

## **$p$ -adic $L$ -Functions, Serre-Tate Local Moduli, and Ratios of Solutions of Differential Equations**

**Nicholas M. Katz**

**Introduction.** In recent years, there has been considerable progress in the constructions of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions attached to various sorts of “classical”  $L$ -functions. Unfortunately, the use of these  $p$ -adic functions to solve preexisting problems in number theory has so far met with less success; despite the recent work of Coates–Wiles [1] and Ferrero–Washington [4], conjectures remain more numerous than theorems. It may be hoped that a better understanding of the genesis of various  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions will lead to progress in their exploitation. In that hope, we give yet another construction of the “two-variable”  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function attached to an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by a quadratic imaginary field in which  $p$  splits. This construction is based on the remarkable fact, discovered by Serre–Tate some fifteen years ago, that the local  $p$ -adic moduli space of such an elliptic curve has a canonical structure of one parameter formal group of height one. A rewriting of this construction in terms of ratios of local solutions of the associated Picard–Fuchs equations leads to universal formulas for the “algebraic part” of the classical  $L$ -values, which may shed light on the still mysterious situation when  $p$  is no longer assumed to split.

I. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a quadratic imaginary field, with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . Viewing  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  as a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we may form the elliptic curve  $E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}(K)$ . Because  $E$  has complex multiplication, it is definable over the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  of all algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{C}$ , with everywhere good reduction. Further, we may choose a nowhere-vanishing invariant differential  $\omega$  on  $E$  over  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , so that the pair  $(E, \omega)$  has everywhere good reduction over  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , i.e. for any place  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , “ $\omega \bmod \mathcal{P}$ ” is nonzero on “ $E \bmod \mathcal{P}$ ”. Such an  $\omega$  is *unique* up to multiplication by a *unit* in  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

The *period* lattice of  $(E, \omega)$  is necessarily of the form  $\Omega\mathcal{O}(K)$  for some  $\Omega \in C^\times$ . For variable  $\omega$  of the sort discussed above, this period  $\Omega$  is well defined in the group  $C^\times/\mathcal{O}(\overline{Q})^\times$ .

We will denote by  $a$  the *area* of (a fundamental parallelogram of) the lattice  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . In terms of the discriminant  $d$  of  $K$ , we have

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|d|}.$$

For integers  $k \geq 3, r \geq 0$ , consider the absolutely convergent series

$$A(k, r) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(K) \\ \gamma \neq 0}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}^r}{\gamma^{k+r}}.$$

According to a fundamental result of Damerell [2] the product

$$B(k, r) = \frac{(-1)^k (k+r-1)! \pi^r}{2a^r \cdot \Omega^{k+2r}} \cdot A(k, r)$$

lies in  $\overline{Q}$ ; in fact it lies in the field obtained by adjoining to  $K$  the Weierstrass invariants  $g_2, g_3$  of  $(E, \omega)$ . Further, for any integer  $b \geq 1$ , the product

$$b^k (b^k - 1) (\sqrt{-|d|})^r B(k, r)$$

is an algebraic integer.

The arithmetic of these numbers, and of their more sophisticated analogues (“with conductor”, and extended to include  $k=1$  or  $2$ ) is of interest because of their occurrence

- (1) in the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for certain elliptic curves with complex multiplication (cf. [1]).
- (2) as *periods* of cusp forms on congruence subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$  (cf. [8]).
- (3) as *special values* of holomorphic and nonholomorphic Eisenstein series on congruence subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$  (cf. [6], [11]).
- (4) as *special values* of Hecke  $L$ -series attached to grossencharacters of type  $A_0$  of quadratic imaginary fields (cf. [7], [8]).

It would be of great interest to understand the *link* between (2) and (3) “directly”; both have been used to get information about occurrences (1) and (4).

