w_{2} F_{2}+\left(1+w^{2}\right) \Delta F\right) d w-4 \pi F(0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following we want to find nice integral representations of $F(w)(4.3 .4)$ and its various derivatives. First we deduce an integral expression of $\zeta_{2}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) ; 0, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ (recall (4.2.3)).

Lemma 4.3.3. For $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}_{2}(\mathbb{C})_{+}$, we have

$$
\zeta_{2}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) ; 0, \frac{3}{2}\right)=2 \int_{x>0} \int_{y>0} e^{-z_{1} x-z_{2} y}(x+1)^{-1}(y+1)^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{x y}}{\sqrt{(x+y+1)}} d x d y
$$

Proof. By definition $\zeta_{2}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) ; 0, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\int_{x>0} \int_{y>0} e^{-z_{1} x-z_{2} y} \int_{|t|<\sqrt{x y}}\left((x+1)(y+1)-t^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} d t d x d y .
$$

Substitute $t \rightarrow t(x+1)^{1 / 2}(y+1)^{1 / 2}$

$$
\int_{x>0} \int_{y>0} e^{-z_{1} x-z_{2} y}(x+1)^{-1}(y+1)^{-1} \int_{|t|<\frac{\sqrt{x y}}{\sqrt{(x+1)(y+1)}}}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} d t d x d y
$$

It is easy to calculate the inner integral

$$
\left.2\left[t\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right]\right|_{0} ^{\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{y}}}{\sqrt{(x+1)(y+1)}}}=2 \frac{\sqrt{x y}}{\sqrt{(x+y+1)}}
$$

Lemma 4.3.4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} F(w)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{1} w_{1}\right) d x \tag{4.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{11}(w):=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w_{1}^{2}} F=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} A_{11} d x \tag{4.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}= & -2 b_{1} w_{1}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{1} w_{1}\right)+\frac{\left(1+w^{2}\right)-2 w_{1}^{2}}{\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{2}} x+b_{1} \\
& +(-3 / 2) \frac{2 b_{1} b_{2} w_{1}+2 b_{1} w_{1} x}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)\left(x+z_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{1} w_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar formula for $w_{2}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4.3.3, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} F(w)=-2 \frac{\partial z_{1}}{\partial w_{1}} \int e^{-z_{1}(1+x)-z_{2}(1+y)} \frac{\sqrt{x y}}{(y+1) \sqrt{1+x+y}} d x d y-2 \frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial w_{1}} \ldots
$$

where we omit the similar term for $z_{2}$ and the integral is taken over $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. All integrals in this proof below are taken over $\mathbb{R}_{+}$which we hence omit.

Let us consider the integral right after $\frac{\partial z_{1}}{\partial w_{1}}$. Substitute $x \mapsto x(1+y)$ :

$$
\int e^{-z_{1} x-z_{2} y} \frac{\sqrt{x y}}{(y+1) \sqrt{1+x+y}} d x d y=\int e^{-z_{1} x(1+y)-z_{2} y} \frac{\sqrt{x(1+y) y}}{(y+1) \sqrt{1+x(1+y)+y}}(1+y) d x d y
$$

This can be simplified:

$$
\int e^{-z_{1} x-y\left(z_{1} x+z_{2}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{x y}}{\sqrt{1+x}} d x d y
$$

Substitute $y \mapsto y\left(z_{1} x+z_{2}\right)^{-1}$ and separate variables:

$$
\int e^{-z_{1} x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{1+x}\left(z_{1} x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x \int e^{-y} y^{1 / 2} d y .
$$

Substitute $x \mapsto x z_{1}^{-1}$ :

$$
\frac{1}{z_{1}} \Gamma(3 / 2) \int e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x+z_{1}}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x .
$$

We have similar expression for the integral right after $\frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial w_{1}}$. Thus we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} F(w)=-2 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \log \left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) x+\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right) d x .
$$

Note that $z_{1} z_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}\left(1+w^{2}\right), z_{1}+z_{2}=b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \log \left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)=\frac{2 w_{1}}{1+w^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)=2 b_{1} w_{1}
$$

From this we deduce further that

$$
F_{11}(w)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} A_{11} d x
$$

where

$$
A_{11}=-2 b_{1} w_{1}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{1} w_{1}\right)+\frac{\left(1+w^{2}\right)-2 w_{1}^{2}}{\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{2}} x+b_{1}+\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{2 b_{1} b_{2} w_{1}+2 b_{1} w_{1} x}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)\left(x+z_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{1} w_{1}\right)
$$

Similarly we have

$$
F_{22}(w)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} A_{22} d x
$$

where

$$
A_{22}=-2 b_{2} w_{2}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{2} w_{2}\right)+\frac{\left(1+w^{2}\right)-2 w_{2}^{2}}{\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{2}} x+b_{2}+\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{2 b_{1} b_{2} w_{2}+2 b_{2} w_{2} x}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)\left(x+z_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{1+w^{2}} x+b_{2} w_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 4.3.5. We have

$$
W_{T}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)=\frac{\kappa(0)}{8 \pi^{2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2-a}\left(-4 \pi e^{-b_{1}-b_{2}} \zeta_{2}\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), 0,3 / 2\right)+\xi(T)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(T)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{-2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(2 z_{1} z_{2}-2 b_{1} b_{2}-z_{1}-z_{2}\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Recall that we have

$$
W_{T}^{\prime}(e, 0, \Phi)=\frac{\kappa(0)}{8 \pi^{2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2-a}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)\left(2 w_{1} F_{1}+2 w_{2} F_{2}+\left(1+w^{2}\right) \Delta F\right) d w-4 \pi F(0)\right) .
$$

By Lemma 4.3.4, we obtain that

$$
\Delta F(w)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} A d x
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=-2 \frac{b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}}{1+w^{2}} x-2\left(b_{1}^{2} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{2}{\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{2}} x+b_{1}+b_{2} \\
& +\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{2}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)\left(x+z_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}}{1+w^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{b_{1} b_{2} w^{2}}{1+w^{2}} x+\left(b_{1}^{2} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\right) x+b_{1} b_{2}\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And we have

$$
2\left(w_{1} F_{1}(w)+w_{2} F_{2}(w)\right)=-4 \Gamma(3 / 2) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} B d x
$$

where

$$
B=\frac{2 w^{2} x}{1+w^{2}}+2\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Together we obtain
$\left(2\left(w_{1} F_{1}(w)+w_{2} F_{2}(w)\right)+\left(1+w^{2}\right) \Delta F\right)(-4 \Gamma(3 / 2))^{-1}=e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-x} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(x+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} C d x$,
where $C$ is given by
$-2\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}-1\right) x-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+2 b_{1} b_{2} w^{2}-2\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}$
$-2\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(b_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)\right)-3\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} \frac{x}{\left(x+z_{1}\right)\left(x+z_{2}\right)}$.
We substitute $x \mapsto u^{2}$ and change the domain of integration from $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$to $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(2\left(w_{1} F_{1}(w)+w_{2} F_{2}(w)\right)+\left(1+w^{2}\right) \Delta F\right)(-4 \Gamma(3 / 2))^{-1}=e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} C d u$.
To finish the proof we need to compare the integral in the RHS of the above with:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}}\left(\frac{-2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\left(2 z_{1} z_{2}-2 b_{1} b_{2}-z_{1}-z_{2}\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) d u
$$

which is also equal to

$$
\int e^{-u^{2}} \frac{-2\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}-1\right) u^{4}-2\left(b_{1} w_{1}^{2}+b_{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) u^{2}+\left(2 b_{1} b_{2} w^{2}+z_{1}+z_{2}\right) u^{2}}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u
$$

Therefore it suffices to prove that the following integral vanishes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2} \int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right) w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-u^{2}} \frac{2 u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3 u^{4}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{5 / 2}} d u d w \\
& +\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \int E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}\right) e^{-z_{1}-z_{2}} \int e^{-u^{2}} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d u d w
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition $E i\left(-a w^{2}\right)=-\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-a w^{2} u} u^{-1} d u$, it suffices to prove that the following integral vanishes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \int e^{-a w^{2}-b_{1} w_{1}^{2}-b_{2} w_{2}^{2}} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} \int e^{-u^{2}} \frac{2 u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3 u^{4}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{5 / 2}} d u d w \\
& +\int e^{-a w^{2}-b_{1} w_{1}^{2}-b_{2} w_{2}^{2}}\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}\right) \int e^{-u^{2}} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d u d w
\end{aligned}
$$

We substitute $X=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$ and $Y=w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}$. Then we have

$$
d X d Y=2 w_{1} w_{2} d w_{1} d w_{2}=\sqrt{X^{2}-Y^{2}} d w_{1} d w_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int e^{-a w^{2}-b_{1} w_{1}^{2}-b_{2} w_{2}^{2}}\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d w \\
= & \int_{X \geq 0} \int_{-X \leq Y \leq X} e^{-\left(a+b_{1} / 2+b_{2} / 2\right) X-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) Y / 2} Y \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} \frac{d Y}{\sqrt{X^{2}-Y^{2}}} d X .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply integration by parts to the inner integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{X \geq 0} e^{-\left(a+b_{1} / 2+b_{2} / 2\right) X} \int_{-X \leq Y \leq X} e^{-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) Y / 2} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d \sqrt{X^{2}-Y^{2}} d X \\
& =\int_{X \geq 0} e^{-\left(a+b_{1} / 2+b_{2} / 2\right) X} \int_{-X \leq Y \leq X} \sqrt{X^{2}-Y^{2}} e^{-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) Y / 2} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& \left(-\frac{b_{1}-b_{2}}{2}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{b_{1}-b_{2}}{2} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)}\right) d Y d X .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may simplify it and plug back

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) / 2 \int_{X \geq 0} e^{-\left(a+b_{1} / 2+b_{2} / 2\right) X} \int_{-X \leq Y \leq X} \sqrt{X^{2}-Y^{2}} e^{-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) Y / 2} \frac{u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& \left(2+\frac{3 u^{2}}{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)}\right) d Y d X \\
& =-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \int e^{-a w^{2}-b_{1} w_{1}^{2}-b_{2} w_{2}^{2}} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{2 u^{2}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3 u^{4}}{\left(\left(u^{2}+z_{1}\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}\right)\right)^{5 / 2}}\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the desired vanishing result.
Finally note that $F(0)=e^{-b_{1}-b_{2}} \zeta_{2}\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), 0,3 / 2\right)$ and we complete the proof.

Case $(p, q)=(2,1)$
Lemma 4.3.6. $\zeta_{2}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] ; s+2, s-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ has a simple pole at $s=0$ with residue given by $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(4 z_{1} z_{2}-\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1\right)}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{4 z_{1} z_{2}-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}+2}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u\right)$.

Proof. By [33, pp. 283], we have an integral representation when $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{2}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] ; s+2, s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z_{2} w^{2}}\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{2 s-1 / 2} \zeta_{1}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} w^{2}, s+2, s-1 / 2\right) \zeta_{1}\left(z_{2}\left(1+w^{2}\right), s+3 / 2, s-1\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.3.2) we have

$$
(s-1 / 2) \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s-1 / 2)=z \zeta_{1}(z, s+2, s+1 / 2)+(-s-1) \zeta_{1}(z, s+1, s+1 / 2)
$$

It is easy to obtain

$$
\zeta_{1}(z, 2,1 / 2)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x}(1+x) x^{-1 / 2} d x=z^{-1 / 2} \Gamma(1 / 2)+z^{-3 / 2} \Gamma(3 / 2)
$$

and

$$
\zeta_{1}(z, 1,1 / 2)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x} x^{-1 / 2} d x=z^{-1 / 2} \Gamma(1 / 2)
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\zeta_{1}(z, 2,-1 / 2)=-\Gamma(1 / 2) z^{-1 / 2}(2 z-1) .
$$

By (4.3.2) again we have

$$
(s-1) \zeta_{1}(z, s+3 / 2, s-1)=z \zeta_{1}(z, s+3 / 2, s)+(-s-1 / 2) \zeta_{1}(z, s+1 / 2, s)
$$

We may obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \zeta_{1}(z, s+3 / 2, s-1) \\
= & -z\left(z \zeta_{1}(z, 3 / 2,1)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1}(z, 1 / 2,1)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(z \zeta_{1}(z, 1 / 2,1)+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1}(z,-1 / 2,1)\right) \\
= & -z^{2} \zeta_{1}(z, 3 / 2,1)+z \zeta_{1}(z, 1 / 2,1)+\frac{1}{4} \zeta_{1}(z,-1 / 2,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying integration by parts to the first and third integrals, we may evaluate the sum:

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \zeta_{1}(z, s+3 / 2, s-1)=-z+\frac{1}{2}
$$

Therefore we obtain the residue of $\zeta_{2}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] ; s+2, s-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ as an integral

$$
2 \Gamma(1 / 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z_{2} w^{2}}\left(1+w^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} w^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} w^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(z_{2}\left(1+w^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right) d w
$$

Substitute $u=z_{2} w^{2}$ to obtain

$$
2 \Gamma(1 / 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-u}\left(z_{1}+u\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(z_{2}+u\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(u+z_{1}-1 / 2\right)\left(u+z_{2}-1 / 2\right) u^{-1 / 2} d u
$$

Now let $A=z_{1}+z_{2}-1 / 2, B=-1 / 2$ so that $A+B=z_{1}+z_{2}-1$. Then we can split the integral into three pieces:

$$
\int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}-1 / 2\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}-1 / 2\right)}{\left(z_{1}+u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(z_{2}+u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u=I+I I+I I I
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{u^{4}+A u^{2}}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u \\
& I I=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{B u^{2}-1 / 4}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I I I=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{4 z_{1} z_{2}-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}+2}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u .
$$

Now, we rewrite the first integral and apply integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u^{3}+A u}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d e^{-u^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}}\left(\frac{3 u^{2}+A}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\left(u^{3}+A u\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(4 u^{3}+2 u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right)}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be simplified

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(A\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2} A-z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u
$$

Notice that the first term cancels $I I$. Plugging $A=z_{1}+z_{2}-1 / 2$ into the above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(u^{2}+z_{1}-1 / 2\right)\left(u^{2}+z_{2}-1 / 2\right)}{\left(z_{1}+u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(z_{2}+u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(4 z_{1} z_{2}-\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1\right)}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{4 z_{1} z_{2}-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}+2}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.3.7. Suppose that $4 \pi T \sim \operatorname{diag}(a,-b), a=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Then we have an integral representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)=-\frac{\kappa(0)}{4 \pi^{3 / 2} \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{t / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} E i\left(-b\left(1+w^{2}\right)\right) e^{-a_{1}\left(1+w_{1}^{2}\right)-a_{2}\left(1+w_{2}^{2}\right)} d w \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(4 z_{1} z_{2}-\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+2 z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}-1\right)}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d u+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{4 z_{1} z_{2}-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}+2}{\left(u^{4}+u^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{1}, z_{2}$ are the two eigenvalues of $Z a Z$.
Proof. Recall by Prop. 4.2.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=\kappa(s) \Gamma_{3}(s+2)^{-1} \Gamma_{3}(s)^{-1} 2^{6 s} e^{t / 2}|\operatorname{det}(T)|^{2 s} \xi(T, s) \tag{4.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(T, s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\left(a W+b W^{*}\right)} \operatorname{det}(1+W)^{-2 s} \zeta_{2}\left(Z a Z ; s+2, s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \times \zeta_{1}\left(Z^{\prime} b Z^{\prime} ; s, s+1\right) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\zeta_{1}(z ; 0,1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-z x}(x+1)^{-1} d x=-e^{z} E i(-z)
$$

Now the statement follows from the previous Lemma and that $\Gamma_{3}(s)=2 \pi^{2} s^{-2}+\ldots$

### 4.4 Holomorphic projection

In this section, we want to study holomorphic projection of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$.
Firstly let us try to study holomorphic projection for a cusp form $\varphi$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. Fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi$ of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$, say $\psi=\psi_{0} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}}$ with $\psi_{0}$ the standard additive character on $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and let $W$ be the corresponding Whittaker function:

$$
W_{\varphi}(g)=\int_{F \backslash \mathbb{A}} \varphi(n(b) g) \psi(-b) d b .
$$

Then $\varphi$ has a Fourier expansion

$$
\varphi(g)=\sum_{a \in F^{\times}} W_{\varphi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) g\right) .
$$

We say that $\varphi$ is holomorphic of weight 2 , if $W_{\Phi}=W_{\infty} \cdot W_{f}$ has a decomposition with $W_{\infty}$ satisfying the following properties:

$$
W_{\infty}(g)= \begin{cases}y e^{2 \pi i(x+i y)} e^{2 i \theta} & \text { if } y>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for the decomposition of $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
g=z\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For any Whittaker function $W$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ which is holomorphic of weight 2 as above with $W_{f}\left(g_{f}\right)$ compactly supported modulo $Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) N\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, the Poinaré series is define as follows:

$$
\varphi_{W}(g):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \sum_{\gamma \in Z(F) N(F) \backslash G(F)} W(\gamma g) \delta(\gamma g)^{t},
$$

where

$$
\delta(g)=\left|a_{\infty} / d_{\infty}\right|, \quad g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right) k, \quad k \in K
$$

where $K$ is the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. Let $\varphi$ be a cusp form and assume that both $W$ and $\varphi$ have the same central character. Then we can compute their inner product as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi, \varphi_{W}\right) & =\int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) \bar{\varphi}_{W}(g) d g \\
& =\lim _{t \longrightarrow 0} \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) N(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) \bar{W}(g) \delta(g)^{t} d g \\
& =\lim _{t \longrightarrow 0} \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) N(\mathbb{A}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} W_{\varphi}(g) \bar{W}(g) \delta(g)^{t} d g . \tag{4.4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\varphi_{0}$ be the holomorphic projection of $\varphi$ in the space of holomorphic forms of weight 2. Then we may write

$$
W_{\Phi_{0}}(g)=W_{\infty}\left(g_{\infty}\right) W_{\varphi_{0}}\left(g_{f}\right)
$$

with $W_{\infty}$ as above. Then (11.1) is a product of integrals over finite places and integrals at infinite places:

$$
\int_{Z(\mathbb{R}) N(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left|W_{\infty}\left(g_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d g=\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2} e^{-4 \pi y} d y / y^{2}=(4 \pi)^{-1}
$$

In other words, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi, \varphi_{W}\right)=(4 \pi)^{-g} \int_{Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) N\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)} W_{\varphi_{0}}\left(g_{f}\right) \bar{W}\left(g_{f}\right) d g_{f} \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\bar{W}$ can be any Whittaker function with compact support modulo $Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) N\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, the combination of (10.1) and (10.2) gives
Lemma 4.4.1. Let $\varphi$ be a cusp form with trivial central character at each infinite place. Then the holomorphic projection $\varphi_{0}$ of $\Phi$ has Wittacher function $W_{\infty}\left(g_{\infty}\right) W_{\varphi_{0}}\left(g_{f}\right)$ with $W_{\varphi_{0}}\left(g_{f}\right)$ given as follows:

$$
W_{\varphi_{0}}\left(g_{f}\right)=(4 \pi)^{g} \lim _{t \longrightarrow 0+} \int_{Z\left(F_{\infty}\right) N\left(F_{\infty}\right) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(F_{\infty}\right)} W_{\varphi}\left(g_{\infty} g_{f}\right) \bar{W}_{\infty}\left(g_{\infty}\right) \delta\left(g_{\infty}\right)^{t} d g_{\infty}
$$

For more details, see [36, §6.4,6.5].

