

# WITT VECTORS AND A QUESTION OF ENTIN, KEATING, AND RUDNICK

NICHOLAS M. KATZ

ABSTRACT. This is Part II of the paper “Witt vectors and a question of Keating and Rudnick” [Ka-WVQKR]. Here we prove an independence result for tuples of character sums, formed with a variable character and its powers. In the Appendix, we prove an independence result for tuples of character sums formed with variable pairs of characters and products of the two.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We work over a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  inside a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$ , and fix an integer  $n \geq 2$ . We form the  $k$ -algebra

$$B := k[X]/(X^{n+1}).$$

Following Keating and Rudnick [K-R], we say that a character

$$\Lambda : B^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

is “even” if it is trivial on the subgroup  $k^\times$ . The quotient  $B^\times/k^\times$  is the group  $(1 + Xk[[X]])/(1 + X^{n+1}k[[X]])$  of truncated “big” Witt vectors, cf. [Ka-WVQKR]. We systematically view even characters as characters of this quotient group.

We say that a character  $\Lambda$  is “primitive” if it is nontrivial on the subgroup  $1 + kX^n$  of  $B^\times$ . The Swan conductor of a character  $\Lambda$  of  $B^\times$  is the largest integer  $r$  such that  $\Lambda$  is nontrivial on the subgroup  $1 + (X^r)$ . Thus a character is primitive if and only if its Swan conductor is  $n$ .

Given an even character  $\Lambda$  of  $B^\times$ , i.e. a character of  $(1 + Xk[[X]])/(1 + X^{n+1}k[[X]])$ , we can form an  $L$ -function on  $\mathbb{G}_m/k$  as follows. Given an irreducible monic polynomial  $P(t) \in k[t]$  with  $P(0) \neq 0$ , the irreducible polynomial  $P(t)/P(0)$  has constant term 1, so  $P(X)/P(0) \bmod X^{n+1}$  lies in  $(1 + Xk[[X]])/(1 + X^{n+1}k[[X]])$ . We define

$$\Lambda(P) := \Lambda(P(X)/P(0) \bmod X^{n+1}).$$

We then define

$$L(\mathbb{G}_m/k, \Lambda)(T) := \prod_{\substack{\text{irred. monic } P, \\ P(0) \neq 0}} (1 - \Lambda(P)T^{\deg(P)})^{-1}.$$

This  $L$ -function has a cohomological interpretation:

$$L(\mathbb{G}_m/k, \Lambda)(T) = L(\mathbb{G}_m/k, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda(1-tX)})(T),$$

cf. [Ka-WVQKR]. This second expression, with coefficient sheaf which is lisse at 0, leads us to consider the “completed”  $L$ -function

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbb{A}^1/k, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda(1-tX)})(T) &= L(\mathbb{G}_m/k, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda(1-tX)})(T)/(1-T) = \\ &= L(\mathbb{G}_m/k, \Lambda)(T)/(1-T). \end{aligned}$$

One knows by Weil [Weil] that so long as  $\Lambda$  is nontrivial, this completed  $L$ -function is a polynomial in  $T$  of degree  $\text{Swan}(\Lambda) - 1$ , which is “pure of weight one”. In other words, it is of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^{\text{Swan}(\Lambda)-1} (1 - \beta_i T)$  with each  $\beta_i$  an algebraic integer all of whose complex absolute values are  $\sqrt{q}$ .

For  $\Lambda$  primitive, we define a conjugacy class  $\theta_{k,\Lambda}$  in the unitary group  $U(n-1)$  in terms of its reversed characteristic polynomial by the formula

$$\det(1 - T\theta_{k,\Lambda}) = L(\mathbb{A}^1/k, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda(1-tX)})(T/\sqrt{q}).$$

In the earlier paper [Ka-WVQKR], we proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix an integer  $n \geq 4$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  are archimedeanly increasing to  $\infty$ , the collections of conjugacy classes*

$$\{\theta_{k_i,\Lambda}\}_{\Lambda \text{ primitive even}}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $PU(n-1)^\#$  of conjugacy classes in the projective unitary group  $PU(n-1)$  for its “Haar measure” of total mass one. We have the same result for  $n = 3$  if we require that no  $k_i$  have characteristic 2 or 5.*

In this paper, we will prove the following independence result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix an integer  $n \geq 5$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics  $p$ , none of which is 2 or 3) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  tend archimedeanly to  $\infty$ , the collections of pairs of conjugacy classes*

$$\{(\theta_{k_i,\Lambda}, \theta_{k_i,\Lambda^2})\}_{\Lambda \text{ primitive even}}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $PU(n-1)^\# \times PU(n-1)^\#$  of conjugacy classes in  $PU(n-1) \times PU(n-1)$ .*

We will also prove the following more general version.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer. Fix an integer  $n \geq 5$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics  $p$ , subject only to  $p \geq 2d+1$ ) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  tend archimedeanly to  $\infty$ , the collections of  $d$ -tuples of conjugacy classes*

$$\{(\theta_{k_i, \Lambda}, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^2}, \dots, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^d})\}_{\Lambda \text{ primitive even}}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $(PU(n-1)^\#)^d$  of conjugacy classes in  $(PU(n-1))^d$ .*