II. At present, we have a reasonable understanding of the  $p$ -adic properties of the  $B(k, r)$  only for primes  $p$  which *split*  $K$ . More precisely, fix a finite extension  $K'/K$  over which  $(E, \omega)$  is defined and has everywhere good reduction. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K', K'_\mathfrak{p}$  the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic completion of  $K'$ , and  $W$  the ring of integers in the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $K'_\mathfrak{p}$ . Denote by  $p$  the rational prime lying under  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**THEOREM.** *If  $p$  splits in  $K$ , there exists a unit  $c \in W^\times$  and, for all rational integers  $b$  prime to  $p$ , a  $W$ -valued  $p$ -adic measure  $\mu(c, b)$  on  $Z_p \times Z_p$ , whose moments are given by the formula, valid for integers  $k \geq 3, r \geq 0$ ,*

$$\int_{Z_p \times Z_p} x^{k-3} y^r d\mu(c, b) = 2 \cdot c^{k+2r} (b^k - 1) B(k, r).$$

In [6] we used the global theory of “ $p$ -adic modular functions” to construct this measure. Here we will outline a new construction, based on the Serre–Tate theory of local moduli of elliptic curves in terms of their  $p$ -divisible groups. This construction also leads to a universal computation of the  $B(k, r)$  which may yield valuable information when  $p$  does not split in  $K$ .

*Step I* (Interpretation of measures). Over any  $p$ -adically complete and separated ring  $W$ , Cartier duality gives a canonical isomorphism between the convolution algebra of  $W$ -valued  $p$ -adic measures on  $(Z_p)^n$  and the coordinate ring  $W[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  of the  $n$ -fold self-product  $(\hat{G}_m)^n$  of the formal multiplicative group over  $W$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  denote the standard coordinates on  $(Z_p)^n$ , and let  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be the standard invariant derivations  $D_i = (1 + X_i)\partial/\partial X_i$  on  $(\hat{G}_m)^n$ . Given a function  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in W[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , the moments of the corresponding measure  $\mu_f$  are given by

$$\int_{(Z_p)^n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} d\mu_f = D_1^{i_1} \dots D_n^{i_n} (f)|_0.$$

Given a measure  $\mu$ , the corresponding function  $f_\mu(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is given by

$$f_\mu(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \int_{(Z_p)^n} (1 + X_1)^{x_1} \dots (1 + X_n)^{x_n} d\mu.$$

Thus to construct our measure  $\mu(c, b)$ , we need a function  $f$  on a group  $\hat{G}_m \times \hat{G}_m$ .

*Step II* (Construction of  $\hat{G}_m \times \hat{G}_m$  out of  $E$  and its local moduli). Returning to  $(E, \omega)$  over  $\mathcal{O}(K')$ , we extend scalars to  $W$ . Because  $p$  splits in  $K$ ,  $E$  has ordinary reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and hence, the formal group  $\hat{E}$  of  $E$  is non-canonically isomorphic to  $\hat{G}_m$  over  $W$ . Fix one such isomorphism

$$\varphi: \hat{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{G}_m \quad (\text{over } W).$$

The inverse image of the “standard” invariant differential  $dX/(1+X)$  on  $\hat{G}_m$  is necessarily of the form  $c^{-1}\omega$  for some unit  $c \in W^\times$ ; this is the “ $c$ ” occurring in the statement of the theorem.

Now consider the universal formal  $W$ -deformation  $E^{\text{univ}}$  of  $E$ , over the formal moduli space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ . The chosen isomorphism  $\varphi$  extends uniquely to an isomorphism

$$\hat{E}^{\text{univ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{G}_m \quad \text{over } \hat{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \text{i.e. } \hat{E}^{\text{univ}} \cong \hat{\mathcal{M}} \times \hat{G}_m.$$

The Serre–Tate theory [9] gives an explicit isomorphism of the space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  with the formal group  $\hat{G}_m$  over  $W$ ; the origin of this  $\hat{G}_m$  is the  $W$ -valued point of

$\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  which “is”  $E$ . Thus we have

$$\hat{E}^{\text{univ}} \cong \hat{\mathcal{M}} \times \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m \cong \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m \times \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m.$$

Here are three equivalent descriptions of this isomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ .

(a) Because  $E$  has complex multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ , and has ordinary reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , its  $p$ -divisible is necessarily a *product*

$$E(p^\infty) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{E} \times E(p^\infty)^{\text{etale}} \xrightarrow{\varphi^* \times (\check{\varphi})^{-1}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m \times \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Let  $W$  be a  $p$ -adically complete and separated augmented  $W$ -algebra, with nilpotent augmentation ideal, and let  $E/W$  be a deformation of  $E/W$ . Then the  $p$ -divisible group of  $E$  sits in an *extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m \rightarrow E(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0,$$

and so determines an element of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p}^1(\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m, \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m(W))$ . (Explicitly, let  $P_i$  be the point of order  $p^i$  in  $E(W)$  corresponding to “ $1/p^i$ ” in the  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ -factor of  $E(p^\infty)$ . Let  $P_i$  be *any* point in  $E(W)$  lifting  $P_i$ ; then  $p^i P_i$  lies in  $\hat{E}(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m(W)$ , and as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  these points tend to a *limit* in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m(W)$ ). The resulting morphism  $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$  is an isomorphism.

(b) Consider once again the universal formal deformation  $E^{\text{univ}}$  over  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ . Via the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism

$$(\omega_{E^{\text{univ}}/\hat{\mathcal{M}}})^{\otimes 2} \cong \Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}/W}^1$$

the square of  $\varphi^*(dX/(1+X))$  corresponds to a basis  $\xi$  of  $\Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}/W}^1$ . The isomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$  is the unique morphism of pointed functors under which  $dX/(1+X)$  pulls back to  $\xi$ .

(c) There is a unique basis  $u, v$  of  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(E/W)$  such that

(1)  $u = c^{-1}\omega,$

(2)  $\langle u, v \rangle = 1$  (de Rham cup product),

(3) for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  acting, as  $[\gamma]^*$ , on  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(E/W)$ , we have

$$[\gamma]^*(u) = \gamma u, \quad [\gamma]^*(v) = \bar{\gamma} v.$$

Now consider  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(E^{\text{univ}}/\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ , with its Gauss–Manin connection. Let  $\text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  denote the ring of all “divided” power series centered at the marked  $W$ -point “ $E/W$ ” of  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ . In terms of a parameter  $T$  for  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  centered at “ $E/W$ ”; this is the ring

$$W\langle\langle T \rangle\rangle = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{T^n}{n!} \mid a_n \in W \right\};$$

intrinsically, it is the topological “divided power envelope” of the marked point “ $E/W$ ” in  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ . On  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(E^{\text{univ}}/\hat{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ , the connection necessarily becomes trivial, so we can find a *horizontal* basis  $U, V$  which extends the given basis  $u, v$

of  $H_{DR}^1(E/W)$ . In terms of this basis, the invariant differential  $\varphi^*(dX/(1+X))$  on  $E^{univ}$ , viewed as a de Rham cohomology class is expressed as

$$\varphi(dX/(1+X)) = U + LV \quad \text{with } L \in \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}).$$

The isomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{G}_m$  is the unique morphism of pointed functors under which  $L$  becomes the *logarithm* on  $\hat{G}_m$ :

$$L(X) = \log(1+X) \quad \text{i.e. } dL = dX/(1+X) = \xi.$$

That these descriptions are in fact equivalent may be seen as follows. By “general principles”, the function  $L$  must be a (divided-power) isomorphism from  $\hat{G}_m$  to  $\hat{G}_a$ , i.e. we must have  $L(X) = w \log(1+X)$  for some  $w \in W^\times$ . To see that  $w=1$ , it suffices to compute  $L \pmod{(X^2)}$ , and this amounts to explicitly computing the description (a) for deformations of  $E$  over the dual numbers  $W[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ . This last computation becomes routine if we exploit the autoduality of elliptic curves by systematically interpreting *points* on elliptic curves as (isomorphism classes of) *line bundles*.

A more sophisticated proof of this and more general equivalences has been announced by Messing [10].