## 5 Local triple height pairings

In this section, we want to compute the local triple height pairings of Hecke operators at the unramified places and archimedean places.

For unramified places, we first study the modular interpretation of Hecke operators and reduce the question to the work of Gross-Keating on deforming endomorphisms of formal groups.

For archimedean places, we introduce Green functions for Hecke correspondences and compute their star product. The hard part is to relate the star product to the archimedean Whittaker function.

### 5.1 Modular interpretation of Hecke operators

In this section, we would like to study the reduction of Hecke operators. For an $x \in \mathbb{V}$ with positive norm in $F$, the cycle $Z(x)_{K}$ is the graph of the Hecke operator given by the coset $U x U$. Namely, $Z(x)_{K}$ is the correspondence defined by maps:

$$
Z(x)_{K} \simeq Y_{U \cap x U x^{-1}} \longrightarrow Y_{U} \times Y_{U}
$$

## Moduli interpretation at an archimedean place

First let us give some moduli interpretation of Hecke operators at an archimedean place $\tau$. Let $B=B(\tau)$ be the nearby quaternion algebra. If we decompose $U x U=\coprod x_{i} U$, then $Z(x)_{K}$ as a correspondence sends one object $(V, h, \bar{\kappa})$ to sum of $\left(V, h, \overline{\kappa x_{i}}\right)$. In other words, we may write abstractly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(x)_{K}(V, h, \bar{\kappa})=\sum_{i}\left(V_{i}, h_{i}, \bar{\kappa}_{i}\right), \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over the isomorphism class of $\left(V_{i}, h_{i}, \bar{\kappa}_{i}\right)$ such that there is an isomorphism $y_{i}:\left(V_{i}, h_{i}\right) \longrightarrow(V, h)$ such that the induced diagram is commutative:


Replacing $\kappa$ and $\kappa_{i}$ by equivalent classes, we may assume that $x_{i}=x$. Thus the subvariety $Z(x)_{K}$ of $M_{K}$ parameterizes the triple:

$$
\left(V_{1}, h_{1}, \bar{\kappa}_{1}\right), \quad\left(V_{2}, h_{2}, \bar{\kappa}_{2}\right), \quad y,
$$

where the first two are objects as described as above for $\bar{k}_{1}$ and $\bar{k}_{2}$ level structures modulo $U_{1}:=U \cap x U x^{-1}$ and $U_{2}=U \cap x^{-1} U x$ respectively, and $y:\left(V_{2}, h_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(V_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ such that
the diagram

is commutative.
Now we want to describe the above moduli interpretation with an integral Hodge structure with respect to a maximal open compact subgroup of the form $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{B}^{\times}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\times}$containing $U$, where $\mathscr{O}_{B}$ is a maximal order of $B$. Let $V_{0, \mathbb{Z}}=\mathscr{O}_{B}$ as an $\mathscr{O}_{B}$-lattice in $V_{0}$. Then for any triple ( $V, h, \bar{\kappa}$ ) we obtain a triple $\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, h, \bar{\kappa}\right)$ with $V_{\mathbb{Z}}=\kappa\left(V_{0 \mathbb{Z}}\right)$ which satisfies the analogous properties as above. In fact, $M_{U}$ parameterizes such integral triples. The Hecke operator $Z(x)_{K}$ has the following expression:

$$
Z(x)_{K}\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, h, \bar{\kappa}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(V_{i \mathbb{Z}}, h_{i}, \kappa_{i}\right)
$$

where $V_{i \mathbb{Z}}=\kappa_{i}\left(V_{0 \mathbb{Z}}\right)$. We can't replace terms in the above diagram by integral lattices as $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ only define a quasi-isogeny:

$$
y_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{B}}\left(V_{i \mathbb{Z}}, V_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \otimes F, \quad x_{i} \in \widehat{B}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{O}_{B}}\left(\widehat{V}_{0, \mathbb{Z}}\right) \otimes F .
$$

When $U$ is sufficiently small, we have universal objects $\left(V_{U}, h, \bar{k}\right),\left(V_{U, \mathbb{Z}}, h, \bar{\kappa}\right)$. We will also consider the divisible $\mathscr{O}_{B}$-module $\widetilde{V}_{U}=\widehat{V}_{U} / \widehat{V}_{U, \mathbb{Z}}$. The subvariety $Z(x)_{K}$ also has a universal object $y: V_{U_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{U_{1}}$.

Let us return to curves $Y_{U}$ over $F$. Though the rational structure $V$ at a point on $Y_{U}$ does not make sense, the local system $\widehat{V}$ and $\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ make sense as $\mathbb{B}_{f}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{f}}$ modules respectively. The Hecke operator parameterizes the morphism $\widehat{y}: \widehat{V}_{U_{2}} \longrightarrow \widehat{V}_{U_{1}}$.

## Modular interpretation at a finite place

We would like to give a moduli interpretation for the Zariski closure $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}$ of $Z(x)_{K}$. The isogeny $y: \widehat{V}_{U_{2}} \longrightarrow \widehat{V}_{U_{1}}$ induces a quasi-isogeny on divisible $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{f}}$-modules. For prime to $v$ part, this is the same as over generic fiber. We need to describe the quasi-isogeny on formal modules. First lets us assume that $U_{v}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}^{\times}$is maximal.

If $v$ is not split in $\mathbb{B}$, then $U_{1 v}=U_{2 v}=U_{v}$. Thus the condition on $y_{v}$ on the generic fiber is just required to have order equal to $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(x))$. Hence $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}$ parameterizes the quasiisogeny of pairs whose order at $v$ has order $x$. Recall from $\S 5.3$ in our Asia journal paper that the notion of quasi-isogeny as quasi-isogeny of divisible module which can be lifted to the generic fiber.

If $v$ is split in $\mathbb{B}$, then we may choose an isomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}=M_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)$. Then the formal module $\mathscr{A}$ is a direct sum $\mathscr{E} \oplus \mathscr{E}$ where $\mathscr{E}$ is a divisible $\mathscr{O}_{F}$-module of dimension 1 and height 2. By replacing $x$ by an element in $U_{v} x U_{v}$ we may assume that $x_{v}$ is diagonal:
$x_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varpi^{c} & \\ & \varpi^{d}\end{array}\right)$ with $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \leq d$. It is clear that the condition on $y$ on the generic fiber is a composition of a scalar multiplication by $\varpi_{v}^{c}$ (as a quasi-isogeny) and an isogeny with kernel isomorphic to the cyclic module $\mathscr{O}_{v} / \varpi^{d-a} \mathscr{O}_{v}$. Thus the scheme $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}$ parameterizes quasi-isogenies $f$ of geometric points of type $(c, d)$ in the following sense:

1. the $v$-component $\varpi^{-c} y_{v}: \mathscr{E}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{1}$ is an isogeny;
2. the kernel of $\varpi^{-c} y_{v}$ is cyclic of order $d-c$ in the sense that it is the image of a homomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{v} / \varpi^{d-c} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2}$.

We also call such a quasi-isogeny of type $(a, b)$. Notice that the number $a, b$ can be defined without reference to $U_{v}$. Indeed, $a$ is the minimal integer such that $\varpi^{-a} x_{v}$ is integral over $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ and that $a+b=\operatorname{ord}\left(\operatorname{det} x_{v}\right)$.

### 5.2 Supersingular points on Hecke correspondences

For a geometric point in $M_{K}$ with formal object $\mathscr{E}_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{2}$, by Serre-Tate theory, the formal neighborhood $\mathscr{D}$ is the product of universal deformations $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{i}$. The divisor of $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}^{s s}$ in this neighborhood is defined as the sum of the universal deformation of quasi-isogenies. In the following, we want to study the behaviors of this divisor in a formal neighborhood of a pair of surpersingular points on $M_{K}$ when $U=U_{v} U^{v}$ with $U_{v}$ maximal.

## Supersingular points on $Y_{U}$ and $M_{K}$

Recall from $\S 5.4$ in our Asia journal paper, all supersingular points on $Y_{U}$ are isogenous to each other. Fix one of the supersingular point $P_{0}$ representing the triple $\left(\mathscr{A}_{0}, \widetilde{V}_{0}^{v}, \bar{\kappa}_{0}^{v}\right)$. Let $B=\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(P_{0}\right)$ which is a quaternion algebra over $F$ obtained from $\mathbb{B}$ by changing invariants at $v$. We may use $\kappa_{0}$ to identify $\widetilde{V}_{0}$ with $\widehat{V}_{0} / \widehat{V}_{0 \mathbb{Z}}$. The action of $\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times}$and $\left(\mathbb{B}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times}$both acts on $\widetilde{V}_{0}$. We may use $\kappa_{0}$ to identify them. In this way, the set $\mathscr{Y}_{U}^{s s}$ of supersingular point is identified with

$$
\mathscr{Y}_{U, v}^{s s}=B_{0} \backslash\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}
$$

so that the element $g \in\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times}$represents the triple

$$
\left(\mathscr{A}_{0}, \widehat{V}_{0}^{v}, g U^{v}\right)
$$

where $B_{0}$ means the subgroup of $B^{\times}$of elements with order 0 at $v$.
The supersingular points on $M_{K}$ will be represented by a pairs of elements in $\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times}$ with the same norm. Thus we can describe the set of supersingular points on $\mathscr{M}_{K}$ using orthogonal space $V=(B, q)$ and the Spin similitudes:

$$
H=\operatorname{GSpin}(V)=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in B^{\times}, \quad \nu\left(g_{1}\right)=\nu\left(g_{2}\right)\right\},
$$

which acts as

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) x=g_{1} x g_{2}^{-1}, \quad g_{i} \in B^{\times}, x \in V .
$$

We then have a bijection

$$
\mathscr{M}_{K, v}^{s s} \simeq H(F)_{0} \backslash H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v} .
$$

Supersingular points on $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}$
The set $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K, v}^{s s}$ of supersingular points on the cycle $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}$ represents the isogeny $y$ : $P_{2} \longrightarrow P_{1}$ of two supersingular points of level $U_{1}=U \cap x U x^{-1}$ and $U_{2}=U \cap x^{-1} U x$. In terms of triples as above, $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K}^{s s}$ represents equivalent classes of the triples $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, y\right)$ of elements $g_{i} \in\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U_{i}$ and $y \in B^{\times}$with following properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{-1} y^{v} g_{2}=x^{v}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{v}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(x_{v}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{v}\left(q\left(y_{v}\right)\right) \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two triples $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, y\right)$ and $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if there is a $\gamma_{i} \in B_{0}^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i} g_{i}=g_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \gamma_{1} y \gamma_{2}^{-1}=y^{\prime} \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.2.1), the norms of $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are in the same class modulo $F_{+}^{\times}$. Thus by (5.2.2) we may modify them so that they have the same norm. Thus in term of the group $H$, we may rewrite condition (5.2.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{v}=g^{-1} y^{v}, \quad g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) \tag{5.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is always solvable in $g, y$ for given $x$. Indeed, since the norm of $x$ is positive, we have an element $y \in B$ with the same norm as $x$. Then there is a $g \in H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)$ such that $x=g^{-1} y^{v}$ in $\widehat{V}^{v}$. In summary, we have shown the following description of $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K, v}^{s s}$ :
Lemma 5.2.1. Let $(y, g)$ be a solution to (5.2.3) and $H_{y}$ be the stabilizer of $y$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K, v}^{s s} & =H(F)_{0} \backslash H(F)_{0}\left(H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) g\right) K^{v} / K^{v} \\
& \simeq H_{y}(F)_{0} \backslash H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{y}:=H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) \cap g K^{v} g^{-1}$.

## Supersingular formal neighborhood on Hecke operators

Let $\mathscr{H}_{v}$ be the universal deformation of $\mathscr{A}_{0}$. Then the union of universal deformation of supersingular points is given by

$$
\widehat{\mathscr{Y}_{U}^{s s}}:=B_{0} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{v} \times\left(B \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}
$$

Notice that $\mathscr{H}_{v}$ is a formal scheme over $\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\text {ur }}$. Thus the formal completion of $\mathscr{M}_{K}$ along its supersingular points is given by

$$
\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{K}^{s s}}:=H(F)_{0} \backslash \mathscr{D}_{v} \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}
$$

where $\mathscr{D}_{v}=\mathscr{H}_{v} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\text {ur }}} \mathscr{H}_{v}$. Let $\mathscr{D}_{y}(c, d)$ be the divisor of $\mathscr{D}$ defined by universal deformation of $y$ of type $(c, d)$.

Lemma 5.2.2. Let $H_{y}$ be the stabilizer of $y$. Then for any $g \in H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)$, the formal neighborhood of $\mathscr{Z}(x)_{K, v}^{s s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathscr{Z}}(x)_{K}^{s s} & =H(F)_{0} \backslash H(F)_{0}\left(\mathscr{D}_{y}(c, d) \times H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) g\right) K^{v} / K^{v} \\
& \simeq H_{y}(F) \backslash \mathscr{D}_{f}(c, d) \times H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K_{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{y}=H_{y}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) \cap g K^{v} g^{-1}$.

### 5.3 Local intersection at unramified place

In this section, we want to study the local intersection at a finite place $v$ which is split in $\mathbb{B}$.
We still work on $\mathbb{H}=\operatorname{GSpin}(\mathbb{V})$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be three vectors in $K \backslash \mathbb{V}_{f}$ such that the cycles $\mathscr{Z}\left(x_{i}\right)_{K}$ intersects properly in the integral model $\mathscr{M}_{K}$ of $M_{K}$. This means that there are no $k_{i} \in K$ such that the space

$$
\sum F k_{i} x_{i}
$$

is one or two dimensional with totally positive norms.
First let us consider the case where $U_{v}$ is maximal. We want to compute the intersection index at a geometric point $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ in the spacial fiber over a finite prime $v$ of $F$. The non-zero intersection of the three cycles will imply that there are three quasi-isogenies $y_{i}: P_{2} \longrightarrow P_{1}$ with type determined by $x_{i}$ 's. Notice that $P_{1}$ is ordinary (resp. supersingular) if and only if $P_{2}$ is ordinary (resp. supersingular).

If they both are ordinary, then we have canonical liftings $\widetilde{P}_{i}$ to CM-points on the generic fiber. Since

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\widetilde{P}_{1}, \widetilde{P}_{2}\right)
$$

all $y_{i}$ can be also lifted to quasi-isogenies of $\widetilde{y}_{i}: \widetilde{P}_{2} \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_{1}$. This will contradict the assumption that the three cycles $Z\left(x_{i}\right)_{K}$ have no intersection. It follows that all $P_{i}$ 's are supersingular points.