## 2. THE PROOF

For each integer  $r \geq 1$ , we have the scheme  $W_r/\mathbb{F}_p$  of  $p$ -Witt vectors of length  $r$ . We fix a faithful character  $\psi_r : W_r(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_{p^r}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ . For example, we might take  $x \mapsto \exp(2\pi i x/p^r)$ , so that  $\psi_{r+1}^p = \psi_r$ . Every character of  $W_r(k)$  is of the form

$$w \mapsto \psi_r(\text{Trace}_{W_r(k)/W_r(\mathbb{F}_p)}(aw))$$

for a unique element  $a \in W_r(k)$ . Let us denote this character  $\psi_{r,a}$ :

$$\psi_{r,a}(w) := \psi_r(\text{Trace}_{W_r(k)/W_r(\mathbb{F}_p)}(aw)).$$

We choose a prime  $\ell$  different from the characteristic  $p$  of  $k$ , and work with  $\ell$ -adic cohomology. We fix an embedding of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Attached to the character  $\psi_{r,a}$  of  $W_r(k)$  we have the Artin-Schreier-Witt sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{r,a}} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi_r(aw)}$  on  $W_r$ . Given an integer  $m \geq 1$  prime to  $p$ , we have the morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W_r$  given by  $t \mapsto (t^m, 0's)$ . The pullback of  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{r,a}}$  by this morphism is denoted  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{r,a}(t^m, 0's)} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi_r(a(t^m, 0's))}$ . It is a lisse rank one sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

For each prime to  $p$  integer  $m$  in the range  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , we define

$$\ell(m, n) = 1 + \text{the largest integer } k \text{ such that } mp^k \leq n.$$

We work on the product space

$$S_n := \prod_{m \geq 1 \text{ prime to } p, m \leq n} W_{\ell(m, n)}.$$

As an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme,  $S_n$  is simply a huge affine space, with coordinates the components of all the Witt vector factors. On  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times S_n$ , we have the lisse rank one sheaf

$$\mathcal{L}_{univ, n} := \otimes_m \mathcal{L}_{\psi_{\ell(m, n)}(a(m)(t^m, 0's))}.$$

On  $S_n$ , we have the sheaf

$$L_{univ, n} := R^1(pr_2)_!(\mathcal{L}_{univ, n})(1/2).$$

This is a “sheaf of perverse origin” on  $S_n$ , in the terminology of [Ka-Semi], and its restriction to every lisse subscheme  $T$  of  $S_n$  is a sheaf of perverse origin on  $T$ , cf. [Ka-Semi, Cor. 6].

In the product defining  $S_n$ , there is a distinguished factor we need to single out. Write  $n$  as  $n_0 p^{r-1}$  with  $n_0$  prime to  $p$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Then we have a factor  $W_r$ , carrying  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_r(a_r(t^{n_0}, 0's))}$ . Inside  $W_r$ , we have the open set  $W_r^\times$  where the first component is invertible. [It is also the group of invertible elements for the ring structure on  $W_r$ .] Inside  $S_n$ , we have the open set  $Prim_n \subset S_n$  where the  $W_r$  component lies in  $W_r^\times$ .

The sheaf  $L_{univ,n}|Prim_n$  is lisse of rank  $n - 1$ , pure of weight zero. The main theorem of [Ka-WVQKR, Thm. 5.1] is that  $G_{geom}$  for  $L_{univ,n}|Prim_n$  contains  $SL(n - 1)$ , if either  $n \geq 4$  or if  $n = 3$  and  $p$  is not 2 or 5. Its (already unitarized, thanks to the  $(1/2)$  Tate twist) Frobenius conjugacy classes at  $k$ -valued points of  $Prim_n$  are precisely the classes

$$\{\theta_{k,\Lambda}\}_\Lambda \text{ primitive even,}$$

cf. [Ka-WVQKR, Lemma 4.1]. [These Frobenius conjugacy classes are automatically semisimple, as they “come from curves”.]

**Lemma 2.1.** *The complement  $S_n \setminus Prim_n$  is naturally the space  $S_{n-1}$ , and under this identification the restriction of  $L_{univ,n}$  to  $S_{n-1}$  is the sheaf  $L_{univ,n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* To see the complement  $S_n \setminus Prim_n$  as the space  $S_{n-1}$ , we argue as follows. In this complement, all factors except the distinguished one are unchanged. In the distinguished  $W_r$  factor, the entry is required to have first component zero; that factor becomes a  $W_{r-1} = W_{\ell(n_0, n-1)}$  (and is omitted entirely if  $n_0 = n$ ). In the product with  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}_{univ,n}$  to this  $S_{n-1}$  is the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{univ,n-1}$ . The claim then results from base change for  $R^1(pr_2)!$ .  $\square$

Given two distinct integers  $a, b \geq 1$ , both prime to the characteristic  $p$  we next consider pairs of conjugacy classes

$$\{(\theta_{k,\Lambda^a}, \theta_{k,\Lambda^b})\}_\Lambda \text{ primitive even.}$$