*Step III* (Construction of a function  $f$  on  $\hat{E}^{univ} \simeq \hat{G}_m \times \hat{G}_m$ ). Given an integer  $b \geq 1$  prime to  $p$ , the function  $f$  on  $\hat{E}^{univ}$  to be taken is, in “transcendental” notation,

$$f(z) = b^3 \wp'(bz) - \wp'(z) = \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \overline{\text{Ker}[b]} \\ \zeta \neq 0}} \wp'(z + \zeta).$$

This has purely algebraic meaning, as follows. Given *any*  $(E, \omega)$  over any ring  $R$ , pick *any* parameter  $Z$  for  $\hat{E}$  so that  $\omega = (1 + \dots)dZ$ . The functions on  $E$  with at worst double poles along the 0-section (i.e.  $H^0(E, I(0)^{-2})$ ) which begin  $Z^{-2} + \dots$  all differ from each other by additive constants. If we apply to any of them the invariant derivation dual to  $\omega$ , we get a well-defined  $\wp'$ . If  $b$  is invertible in  $R$ , then all nontrivial points of order  $b$  are disjoint from  $\hat{E}$ , so the  $\Sigma$ -expression for  $f$  shows that it's well-defined on  $\hat{E}$ . We apply this universal construction to  $(E^{univ}, \varphi^*(dX/(1+X)))$  over the coordinate ring of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Step IV* (Universal computation of the moments). We now return to the original  $(E, \omega)$  over  $\mathcal{O}(K')$ , with complex multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . Let  $W$  be *any* overring of  $\mathcal{O}(K')$  in which the discriminant  $d$  of  $K$  is invertible, and let  $c \in W^\times$  be *any* unit of  $W$ . It still makes sense to take a basis  $u, v$  for  $H_{DR}^1(E/W)$  as in Step II (c) and then to find the horizontal basis  $U, V$  of  $H_{DR}^1(E^{univ}/\hat{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  which extends  $u, v$ . There is no longer a *preferred* invariant differential on  $E^{univ}$ , but we may simply choose one which extends  $\omega/c$ . Its expression in terms of  $U, V$  will be

$$\alpha U + \beta V, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}), \quad \alpha(0) = 1, \quad \beta(0) = 0.$$

Because  $\alpha(0)=1$ , it is invertible in  $\text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ . Therefore there is a *unique* invariant differential  $\omega$  on  $E^{\text{univ}} \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  whose expression in  $U, V$  is

$$\omega = U + LV \begin{cases} L = \beta/\alpha \in \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}); \\ L(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This function  $L \in \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  is simply the *direction* (i.e. the Plücker coordinate) of the subspace  $H^{1,0} \subset H^1_{DR}$ , measured with respect to the horizontal basis  $U, V$ . It is a “divided-power uniformizing parameter”, in the sense that the natural map

$$W \langle\langle L \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$$

is an isomorphism.

Let  $b$  be any integer invertible in  $W$ , and apply the construction of Step III to  $(E^{\text{univ}} \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}), \omega)$ , to produce a function  $f$  on  $E^{\text{univ}} \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ . It follows easily from the cohomological analysis of ([7], 2.4.8) that we may compute the  $B(k, r)$ ’s as follows.

**ALGORITHM.** Let  $D_1$  be the invariant derivation of  $E^{\text{univ}} \otimes \text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  over  $\text{Div}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$  which is dual to  $\omega$ . For all integers  $k \geq 3, r \geq 0$ , we have

$$2c^{k+2r}(b^k - 1)B(k, r) = (d/dL)^r (D_1^{k-3}(f)|_0)_0.$$

**III.** When  $p$  splits in  $K$ , and  $W$  and  $c$  are as in Step II, the theorem follows immediately from this algorithm and Steps I, II, III. When  $p$  stays prime in  $K$ , this algorithm gives the known integrality results, and focuses attention on the very special role played by the divided power parameter  $L$  on the moduli space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ . Arithmetic information about  $L$  should yield arithmetic information about the numbers  $B(k, r)$ . Is it conceivable that  $L$  is always the logarithm of a formal group structure on the pointed (by  $E/W$ ) functor  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ ?