Now lets us assume that all $P_{i}$ 's are supersingular. Then we have the nearby quaternion algebra $B$ and quadratic space $(V, q)$ as before. By Lemma (5.2.2), we know that $\mathscr{Z}\left(x_{i}\right)_{K}^{s s}$ has an extension

$$
\widehat{\mathscr{Z}}\left(x_{i}\right)_{K}^{s s}=H_{f}(F) \backslash \mathscr{D}_{y_{i}}\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right) \times H_{y_{i}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K_{y_{i}}
$$

on the formal neighborhood of supersingular points:

$$
\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{K}^{s s}}=H(F)_{0} \backslash \mathscr{D} \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}
$$

Here $c_{i}, d_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\varpi^{c_{i}} & \\ & \varpi^{d_{i}}\end{array}\right) \in U_{v} x_{i v} U_{v}$, and $\left(y_{i}, g_{i}\right) \in B \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)$ such that $g_{i}^{-1}\left(y_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{v}$ in $\mathbb{V}_{f}^{v}$. If these three has nontrivial intersection at a supersingular point represented by $g \in H(F)_{0} \backslash H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}$, then we can write $g_{i}=g k_{i}$ with some $k_{i} \in K^{v}$. The intersection scheme $\mathscr{Z}\left(k_{1} x_{1}, k_{2} x_{2}, k_{3} x_{3}\right)_{K}$ is represented by

$$
\mathscr{Z}\left(k_{1} x_{1}, k_{2} x_{2}, k_{3} x_{3}\right)_{K}=\left[\mathscr{D}_{y_{1}}\left(c_{1}, d_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{D}_{y_{2}}\left(c_{2}, d_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{D}_{y_{3}}\left(c_{3}, d_{3}\right) \times g\right]
$$

on $\mathscr{D}$, here $y=\left(y_{i}\right) \in V^{3}$ and $c=\left(c_{i}\right), d=\left(d_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$. As this intersection is proper, the space generated by $y_{i}$ 's is three dimensional and positive definite. Notice that $g \in H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}$ is completely determined by the condition $g^{-1} y_{i} \in K^{v} x_{i}^{v}$. Thus we have that the total intersection at supersingular points is given by

$$
\mathscr{Z}\left(x_{1}\right)_{K} \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(x_{2}\right)_{K} \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(x_{3}\right)_{K}:=\sum_{k x^{v} \in K^{v} \backslash\left(K x_{1}^{v}, K x_{2}^{v}, K x_{3}^{v}\right)} \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}\left(k_{1} x_{1}, k_{2} x_{2}, k_{3} x_{3}\right)_{K},
$$

where sum runs through cosets such that $k_{i} x_{i}^{v}$ generated a subspace of dimension 3 .
In the following, we let us compute the intersection at $v$ for cycles $\mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{i}\right)$ for $\Phi_{i} \in$ $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$. Assume that $\Phi_{i}(x)=\Phi_{i}^{v}\left(x^{v}\right) \Phi_{i v}\left(x_{v}\right)$. By the above discussion, we see that the total supersingular intersection is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{3}\right) & =\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{x_{i} \in \tilde{K} \backslash \mathbb{V}} \Phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \mathscr{Z}\left(x_{i}\right)_{K} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \sum_{x^{v} \in \widetilde{K}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}^{v}\right)_{+}^{3}} \sum_{x_{v} \in K_{v}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}_{v}\right)_{x^{v}}^{3}} \Phi(x) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}(x)_{K} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \sum_{x^{v} \in \widetilde{K}^{v} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}^{v}\right)_{+}^{3}} \Phi^{v}\left(x^{v}\right) m\left(x^{v}, \Phi_{v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\widehat{V})_{+}^{3}$ denote the set of elements $x^{v} \in\left(\widehat{V}^{v}\right)^{3}$ such that the intersection matrix of $x_{i}^{v}$ as a symmetric elements in $M_{3}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)$ takes entries in $F_{+},\left(V_{v}\right)_{x^{v}}^{3}$ denote the set of elements $\left(x_{i_{v}}\right)$ with norm equal to the norms of $\left(x_{i}^{v}\right)$, and

$$
m\left(x^{v}, \Phi_{v}\right)=\sum_{x_{v} \in K_{v}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}_{v}\right)_{x^{v}}^{3}} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v}\right) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}\left(x^{v}, x_{v}\right)_{K} .
$$

We note that the volume factor $\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K})$ is product of the volume of the image of $K_{v}$ in $S O\left(V_{v}\right)$ with respect to the Tamagawa measure (cf. Notations). And by definition it also includes the archimedean factor $\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right)$.

In order to compare the above with theta series, let us rewrite the intersection in terms of the quadartic space $V=B$. Notice that every $x^{v}$ can be written as $x^{v}=g^{-1}(y)$ with $y \in(V)_{+}^{3}$ of elements with non-degenerate moment matrix. Thus we have

$$
\mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)=\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \sum_{y \in H(F) \backslash V_{+}^{3}} \sum_{g \in H\left(\mathbb{A}^{v}\right) / \widetilde{K}^{v}} \Phi^{v}\left(g^{-1} y\right) m\left(y, \Phi_{v}\right)_{K},
$$

where for $y \in\left(V_{v}\right)^{3}$

$$
m\left(y, \Phi_{v}\right)=\sum_{x_{v} \in K_{v}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}_{v}\right)_{x^{v}}^{3}} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v}\right) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}\left(y, x_{v}\right)_{K}
$$

This is a pseudo-theta series (cf. [36]) if $m\left(\cdot, \Phi_{v}\right)$ has no singularity over $y \in\left(V_{v}\right)^{3}$.

In the following we want to deduce a formula for the intersection using the work of GrossKeating. For a element $y \in B_{v}$ with integral norm, let $\mathscr{T}_{y}$ denote the universal deformation divisor on $\mathscr{D}$ of the isogeny $y: \mathscr{A} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}$. We extend this definition to arbitrary $y$ by setting $\mathscr{T}_{y}=0$ if $y$ is not integral. Then we have the following relation:

$$
\mathscr{D}_{y}(c, d)=\mathscr{T}_{\varpi^{-c} y}-\mathscr{T}_{\varpi^{-c-1} y} .
$$

Indeed, for any $y \in \varpi \mathscr{O}_{B}$, there is an embedding from $\mathscr{T}_{y / \varpi}$ to $\mathscr{T}_{y}$ by taking any deformation $\varphi: \mathscr{E}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2}$ to $\varpi \varphi$. The complement are exactly the deformation with cyclic kernel. It follows that $\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}\left(x^{v}, x_{v}\right)$ is an alternative sum of intersection of Gross-Keating's cycles:

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}\left(x^{v}, x_{v}\right)=\sum_{\epsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}}(-1)^{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}} \mathscr{T}_{\varpi^{-c_{1}-\epsilon_{1}} y_{1}} \mathscr{T}_{\varpi^{-c_{2}-\epsilon_{2}} y_{2}} \mathscr{T}_{\varpi^{-c_{3}-\epsilon_{3}} y_{3}}
$$

Theorem 5.3.1 (Gross-Keating, [10]). Assume that $\Phi_{v}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathscr{O}_{B, v}^{3}$. Then for $y \in\left(V_{v}^{\prime}\right)^{3}$, the intersection number $m\left(y, \Phi_{v}\right)$ depends only on the moment $T=Q(y)$ and

$$
m\left(y, \Phi_{v}\right)=\nu(Q(y))
$$

where the $\nu$-invariant is defined as in Prop. 4.1.2.
Corollary 5.3.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)=\zeta_{v}(2)^{-2} m_{T}\left(r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right) \tag{5.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Gross-Keating and Prop. 4.1.2, this is true when $g_{v}=e$ is the identity element. We will reduce the general $g_{v}$ to this known case.

Suppose that

$$
g_{v}=d(\nu) n(b) m(a) k
$$

for $b, a$ are both diagonal matrices and $k$ in the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$. Then it is easy to see that the Whittaker function obeys the rule:

$$
W_{T, v}^{\prime}\left(g_{v}, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)=\psi(\nu T b)|\nu|^{-3}|\operatorname{det}(a)|^{2} W_{\nu a T a}^{\prime}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}\right) .
$$

On the intersection side, we have the similar formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{T}\left(r(g) \Phi_{v}\right) & =|\nu|^{-3} \sum_{x_{v}} r\left(g_{1}\right) \Phi_{v}\left(h_{v} x_{v}\right) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}_{\nu T}\left(x_{v}\right)_{K} \\
& =\psi_{\nu T}(b)|\nu|^{-3}|\operatorname{det} a|^{2} \sum_{x_{v}} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v} a\right) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}_{\nu T}\left(x_{v}\right)_{K},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{v} \in G O\left(V_{v}\right)$ with $\nu\left(h_{v}\right)=\nu^{-1}$ and the sum runs over all $x_{v}$ with norm $\nu \cdot \operatorname{diag}(T)$.
By our definition of cycles, for diagonal matrix $a$, we have

$$
\mathscr{Z}_{\nu T}(x)=\mathscr{Z}_{\nu a T a}(x a) .
$$

It follows that

$$
m_{T}\left(r(g) \Phi_{v}\right)=\psi_{\nu T}(b)|\nu|^{-3}|\operatorname{det} a|^{2} m_{\nu a T a}\left(\Phi_{v}\right)
$$

## Comparison

In this subsection we will relate the global $v$-Fourier coefficient of the analytic kernel function with the local intersection of triple Hecke correspondences when the Shimura curve has good reduction at $v$.

Recall that we have a decomposition of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ according to the difference of $\Sigma_{T}$ and $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)+E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{5.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{\Sigma_{T}=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{5.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{T, \operatorname{det}(T)=0} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) .
$$

On the height intersection part, we have analogous decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{s i n g}+\sum_{v} Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v} \tag{5.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each $Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}$ has a part $\mathscr{Z}(g, \Phi)_{v}$ of intersection of horizontal cycles.
Theorem 5.3.3. Assume that $\Phi=\otimes_{w} \Phi_{w}$ with $\Phi_{v}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}^{3}$. And let $S$ be the set of places outside which everything is unramified. Assume further that for $w \in S, \Phi_{w}$ is supported in $\mathbb{V}_{w, \text { reg }}^{3}$, the subspace of elements $\left(x_{i}\right)$ such that the moment matrix $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \in M_{3}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is non-degenerate. Then for $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{G}$ such that $g_{i, v}=1$ for $v \in S$, we have an equalities

$$
\left(\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)\right)_{v}=-2 E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)
$$

and

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}=-2 E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \phi)+\sum_{i} c_{v}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right)
$$

where $c_{v}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ are some constants which vanish for almost all $v,\{j, k\}$ is the complement of $i$ in $\{1,2,3\}$, and $Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right)$ is the intersection on $Y_{U} \times Y_{U}$.

Proof. Since $Y_{U}$ has a smooth model $\mathscr{Y}_{U, v}$ over $v$, the restriction of $\widehat{Z}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ over $v$ can be constructed from $\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{i}, \Phi\right)$ by adding some multiple of the special fiber $V$ :

$$
\widehat{Z}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)+c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) V
$$

Here $c_{v}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) V$ is some constant which vanishes for almost all $v$. Since $V^{2}=0$ in $\mathscr{Y}_{U}^{2}$, one has This implies

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)+\sum_{i} c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right) .
$$

Thus we have the equality follows from the first equality.
By our choice of $\Phi$, there is no self-intersection in $\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)_{v}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathscr{Z}\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot \mathscr{Z}\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)\right)_{v} \\
= & \sum_{x^{v} \in\left(\widetilde{K}^{v}\right)^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{V}^{v}\right)_{+}^{3}} r\left(g^{v}\right) \Phi^{v}\left(x^{v}\right) m\left(x^{v}, r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} \prod_{w \neq v} \int_{\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right)_{T}} r\left(g^{v}\right) \Phi_{w}\left(x_{w}\right) d x_{w} \cdot m_{T}\left(r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
m_{T}\left(\Phi_{v}\right)=\sum_{x_{v} \in K_{v}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}\right)_{\operatorname{diag}(T)}^{3}} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v}\right) \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{Z}_{T}\left(x_{v}\right)_{K},
$$

where the sum is over elements of $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}$ with norms equal to diagonal of $T$, and the cycle $\mathscr{Z}_{T}\left(x_{v}\right)$ is equal to $\mathscr{Z}\left(x^{v}, x_{v}\right)$ with $x^{v} \in\left(\mathbb{V}^{v}\right)$ with non-singular moment matrix $T$.

In summary, the intersection number is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T} \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}\right) I_{T}\left(g^{v}, \Phi^{v}\right) m_{T}\left(r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right) \tag{5.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to compare this with the derivative of Eisenstein series. We invoke the formula of Kudla ([21]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\frac{W_{T}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)}{W_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)} E_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi^{v} \otimes \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under our choice of measures, by Siegwl-Weil we have

$$
E_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi^{v} \otimes \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)=I_{T}\left(g, \Phi^{v} \otimes \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) & =\frac{W_{T}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)}{W_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)} I_{T}\left(g, \Phi^{v} \otimes \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =W_{T}^{\prime}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}\right) \frac{I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}{W_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)} I_{T}\left(g^{v}, \Phi^{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\frac{I_{T, v}\left(g_{v}, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}{W_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}$ is a constant independent of $T, g, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}$. By Corollary 5.3.2,

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\zeta_{v}(2)^{-2} m_{T}\left(r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right) \frac{I_{T, v}\left(e, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}{W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)} I_{T}\left(g^{v}, \Phi^{v}\right)
$$

It suffices to prove that

$$
\zeta_{v}(2)^{-2} \frac{I_{T, v}\left(e, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}{W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}\right) .
$$

Now the nearby quaternion $B$ is non-split at $v$. And we have

$$
I\left(e, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(B_{v}\right)\right)
$$

So we need to show

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(B_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}\right)}=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{v}(2)^{2} W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

It is easy to see that (cf. [1, chap. 16, §3.5]):

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(B_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}\right)}=\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}
$$

Indeed, we have an isomorphism (cf. Notations)

$$
S O(B) \simeq B^{\times} / F^{\times} \times B^{1}
$$

We now may compute the ratio for a non-archimedean $v$ :

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathscr{O}_{B_{v}}^{\times}\right)}=\frac{\zeta_{v}(1)^{-1} \zeta_{v}(2)^{-1}}{\zeta_{v}(2)^{-1}} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(M_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathscr{O}_{B_{v}}\right)}=(q-1)
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathscr{O}_{B_{v}}^{\times}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S L_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{v}^{1}\right)} .
$$

From $\S 4.1$ we also have

$$
\zeta_{v}(2)^{2} W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{2}{(q-1)^{2}}
$$

This completes the proof.

### 5.4 Archimedean height

Let $B$ be the Hamilton quaternion and let $\Phi$ be the standard Gaussian. Let $B^{\prime}=M_{2, \mathbb{R}}$ be the matrix algebra. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in B^{\prime 3}$ with non-singular moment matrix $Q(x)$ and let $g_{i}=g_{x_{i}}$ be a Green's function of $D_{x_{i}}$. Define the star product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(x)=\int_{D_{ \pm}} g_{1} * g_{2} * g_{3} \tag{5.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{ \pm}$is the union of $\mathscr{H}_{ \pm}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{+}=\mathscr{H}\left(D_{-}\right.$, resp.) is the upper (lower, resp.) half plane.

Then $\Lambda(x)$ depends only on the moment $Q(x) \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ (with signature either $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$ since $B^{\prime}$ has signature $\left.(2,2)\right)$. Hence we simply write it as $\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi} Q(x)\right)$ (note that we need to shift it by a multiple $4 \pi$ ).

We will consider a Green's function of logarithmic singularity which we call pre-Green function since it does not give the admissible Green's function. Their difference will be discussed later.

Now we specify our choice of pre-Green functions. For $x \in B^{\prime}$ consider a function $D_{ \pm}=\mathscr{H}_{ \pm}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$defined by

$$
s_{x}(z):=q\left(x_{z}\right)=2 \frac{(x, z)(x, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}
$$

In terms of coordinates $z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}z_{1} & -z_{1} z_{2} \\ 1 & -z_{2}\end{array}\right)$ and $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, we have

$$
s_{x}(z)=\frac{\left(-a z_{2}+d z_{1}-b+c z_{1} z_{2}\right)\left(-a \bar{z}_{2}+d \bar{z}_{1}-b+c \bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{2}\right)}{-\left(z_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-\bar{z}_{2}\right)} .
$$

We will consider the pre-Green function of $D_{x}$ on $D$ given by

$$
g_{x}(z):=\eta\left(s_{x}(z)\right)
$$

where we recall that

$$
\eta(t)=E i(-t)=-\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t u} \frac{d u}{u}
$$

In the following we want to compute the star product for a non-singular moment $4 \pi T=$ $Q(x)$. Our strategy is close to that of [21], namely by steps: in the first step we will establish a $S O(3)$-invariance of $\Lambda(T)$ which simplifies the computation to the case $T$ is diagonal; in the second step we compute $\Lambda(T)$ when $T$ is diagonal and we compare the result with the derivative of the Whittaker integrals $W_{T}^{\prime}(e, s, \Phi)$.