For any integer  $a$  prime to  $p$ , the classes  $\theta_{k,\Lambda^a}$  are obtained as follows. On  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times S_n$ , we have the lisse rank one sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{univ,n}^{(a)}$ , defined by the same recipe as for  $\mathcal{L}_{univ,n}$ , but replacing each chosen character  $\psi_r$  of  $W_r(\mathbb{F}_p)$  by its  $a$ 'th power. Then

$$L_{univ,n}^{(a)} := R(pr_2)! (\mathcal{L}_{univ,n}^{(a)})(1/2)$$

gives rise to the classes  $\theta_{k,\Lambda^a}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Fix  $n \geq 5$ . Fix distinct integers  $a, b \geq 1$  and a characteristic  $p$  not dividing  $ab(a^2 - b^2)$ . Then the group  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum*

$$L_{univ,n}^{(a)} \oplus L_{univ,n}^{(b)}$$

*contains the product  $SL(n-1) \times SL(n-1)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $G_{geom}$  for each of the summands contains  $SL(n-1)$ , the group  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum has identity component either  $SL(n-1)$  or the product  $SL(n-1) \times SL(n-1)$ . To show that it is the latter, it suffices to show that there is no geometric isomorphism of either  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)}$  or its dual  $L_{univ,n}^{(-b)}$  with any sheaf of the form  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  for any lisse, rank one sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Prim_n$ , cf. [Ka-ESDE, 1.8.1 and 1.8.2].

We first treat the case when  $n$  is odd, and argue by contradiction. The assumption that  $p$  does not divide  $ab(a^2 - b^2)$  insures that  $p \geq 5$ . Inside  $Prim_n$  we have an  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with coordinates  $(A_1, A_3)$  over which the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{univ}^{(b)}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2$  (coordinates  $(t, A_1, A_3)$ ) is the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_r^b((t^{n_0}, 0's))} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi_1(bA_1t + bA_3t^3)}$ , and over which the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{univ}^{(a)}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_r^a((t^{n_0}, 0's))} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi_1(aA_1t + aA_3t^3)}$ . [For simplicity, suppose that each  $\psi_r$  is chosen so that  $\psi_r^{b^{r-1}} = \psi_1$ . Use the  $m = 1$ ,  $m = 3$ , and  $m = n_0$  factors. In the  $m = n_0$  factor, freeze the  $W_r = W_{\ell(n_0, n)}$  component to be  $(1, 0's)$ . In the  $m = 1$  and  $m = 3$  factors, take the component to be respectively  $(0's, A_1)$  and  $(0's, A_3)$ . In all other factors, freeze the component to be 0.]

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  and by  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}$  the restrictions of  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)}$  and  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  to this  $\mathbb{A}^2$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)} := L_{univ,n}^{(b)}|_{\mathbb{A}^2}, \quad \mathcal{F}_n^{(a)} := L_{univ}^{(a)}|_{\mathbb{A}^2}.$$

The sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}$  are geometrically irreducible (because they are Fourier transforms), pure of weight one, and self dual (because their trace functions are  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued (use  $t \mapsto -t$ ). In fact, the duality is symplectic, compare [Ka-MMP, 3.10.3] where a result of this type is proved. Therefore  $G_{geom}$  for each of  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $Sp(n-1)$ . Moreover, a moment calculation based on [Ka-MMP, 3.11.4] shows that for each of  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}$ , the fourth moment is 3. This means precisely that in the decomposition of the tensor square of each as

$$Sym^2 \oplus \Lambda^2 / \mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1},$$

each of the three summands is  $G_{geom}$ -irreducible. What is key here is that the trivial factor  $\mathbb{1}$  is the **only** one-dimensional component of  $(\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)})^{\otimes 2}$  and the only one-dimensional component of  $(\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)})^{\otimes 2}$ .

Suppose now that there is a geometric isomorphism of either  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)}$  or its dual  $L_{univ,n}^{(-b)}$  with a sheaf of the form  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  for some lisse, rank one sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Prim_n$ , then after restriction to our  $\mathbb{A}^2$  we get a geometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  for some lisse, rank one sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . From this isomorphism, we see that the tensor square

$$(\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{L})^{\otimes 2} = (\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$$

has, geometrically, a one dimensional component, which is to say that  $(\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)})^{\otimes 2}$  admits  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$  as a quotient. But the only one-dimensional quotient of  $(\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)})^{\otimes 2}$  is  $\mathbb{1}$ .

Hence the lisse rank one  $\mathcal{L}$  is geometrically of order dividing 2. But for an affine space in odd characteristic, there are no nontrivial homomorphisms of its geometric  $\pi_1$  to  $\pm 1$ ; i.e.,  $H^1(\mathbb{A}^2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mu_2)$  vanishes. [Use the Kummer sequence. In it,  $H^0(\mathbb{A}^2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ , and the  $H^1$ , which is  $\text{Pic}(W_d \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , vanishes.] So we would have a geometric isomorphism of either  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  or  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(-b)}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}$ . To fix ideas, suppose it is the former. As both are geometrically irreducible and self dual, the group  $\text{Hom}_{geom}(\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}, \mathcal{F}_n^{(a)}) = H^0(\mathbb{A}^2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_n^{(-b)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_n^{(a)})$  would be nonzero, in fact one-dimensional. By Poincaré duality, the group  $H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_n^{(b)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_n^{(-a)})$  would be one-dimensional. The coefficient group  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_n^{(-a)}$  is pure of weight zero, so this  $H_c^4$  is pure of weight four, and one-dimensional. The lower cohomology groups are of lower weight. So for variable finite extensions  $L/\mathbb{F}_p$ , with  $\#L := q_L$ , we would have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{(A_1, A_3) \in \mathbb{A}^2(L)} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L, (A_1, A_3)} | \mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}) \text{Trace}(Frob_{L, (A_1, A_3)} | \mathcal{F}_n^{(-a)}) \right| = \\ & = q_L^2 + O(q_L^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

We will show that this sum is precisely  $q_L$ , thus arriving at the desired contradiction.