**IV.** In this final section, we give an “elementary” description of  $L$ , valid over any ring containing  $1/2$ , as the ratio of two particular local solutions of the Gauss hypergeometric equation with parameters  $(1/2, 1/2, 1)$ . From this point of view, the function  $L$  has been studied extensively by Dwork, at least in the case when  $p$  splits in  $K$ , under the name “ $\tau$ ” ([3], [5]).

Consider the Legendre family of elliptic curves  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  over  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda][1/(2\lambda(\lambda-1))])$ . Let  $\lambda_0$  be any value of  $\lambda$  at which this curve acquires complex multiplication by the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  in a quadratic imaginary field. The formal moduli space  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  is simply the formal completion of  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ .

Let  $D$  denote the derivation  $2\lambda(\lambda-1)d/d\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The  $H^1_{DR}$  for the Legendre family is free over  $\mathcal{M}$  with basis

$$\omega = dx/2y, \quad D(\omega) = (x-\lambda) dx/2y$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega, D(\omega) \rangle &= 1 && \text{(de Rham cup-product),} \\ D^2(\omega) &= -\lambda(\lambda-1)\omega && \text{(Gauss—Manin connection).} \end{aligned}$$

At  $\lambda_0$ , a basis  $u, v$  of  $H_{DR}^1$  which is adapted to the action of  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  is given by

$$u = \omega|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}, \quad v = (D(\omega) - e\omega)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}$$

for some unique constant  $e$  in  $(1/\sqrt{-|d|}) \cdot \mathcal{O}(K')[1/2]$ . Let  $\alpha(\lambda), \beta(\lambda)$  be the local solutions near  $\lambda=\lambda_0$  of the hypergeometric equation

$$D^2 f = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)f,$$

normalized by the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\lambda_0) &= 1, \quad (D\alpha)(\lambda_0) = e, \\ \beta(\lambda_0) &= 0, \quad (D\beta)(\lambda_0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal basis  $U, V$  passing through  $u, v$  at  $\lambda=\lambda_0$  is given by

$$U = D(\beta)\omega - \beta D(\omega), \quad V = -D(\alpha) \cdot \omega + \alpha D(\omega).$$

Thus we find

$$\omega = \alpha U + \beta V,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \beta/\alpha, \quad \omega = \omega/\alpha, \quad d/dL = \alpha^2 \cdot 2\lambda(\lambda - 1) d/d\lambda, \\ D_1 &= \alpha \cdot 2y d/dx, \quad f = 2\alpha^3 (b^3 [b]^*(y) - y). \end{aligned}$$

### References

1. J. Coates and A. Wiles, *On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*, Invent Math. **39** (1977), 223—251.
2. R. M. Damerell, *L-functions of elliptic curves with complex multiplication I*, Acta Arith. **17** (1970), 287—301.
3. B. Dwork, *P-adic cycles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **37** (1969), 327—415.
4. B. Ferrero and L. Washington, *The Iwasawa invariant  $\mu_p$  vanishes for abelian number fields*, Ann. of Math. **109** (1979), 377—395.
5. N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki 1971/72, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 317 Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, pp. 167—200.
6. *P-adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 459—571.
7. *P-adic L-functions for CM-fields*, Invent. Math. **49** (1978), 199—297.
8. J. Manin and S. Vishik, *p-adic Hecke series for quadratic imaginary fields*, Mat. Sb. **95** (137), (1974).
9. W. Messing, *The crystal associated to Barsotti-Tate groups, with applications to abelian schemes*, Appendix, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 264, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
10.  $q_{\text{Serie-Tate}} = q_{\text{Dwork}}$ , Notices Amer. Math. Soc. (1976).
11. G. Shimura, *On some arithmetic properties of modular forms of one and several variables*, Ann of Math. **102** (1975), 491—515.