## Step one: $S O(3)$-invariance

The following lemma is a special case of a more general result of Kudla-Millson. For convenience we give a proof here.
Lemma 5.4.1. Let $\omega_{x}=\partial \bar{\partial} g_{x}$. For any $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in V^{2}$, the $(2,2)$-form $\omega_{x_{1}} \wedge \omega_{x_{2}}$ on $\mathscr{H}^{2}$ is invariant under the action of $S O(2)$ on $V^{2}$.
Proof. Let $k \in S O(2)$ be the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta & \sin \theta\end{array}\right)$ and for simplicity, we denote $c=\cos \theta$ and $s=\sin \theta$. Let $x=c x_{1}+s x_{2}$ and $y=-s x_{1}+c x_{2}$. Then, by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{s_{x}(z)} \omega_{x}=s_{x}(z) \partial \log _{x}(z) \bar{\partial} \log s_{x}(z)-\partial \bar{\partial} \log _{x}(z) \\
& =\frac{(x, z)(x, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}\left(\frac{\partial(x, z)}{(x, z)}-\partial \log (z, \bar{z})\right)\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})}{(x, \bar{z})}-\bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})\right)-\partial \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

and similar formula for $\omega_{y}$, we have that

$$
e^{s_{x}(z)+s_{y}(z)} \omega_{x} \wedge \omega_{y}(z)=A+B \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})+\partial \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z}) \partial \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{(x, z)(x, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}\left(\frac{\partial(x, z)}{(x, z)}-\partial \log (z, \bar{z})\right)\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})}{(x, \bar{z})}-\bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})\right) \\
& \wedge \frac{(y, z)(y, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}\left(\frac{\partial(y, z)}{(y, z)}-\partial \log (z, \bar{z})\right)\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})}{(y, \bar{z})}-\bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{(x, z)(x, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}\left(\frac{\partial(x, z)}{(x, z)}-\partial \log (z, \bar{z})\right)\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})}{(x, \bar{z})}-\bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})\right) \\
& +\frac{(y, z)(y, \bar{z})}{(z, \bar{z})}\left(\frac{\partial(y, z)}{(y, z)}-\partial \log (z, \bar{z})\right)\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})}{(y, \bar{z})}-\bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left(s_{x}(z)+s_{y}(z)\right) \partial \log (z, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})-\partial((x, \bar{z})(x, z)+(y, \bar{z})(y, z)) \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z}) /(z, \bar{z}) \\
& -\bar{\partial}((x, \bar{z})(x, z)+(y, \bar{z})(y, z)) \partial \log (z, \bar{z}) /(z, \bar{z})+(\partial(x, z) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})+\partial(y, z) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})) /(z, \bar{z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is easy to see that the above sum is invariant since the following two terms are respectively invariant

$$
(x, \bar{z})(x, z)+(y, \bar{z})(y, z), \quad(\partial(x, z) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})+\partial(y, z) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})) .
$$

Now we come to $A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.(z, \bar{z})^{2} A=\partial(x, z) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z}) \partial(y, z) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})-((y, z) \partial(x, z)-(x, z) \partial(y, z)) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})\right) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})\right) \partial \log (z, \bar{z}) \\
& -((y, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})-(x, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})) \partial(x, z)) \partial(y, z)) \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z}) \\
& +(\partial(x, z) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})+\partial(y, z) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})) \partial \log (z, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial} \log (z, \bar{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

This is invariant since the following four terms are respectively invariant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial(x, z) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z}), \quad \partial(y, z) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z}) \\
& (y, z) \partial(x, z)-(x, z) \partial(y, z), \quad(y, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial}(x, \bar{z})-(x, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial}(y, \bar{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proposition 5.4.2 (Invariance under $S O(3)$ ). The local archimedean height pairing $\Lambda(T)$ is invariant under $S O(3)$, i.e.,

$$
\Lambda(T)=\Lambda\left(k T k^{t}\right), \quad k \in S O(3)
$$

Proof. Note that the group $S O(3)$ is generated by matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & & \\ & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta & \sin \theta\end{array}\right)$ and subgroup of even permutation of $S_{3}$, the symmetric group. Thus it suffices to prove that

$$
\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\Lambda\left(x, y, x_{3}\right)
$$

for $x=c x_{1}+s x_{2}$ and $y=-s x_{1}+c x_{2}$ where $c=\cos \theta, s=\sin \theta$.
Further, since $g^{*} \omega_{x}=\omega_{g^{-1} x}$ for $g \in A u t\left(\mathscr{H}^{2}\right)$, we can assume that $x_{3}=\sqrt{a}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ & \pm 1\end{array}\right)$ depending on the sign of $\operatorname{det}\left(x_{3}\right)$. Then $Z_{x_{3}}=\Delta(\mathscr{H})$ is the diagonal embedding of $\mathscr{H}$ if $\operatorname{det}\left(x_{3}\right)>0$, otherwise $Z_{x_{3}}=\emptyset$.

By definition,

$$
\Lambda\left(x, y, x_{3}\right)=\int_{\mathscr{H}^{2}} g_{x_{3}}(z) \omega_{x}(z) \wedge \omega_{y}(z)+\left.\int_{Z_{x_{3}}} g_{x} * g_{y}\right|_{Z_{x_{3}}}
$$

Now the first term is invariant by Lemma above and the second term is either zero (when $\operatorname{det}\left(x_{3}\right)<0$ ) or has been treated in the work of Kudla ([21]) when $x, y$ generates a plane of signature $(1,1)$. The left case is when $x, y$ generates a negative definite plane. In this case the proof of Kudla still applies. This completes the proof.

Remark 5.4.1. 1. The proof of $S O(2)$-invariance in [21] is indeed very difficult though elementary.
2. Similarly, by induction we can prove invariance for $S O(n+1)$ for $V$ of signature $(n, 2)$.

## Step two: star product

It turns out that for the convenience of computation, it is better to consider the bounded domain $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ where $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid<1\}$ is the unit disk. We have an explicit biholomorphic isomorphism from $\mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{2}$ given by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(i \frac{1+z_{1}}{1-z_{1}}, i \frac{1+z_{2}}{1-z_{2}}\right)
$$

Then, using the bounded model $\mathbb{D}^{2}$, we can express

$$
s_{x}(z)=\frac{\left|(a i-b-c-d i) z_{1} z_{2}+(a i+b-c+d i) z_{1}+(-a i+b-c-d i) z_{2}+(-a i-b-c+d i)\right|^{2}}{4\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} .
$$

We first compute several differentials which will be used later on.
Lemma 5.4.3. Let $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $x_{i} \in B^{\prime}, i=1,2,3,4$, be the following four elements

$$
x_{1}=\sqrt{a_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad x_{2}=\sqrt{a_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
x_{3}=\sqrt{a_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad x_{4}=\sqrt{a_{4}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We will shorten $s_{1}(z):=s_{x_{i}}(z)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}(z)=a_{1} \frac{\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}, \quad s_{2}(z)=a_{2} \frac{\left|1-z_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)} \\
& s_{3}(z)=a_{3} \frac{\left|1+z_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}, \quad s_{4}(z)=a_{4} \frac{\left|z_{1}+z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{s_{1}(z)} \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{1}(z)\right)=\left(a_{1}+a_{1} \frac{\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-1\right) \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\ldots \\
& -a_{1} \frac{\left(1-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where we the omitted terms can be easily recovered by the symmetry of $z_{1}, z_{2}$. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{s_{2}(z)} \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{2}(z)\right)=\left(a_{2} \frac{\left|\bar{z}_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-1\right) \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\ldots \\
& -a_{2} \frac{\left(\bar{z}_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+\ldots \\
& e^{s_{3}(z)} \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{3}(z)\right)=\left(a_{3} \frac{\left|\bar{z}_{1}+z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-1\right) \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\ldots \\
& a_{3} \frac{\left(\bar{z}_{1}+z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+\ldots \\
& e^{s_{4}(z)} \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{4}(z)\right)=\left(a_{4}+a_{4} \frac{\left|z_{1}+z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-1\right) \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\ldots \\
& +a_{4} \frac{\left(1+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

And moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{1}(z)\right) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{4}(z)\right)=e^{-s_{1}(z)-s_{4}(z)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \wedge \frac{d z_{2} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left(4 a_{1} a_{4} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}-2 a_{1} \frac{\left|1+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-2 a_{4} \frac{\left|1-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{2}(z)\right) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{3}(z)\right)=e^{-s_{2}(z)-s_{3}(z)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \wedge \frac{d z_{2} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left(4 a_{2} a_{3} \frac{\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}-2 a_{2} \frac{\left|\bar{z}_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-2 a_{3} \frac{\left|\bar{z}_{1}+z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Simple but tedious computation.

We also need
Lemma 5.4.4 (Change of variables). Define a diffeomorphism between $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4}$ by $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ where $w_{i}=u_{i}+\sqrt{-1} v_{i}$ and

$$
u_{i}=\frac{x_{i}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad v_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Then the Jacobian is given by

$$
\frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}=-\frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}} .
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\frac{d x_{1} d y_{1} d x_{2} d y_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{d u_{1} d v_{1} d u_{2} d v_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(1+\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda=\frac{1}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}$. Note that

$$
u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}=\lambda-\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}
$$

and similarly

$$
u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}=\lambda-\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} .
$$

This shows that $\lambda$ satisfies a quadratic equation

$$
\lambda^{2}-\left(1+\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \lambda+\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}=0 .
$$

Denote its two roots by $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$. Since $\left|z_{i}\right|<1$, a careful check shows that $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$ is the larger one of its two roots. Moreover, we have

$$
\frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}=\lambda-\lambda^{-1}\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\sqrt{\Delta}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the discriminant of the quadratic equation above.

Theorem 5.4.5. We have for $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ with signature either $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$,

$$
W_{T, \infty}^{\prime}(e, 0, \Phi)=\frac{\kappa(0)}{2 \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{-2 \pi T} \Lambda(T)
$$

In particular, everything depends only on the eigenvalues of $T$ (presumedly not obvious).
Proof. By Proposition 5.4.2, we may assume that $T$ is a diagonal matrix.
We first treat the case $(p, q)=(2,1)$ and let's assume that $4 \pi T=\left(a_{1}, a_{4},-b\right)$. And we may choose $x_{i}$ as in Lemma 5.4.3 as long as we take $a_{2}=b$. Then by the same lemma, $\Lambda(T)$ is given by the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(T)=2(-2 \pi i)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \operatorname{Ei}\left(-b \frac{\left|1+z_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}\right) e^{-s_{1}(z)-s_{4}(z)} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \wedge \frac{d z_{2} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left(4 a_{1} a_{4} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}-2 a_{1} \frac{\left|1+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}-2 a_{4} \frac{\left|1-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the factor $\frac{1}{-2 \pi i}$ is from the definition star product, and the factor 2 is due to the fact that $D_{ \pm}$has two copies.

Now let us make the substitution

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}=\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, & u_{2}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
v_{1}=\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, & v_{2}=\frac{y_{1}-y_{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} .
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 5.4.4 we may calculate the Jacobian of our substitutions to arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(T)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} E i\left(-b\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)\right) e^{-a_{1}\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
& \left(4 a_{1} a_{4} \Delta-2 a_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)-2 a_{4}\left(1+u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)+2\right) \frac{d u_{1} d v_{1} d u_{2} d v_{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which we may rearrange as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} E i\left(-b\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)\right) e^{-a_{4} v_{2}^{2}-a_{1} u_{1}^{2}} d v_{2} d u_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-a_{4} u_{2}^{2}-a_{1} v_{1}^{2}} \\
& \left(4 a_{1} a_{4} \Delta-2 a_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)-2 a_{4}\left(1+u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)+2\right) \frac{d v_{1} d u_{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{5.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with Proposition 4.3.7, it suffices to prove that the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-a_{4} y_{2}^{2}-a_{1} y_{1}^{2}}\left(4 a_{1} a_{4} \Delta-2 a_{1}\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)-2 a_{4}\left(1+x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)+2\right) \frac{d y_{1} d y_{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \tag{5.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{4 A B-2 A-2 B+2}{\left(\left(u^{2}+A\right)\left(u^{2}+B\right)\right)^{1 / 2}} d u+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{(4 A B-A-B) u^{2}+2 A B(A+B-1)}{\left(\left(u^{2}+A\right)\left(u^{2}+B\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d u . \tag{5.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here note that we rename the variables $u_{i}, v_{i}$ to $x_{i}, y_{i}$ and they should not be confused with the real/imaginary part of $z_{i}$ (coordinates of the bounded domain $\mathbb{D}$ ). And $A, B$ are the two eigenvalues (as the $z_{1}, z_{2}$ in Prop. 4.3.7) of $2 \times 2$ matrix $\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $x$ be the column vector $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{t}$ and

$$
\Delta=\left(1+x^{\prime} x\right)\left(1+y^{\prime} y\right)-\left(x^{\prime} y\right)^{2}
$$

Now notice that the $2 \times 2$ matrix $\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=1-\frac{1}{1+x^{\prime} x} x x^{\prime}$. We have

$$
1+y^{\prime}\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} y=1+y^{\prime} y-\frac{y^{\prime} x x^{\prime} y}{1+x^{\prime} x}=\frac{\Delta}{1+x^{\prime} x}
$$

Substitute $y \mapsto\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} y$, the integral (5.4.3) is reduced
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-y^{\prime}\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} y}\left(4 A B\left(1+y^{\prime} y\right)-2 y^{\prime}\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} y-2 A-2 B+2\right) \frac{d y_{1} d y_{2}}{\sqrt{1+y^{\prime} y}}$.
Now make another substitution $y \mapsto k y$ where $k \in S O(2)$ is such that

$$
\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(1+x x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}=k^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}(A, B) k .
$$

We obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-y^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}(A, B) y}\left(4 A B\left(1+y^{\prime} y\right)-2 y^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}(A, B) y-2 A-2 B+2\right) \frac{d y_{1} d y_{2}}{\sqrt{1+y^{\prime} y}} . \tag{5.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the integral

$$
\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-A x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma(1 / 2)=\sqrt{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}},
$$

we may rewrite the integral (5.4.5) as

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{-w^{2}\left(1+y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)-A y_{1}^{2}-B y_{2}^{2}}\left(4 A B\left(1+y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)-2\left(A y_{1}^{2}+B y_{2}^{2}\right)-2 A-2 B+2\right) d w d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

We interchange the order of integrals
$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-w^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-y_{1}^{2}\left(w^{2}+A\right)-y_{2}^{2}\left(w^{2}+B\right)}\left((4 A B-2 A) y_{1}^{2}+(4 A B-2 B) y_{2}^{2}-4 A B-2 A-2 B+2\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} d w$.
Now we can integrate against $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and it is easy to verify that we arrive at the integral (5.4.4). This finishes the proof when $(p, q)=(2,1)$.

We now treat the slightly harder case $(p, q)=(1,2)$. Assume that $4 \pi T=\left(a,-b_{1},-b_{2}\right)$ and we may take $a_{4}=a, b_{1}=a_{3}, b_{2}=a_{2}$ as in Lemma 5.4.3. Then the same substitution as before yields that $\Lambda(T)$ is the sum of two terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} E i\left(-a\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) e^{-b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)-b_{2}\left(1+u_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{2}-2 b_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)-2 b_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)+2\right) \frac{d u_{1} d u_{2} d v_{1} d v_{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (note that $s_{4}(z)$ has zeros along the divisor defined $z_{1}+z_{2}=0$ on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ )

$$
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} E i\left(-s_{2}(z,-z)\right) \partial \bar{\partial} E i\left(-s_{3}(z,-z)\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.3.5, the Whittaker integral also breaks into two pieces. It is easy to prove that the first one matches the second term above. Indeed this already appeared in the work [25, Thm. 5.2.7, (ii)]. It suffices to prove that the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-b_{1} v_{2}^{2}-b_{2} u_{2}^{2}}\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{2}-2 b_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)-2 b_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)+2\right) \frac{d u_{2} d v_{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \tag{5.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}}\left(\frac{-2\left(A+B-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+A\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+B\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\left(2 A B-2 b_{1} b_{2}-A-B\right) u^{2}+2 A B\left(A+B-1-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(u^{2}+A\right)^{1 / 2}\left(u^{2}+B\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) d u \tag{5.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A, B$ are the two eigenvalues of $\left(1+w^{\prime} w\right)^{1 / 2} b\left(1+w^{\prime} w\right)^{1 / 2}$ where $w=\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$.
Similar to the previous case we may rewrite the integral (5.4.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^{2}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)} I(x) d x \tag{5.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) v_{2}^{2}-\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) u_{2}^{2}-x^{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{2}}\left(4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{2}-2 b_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)-2 b_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)+2\right) d u_{2} d v_{2} .
$$

We now want to make the exponent in $I(x)$ as a linear combination of only square terms (we point out that the same idea also works for the previous case). This suggests to make the substitution

$$
y_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}, \quad y_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{b_{2}+x^{2}}{b_{1}+x^{2}}} v_{1} u_{2}-\sqrt{\frac{b_{1}+x^{2}}{b_{2}+x^{2}}} u_{1} v_{2} .
$$

Then we have

$$
u_{2}=\eta^{-1}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{b_{1}+x^{2}}{b_{2}+x^{2}}} u_{1} y_{1}-v_{1} y_{2}\right), \quad v_{2}=\eta^{-1}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{b_{2}+x^{2}}{b_{1}+x^{2}}} v_{1} y_{1}+u_{1} y_{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\eta=\frac{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right)\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right)}} .
$$

Also note that

$$
y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=\left(\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) u_{2}^{2}+\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) v_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{b_{2}+x^{2}}+\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}+x^{2}}\right)
$$

After a suitable substitution we obtain

$$
I(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right)\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right)}{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}}+x^{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}-\frac{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right)\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right)}{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}} y_{2}^{2}}\left(C y_{1}^{2}+D y_{2}^{2}+E\right) \eta^{-1} d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C=4 b_{1} b_{2}+\eta^{-2}\left(-2 b_{1} \frac{b_{2}+x^{2}}{b_{1}+x^{2}} v_{1}^{2}-2 b_{2} \frac{b_{1}+x^{2}}{b_{2}+x^{2}} u_{1}^{2}\right) \\
D=\eta^{-2}\left(-2 b_{1} u_{1}^{2}-2 b_{2} v_{1}^{2}\right) \\
E=-2 b_{1} u_{1}^{2}-2 b_{2} v_{1}^{2}+2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover let's denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right)\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right)+\left(\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& =\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) x^{4}+\left(b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) x^{2}+b_{1} b_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we may fold the integrals against $y_{1}, y_{2}$ to obtain

$$
I(x)=\pi F^{-1 / 2}\left(C F^{-1}\left(\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}\right) / 2+D \frac{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right) u_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right) v_{1}^{2}}{\left(b_{1}+x^{2}\right)\left(b_{2}+x^{2}\right)} / 2+E\right)
$$

which can be simplified as

$$
\left.\pi F^{-1 / 2} E+\pi F^{-3 / 2}\left(2 b_{1} b_{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)-b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}\right)-b_{2}\left(1+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) x^{2}+2 b_{1} b_{2}\left(b_{1} u_{1}^{2}+b_{2} v_{1}^{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

Plug back to the integral (5.4.8) and make a substitution $u=x\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, we have proved that the integral (5.4.6) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{-2 b_{1} u_{1}^{2}-2 b_{2} v_{1}^{2}+2}{\left(u^{4}+\left(b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+b_{1} b_{2}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}} d u \\
& +\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^{2}} \frac{\left(2 b_{1} b_{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)-b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}\right)-b_{2}\left(1+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+2 b_{1} b_{2}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\left(b_{1} u_{1}^{2}+b_{2} v_{1}^{2}-1\right)}{\left(u^{4}+\left(b_{1}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(1+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right) u^{2}+b_{1} b_{2}\left(1+u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

This is clearly equal to the integral (5.4.7). We then complete the proof.