This sum is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{A_1, A_3 \in L} \sum_{x \in L} \psi_r^b((x^{n_0}, 0's)) \psi_1(bA_3x^3 + bA_1x) \sum_{y \in L} \psi_r^{-a}((y^{n_0}, 0's)) \psi_1(-aA_3y^3 - aA_1y) = \\ & = (1/q_L) \sum_{x, y \in L} \psi_r^b((x^{n_0}, 0's)) \psi_r^{-a}((y^{n_0}, 0's)) \sum_{A_1, A_3 \in L} \psi_1(A_3(bx^3 - ay^3) + A_1(bx - ay)). \end{aligned}$$

The  $1/q_L$  factor comes from the  $(1/2)$  Tate twists in the definitions of  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(b)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^{(-a)}$ .

The innermost sum

$$\sum_{A_1, A_3 \in L} \psi_1(A_3(bx^3 - ay^3) + A_1(bx - ay))$$

vanishes unless both  $bx^3 = ay^3$  and  $bx = ay$ , and if both vanish the sum is  $q_L^2$ . Now if  $bx = ay$  then either  $x = y = 0$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are both nonzero and  $x/y = a/b$ . If  $(x, y)$  is not  $(0, 0)$ , then from  $bx^3 = ay^3$  we get  $(x/y)^3 = a/b$ . Comparing with  $x/y = a/b$ , we get  $(a/b)^3 = a/b$ , so  $a^2 = b^2$ . Our hypothesis that  $a^2 - b^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  tells us that the innermost sum vanishes unless  $x - y = 0$ . Thus the entire sum has only the  $x = y = 0$  term, and the sum is  $q_L$ , as asserted.

This contradiction concludes the proof that for  $n$  odd, the group  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum

$$L_{univ,n}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$$

contains the product  $SL(n-1) \times SL(n-1)$ .

Suppose now that  $n \geq 5$  is even. Then  $n-1$  is odd, and  $\geq 5$ . So we know that  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum

$$L_{univ,n-1}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n-1}^{(a)}$$

contains  $SL(n-2) \times SL(n-2)$ .

Recall that  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  is a sheaf of perverse origin on  $S_n$ , lisse on  $Prim_n$  and lisse on the dense open set  $Prim_{n-1}$  of  $S_{n-1} = S_n \setminus Prim_n$ . We now apply [Ka-Semi, Cor. 10, (2)] to this situation. The rank of  $G_{geom}$  for  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  on  $Prim_n$  is at least the rank of  $G_{geom}$  for  $L_{univ,n-1}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n-1}^{(a)}$  on  $Prim_{n-1}$ . This last rank is  $2(n-3)$ . If  $G_{geom}^0$  for  $L_{univ,n}^{(b)} \oplus L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  on  $Prim_n$  were  $SL(n-1)$ , we would get the inequality

$$n-2 \geq 2(n-3),$$

which is false for  $n \geq 5$ . Given the dearth of choice for this  $G_{geom}^0$ , it must be  $SL(n-1) \times SL(n-1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 5$  be integers. In any characteristic  $p \geq 2d+1$ , the group  $G_{geom}$  for the  $d$ -fold direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^d L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$$

*contains the  $d$ -fold product  $(SL(n-1))^d$ .*

*Proof.* The hypothesis that  $p \geq 2d+1$  insures that for any integer  $a, b$  with  $1 \leq a < b \leq d$ ,  $p$  does not divide  $ab(a^2 - b^2)$ . The corollary then

follows from Theorem 2.2 by Goursat-Kolchin-Ribet, cf. [Ribet, pp. 790-791].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer. Fix an integer  $n \geq 5$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics  $p$ , subject only to  $p \geq 2d + 1$ ) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  tend archimedeanly to  $\infty$ , the collections of  $d$ -tuples of conjugacy classes*

$$\{(\theta_{k_i, \Lambda}, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^2}, \dots, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^d})\}_{\Lambda \text{ primitive even}}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $(PU(n-1)^\#)^d$  of conjugacy classes in  $(PU(n-1))^d$ .*

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $\Theta_{k_i, \Lambda}$  the  $d$ -tuple

$$\Theta_{k_i, \Lambda} := (\theta_{k_i, \Lambda}, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^2}, \dots, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda^d}).$$

By the Weyl criterion, we must show that for each fixed irreducible nontrivial representation  $\Xi$  of  $(PU(n-1))^d$ , the normalized Weyl sums

$$(1/\#Prim_n(k_i)) \sum_{\Lambda/k_i \text{ primitive even}} \text{Trace}(\Xi(\Theta_{k_i, \Lambda}))$$

tend to 0 as  $\#k_i$  grows. In each characteristic  $p \geq 2d + 1$ , this sum is bounded in absolute value by

$$C(p, n, \Xi)/\sqrt{\#k_i}, \quad \text{for } C(p, n, \Xi) := 2 \sum_i h_c^i(Prim_n \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \Xi_d),$$

for  $\Xi_d$  the lisse sheaf on  $Prim_n/\mathbb{F}_p$  formed by “pushing out” the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{a=1}^d L_{univ, n}^{(a)}$  along the representation  $\Xi$ , now viewed as a representation of  $(GL(n-1))^d$  which factors through the quotient group  $(PGL(n-1))^d$ .

