

The following statements should have been part of section 1.5 in the lecture notes; unfortunately, I forgot to include them.

Definition 1. A sequence $\{X_n\}$ of random variables in \mathcal{L}^p , $p \geq 1$ is called a *Cauchy sequence* (in \mathcal{L}^p) if $\sup_{m,n \geq N} \|X_m - X_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2 (Completeness of \mathcal{L}^p). Let X_n be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{L}^p . Then there exists a random variable $X_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^p$ such that $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ in \mathcal{L}^p .

When is such a result useful? All our previous convergence theorems, such as the dominated convergence theorem etc., assume that we already know that our sequence converges to a particular random variable $X_n \rightarrow X$ in some sense; they tell us how to convert between the various modes of convergence. However, we are often just given a sequence X_n , and we still need to establish that X_n converges to *something*. Proving that X_n is a Cauchy sequence is one way to show that the sequence converges, without knowing in advance what it converges to. We will encounter another way to show that a sequence converges in the next chapter (the *martingale convergence theorem*).

Remark 3. As you know from your calculus course, \mathbb{R}^n also has the property that any Cauchy sequence converges: if $\sup_{m,n \geq N} |x_m - x_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for some sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then there is some $x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_\infty$. In fact, many (but not all) metric spaces have this property, so it is not shocking that it is true also for \mathcal{L}^p . A metric space in which every Cauchy sequence converges is called *complete*.

Proof of proposition 2. We need to do two things: first, we need to identify a candidate X_∞ . Once we have constructed such an X_∞ , we need to show that $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ in \mathcal{L}^p .

Let $M(N) \nearrow \infty$ be a subsequence such that $\sup_{m,n \geq M(N)} \|X_m - X_n\|_p \leq 2^{-N}$ for all N . As $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|_p$ (recall that we assume $p \geq 1$), this implies $\sup_{m,n \geq M(N)} \mathbb{E}(|X_m - X_n|) \leq 2^{-N}$, and in particular $\mathbb{E}(|X_{M(N+1)} - X_{M(N)}|) \leq 2^{-N}$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_{M(n+1)} - X_{M(n)}| \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} (|X_{M(n+1)} - X_{M(n)}|) < \infty,$$

where we have used the monotone convergence theorem to exchange the summation and the expectation. But then the series $X_{M(n)} = X_{M(1)} + \sum_{k=2}^n (X_{M(k)} - X_{M(k-1)})$ is a.s. absolutely convergent, so $X_{M(n)}$ converges a.s. to some random variable X_∞ . Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_{M(k)} - X_\infty|^p) = \mathbb{E} \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_{M(k)} - X_n|^p \right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_{M(k)} - X_n|^p) \leq 2^{-kp}$$

using Fatou's lemma, so we conclude that $X_{M(k)} \rightarrow X_\infty$ in \mathcal{L}^p , and in particular $X_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^p$ itself (the latter follows as evidently $X_{M(k)} - X_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^p$, $X_{M(k)} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ by assumption, and \mathcal{L}^p is linear, so $X_\infty = X_{M(k)} - (X_{M(k)} - X_\infty) \in \mathcal{L}^p$).

It remains to show that $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ in \mathcal{L}^p (i.e., not necessarily for the subsequence $M(n)$). To this end, note that $\|X_n - X_\infty\|_p \leq \|X_n - X_{M(n)}\|_p + \|X_{M(n)} - X_\infty\|_p$; that the second term converges to zero we have already seen, while that the first term converges to zero follows directly from the fact that X_n is a Cauchy sequence. Thus we are done. \square