## Comparison

Assume that $\tau \mid \infty$ and we want to treat the archimedean height at $\tau$. Recall that the generating function is defined for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{A})$

$$
Z(g, \Phi)=\sum_{x \in \widehat{V} / K} r\left(g_{1 f}\right) \Phi(x) Z(x)_{K}, W_{T(x)}\left(g_{\infty}\right)
$$

where the sum runs over all admissible classes. And for our fixed embedding $\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-analytic varieties (as long as $K$ is neat ):

$$
Y_{K, \tau}^{a n} \simeq G(F) \backslash D \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K \cup\{\operatorname{cusp}\}
$$

where, for short, $G=G(\tau)$ is the nearby group.
For $x_{i} \in V, i=1,2,3$, we define a Green function as follows: for $\left[z, h^{\prime}\right] \in G(F) \backslash D \times$ $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$

$$
\left.g_{x, h K}\left(\left[z, h^{\prime}\right]\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in G(F) / G_{x}(F)} \gamma^{*}\left[\eta\left(s_{x}(z)\right) 1_{G_{x}(\widehat{F}) h K}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

For an admissible class $x \in \widehat{V}$ we will denote by $g_{x}$ its Green function. Note that this is not the right choice of Green function. We will get the right one when we come to the holomorphic projection of the analytic kernel function. Therefore we denote

$$
\left(Z\left(x_{1}, h_{1}\right)_{K} \cdot Z\left(x_{2}, h_{2}\right)_{K} \cdot Z\left(x_{3}, h_{3}\right)_{K}\right)_{E i, \infty}:=g_{x_{1}, h_{1} K} * g_{x_{2}, h_{2} K} * g_{x_{3}, h_{3} K}
$$

where $E i$ is indicate the current choice of Green functions.
Theorem 5.4.6. Let $\tau \mid \infty$ and $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{A}}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+, 3}(\mathbb{A})$. And assume that $\Phi_{v}$ is supported on non-singular locus at some finite place $v$. Then the archimedean contribution

$$
\left(Z\left(g_{1}, \Phi_{1}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{3}, \Phi_{3}\right)\right)_{E i, \infty}=-2 E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi),
$$

where $E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ is defined before Theorem 5.3.3.
Proof. First we consider $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{A})$. Afterwards we extend this to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{A})$.
By definition, $\left(Z\left(x_{1}, h_{1}\right)_{K} \cdot Z\left(x_{2}, h_{2}\right)_{K} \cdot Z\left(x_{3}, h_{3}\right)_{K}\right)_{E i, \infty}$ is given by

$$
Z(g, \Phi)_{\infty}=\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \sum_{x=\left(x_{i}\right) \in(K \backslash \widehat{V})^{3}} \Phi(x) W_{T\left(x_{\infty}\right)}\left(g_{\infty}\right)\left(\int_{G(F) \backslash D_{ \pm} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K} *_{i=1}^{3} g_{x_{i}}\left(z, h^{\prime}\right) d\left[z, h^{\prime}\right]\right)
$$

where the sum is over all admissible classes.
Note that

$$
\gamma^{*}\left[\eta\left(s_{x}(z) 1_{G_{x}(\widehat{F}) h K}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]=\eta\left(s_{\gamma^{-1} x}(z) 1_{G_{\gamma^{1} x}(\widehat{F}) \gamma^{-1} h K}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

For a fixed triple $\left(x_{i}\right)$, the integral is nonzero only if there exists a $\gamma \in G(F)$ such that

$$
\gamma h^{\prime} \in G_{\gamma_{i}^{-1} x_{i}}(\widehat{F}) \gamma_{i}^{-1} h_{i} K \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{i}^{-1} h_{i} \in G_{\gamma_{i}^{-1} x_{i}}(\widehat{F}) \gamma h^{\prime} K .
$$

Observe that the sum in the admissible classes can be written as $x_{i} \in G(F) \backslash V(F)$ and $h_{i} \in G_{x_{i}}(\widehat{F}) \backslash G(\widehat{F}) / K$. Here we denote for short $V=V(v)$ that is the nearby quadratic space ramified at $\Sigma(v)$. Thus we may combine the sum $x_{i} \in G(F) \backslash V(F)$ with $\gamma_{i} \in G(F) / G_{x_{i}}(F)$ and combine the sum over $\gamma \in G(F)$ with the quotient $G(F) \backslash D_{ \pm} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$ :

$$
\operatorname{vol}(\widetilde{K}) \sum_{x \in G(F) \backslash V(F)^{3}}\left(\int_{h^{\prime} \in G(\widehat{F}) / K} \Phi\left(h^{\prime} x\right) d h^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{D_{ \pm}} *_{i=1}^{3} g_{x_{i}}(z) d z\right) .
$$

Here we have used the fact that $G_{x}=\{1\}$ if $T(x)$ is non-singular and we are assuming that $\Phi_{v}$ is supported in the non-singular locus at some finite place $v$.

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g, \Phi)_{\infty}=\sum_{T} \operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right) e^{-2 \pi T} \Lambda(T) I_{T}\left(g^{\infty}, \Phi^{\infty}\right) \tag{5.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all non-singular $T$ with $\Sigma_{T}=\Sigma(\tau)$, namely those non-singular $T$ represented by the nearby quaternion $B(\tau)$.

Similar to the unramified p-adic case, we compare this with the derivative of Eisenstein series for a regular $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\frac{W_{T}^{\prime}\left(g_{\infty}, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)}{W_{T}\left(g_{\infty}, 0, \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)} E_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi^{\infty} \otimes \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is any test function on $V_{\infty}^{\prime 3}$ which makes $v$ nonvanishing. We may also rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g, \Phi)_{\infty}=\sum_{T} \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right) e^{-2 \pi T} \Lambda(T)}{I_{T}\left(g_{\infty}, \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)} I_{T}\left(g, \Phi^{\infty} \otimes \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the p-adic case, we may reduce the desired equality to the case $g=e$ which we assume now.

We need to evaluate the constant. Note that by local Siegel-Weil, the ratio

$$
\frac{W_{T}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{v}\right)}{I_{T}\left(\Phi_{v}\right)}
$$

(whenever the denominator is non-zero) is independent of $\Phi_{v}, T(\operatorname{det}(T) \neq 0)$ and depends only the measure on $S O\left(V_{v}\right)$ (and, of course, $\psi_{v}$ ). Let $c_{v,+}\left(c_{v,+}\right.$, resp.) be this ratio for the quaternion algebra over $F_{v}$ that is split (division, resp.). We now use the Siegel-Weil formula of Kudla-Rallis to show that (under our choice of measures)

$$
a_{v}:=\frac{c_{v,+}}{c_{v,-}}= \pm 1 .
$$

Indeed, fix two distinct places $v_{1}, v_{2}$. Choose a global quaternion algebra $B$ split at $v_{1}, v_{2}$. Let $B\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ be the quaternion algebra that differs from $B$ only at $v_{1}, v_{2}$. Note that our choice of measures on the orthogonal groups associated to all quaternion algebras makes sure
that we always get Tamagawa measures on the adelic points. Compare the Siegel-Weil (we may choose $B$ anisotropic to apply) for $B$ and $B\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ :

$$
a_{v_{1}} a_{v_{2}}=1
$$

But $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are arbitrary, we conclude that $a_{v}$ is independent of $v$ and hence $a_{v}^{2}=1$.
From §4.2 Prop. 4.2.7, we have for $T>0$

$$
W_{T, \infty}\left(e, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)=\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1} e^{-2 \pi T}
$$

where $\kappa(0)<0$. It is easy to see that

$$
I_{T, \infty}\left(e, \Phi_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right) e^{-2 \pi T}
$$

Hence,

$$
c_{\infty,-}=\frac{\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1}}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right)}<0
$$

On the other hand, it is not hard to see that $c_{\infty,+}$ is positive so we have

$$
c_{\infty,+}=-c_{\infty,-}=-\frac{\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1}}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right)}
$$

Now note that $I_{T}\left(g, \Phi^{\infty} \otimes \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)=E_{T}\left(g, 0, \Phi^{\infty} \otimes \Phi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$, and by Theorem 5.4.5:

$$
W_{T}^{\prime}\left(g_{\infty}, 0, \Phi_{\infty}\right)=\frac{\kappa(0)}{2 \Gamma_{3}(2)} e^{-2 \pi T} \Lambda(T)
$$

Hence the ratio of 5.4 .10 over the $T$-th term of 5.4 .11 is given by

$$
\frac{\kappa(0) \Gamma_{3}(2)^{-1}}{2 \operatorname{vol}\left(S O\left(\mathbb{B}_{\infty}\right)\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{c_{\infty,+}}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## Holomorphic projection

Now we calculate the holomorphic projection of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ and come to the right choice of Green functions. By Lemma 4.4.1, we need to calculate the integral

$$
\alpha_{s}(T):=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}} W_{T}^{\prime}\left(\Phi,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y^{1 / 2} & \\
& y^{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right), 0\right) \operatorname{det}(y)^{1+s} e^{-2 \pi T y} \frac{d y}{\operatorname{det}(y)^{2}}
$$

where $y=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ and $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ with positive diagonal $\operatorname{diag}(T)=t=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$.

Note that when $t>1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s)>-1$, we have an integral representation of the Legendre function of the second kind:

$$
Q_{s}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{d u}{\left(t+\sqrt{t^{2}-1} \cosh u\right)^{1+s}}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(x-1)^{s} d x}{x^{1+s}\left(\frac{t-1}{2} x+1\right)^{1+s}}
$$

And the admissible pairing at archimedean place will be given by the constant term at $s=0$ of (the regularized sum of, cf. [36, §8.1]) $Q_{s}\left(1+2 s_{\gamma x}(z) / q(x)\right)$.

Consider another closely related function for $t>1, \operatorname{Re}(s)>-1$ :

$$
P_{s}(t):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x\left(\frac{t-1}{2} x+1\right)^{1+s}} .
$$

Then obviously we have

$$
Q_{0}(t)=P_{0}(t)
$$

One may use either of the three functions (i.e., $E i, Q_{s}$ and $P_{s}$ ) to construct Green's functions. As Theorem 5.4.5 shows that to match the analytic kernel function, the function $E i$ is the right choice; while the admissible pairing requires to use $Q_{s}$. The following proposition relates $E i$ to $P_{s}$ and hence to $Q_{s}$ by the coincidence $Q_{0}=P_{0}$.

Proposition 5.4.7. Let $x \in M_{2, \mathbb{R}}^{3}$ such that $T=T(x)$ is non-singular and has positive diagonal. Then we have

$$
\alpha_{s}(T)=\operatorname{det}(t)^{-1}\left(\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{(4 \pi)^{1+s}}\right)^{3} \int_{D_{ \pm}} \eta_{s}\left(x_{1}\right) * \eta_{s}\left(x_{2}\right) * \eta_{s}\left(x_{3}\right),
$$

where

$$
\eta_{s}(x, z):=P_{s}\left(1+2 \frac{s_{x}(z)}{q(x)}\right)
$$

is a Green's function of $D_{x}$.
Proof. First by the definition we have

$$
\alpha_{s}(T)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}}{ }^{2} \operatorname{det}\left(y^{1 / 2}\right) W_{\sqrt{y} T \sqrt{y}}^{\prime}(\Phi, e, 0) \operatorname{det}(y) e^{-2 \pi T y} \operatorname{det}(y)^{s} \frac{d y}{y^{2}},
$$

which is equal to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}} W_{\sqrt{y} T \sqrt{y}}^{\prime}(\Phi, e, 0) e^{-2 \pi T y} \operatorname{det}(y)^{s} d y
$$

If we modify $x \in M_{2, \mathbb{R}}^{3}$ with moment $T=T(x)$ to a new $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ with $x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i} / q\left(x_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$, we have $T\left(x^{\prime}\right)=t^{-\frac{1}{2}} T t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (so that the diagonal are all 1). By Theorem 5.4.5 we have (after substitution $y \rightarrow y t$ )

$$
\alpha_{s}(T)=\operatorname{det}(t)^{-1-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}} \Lambda\left(y^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left(x^{\prime}\right) y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) e^{-4 \pi y} \operatorname{det}(y)^{s} d y
$$

By the definition of $\Lambda(T)$, this is the same as

$$
\operatorname{det}(t)^{-1-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}}\left\{*_{i=1}^{3} \eta\left(y_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{i}^{\prime} ; z\right), 1\right\}_{D} e^{-4 \pi y} \operatorname{det}(y)^{s} d y
$$

where

$$
\left\{*_{i=1}^{3} \eta\left(y_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{i}^{\prime} ; z\right), 1\right\}_{D}=\int_{D} *_{i=1}^{3} \eta\left(y_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{i}^{\prime} ; z\right)
$$

We can interchange the star product and integral over $y$ to obtain

$$
\alpha_{s}(T)=\operatorname{det}(t)^{-1-s}\left\{*_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \eta\left(y_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{i} ; z\right) e^{-4 \pi y} y_{i}^{s} d y_{i}, 1\right\}_{D_{ \pm}} .
$$

Here we use $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{D_{ \pm}}$to denote the integration of the product over $D_{ \pm}$. Now we compute the inner integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \eta\left(y^{\frac{1}{2}} x ; z\right) e^{-4 \pi y} y^{s} d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} E i\left(-4 \pi y s_{x}(z)\right) e^{-4 \pi y} y^{s} d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-4 \pi y s_{x}(z) u} \frac{1}{u} d u e^{-4 \pi y} y^{s} d y \\
= & \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{(4 \pi)^{1+s}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u\left(1+s_{x}(z) u\right)^{1+s}} d u \\
= & \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{(4 \pi)^{1+s}} P_{s}\left(1+2 s_{x}(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For more details, see [36, §8.1].
Based on the decomposition of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ in $\S 2.5$, we can have a decomposition of its holomorphic projection, denoted by $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=\sum_{v} E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l} \tag{5.4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=\sum_{T, \Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}
$$

where the holomorphic projection only changes $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ only when $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$ for $v$ is an archimedean place and in which case we give the formula only when $g_{\infty}=e$ :

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=W_{T}\left(g_{\infty}\right) m_{v}(T) W_{T, f}\left(g_{f}, 0, \Phi_{f}\right)
$$

where $m(T)$ is the star product of $P_{s}\left(1+2 s_{x}(z) / q(x)\right)$ for $x$ with moment $T$. For the general $g_{\infty}$, it can be recovered by the transformation rule under Iwasawa decomposition Then all equalities above are valid for $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $g_{v}=1$ when $v \in S$, the finite set of non-archimedean places outside which $\Phi_{v}$ is unramified.

Theorem 5.4.8. Let $\tau$ be an archimedean place. Assume that for at least two non-archimedean $v$ where $\Phi_{v} \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}\right)$. Then for $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $g_{w}=1$ for $w \in S_{f}$, the set of finite place outside which $\Phi_{v}$ is unramified, Then we have

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{\tau}=-2 E(g, 0, \Phi)_{\tau, h o l} .
$$

Proof. Under the assumption, all singular coefficients vanish on both sides. For the nonsingular coefficients, the right choice of Green's function is the regularized limit of $Q_{s}$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. Since $P_{s}-Q_{s}$ is holomorphic and equal to zero when $s=0$, by the same argument of [36, §8.1], we may use $P_{s}$ in the Green's function and then take the regularized limit. Then the result follows from Theorem 5.4.6 and the holomorphic projection above.

## 6 Vanishing of singular Whittaker integerals

In this section, we study the vanishing property of the Fourier coefficients $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ of the derivative of the Eisestein series for Siegel-Weil sections associated to an incoherent quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}$. First of all we show that if for two places $\Phi$ is supported on elements in $\mathbb{B}^{3}$ whose components are linearly independent, then $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=0$ when $T$ is singular, see Proposition 6.1.1. Then we show that $E_{T}(e, s, \Phi)=0$ if $\Phi$ is exceptional of sufficiently high order, see Proposition 6.2.3. Combination of these two facts implies that $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ has only non-zero Fourier coefficients at non-singular $T$ with $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$ for those unramified $v$ if we choose $\Phi$ carefully enough, see formula (6.2.1). Finally, we conjecture that we can always make such a choice, see Conjecture 6.3.1. Meanwhile we can only prove this conjecture when $\pi_{v}$ has at least two ramifield finite places and all of them are not split in $\mathbb{B}$, see Theorem 6.3.2.

### 6.1 Singular coefficients

In this subsection we deal with the singular part $E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ of the Siegel-Eisenstein series.
Definition 6.1.1. For a place $v$ of $F$, we define the open subset $\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { sub }}^{3}$ (resp. $\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}$ ) of $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}$ to be all $x \in \mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}$ such that the components of $x$ generates a dimension 3 subspace of $\mathbb{B}_{v}$ (resp. with non-degenerate restricted). We define the subspace $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { sub }}^{3}\right)$ (resp. $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}\right)$ ) of $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right)$ to be the set of all Bruhat-Schwartz functions $\Phi$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{B}_{v, \text { sub }}^{3}$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{B}_{v, \text { reg }}^{3}\right)$.

Note that $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { sub }}^{3}\right)$ is $P_{v}$-stable under the action defined by the Weil representation.
Proposition 6.1.2. For an integer $k \geq 1$, fix non-archimedean (distinct) places $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$. Let $\Phi=\otimes_{v} \Phi_{v} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\Phi_{v_{i}}\right) \subset \mathbb{B}_{v_{i}, \text { sub }}^{n}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$. Then for $T$ singular and $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ with $g_{v_{i}} \in P_{v_{i}},(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, the vanishing order of the analytic function $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ is at least $k-1$. In particular, when $T$ is singular, then $E_{T}(g, 0, f)=0$ if $k \geq 1$; and $E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=0$ if $k \geq 2$, .