As was the case in [Ka-WVQKR, 8.1], we do not know uniform bounds for these sums of Betti numbers  $C(p, n, \Xi)$  as  $p$  varies ( $n$  and  $\Xi$  fixed). But we can bypass this problem, if we can, by other means, show that for fixed  $n$  and  $p > 2n - 1$ , we have a bound of the form  $D(n, \Xi)/\sqrt{\#k_i}$  with a constant  $D(n, \Xi)$  which is independent of  $p$ . Then we use this constant for  $p > 2n - 1$ , and we use the constant  $C(p, n, \Xi)$  for the finitely many primes  $p \leq 2n - 1$ . We will show that in fact we can take  $D(n, \Xi) := 3 \dim(\Xi)/(n-1)$ . This will then prove the theorem.

Let us recall the argument from [Ka-WVQKR, 8.2]. Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\psi$  (formerly our  $\psi_1$ ) of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For  $p > n$ , the space  $Prim_n$  is the space of polynomials  $f$  of degree  $n$  with vanishing constant term, and for each  $a$  prime to  $p$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{univ, n}^{(a)}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times Prim_n$  with

coordinates  $(t, f)$  is  $L_{\psi(af(t))}$ . The sheaf  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  on  $Prim_n$  has trace function at  $k$ -valued points  $f \in Prim_n(k)$  given by

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_{k,f}|L_{univ,n}^{(a)}) = (-1/\sqrt{q_k}) \sum_{t \in k} \psi_k(af(t)).$$

The idea is to break up  $Prim_n(k)$  into equivalence classes,  $f$  and  $g$  equivalent if  $f - g$  has degree  $\leq 1$ . Thus each equivalence class is a line  $\{f(t) + \lambda t\}_{\lambda \in k}$ . On each line,  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  is the Tate-twisted Fourier Transform  $FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))})(1/2)$ . These are lisse sheaves on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  of rank  $n - 1$ , pure of weight zero and with all  $\infty$ -breaks  $n/(n - 1)$ . Their direct sum

$$\mathbb{V} := \bigoplus_{a=1}^d FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))})(1/2)$$

is thus lisse of rank  $d(n-1)$ , with all  $\infty$ -breaks  $n/(n - 1)$ . Let us denote by  $\Xi_d(f)$  the lisse sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  which is the pushout of this direct sum along  $\Xi$ . [Equivalently,  $\Xi_d(f)$  is the restriction of  $\Xi_d$  on  $Prim_n$  to the line which is the equivalence class of  $f$ .] Its rank is  $\dim(\Xi)$ , and all its  $\infty$ -breaks are at most  $n/(n - 1)$  (because this pushout is a direct factor of some tensor power  $\mathbb{V}^{\otimes e} \otimes (\mathbb{V}^\vee)^{\otimes f}$ . The sheaf  $\Xi_d(f)$  will be irreducible and nontrivial provided that  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum  $\mathbb{V}$  contains the  $d$ -fold product  $(SL(n - 1))^d$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For  $p > 2n - 1$  and  $f$  of degree  $n$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , we have the following results.*

- (1) *If  $n$  is even and the coefficient of  $t^2$  in  $f$  is nonzero, then  $G_{geom}$  for  $\mathbb{V}$  contains the  $d$ -fold product  $(SL(n - 1))^d$ .*
- (2) *We have the same result for  $n$  odd if, in addition, no translate  $f(t + c) - f(c)$  of  $f$  is an odd polynomial.*

Let us temporarily admit the truth of this lemma. We then compute the “raw” Weyl sum, i.e.,  $\#Prim_n(k)$  times the normalized Weyl sum, for an irreducible nontrivial  $\Xi$  as the sum over equivalence classes of  $f$ 's in  $Prim_n(k)$ . Each such sum is

$$\sum_{\lambda \in k} \text{Trace}(Frob_{k,\lambda}|\Xi_d(f)) =$$

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_k|H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^1 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \Xi_d(f))) - \text{Trace}(Frob_k|H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \Xi_d(f))),$$

the equality by the Lefschetz trace formula.

Suppose first that the hypotheses of either part (1) or of part (2) of the above lemma apply. Then the  $H_c^2$  vanishes, and the dimension of

the  $H_c^1$  is minus the Euler characteristic, itself given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi(\mathbb{A}^1 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \Xi_d(f)) &= Swan_\infty(\Xi_d(f)) - \text{rank}(\Xi_d(f)) \leq \\ &\leq (n/(n-1)) \dim(\Xi) - \dim(\Xi) = \dim(\Xi)/(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

So when the above lemma applies, the sum is bounded by

$$\dim(\Xi)\sqrt{q_k}/(n-1).$$

When the lemma does not apply, we look at the first expression for the sum to see that we have the trivial bound

$$\dim(\Xi)q_k.$$

When  $n$  is even, of the  $(q_k - 1)q_k^{n-2}$  equivalence classes of  $f$ 's, only  $1/q_k$  of them fail to satisfy the hypothesis of part (1) of the lemma. When  $n$  is odd, at most  $(q_k - 1)q_k^{(n-1)/2} + (q_k - 1)q_k^{n-3}$  classes fail to satisfy the hypothesis of part (2) of the lemma (the translates of odd  $f$ 's, and those with no  $t^2$  term).