Proof. We will use some results about Siegel-Weil formula and related representation theory. They should be well-known to experts and are proved mostly in series of papers by KudlaRallis ([23],[24]). We will sketch proofs of some of them but don't claim any originality and we are not sure if there are more straightforward ways.

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}(T)=3-r$ with $r>0$. Note that if $T={ }^{t} \gamma T^{\prime} \gamma, T^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \\ & \beta\end{array}\right)$ for some $\beta \in \mathrm{GL}_{3-r}$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}$, we have

$$
E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=E_{T^{\prime}}(m(\gamma) g, s, \Phi)
$$

Since $m(\gamma) \in P_{v_{i}}$, it suffices to prove the assertion for

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \\
& \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\beta$ non-singular.
For $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{T}(g, 0, \Phi) & =\int_{[N]} \sum_{P(F) \backslash G(F)} f_{\Phi, s}(\gamma n g) \psi_{-T}(n) d n \\
& =\int_{[N]} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash P w_{i} P} f_{\Phi, s}(\gamma n g) \psi_{-T}(n) d n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here For $i=1,2,3$,

$$
w_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1_{i} & & & \\
& & & 1_{3-i} \\
& & 1_{i} & \\
& -1_{3-i} & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 6.1.3. For a place $v$, if a Siegel-Weil section $f_{\Phi, s} \in I(s)$ is associated to $\Phi \in$ $\mathscr{S}_{0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, s u b}^{3}\right)$, then $f_{\Phi, s}$ is supported in the open cell $P w_{0} P$ for all $s$.

Proof. By the definition $f_{\Phi, s}(g)=r(g) \Phi(0) \lambda_{s}(g)$. Thus it suffices to prove $\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{\Phi, 0}\right) \subset$ $P w_{0} P$. Note that by the Bruhat decomposition $G=\coprod_{i} P w_{i} P$, it suffices to prove $r\left(p w_{i} p\right) \Phi(0)=$ 0 for $i=1,2,3$. Since $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, s u b}^{3}\right)$ is $P_{v}$-stable, it suffices to prove $r\left(w_{i}\right) \Phi(0)=0$ for $i=1,2,3$. Since

$$
r\left(w_{i}\right) \Phi(0)=\gamma \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-i}} \Phi\left(0, \ldots 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{3}\right) d x_{i+1} \ldots d x_{3}
$$

for certain eighth-root of unity $\gamma$, we compelete the proof since

$$
\Phi\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{3}\right) \equiv 0
$$

when $i \geq 1$.
By Lemma 6.1.3, $f_{\Phi_{v}}\left(\gamma n_{v} g_{v}, s\right) \equiv 0$ for $\gamma \in P w_{i} P, i>0, v \in\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ and $g_{v} \in P_{v}$. Thus for $g$ as in the statement, only the open cell has nonzero contribution in the coefficients

$$
E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=\int_{N_{\mathrm{A}}} r\left(w_{0} n g\right) \Phi(0) \psi_{-T}(n) d n
$$

This is exactly the Whittaker functional $W_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=W_{T}(e, s, r(g) \Phi)$.
Let $i: \mathrm{Sp}(3-r) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(3)$ be the standard embedding indicated by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1_{r} & & & \\
& a & & b \\
& & 1_{r} & \\
& c & & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then this induces a map by restriction: $i^{*}: I(s) \rightarrow I^{3-r}\left(s+\frac{r}{2}\right)$ to the degenerate principal series on $\operatorname{Sp}(3-r)$. We will frequently use upper/lower index $n-r$ to indicate the rank of the symplectic group we work on. Let $M(s)$ be the intertwining operator. We now simply denote by $f$ the Siegel-Weil section $f_{\Phi}$.

Lemma 6.1.4. Let $E_{\beta}\left(g, s, i^{*} M(s) f\right)$ denote the $\beta$-Fourier coefficient of the Eisensetin series defined by section $i^{*} M(s) f$. Then

$$
W_{T}(e, s, f)=E_{\beta}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M(s) f\right)
$$

Remark 6.1.1. Note that in general, besides $W_{T}(g, s, f)$ in $E_{T}(g, s, f)$ there are also other terms including $E_{\beta}\left(e, s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} r(g) f\right)$.

Proof. By [24] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}(e, s, f) \\
= & \int_{N} f_{s}(w n g) \psi_{-T}(n) d n \\
= & \int_{N_{r}} \int_{N_{3-r, 3}} f_{s}\left(w n_{1} n_{2} g\right) \psi_{-T}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right) d n_{1} d n_{2} \\
= & \int_{N_{r}}\left(\int_{N_{3-r, 3}} f_{s}\left(w w_{n-r}^{-1} w_{n-r} n_{1}(x, y) w_{n-r}^{-1} w_{n-r} n_{2}(z) g\right) d n_{1}\right) \psi_{-\beta}\left(n_{2}\right) d n_{2} \\
= & \int_{N_{r}}\left(\int_{U_{3-r, 3}} f_{s}\left(w^{(r)} u(x, y) w_{n-r} n_{2}(z) g\right) d u\right) \psi_{-\beta}\left(n_{2}\right) d n_{2} \\
= & E_{\beta}\left(e, s-\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} U(s) f\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1_{r} & y & x & \\
& 1_{3-r} & & \\
& & 1_{r} & \\
& & -{ }^{t} y & 1_{3-r}
\end{array}\right), \\
n(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1_{r} & & x & y \\
& 1_{3-r} & { }^{t} y & \\
& & & 1_{r} \\
\\
& & & 1_{3-r}
\end{array}\right), \\
u(x, y)=w_{3-r} n(x, y) w_{3-r}^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

and the operator

$$
U_{r}(s) f=\int_{U_{3-r, 3}} f_{s}\left(w^{(r)} u g\right) d u, \quad w^{(r)}=\left(\right)
$$

Apply the functional equation to the Eisenstein series $E\left(g, s, i^{*} M(s) f\right)$,

$$
W_{T}(e, s, f)=E_{\beta}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, M\left(s-\frac{r}{2}\right) \circ i^{*} U(s) f\right) .
$$

By the relation ([24, page 37]),

$$
M\left(s-\frac{r}{2}\right) \circ i^{*} U(s)=i^{*} M(s)
$$

we obtain

$$
W_{T}(e, s, f)=E_{\beta}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M(s) f\right)
$$

Now we have an Euler product when $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$,

$$
W_{T}(e, s, f)=\prod_{v} W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)
$$

Note that by the standard Gindikin-Karpelevich type argument, for the spherical vector $f_{v}^{0}(s)$ at a non-archimedean $v$ and when $\chi_{v}$ is unramified, we have

$$
M_{v}(s) f_{v}^{0}(s)=\frac{a_{v}(s)}{b_{v}(s)} f_{v}^{0}(-s)
$$

where

$$
a_{v}(s)=L_{v}\left(s+\varrho_{3}-3, \chi_{v}\right) \zeta_{v}(2 s-1)
$$

and

$$
b_{v}(s)=L_{v}\left(s+\varrho_{3}, \chi_{v}\right) \zeta_{v}(2 s+2)
$$

Thus, for a finite set outside which everything is unramified,

$$
\left.M(s) f(s)=\frac{a(s)}{b(s)}\left(\bigotimes_{v \in S} \frac{b_{v}(s)}{a_{v}(s)} M_{v}(s) f_{v}(s)\right)\right) \otimes f_{S}^{0}(-s)
$$

For a local Siegel-Weil section $f_{v}$ for all $v, \frac{b_{v}(s)}{a_{v}(s)} M_{v}(s) f_{v}$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and there is a non-zero constant independent of $f$ such that

$$
\left.\frac{b_{v}(s)}{a_{v}(s)} M_{v}(s) f_{v}(s)\right)\left.\right|_{s=0}=\lambda_{v} f_{v}(0)
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}(e, s, f) \\
= & \prod_{v} W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right) \\
= & \Lambda_{3-r}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right) \frac{a(s)}{b(s)} \prod_{v \in S_{\beta}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\Lambda_{3-r, v}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right)} W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*}\left(\frac{b_{v}(s)}{a_{v}(s)} M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)\right) \\
= & \Lambda_{3-r}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right) \frac{a(s)}{b(s)} \prod_{v \in S_{\beta}^{\prime}} A_{\beta, v}(s, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{\beta}$ is the set of all primes such that outside $S_{\beta}, f_{v}$ is the spherical vector, $\psi_{v}$ is unramifed and $\operatorname{ord}_{v}(\operatorname{det}(\beta))=0$.

Since $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} \Lambda_{3-r, v}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right)=0, \frac{b_{v}(s)}{a_{v}(s)} M_{v}(s) f_{v}$ is holomorphic and $W_{\beta}(e, s, f)$ extends to an entire function, we know that $A_{\beta, v}(s, f)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$. We have a formula

$$
A_{\beta, v}(0, f)=\frac{\lambda_{v}}{\Lambda_{3-r, v}(0)} W_{\beta, v}^{3-r}\left(e, \frac{r}{2}, i^{*} f_{v}(0)\right) .
$$

Lemma 6.1.5. Define a linear functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota: \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\Phi_{v} & \mapsto A_{\beta, v}\left(0, f_{\Phi_{v}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have $\iota\left(r(n(b)) \Phi_{v}\right)=\psi_{v, T}(b) \iota\left(\Phi_{v}\right)$, i.e., $\iota \in \operatorname{Hom}_{N}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right), \psi_{T}\right)$.
Proof. Let $b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}x & y \\ { }^{t} y & z\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)$. Since $M_{n}$ is $\operatorname{Sp}(3)$-intertwining, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*}\left(M_{v}(s) r(n(b)) f_{v}\right)\right) \\
= & W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*}\left(r(n(b)) M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)\right) \\
= & \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3-r}}\left(M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)\left(w_{3-r} n\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\right) n(b)\right) \psi_{-\beta}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \\
= & \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3-r}}\left(M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)\left(u(x, y) w_{3-r} n\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & z^{\prime}+z
\end{array}\right)\right)\right) d z^{\prime} \\
= & \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3-r}}\left(M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right)\left(w_{3-r} n\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & z^{\prime}+z
\end{array}\right)\right)\right) \psi_{-\beta}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \\
= & \psi_{\beta}(z) W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right) \\
= & \psi_{T}(b) W_{\beta, v}\left(e,-s+\frac{r}{2}, i^{*} M_{v}(s) f_{v}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the linear functional $f_{s} \mapsto A_{\beta, v}(s, f)$ defines an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{N}\left(I(s), \psi_{T}\right)$. In particular, when $s=0$, the composition $\iota$ of $A_{\beta, v}$ with the $G$-intertwining map $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right) \rightarrow I(0)$ defines a linear functional in $\operatorname{Hom}_{N}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right), \psi_{T}\right)$.

Then the map $\iota$ factors through the $\psi_{T}$-twisted Jacquet module $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right)_{N, T}$ (i.e., the maximal quotient of $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)$ on which $N$ acts by character $\left.\psi_{T}\right)$. Thus by the following result of Rallis, $\iota$ is trivial on $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v, \text { sub }}^{3}\right)$ when $T$ is singular:
Lemma 6.1.6. The map $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right)_{N, T}$ can be realized as the restriction $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{S}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$.

Now since $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} \frac{a(s)}{b(s)}=0$, we can now conclude that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} W_{T}\left(e, s, f_{\Phi}\right) \geq k
$$

if $\Phi_{v_{i}} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v_{i}, \text { reg }}^{3}\right)$ since the restriction to $\Omega_{T}$ is zero.
For a general $g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}\left(g, s, f_{\Phi}\right) \\
= & W_{T}(e, s, r(g) \Phi) \\
= & \Lambda_{n-r}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right) \frac{a(s)}{b(s)} \prod_{v \in S_{\beta}^{\prime}} A_{\beta, v}\left(s, r\left(g_{v_{i}}\right) \Phi_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{\beta, g}$ is a finite set of place that depends also on $g$.
Since $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}\right) \rightarrow I(0)$ is $G$-equivariant, $A_{\beta, v}\left(0, r\left(g_{v}\right) f_{v}\right)=\iota\left(r\left(g_{v}\right) \Phi_{v}\right)$. Since $g_{v_{i}} \in P_{v_{i}}$, we have $r\left(g_{v_{i}}\right) \Phi_{v_{i}} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{B}_{v_{i}, s u b}^{3}\right)$ and by the same argument above $A_{\beta, v_{i}}\left(0, r\left(g_{v_{i}}\right) f_{v_{i}}\right)=0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.1.2.

Remark 6.1.2. The proof would be much shorter if it were true that $W_{T, v}\left(g, s, f_{v}\right)$ extends to $\mathbb{C}$ and holomorphic at $s=0$ for singular $T$.

Now it is easy to extend to the similitude group $\mathrm{GSp}_{3}$. Recall that we have a decomposition of $E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)$ according to the difference of $\Sigma(T)$ and $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)+E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi), \tag{6.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{T, \operatorname{det}(T)=0} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi) .
$$

Corollary 6.1.7. The same assumption as in Proposition 6.1.2, then we have for $T$ singular and $g \in \mathrm{GSp}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$ with $g_{v_{i}} \in P_{v_{i}},(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, the vanishing order of the analytic function $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} E_{T}(g, s, \Phi)$ is at least $k-1$. In other words, for such $g$ we have

$$
E_{\text {sing }}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=0
$$

Proof. For $g \in \mathrm{GSp}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$, we still have

$$
W_{T}(g, s, \Phi)=\Lambda_{3-r}\left(-s+\frac{r}{2}\right) \frac{a(s)}{b(s)} \prod_{v \in S_{\beta}^{\prime}} A_{\beta, v}\left(s, r\left(g_{v_{i}}\right) f_{v}\right)
$$

for a finite set of places $S_{\beta, g}$.

### 6.2 Functions with regular support

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean field. Let $B$ be a quaternion algebra over $F$. And we have the moment map

$$
Q: B^{3} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F) .
$$

Definition 6.2.1. We call a function $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {req }}^{3}\right)$ "exceptional of order $k$ " if it satisfies the condition that $Q(\operatorname{supp}(\Phi))+p^{-k} \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathscr{O}) \subseteq Q\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$.

Even though it looks that such functions are very special, they in fact generate $\mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$ under the action of a very small subgroup.

Lemma 6.2.2. Let $k$ be any fixed integer. Then $\mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$ is generated by all exceptional function of order $k$ under the action of elements $m\left(a I_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_{3}$ for all $a \in F^{\times}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $k$ is even and that $\Phi=1_{U} \in \mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$ is the characteristic function some open compact set $U \subseteq B^{3}$. Then $Q(U)$ is an compact open subset of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{3}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq a_{3}\right\}$. Then the "elementary divisors" defines a map $\delta: b \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F) \rightarrow\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{3}$. One can check that it is locally constant on $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}$. Hence the composition of this map and the moment map $Q$ is also locally constant on $B_{r e g}^{3}$. In particular, this gives a partition of $U$ into disjoint union of finitely many open subsets. So we can assume that $\delta \circ Q$ is constant on $U$, say, $\delta \circ Q(U)=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right\}$.

Consider $m\left(a I_{3}\right) \Phi$ which is certain multiple of $1_{a U}$. Choose $a=p^{-A}$ for some integer $A>1+a_{1}+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right)$. Then we are left to prove that that such $1_{p^{-A-k / 2} U}$ is exceptional of order $k$. It suffices to prove that, for any $x \in U$ and $t \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})$, $Q\left(p^{-A-k / 2} x\right)+p^{-k} t$ belongs to $Q\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$. Note that

$$
Q\left(p^{-A-k / 2} x\right)+p^{-k} t=p^{-k-2 A+2\left[\frac{a_{1}-1}{2}\right]}\left(Q\left(p^{-\left[\frac{a_{1}-1}{2}\right]} x\right)+p^{2 A-2\left[\frac{a_{1}-1}{2}\right]} t\right)
$$

Now $Q\left(p^{-\left[\frac{a_{1}-1}{2}\right]} x\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})$. It is well-known that for $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})_{\mathrm{reg}}, T$ and $T+$ $p^{2+\operatorname{det}(T)} T^{\prime}$ for any $T^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})$ defines isomorphic integral quadratic forms of rank $n$. Equivalently, $T+p^{2+\operatorname{det}(T)} T^{\prime}=^{t} \gamma T \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_{3}(\mathscr{O})$. Now it is easy to see that $Q\left(p^{-A-k / 2} x\right)+p^{-k} t \in Q\left(B_{\mathrm{reg}}^{3}\right)$.

The nice property of an exceptional of high order is exhibited in the vanishing of the Whitatker function.

Proposition 6.2.3. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$ is exceptional of sufficiently large order $k$ depending on the conductor of the additive character $\psi$. Then we have

$$
W_{T}(\Phi, e, s) \equiv 0
$$

for regular $T \notin Q\left(B_{\mathrm{reg}}^{3}\right)$ and any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $W_{T}(\Phi, e, 0)=W_{T}^{\prime}(\Phi, e, 0)=0$.

Proof. When $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T}(\Phi, e, s) \\
& =\gamma(V, \psi) \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)} \psi(-b(T-Q(x))) \int_{B^{3}} \Phi(x) \delta(w b)^{s} d x d b \\
& =\gamma(V, \psi) c_{v} \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)} \psi\left(b\left(T^{\prime}-T\right)\right) \delta(w b)^{s}, I_{T^{\prime}}(\Phi) d b d T^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{v}$ is a suitable non-zero constant and $I_{T^{\prime}}(\Phi)$ is a certain orbital integral defined earlier. Then $T^{\prime} \mapsto I_{T^{\prime}}(\Phi)$ defines a function in $\mathscr{S}\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)_{\text {reg }}\right)$ for our choice of $\Phi$. Since as a function of $b \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F), \delta(w b)$ is invariant under the translation of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F)} \psi(b t) \delta(w b)^{s} d b \\
& =\left(\int_{\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(O)} \psi(x t) d x\right) \sum_{b \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(F) / \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(\mathscr{O})} \psi(b t) \delta(w b)^{s},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is zero unless $t \in p^{-k} \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(\mathscr{O})$ for some $k$ depending on the conductor of the additive character $\psi$.

Therefore the nonzero contribution to the integral are from $T^{\prime}-T \in p^{-k} \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(\mathscr{O})$ and $I_{T^{\prime}}(\Phi) \neq 0$. The assumption in the proposition forces that $T^{\prime}$ is not in $Q(\operatorname{supp}(\Phi))$. But this in turn implies that $I_{T^{\prime}}(\Phi)=0$ !

In conclusion, we proves that, if $\Phi$ is exceptional of order at least $k$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
W_{T}(\Phi, e, s) \equiv 0
$$

By analytic continuation, we still have $W_{T}(\Phi, e, s) \equiv 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ !

From now on, we will choose $\Phi_{v}$ to be a test function "exceptional of sufficiently higher order" for $v \in S$ where $S$ is a set of finite places with at least two elements such that any finite place outside $S$ is spherical. And we always choose the standard Gaussian at all archimedean places. Then for $g \in \mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=\sum_{v} \sum_{\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi), \tag{6.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over $v$ outside $S$ and nonsingular $T$.

### 6.3 Local zeta integrals with regular support

Let $\sigma=\otimes_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_{i}$ be unitary irreducible admissible representation of $\mathbb{G}$ with each $\sigma_{i}$ of infinite dimensional and with $\Lambda(\sigma)<1 / 2$. Recall that we let $\Lambda\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ be zero if it is supercuspidal and $|\Lambda|$ if $\sigma=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G}\left(\chi|\cdot|^{\lambda}|\cdot|^{-\lambda}\right)$ for a unitary $\chi$. Let $\Lambda(\sigma)$ be the sum of $\Lambda\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$. Note that if $\sigma$ is local component of global automorphic cuspidal representation, we have $\Lambda(\sigma)<1 / 2$ by work of Kim-Shahidi (Ramanujam conjecture predicts that $\Lambda(\sigma)=0$ ).

Conjecture 6.3.1. Assume that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(V^{3}\right) \times \sigma, \mathbb{C}\right) \neq 0$. Then the local zeta integral $Z(f, W)$ is non-zero for some choice of $W \in \mathscr{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ and $f \in I(B)$ attached to $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$.

Since that above space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(V^{3}\right) \times \sigma, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is one dimensional and generated by zeta integral, thus the zeta integral defines an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)^{3}$-equivariant map

$$
\alpha: \mathscr{S}\left(V^{3}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\sigma}
$$

We need only show that there is an element in $\mathscr{S}\left(B_{\text {reg }}^{3}\right)$ with non-zero image.
In the following we want to show that the theorem is true in some special cases including the case when $V$ is anisotropic by induction.

Theorem 6.3.2. Assume that $V$ is anisotropic. Let $\alpha_{i}: \mathscr{S}(V) \longrightarrow \sigma_{i}(i=1, \cdots m)$ be some $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)$-surjective morphisms to irreducible and admissible $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)$ representations. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2} \otimes \cdots \alpha_{m}$ be their product:

$$
\alpha: \quad \mathscr{S}\left(V^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \sigma:=\sigma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{m} .
$$

Let $W$ be a non-degenerate subspace of $V$ (with respect to the norm $\left.q\right|_{W}$ ) such that

$$
\operatorname{dim} W+m \leq \operatorname{dim} V
$$

There is a function $\phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V^{m}\right)$ such that $\alpha(\phi) \neq 0$ and that the support $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)$ of $\phi$ contains only elements $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
W(x):=W+F x_{1}+\cdots F x_{m}
$$

is non-degenerate of dimension $\operatorname{dim} W+m$.
Proof of the case $m=1$. Since $W$ is non-degenerate, we have an orthogonal decomposition $V=W \oplus W^{\prime}$, and an identification $\mathscr{S}(V)=\mathscr{S}(W) \otimes \mathscr{S}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$. The action of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)$ is given by actions of the double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(F)$ on $\mathscr{S}(W)$ and $\mathscr{S}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ respectively. Since $V$ is anisotropic, $W^{\prime}$ is anisotropic. So the space $\mathscr{S}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is generated over $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)$ by the subspace $\mathscr{S}\left(W_{q \neq 0}^{\prime}\right)$ of function supported on nonzero elements. In fact, one has

$$
\mathscr{S}\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(W_{q \neq 0}^{\prime}\right)+w \mathscr{S}\left(W_{q \neq 0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Choose any $\phi$ such that $\alpha(\phi) \neq 0$ and that $\phi$ is a pure tensor:

$$
\phi=f \otimes f^{\prime}, \quad f \in \mathscr{S}(W), \quad f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}\left(W^{\prime}\right)
$$

Write

$$
f^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\prime}+w f_{1}^{\prime}, \quad f_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}\left(W_{q \neq 0}^{\prime}\right) \quad g_{i} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_{2}(F)
$$

Then we have decomposition

$$
\phi=\phi_{0}+w \phi_{1}, \quad \phi_{0}=f \otimes f_{0}^{\prime}, \quad \phi_{1}=w^{-1} f \otimes f_{1}^{\prime}
$$

One of $\alpha\left(\phi_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Thus we may replace $\phi$ by this $\phi_{i}$ to conclude that the support of $\phi$ is contained in the set of $x=\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ with $W(x):=W \oplus F w^{\prime}$ non-degenerate.

Proof of the case $m>1$. We prove by induction on $m$. We assume that we have a $\phi^{\prime} \in$ $\mathscr{S}\left(V^{m-1}\right)$ with nonzero image in $\sigma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{m-1}$ under

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{m-1}
$$

such that the support of $\phi$ is contained in the set of elements $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m-1}\right)$ with nondegenerate $W\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} W+m-1$. For any $x \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ by applying the proved case $m=1$ to the subspace $W\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, we have a $\phi_{x^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{S}(V)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ contains only elements $x_{m}$ with non-degenerate

$$
W\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{m}\right)=W(x), \quad x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)
$$

of dimension $\operatorname{dim} W+m$. By computing moment matrix of $W^{\prime \prime}$, we see that this last condition is open in $x^{\prime}$. Thus there is an open subset $U\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ of $x^{\prime}$ such that above non-degenerate condition holds for all elements in $U\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

As $x^{\prime}$ varies in $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right), U\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ covers $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$. By the compactness of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$, we can find finitely many $U\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ to cover $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$. Replacing $U\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ by sub-coverings of $U\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume that $\phi_{x_{i}}$ takes constants $c_{i}$ on every $U\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we have an decomposition

$$
\phi^{\prime}=\sum_{i} c_{i} 1_{U\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

As $\alpha^{\prime}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, for one of $x_{i}$, say $y, \alpha^{\prime}\left(1_{U(y)}\right) \neq 0$. Now we define

$$
\phi=1_{U(y)} \otimes \phi_{y} \in \mathscr{S}\left(V^{m}\right) .
$$

Then $\phi$ satisfies the conditions in the theorem.

## 7 Local intersection at ramified places

In this subsection, we want to describe the local height pairing of Gross-Schoen cycles on the triple product of a Shimura curve at bad places. Our treatment is complete only if $v$ is non-split in the corresponding quaternion algebra. Some further treatment needs to treat so called non surpersingular local intersection.

### 7.1 Analytic unformizations

In the following, we want to give an analytic description of Hecke correspondence when $v$ is not split in $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$. Again, we let $B$ denote the quaternion algebra over $F$ such that

$$
B \otimes \mathbb{A} \simeq M_{2}\left(F_{v}\right) \otimes \mathbb{B}^{v}
$$

## Cerednik-Drinfeld uniformization

First we want recall the Cerednik-Drinfeld uniformization when $U_{v}=U_{v}^{0}:=\mathscr{O}_{B, v}^{\times}$is maximal for the formal completion $\widehat{Y}_{U}$ along its special fiber over $v$. Let $\widehat{\Omega}$ denote Deligne's formal scheme over $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ obtained by blowing-up $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ along its rational points in the special fiber over the residue field $k$ of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ successively. So the generic fiber $\Omega$ of $\widehat{\Omega}$ is a rigid analytic space over $F_{v}$ whose $\bar{F}_{v}$-points are given by $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)-\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(F_{v}\right)$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$ has a natural action on $\widehat{\Omega}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_{0}=\Omega \otimes F_{v}^{u r}$ be its base change to the maximal unramified extension of $F_{v}$. Then $\Sigma_{0}:=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{v} \text { ur } / F_{v}} \mathscr{H}_{0}$ when viewed as a formal scheme over $F_{v}$ has a action by $B^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$via action of $g_{1} \in B_{v}^{\times} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and the following action on $\mathscr{O}_{v}^{u r}$ :

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in B_{v}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times} \longrightarrow g_{1} \times \operatorname{Frob}^{-\operatorname{ord}_{v}\left(\nu\left(g_{1}\right) \nu\left(g_{2}\right)\right)} .
$$

The theorem of Cerednik-Drinfeld gives a natural isomorphism between two analytic spaces:

$$
Y_{U}^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq B^{\times} \backslash \Sigma_{0} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v} .
$$

The projective system of these varieties when $U^{v}$ various form a projective system with compatible action by $\mathbb{B}^{\times} / B_{0, v}^{\times}$. The analytic space $\Sigma_{0}$ over $F_{v}^{\text {ur }}$ is geometrically connected but $\operatorname{Res}_{F_{v}} \Omega_{0}$ is not. In fact over $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{0} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have description over $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ :

$$
Y_{U, F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \simeq B_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{0} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}
$$

here $B_{0}^{\times}$denote the subgroup of elements $b$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \nu(b)=0$. The action of $b \in \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$in this new description is given as follows:

$$
[z, g] \mapsto\left[f^{-1} z, f^{-1} g\right]
$$

for some elements $f \in B$ whose norm has the same order as $b$.

More generally, for any integer $n \geq 1$, let $U_{v}^{n}$ denote the subgroup $1+\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}$. Then there is an etale covering $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ of $\mathscr{H}_{0}$ over $F_{v}^{\text {ur }}$ with an compatible action of $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times} \times B_{v}^{\times}$on $\Sigma_{n}:=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{v} \mathrm{ur} / F_{v}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ over $F$ such that

$$
Y_{U_{v}^{n} \cdot U^{v}}^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq B^{\times} \backslash \Sigma_{n} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}
$$

with compatible action by $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$. The analytic space $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ over $F_{v}^{\text {ur }}$ has an action of by the subgroup of elements $(\gamma, b)$ of $B_{v}^{\times} \times \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$with $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(\gamma) \nu(b))=0$. Thus we have an description over $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ as

$$
Y_{U_{v}^{n} \cdot U^{v}, F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq B_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{n} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}
$$

The right action of an element $b \in \mathbb{B}_{v}^{\times}$is given by

$$
[z, g] \mapsto\left[\left(f^{-1}, b\right) z, f^{-1} g\right] .
$$

Here $f \in B$ is any element with the same norm as $b$.
Write $\mathscr{H}$ as projective limit of $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ which admit an action of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{v}}^{\times}$so that quotient by any compact subgroup $U_{v}$ gives an rigid space $\mathscr{H}_{U_{v}}$ over $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Write $\Sigma_{n}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{v} \mathrm{ur}} F_{v}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ and $\Sigma=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{v} / F_{v}}(\mathscr{H})$. Then we have a unformization for general open compact subgroup $U$ of $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$:

$$
Y_{U}^{\mathrm{an}}=B^{\times} \backslash \Sigma_{U_{v}} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}=B^{\times} \backslash \operatorname{Res}_{F_{v} \mathrm{ur}} / F_{v} \Sigma \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U
$$

The projective system of these spaces when $n$ and $U^{v}$ various form a projective system with action by $\mathbb{B}^{\times}$. We have a similar description over $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ :

$$
Y_{U, F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\mathrm{an}}=B_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{U_{v}} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U^{v}=B_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U .
$$

The analytic space at $v$ associate to $M_{K}$ can be described using orthogonal space $V=$ $(B, q)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\operatorname{GSpin}(V)=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in B^{\times}, \quad \nu\left(g_{1}\right)=\nu\left(g_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) x=g_{1} x g_{2}^{-1}, \quad g_{i} \in B^{\times}, x \in V, \\
D_{K}:=\mathscr{H}_{U_{v}} \times{ }_{F_{v}^{\text {ur }}} \mathscr{H}_{U_{v}}, \quad D=\Sigma \times_{F_{v}^{\text {ur }}} \Sigma .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{K}^{\mathrm{an}}=H(F) \backslash \operatorname{Res}_{F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}} / F_{v}} D_{K_{v}} \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}=H(F) \backslash \operatorname{Res}_{F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}} / F_{v}} D \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K \\
M_{K, F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\mathrm{an}}=H(F)_{0} \backslash D_{K_{v}} \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K^{v}=H(F)_{0} \backslash D \times H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right) / K
\end{gathered}
$$

Uniformization of $Z(x)_{K}$
For $x \in \mathbb{B}^{\times}$, the Hecke correspondence $Z(x)_{K}$ represents the right action of $x$ on $Y_{U}$ if $q(x) \in F_{+}^{\times}$, then we can find $f \in B^{\times}$with the same norm as $x_{v}$. Then $Z(x)_{K}$ over can be described in terms of

$$
Y_{U, F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\mathrm{ar}}=B_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathscr{H} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times} / U:
$$

$$
[z, g] \mapsto\left[\left(f^{-1}, x_{v}\right) z, f^{-1} g x^{v}\right] .
$$

Let $D_{f}\left(x_{v}\right) \subset \mathscr{H}^{2}$ denote the graph of the action $\left(f^{-1}, x_{v}\right)$ on $\mathscr{H}$. Notice that the equation $g_{2}=f^{-1} g_{1} x$ in $g_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times}$is equivalent to

$$
x=g^{-1}(f), \quad g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in H\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)
$$

Thus we have the following description of $Z(x)_{K, F_{v} \mathrm{ur}}$ :
Lemma 7.1.1.

$$
Z(x)_{K}^{\text {an }}=H(F)_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{g^{-1} f=x^{v}}\left(D_{f}\left(x_{v}\right) \times g K^{v}\right) / K^{v}
$$

### 7.2 Local intersection at a non-split prime

In the following, we let us compute the local intersection over $F_{v}^{\text {ur }}$ for cycles $\widehat{Z}\left(\Phi_{i}\right)$ for $\Phi_{i} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V})$. Assume that $\Phi_{i}(x)=\Phi_{i}^{v}\left(x^{v}\right) \Phi_{i v}\left(x_{v}\right)$ with $\Phi_{i v}$ invariant under $U_{v} \times U_{v}$ with $U_{v}=U_{v}^{n}$. We assume that the support of the function $\Phi:=\Phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2} \otimes \Phi_{3}$ on $\mathbb{V}^{3}$ is supported on set of $\left(\mathbb{V}^{3}\right)_{\text {sub }}$ of points $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ whose components are linearly independent. This implies that the cycles $\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ have no intersection in the generic fiber.