Thus when  $n$  is even, the raw Weyl sum for an irreducible nontrivial  $\Xi$  is bounded in absolute value by

$$\begin{aligned} (\#Prim_n(k)/q_k)(1-1/q_k) \dim(\Xi)\sqrt{q_k}/(n-1) + (\#Prim_n(k)/q_k^2)q_k \dim(\Xi) &\leq \\ &\leq (\#Prim_n(k))2 \dim(\Xi)/((n-1)\sqrt{q_k}) \end{aligned}$$

as soon as  $\sqrt{q_k} \geq n-1$ .

When  $n$  is odd, the fraction of failures to satisfy the hypotheses of part (2) is at most  $1/q_k + 1/q_k^{(n-3)/2}$ , so always at most  $2/q_k$ . In this case, the raw Weyl sum will have the same bound, as soon as  $\sqrt{q_k} \geq 2(n-1)$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove the lemma. Under the hypothesis that either  $n$  is even or that no translate  $f(t+c) - f(c)$  of  $f$  is an odd polynomial, it was proven in [Ka-MG, Thm. 19, stated there for  $p \geq 2n+1$ , but  $p > 2n-1$  is enough], that  $G_{geom}$  for each  $FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))})$  contains  $SL(n-1)$ . By Goursat-Kolchin-Ribet [Ka-ESDE, 1.8.1 and 1.8.2] and [Ribet, pp. 790-791], it suffices to treat the case of each pair of factors.

Thus we must show that if, in addition, the coefficient of  $t^2$  in  $f$  is nonzero, then for  $p$  not dividing  $ab(a^2 - b^2)$ , there is no geometric isomorphism of either  $FT_{\psi^b}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(bf(t))})$  or its dual  $FT_{\psi^b}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(-bf(-t))})$  with  $FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$  for any lisse rank one  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

Again we argue by contradiction. Both Fourier transforms have all  $\infty$  breaks  $n/(n-1) < 2$ , so  $\mathcal{L}$ , whose  $\infty$ -break is an integer (Hasse-Arf), must have  $\infty$ -break either 0 or 1. Thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is geometrically  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(c\lambda)}$  for some  $c \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . If we write  $FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))})$  as  $FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t/a))})$ , and write

$FT_{\psi^b}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(bf(t))})$  as  $FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(bf(t/b))})$ , we find that either  $FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(bf(t/b))})$  or its dual  $FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(-bf(-t/b))})$  is geometrically isomorphic to

$$FT_{\psi^a}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t))}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(c\lambda)} \cong FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t/a)+c)}).$$

By Fourier inversion, we get that either  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(bf(t/b))}$  or  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(-bf(-t/b))}$  is geometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(t/a)+b)}$ . This in turn implies that either  $bf(t/b)$  or  $-bf(-t/b)$  is equal to  $af(t/a)$ . Comparing coefficients of  $t^2$ , we see that either  $1/b$  or  $-1/b$  is  $1/a$ . This is excluded by the hypothesis that  $p$  does not divide  $ab(a^2 - b^2)$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.4, and, with it, the proof of Theorem 2.3.

### 3. APPENDIX: PAIRS OF CHARACTERS

Let us say that an ordered pair of primitive even characters  $(\chi, \Lambda)$  is a primitive pair if the product character  $\chi\Lambda$  is primitive. We denote by  $\text{PrimPair}(k)$  the set of primitive pairs.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Fix an integer  $n \geq 5$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics  $p$ , subject only to  $p \geq 7$ ) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  tend archimedeanly to  $\infty$ , the collections of triples of conjugacy classes*

$$\{(\theta_{k_i, \chi}, \theta_{k_i, \Lambda}, \theta_{k_i, \chi\Lambda})\}_{(\chi, \Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}(k_i)}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $(PU(n-1)\#)^3$  of conjugacy classes in  $(PU(n-1))^3$ .*

More generally, given an integer  $d \geq 1$ , let us say that a pair of primitive even characters  $(\chi, \Lambda)$  is a  $d$ -fold primitive pair if the  $(d+1)^2 - 1$  characters  $\chi^a \Lambda^b$ , with  $0 \leq a, b \leq d$  and  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ , are each primitive. [Each is necessarily even.] We denote by  $\text{PrimPair}_d(k)$  the set of  $d$ -fold primitive pairs. Fix an ordering on the index set

$$I_d := [0, d] \times [0, d] \setminus (0, 0),$$

e.g., order first by the sum  $a + b$ , and within pairs of given sum order by  $b$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Fix an integer  $d \geq 1$  and an integer  $n \geq 5$ . In any sequence of finite fields  $k_i$  (of possibly varying characteristics  $p$ , subject only to  $p \geq 2(d+1)^2 - 1$ ) whose cardinalities  $q_i$  tend archimedeanly to  $\infty$ , the collections of  $(d+1)^2 - 1$ -tuples of conjugacy classes*

$$\{(\theta_{k_i, \chi^a \Lambda^b})_{(a, b) \in I_d}\}_{(\chi, \Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}_d(k_i)}$$