Lemma 7.2.1. Consider $Z(\phi)=Z\left(\phi_{1}\right) \times Z\left(\phi_{2}\right) \times Z\left(\phi_{3}\right)$ as a correspondence on $Y_{U}^{3}$. Then there is an arithmetic class $\widehat{\Delta}_{v}$ of the diagonal $\Delta$ in $Y_{U}^{3}$ at place $v$ such that

$$
\left(\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{3}\right)\right)_{v}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z(\phi)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}_{v}\right|_{\Delta}\right)
$$

Proof. First of all, we recall the discuss in $\S 3.5$ that since $v$ is not split in $\mathbb{B}$, the Hecke operators does not change the $v$-adic structure of Hodge classes. This implies that for each $x \in \mathbb{B}^{\times}$the admissible class $\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ at place $v$ can be written as

$$
\widehat{Z}(x)_{v}=Z(x)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}_{v}:=p_{2 *} p_{1}^{*} \widehat{Z}(1)_{v}
$$

where $\widehat{Z}(1)_{v}$ is the admissible class for the diagonal in $Y_{U} \times Y_{U}$, and $p_{i}$ are two projections of $Z(x)$ onto $Y_{U}$. In this way we have

$$
\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=Z\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{*} \widehat{Z}(1)
$$

Secondly, define the arithmetic class for $Z(\phi)$ on $Y_{U}^{6}$ as the intersection

$$
\widehat{Z}(\phi)=p_{1,4} \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cdot p_{2,5}^{*} \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \cdot p_{3,6}^{*} \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{3}\right)
$$

where for any subset $I$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, 6\}, p_{I}$ denotes the projection to the project of factors $Y_{U}^{|I|}$ indexed by $I$. Then

$$
\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.\widehat{Z}(\phi)\right|_{\Delta \times \Delta} .\right.
$$

Write $\Delta \times \Delta$ as intersection $p_{123}^{*} \Delta \times p_{456}^{*} \Delta$ to obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg} p_{456 *}\left(\left.\widehat{Z}(\phi)\right|_{p_{123}^{*} \Delta}\right)_{\Delta}=\left.\operatorname{deg} p_{456 *}\left(\left.\widehat{Z}(\phi)\right|_{p_{123}^{*} \Delta}\right)\right|_{\Delta}=\left.\operatorname{deg} p_{456 *}\left(\left.Z(\phi) * \widehat{Z}(1)^{3}\right|_{p_{123}^{*}} \Delta\right)\right|_{\Delta} .
$$

It is easy to check that two pushfords $p_{456 *}$ and $Z(\phi)_{*}$ commute. Thus we have

$$
Z(\Phi, \Delta)_{v}:=\left(\widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{Z}\left(\phi_{3}\right)\right)_{v}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z(\phi)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}_{v}\right|_{\Delta}\right)
$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}_{v}$ is an arithmetic class of the diagonal in $Y_{U}^{3}$ defined by:

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{v}:=p_{456 *}\left(\left.\widehat{Z}(1)_{v}^{3}\right|_{\Delta}\right)
$$

For each $g \in \mathbb{B}^{\times}$denote the diagonal $\Delta(g)$ (resp. arithmetic class $\widehat{\Delta}(g)$ the diagonal) in component $Y_{U}^{3}$ indexed by $(g, g, g)$ in the uniformization:

$$
Y_{U}^{\mathrm{an}, 3}=\left(B_{0}^{\times}\right)^{3} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{U}^{3} \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times 3} /\left(U^{v}\right)^{3} .
$$

Then we can rewrite the intersection by

$$
Z(\Phi, \Delta)=\sum_{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in\left(B_{0}^{\times}\right)^{2} \backslash\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times 2} / U^{2}} \sum_{x \in K^{3} \backslash \mathbb{V}^{3}} \Phi(x) \operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z(x)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|_{\Delta\left(g_{2}\right)}\right) .
$$

Notice that Hecke operator does not change these component in $Y_{U}^{3}$. Thus we may assume that $\nu\left(g_{1}\right)=\nu\left(g_{2}\right)$. In other words, we may replace the index in the summer by group $H(F)_{0} \backslash H\left(\mathbb{A}^{v}\right)^{\times} / K^{v}$. Moreover, on the uniformization level, the Hecke operator $Z\left(x_{i}\right)$ is given by

$$
(z, g) \longrightarrow\left(\left[f_{i}^{-1}, x_{i v}\right], f_{i}^{-1} g x_{i}^{v}\right)
$$

where $f_{i} \in B$ has the same norm as $x$. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z(x)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|_{\Delta\left(g_{2}\right)} \neq 0\right.$, we must have $g_{2}=$ $f_{i}^{-1} g_{1} x_{i}^{v}$. In terms of action of $H$ on $V=B$, we have $x_{i}^{v}=g^{-1} f_{i}$. Thus we can rewrite the sum as

$$
Z(\Phi, \Delta)=\sum_{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in\left(B_{0}^{\times}\right)^{2} \backslash\left(\mathbb{B}^{v}\right)^{\times 2} / U^{2}} \sum_{f \in V^{3}} \Phi^{v}\left(g^{-1} f\right) m\left(f, \Phi_{v}\right)
$$

where

$$
m\left(f, \Phi_{v}\right)=\sum_{x_{v} \in K_{v}^{3} \backslash \mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z\left(x_{v}, f\right)_{*} \widehat{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|_{\Delta\left(g_{2}\right)}\right) .
$$

Here $Z\left(x_{v}, f\right)$ is a correspondence from $Y_{U, g_{1}}$ to $Y_{U, g_{2}}$ by action by $\left[f^{-1}, x_{v}\right]$ on the analytic space $\mathscr{H}_{U}^{3}$.

### 7.3 Compactness of local intersection

In the following, we want to show that following
Lemma 7.3.1. For given $\Phi_{v}$ with compact support, the function $m\left(f, \Phi_{v}\right) \neq 0$ only if the moment matrix of $f$ is supported in a compact subject of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)$.

In the following, we want to study the horizontal local intersection at a finite place $v$ which is split in $\mathbb{B}$. We can construct a regular integral model $\mathscr{D}$ for $D_{n}:=\mathscr{H}_{U_{v}^{n}}^{3}$ over some base change of $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ as follows. First all, over some base change of $F_{v}^{n}$ of $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}$, the rigid space $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ has an equivariant semistable model $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}$. Then we blow up some closed subscheme in the special fiber of the triple fiber product $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}_{n}^{3}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}^{u r}}$ to get a regular model $\mathscr{D}$ of $D$, see Lemma 2.2.1 in [39]. In this way, we obtain integral models of $\mathscr{X}_{U}$ and $X_{U}=Y_{U}^{3}$ as follows:

$$
\mathscr{X}_{U}^{\text {an }}=\left(B_{0}^{\times}\right)^{3} \backslash \mathscr{D}_{n} \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{v}\right)^{\times 3} /\left(U^{v}\right)^{3} .
$$

In this way the arithmetic cycle $\widehat{\Delta}(x)_{v}$ has a decomposition

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{v}=\bar{\Delta}_{v}+V
$$

where $V$ is a vertical cycle. The intersection has decomposition

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) \widehat{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|_{\Delta\left(g_{2}\right)}=Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) \bar{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right) \cdot \bar{\Delta}\left(g_{2}\right)+Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) V \cdot \bar{\Delta}\left(g_{2}\right)\right.
$$

We will prove the compactness by working on the horizontal and vertical separately.
Lemma 7.3.2. Fix $a x$ and $g$. The function $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) \bar{\Delta}\left(g_{1}\right)\right|_{\Delta\left(g_{2}\right)}\right) \neq 0$ only if the moment metrix is supported in a compact subset of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)$.

Proof. The cycle $Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) \bar{\Delta}$ has non-empty intersection with $\bar{\Delta}$ only if they have non-empty intersection in the minimal level, and only if any two of the graphs $\Gamma\left(f_{i}\right)$ of the isomorphisms $f_{i}: \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$ have a non-empty intersection in the generic fiber $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{v}\right)-\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(F_{v}\right)$. Or in the other words, the morphism $f_{i} f_{j}^{-1}$ does not have a fixed point in $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(F_{v}\right)$. This will implies that $f_{i} \bar{f}_{j}=f_{i} f_{j}^{-1} q\left(f_{j}\right)$ is elliptic in the sense it generates a quadratic subfield $E_{i j}$ in $B_{v}$ over $F_{v}$. Recall that in a quadratic field, an element $t$ is integral only if its norm is integral. If $n$ is an integer such that $2 n \geq-\operatorname{ord}(q(t))$, then $\varpi_{v}^{n} t$ has integral norm, thus $\operatorname{tr}\left(\varpi_{v}^{n} t\right)$ is integral. Take $n=-[\operatorname{ord}(q(t)) / 2]$, then we get for all $t \in E_{i j}$ :

$$
\operatorname{ordtr}(t) \geq-[\operatorname{ord}(q(t)) / 2]
$$

Since $q\left(f_{i}\right)=q\left(x_{i}\right)$, we thus obtain that entries of $Q(f)$ has an estimate

$$
\operatorname{ord}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{i} \bar{f}_{j}\right)\right) \geq-\left[\operatorname{ord}\left(x_{i} \bar{x}_{j}\right) / 2\right]
$$

This shows that $Q(f)$ is in a compact subset of $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(F_{v}\right)$.
Now let us to compute vertical local intersection at $v$. We need only show that

Lemma 7.3.3. For an irreducible vertical cycle $S$ of $\mathscr{D}$, the support of the function $f \longrightarrow$ $\left[f^{-1}, x_{v}\right] S \cdot \bar{\Delta} \neq 0$ has compact moments.

Proof. Assume that $S$ has image in $\Omega^{3}$ included into $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times \times A_{3}$, where $A_{i}$ 's are irreducible components of special component of $\Omega$. If $Z\left(x_{v}, f\right) S \cdot \bar{\Delta} \neq 0$, then $y_{i}^{-1} A_{i}$ has non-trivial intersection on $\bar{\Omega}$. This implies that for any $i \neq j, y_{i} y_{j}^{-1} A_{j}$ is adjacent to $A_{i}$.

Recall that after fixing an isomorphism $B_{v} \simeq M_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$, the irreducible components in the special component of $\Omega$ are parameterized by homothety classes of lattices in $F_{v}^{2}$. Thus for each $i$, there is a finite set $T_{i}$ of elements in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(F_{v}\right)$ such that $t A_{i}$ for $t_{i, j} \in T_{i}$ are all component with non-trivial intersection with $A_{i}$. Then we have $t^{-1} y_{i} y_{j}^{-1} A_{i}=A_{i}$ for some $t \in T$. Thus $y_{i} y_{j}^{-1} \in t_{i, j} F_{v}^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)$. Since $y_{i}$ has the same norm as $x_{i}$, this equation implies that $y_{i} \bar{y}_{j}$ is in a compact set which implies that the moment matrix is bounded.

By Lemma 7.3.1, we can replace $m\left(f, \Phi_{v}\right)$ by a Schwarts function $\Phi_{v}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{B}_{v}^{3}$. Then we have shown that that the local triple product at $v$ is given by integration over $[H]$ of the theta series attached to $\Phi^{\prime}$. By Siegel-Weil theorem, this integration is a coherent Eisenstein series:

Theorem 7.3.1. Assume that $\Phi_{v} \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{v}^{3}\right)$ is neat of sufficiently large order. Then there is an $\Phi^{\prime}=\Phi^{v} \otimes \Phi_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}=E\left(g, \Phi^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $g \in \mathbb{G}$ such that $g_{v}$ is in a small neighborhood of 1 .

### 7.4 Proof of Main Theorem

In this section we will finish proving the main result 1.2.4 of this paper. Note that we need to prove conjecture 3.4.2 under the assumption of the theorem. Firstly we compile established facts. Note that the test functions are chosen as follows:

1. For $v \notin \Sigma$ we choose $\Phi_{v}$ to be the characteristic function of $\mathscr{O}_{B, v}^{3}$
2. For $v \mid \infty$, we have chosen $\Phi_{v}$ to the standard Gaussian.
3. For finite $v$ in $\Sigma$, we choose $\Phi_{v}$ to be neat of sufficiently large order depending on $\psi_{v}$.

Now by the decomposition of $E^{\prime}(\cdot, 0, \Phi)_{\text {hol }}$ (equation 5.4.12), we have for $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $g_{v}=1$ when $v \in S$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=\sum_{v} E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}  \tag{7.4.1}\\
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=\sum_{T, \Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)} E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the sum runs only over non-singular $T$ by the vanishing of singular coefficients for such $g$. And when $\Sigma(T)=\Sigma(v)$ for $v \mid \infty$, we have

$$
E_{T}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}=W_{T}\left(g_{\infty}\right) m_{v}(T) W_{T, f}\left(g_{f}, 0, \Phi_{f}\right)
$$

where $m_{v}(T)$ is the star product of $P_{s}\left(1+2 s_{x}(z) / q(x)\right)$ for $x$ with moment $T$.
On the intersection side, we also have a decomposition

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)=\sum_{v} Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v} \quad\left(\bmod \mathscr{A}_{\text {coh }}(\mathbb{G})\right)
$$

where $\mathscr{A}_{\text {coh }}(\mathbb{G})$ is the subspace of $\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{G})$ generated by restrictions of $E(\cdot, 0, \Phi)$ for $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}^{3}\right)$ for all possible coherent $V_{\mathbb{A}}$, and forms on $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$ which is Eisenstein for at least one variable $g_{i}$.

And we have proved the following comparison for $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $g_{w}$ in a small neighborhood $U_{w}^{\prime}$ when $w \in \Sigma_{f}$, subset of finite places in $\Sigma$ :

1. For $v \notin \Sigma$, by Theorem 5.3.3,

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}=E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)+\sum_{i} c_{v}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right)
$$

where $c_{v}\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ are some functions which are vanishes for almost all $v$, and $Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right)$. $Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right)$ is the intersection of two divisors on $Y_{U} \times Y_{U}$.
2. When $v \mid \infty$, by Theorem 5.4.8,

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \delta)_{v}=E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}
$$

3. When $v \in S$, by Theorem 7.3.1,

$$
Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)_{v}=E^{(v)}(g)
$$

for some $E^{(v)} \in \mathscr{A}_{\text {coh }}(\mathbb{G})$. And by Proposition 6.2.3, we have for $g$ as above

$$
E_{v}^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)=0
$$

To sum up, we have an automorphic form

$$
\mathscr{F}(g)=Z(g, \Phi, \Delta)-E^{\prime}(g, 0, \Phi)_{h o l}-\sum_{v \in S} E^{(v)}(g) \in \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{G})
$$

with the property that for all $g \in \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} U_{v}^{\prime} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(g)=\sum_{i} c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right) \tag{7.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ are some functions of $g_{i}$. Since $F(g)$ and $Z\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ are all automorphic, we have for any

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)^{3} \cap \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}} \\
\sum_{i}\left(c\left(\gamma_{i} g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)-c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular if $Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right) \neq 0$, then $c\left(\gamma_{i} g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$. Since

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(F^{3}\right) \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} U_{v}^{\prime} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A}) \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} U_{v}^{\prime} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}}
$$

There are unique functions $\lambda\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ for $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})^{3} \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} U_{v}^{\prime} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}}$ with the following properties:

1. $\lambda\left(\gamma_{i} g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ if $\gamma_{i} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F)$
2. $\lambda\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=c\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)$ if there are $g_{j}, g_{k}$ with the sam norm as $g_{i}$ such that $Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right)$. $Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right) \neq 0$
3. $\lambda\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right)=0$ if there such that $Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right) \neq 0$ for all $g_{j}, g_{k}$ with the same norm as $g_{i}$.

Now we have a new equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(g)=\sum_{i} \lambda\left(g_{i}, \Phi_{i}\right) Z\left(g_{j}, \Phi_{j}\right) \cdot Z\left(g_{k}, \Phi_{k}\right), \quad g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})^{3} \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} U_{v}^{\prime} \mathbb{G}^{\Sigma_{f}} \tag{7.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to show that $\mathscr{F}$ is perpendicular to any cusp form $\varphi \in \sigma$ in the Main theorem. By our definition of $\mathscr{F}$, we see that if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{G}(F) \backslash \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})} \mathscr{F}(g) \varphi(g) d g=\ell(\theta(\Phi \otimes \varphi))
$$

where $\ell \in \mathscr{P}(\Pi)$ is the difference of two linear forms in remark 1.5.1 following the Main Theorem 1.2.4 on the Shimizu lifting $\Pi=\pi \otimes \widetilde{\pi}$ of $\sigma$ on $O(\mathbb{V})^{3}$, Choose a fundamental domain $\Omega$ of $F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}^{\times}$in $\prod_{v \in \Sigma_{f}} \operatorname{det} U_{v}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\times}\right)^{\Sigma_{f}}$. Then we have a decomposition $\ell=\sum \ell_{i}$ with

$$
\ell_{i}(\Phi \otimes \varphi)=\int_{\Omega} \lambda_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{i} \otimes \varphi_{i}\right) Z_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{j} \otimes \varphi_{j}\right) \cdot Z_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{k} \otimes \varphi_{k}\right) d \alpha
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} \lambda\left(g g_{\alpha}, \Phi_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(g g_{\alpha}\right), \quad Z_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{j} \otimes \varphi_{j}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})} Z\left(g g_{\alpha}, \Phi\right) \varphi\left(g g_{\alpha}\right) d g
$$

Notice that for each $\alpha, j$, and $k$ the intersection number $Z_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{j} \otimes \varphi_{j}\right) \cdot Z_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{k} \otimes \varphi_{k}\right)$ is invariant under the orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{V})$. Thus this defines a form in

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{O(\mathbb{V})}\left(\Pi_{j} \otimes \Pi_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

It follows that if $\ell_{i} \neq 0$, then $\Pi_{i}=\widetilde{\Pi}_{k}$, and then $\ell_{i}=\mu_{i} \otimes \nu_{i, j}$ where $\mu_{i}$ is a functional on $\Pi_{i}$ and $\nu_{i j}$ is natural contraction between $\Pi_{j}$ and $\Pi_{k}$.

The invariance of $\ell$ under the diagonal action by $O(\mathbb{V})$ implies that for any $h \in O(\mathbb{V})$,

$$
\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right) \nu_{j k}\left(v_{j} \otimes v_{k}\right)=0, v_{i} \in \pi_{i} \otimes \widetilde{\pi}_{i}
$$

where $\left.\mu_{i}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}\left(h v_{i}\right)-\mu_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)$. If all $\pi_{i}$ are infinite dimensional, then we claim that that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{i}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \nu_{i j}$ are independent in $\operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, \mathbb{C})$.

In fact, we need only show this independence when restricted to a finite dimensional spaces $V_{i} \subset \Pi_{i}$. We assume all $V_{i} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with a basis of linear forms $e_{i}$. Assume that $\nu_{i j}$ is given by the diagonal form $\sum_{m} e_{m} \otimes e_{m}$, and $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ is given by $\sum a_{i n} e_{n}$. Then $\ell_{i}$ is given by

$$
\ell_{1}=\sum_{m, n} a_{n} e_{n} \otimes e_{m} \otimes e_{m}, \quad \ell_{2}=\sum_{m, n} b_{n} e_{m} \otimes e_{n} \otimes e_{m}, \quad \ell_{3}=\sum_{m, n} c_{n} e_{m} \otimes e_{m} \otimes e_{n}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} V_{i}>1$, then the equation $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}=0$ implies that all $\ell_{i}=0$.
By our claim, $\mu_{i}^{\prime}=0$. In other words, $\mu_{i}$ is an $O(\mathbb{V})$ - equivariant linear form. This is impossible since $\Pi_{i}$ is irreducible of dimension $>1$. In summary, we have shown that $\ell=0$. Thus we have completed the proof of Main Theorem 1.2.4.
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