*become equidistributed in the space  $(PU(n-1)\#)^{(d+1)^2-1}$  of conjugacy classes in  $(PU(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We work first in a given characteristic  $p$ . The scheme  $S_n/\mathbb{F}_p$ , a product of Witt groups, is a commutative group scheme, with componentwise operations. For  $k/\mathbb{F}_p$  a finite extension, the points of  $S_n(k)$  are precisely the even characters of  $(k[X]/(X^{n+1}))^\times$ . The points of  $Prim_n(k)$  are precisely the primitive even characters. For an integer  $a$  prime to  $p$ , the  $a$ -fold addition map  $[a] : w \mapsto aw$  is an automorphism of each Witt group factor, so an automorphism of  $S_n$  which preserves  $Prim_n$  and induces an automorphism of  $Prim_n$ . The sheaves  $L_{univ,n}^{(a)}$  on  $Prim_n$ , for  $a$  prime to  $p$ , are simply the pullbacks of  $L_{univ,n}$  by the map  $[a] : Prim_n \rightarrow Prim_n$ :

$$L_{univ,n}^{(a)}|_{Prim_n} = [a]^*(L_{univ,n}|_{Prim_n}).$$

For each pair of integers  $(a, b)$ , we have the weighted addition maps

$$[a, b] : S_n \times S_n \rightarrow S_n, \quad (s, t) \mapsto as + bt.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $p > 2d$ . Define  $U_d := \bigcap_{(a,b) \in I_d} [a, b]^{-1}(Prim_n)$ . Then  $U_d$  is a dense open set of  $Prim_n \times Prim_n$ . For each finite extension  $k/\mathbb{F}_p$ , the  $k$ -valued points  $U_d(k)$  are precisely the points  $\text{PrimPair}_d(k)$ , i.e. the  $d$ -fold primitive pairs.*

*Proof.* Since  $Prim_n$  is open in  $S_n$ , each inverse image  $[a, b]^{-1}(Prim_n)$  is open in  $S_n \times S_n$ . Already intersecting inverse images by the two projections  $[1, 0]$  and  $[0, 1]$  shows that  $U_d$  lies in  $Prim_n \times Prim_n$ . To see that  $U_d$  is nonempty, observe that it contains the diagonal of  $Prim_n \times Prim_n$ ; this is merely the statement that if  $s \in Prim_n$ , then  $(a + b)s$  lies in  $Prim_n$  for any  $(a, b)$  in  $I_d$ , simply because  $p > 2d \geq a + b$ . That  $U_d(k) = \text{PrimPair}_d(k)$  is a tautology.  $\square$

On the space  $U_d$ , we have for each  $(a, b)$  in  $I_d$  the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F}^{(a,b)} := [a, b]^*(L_{univ,n}|_{Prim_n}).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 2(d + 1)^2 - 1$ . Then the group  $G_{geom}$  for the direct sum sheaf*

$$\bigoplus_{(a,b) \in I_d} \mathcal{F}^{(a,b)}$$

on  $U_d$  contains the product  $(SL(n - 1))^{(d+1)^2 - 1}$ .

*Proof.* After pullback,  $G_{geom}$  can only get smaller. So it suffices to exhibit a pullback on which  $G_{geom}$  contains  $(SL(n - 1))^{(d+1)^2 - 1}$ . For this, we use the morphism

$$Prim_n \rightarrow U_d, \chi \mapsto (\chi, \chi^{d+1}).$$

The pullback of  $\mathcal{F}^{(a,b)}$  by this morphism is the sheaf  $L_{univ,n}^{a+b(d+1)}|_{Prim_n}$ . So the entire direct sum pulls back to

$$\bigoplus_{c=1}^{(d+1)^2-1} L_{univ,n}^{(c)}$$

on  $Prim_n$ . By Corollary 2.3, its  $G_{geom}$  contains  $(SL(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$ .  $\square$

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.2, we proceed exactly as the deduction of Theorem 2.4 from Corollary 2.3. For  $(\chi, \Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}_d(k)$ , we denote by  $\Theta_{k,\chi,\Lambda}$  the  $(d+1)^2 - 1$ -tuple of conjugacy classes

$$\Theta_{k,\chi,\Lambda} := (\theta_{k_i,\chi^a\Lambda^b})_{(a,b) \in I_d}.$$

Fix an irreducible nontrivial representation  $\Xi$  of  $(PU(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$ . Denote by

$$\Xi_{I_d}$$

the lisse sheaf on  $U_d$  obtained from pushing out lisse sheaf  $\bigoplus_{(a,b) \in I_d} \mathcal{F}^{(a,b)}$  by  $\Xi$ , now viewed as a representation of  $(GL(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$  which factors through  $(PGL(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$ .

For  $p \geq 2(d+1)^2 - 1$  but  $p \leq 2n - 1$ , and  $k/\mathbb{F}_p$  a finite extension with  $q := \#k$ , we have the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{(\chi,\Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}_d(k)=U_d(k)} \text{Trace}(\Xi(\Theta_{k,\chi,\Lambda})) \right| \leq \left( \sum_i h_c^i(U_d \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \Xi_{I_d}) \right) q^{2n-1/2}.$$

In each of these finitely many characteristics, for  $q$  large enough we will have  $\#U_d(k) \geq q^{2n}/2$  (simply by Lang-Weil, as  $U_d/\mathbb{F}_p$  is smooth and geometrically connected of dimension  $2n$ ), and for such  $q$  we then have

$$\left| (1/\#U_d(k)) \sum_{(\chi,\Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}_d(k)=U_d(k)} \text{Trace}(\Xi(\Theta_{k,\chi,\Lambda})) \right| \leq \frac{2(\sum_i h_c^i(U_d \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \Xi_{I_d}))}{\sqrt{q}}.$$

It remains to treat the case of characteristic  $p > 2n - 1$  (and  $p \geq 2(d+1)^2 - 1$ ). Here we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are two different elements of  $I_d$ . Then for  $p \geq 2d + 1$ , the two linear forms*

$$(aX + bY)/(a + b)^2 \pm (cX + dY)/(c + d)^2$$

*are both nonzero in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$ .*

*Proof.* If the two vectors  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are linearly independent, then the two linear forms  $(aX + bY)/(a + b)^2$  and  $(cX + dY)/(c + d)^2$  are

linearly independent, and the assertion is obvious. If they are  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linearly dependent, say  $(a, b) = t(c, d)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , then

$$t(aX + bY)/(a + b)^2 = (cX + dY)/(c + d)^2.$$

In this case,

$$(aX + bY)/(a + b)^2 \pm (cX + dY)/(c + d)^2 = (1 \pm t)(cX + dY)/(c + d)^2,$$

which is nonzero so long as  $t \neq \pm 1$ . We cannot have  $t = -1$ , for then  $a + c = b + d = 0$ , which is impossible because  $p \geq 2d + 1$ , while both  $a + c, b + d$  lie in  $[0, 2d]$  and are not both zero. We cannot have  $t = 1$  by the assumption that  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are two different elements of  $I_d$ .  $\square$

For  $p > n$ , the space  $U_d/\mathbb{F}_p$  is the space of pairs of polynomials  $(f = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i x^i, g = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x^i)$ , such that for all  $(a, b)$  in  $I_d$ , the polynomial  $af + bg$  has its leading coefficient  $aA_n + bB_n$  invertible.

Suppose now that  $p \geq 2(d + 1)^2 - 1$  and  $p \geq 2n - 1$ . For unordered each pair of distinct points  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $I_d$ , we have the hyperplanes  $H_{\pm, (a, b), (c, d)}$  in  $U_d$  consisting of those points  $(f = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i x^i, g = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x^i)$  for which the vector  $(A_2, B_2)$  of their  $x^2$ -coefficients satisfies

$$(aA_2 + bB_2)/(a + b)^2 = \pm(cA_2 + dB_2)/(c + d)^2.$$

We denote by  $H \subset U_d$  the union of these  $((d + 1)^2 - 1)((d + 1)^2 - 2)$  hyperplanes.

The idea is to break up  $U_d(k)$  into equivalence classes, with  $(f(x), g(x)) \cong (f(x) + tx, g(x) + tx)$ . The pullback of

$$\bigoplus_{(a, b) \in I_d} \mathcal{F}^{(a, b)}$$

to this  $t$ -line is, geometrically, the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{(a, b) \in I_d} FT_{\psi^{a+b}}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{af(x)+bg(x)}}) =$$

$$\bigoplus_{(a, b) \in I_d} FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{af(\frac{x}{a+b})+bg(\frac{x}{a+b})}}).$$

Exactly as in Lemma 2.5, we have the following lemma, with essentially the same proof.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose  $p \geq 2(d + 1)^2 - 1$  and  $p \geq 2n - 1$ . Suppose  $(f, g) \in U_d(k) \setminus H(k)$ . Then we have the following results.*

(1) If  $n$  is even, then  $G_{geom}$  for

$$\bigoplus_{(a,b) \in I_d} FT_\psi(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(af(\frac{x}{a+b})+bg(\frac{x}{a+b}))})$$

contains  $(SL(n-1))^{(d+1)^2-1}$ .

(2) We have the same result for  $n$  odd if in addition no translate  $F(X+c) - F(c)$  is odd, for any of the polynomials  $af(X) + bg(X)$ ,  $(a,b) \in I_d$ .

Exactly as in the deduction of Theorem 2.4 from Lemma 2.5, we find the following: for  $n \geq 5$ , in any characteristic  $p > 2n - 1$  (and  $p \geq 2(d+1)^2 - 1$ ), then for  $q$  large enough that  $\sqrt{q} > n(d+1)^4$ , we will have the bound

$$|(1/\#U_d(k)) \sum_{(\chi,\Lambda) \in \text{PrimPair}_d(k)=U_d(k)} \text{Trace}(\Xi(\Theta_{k,\chi,\Lambda}))| \leq \frac{2 \dim(\Xi)}{(n-1)\sqrt{q}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

## REFERENCES

- [De-Weil II] Deligne, P., La conjecture de Weil II. Publ. Math. IHES 52 (1981), 313-428.
- [Ka-ESDE] Katz, N., Exponential sums and differential equations. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 124. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990. xii+430 pp.
- [Ka-MG] Katz, N., On the monodromy groups attached to certain families of exponential sums. Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), no. 1, 41-56.
- [Ka-MMP] Katz, N., Moments, monodromy, and perversity: a Diophantine perspective. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 159. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. viii+475 pp.
- [Ka-Semi] Katz, N., A semicontinuity result for monodromy under degeneration. Forum Math. 15 (2003), no. 2, 191-200.
- [Ka-WVQKR] Katz, N., Witt vectors and a question of Keating and Rudnick. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 16, 3613-3638.
- [K-R] Keating, J.P., and Rudnick, Z., The variance of the number of prime polynomials in short intervals and in residue classes. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014, no. 1, 259-288.
- [Ribet] Ribet, K., Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications. Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), no. 3, 751-804.
- [Weil] Weil, A., Variétés abéliennes et courbes algébriques. Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1064 = Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 8 (1946). Hermann & Cie., Paris, 1948. 165 pp.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS, FINE HALL, NJ 08544-1000, USA  
*E-mail address:* `nmk@math.princeton.edu`