

# The Jordan Canonical Form

The Jordan canonical form describes the structure of an arbitrary linear transformation on a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field. Here we develop it using only the most basic concepts of linear algebra, with no reference to determinants or ideals of polynomials.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be linearly independent vectors in a vector space. If they are in the span of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  then  $k \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the following claim:

*Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be linearly independent vectors in a vector space. For all  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and all vectors  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ , if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are in the span of  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ , then  $j + k \geq n$ .*

The proof of the claim is by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$ , the claim is obvious since  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are linearly independent. Suppose the claim is true for  $k - 1$ , and suppose that  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are in the span of the vectors  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ . Then in particular we have

$$\beta_{j+1} = b_1\beta_1 + \dots + b_j\beta_j + a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_k\alpha_k. \quad (1)$$

For some  $i$  we must have  $a_i \neq 0$  since  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are linearly independent, so we can solve (1) for  $\alpha_i$  as a linear combination of

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \beta_{j+1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_k. \quad (2)$$

Hence the vectors (2) span  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $(j + 1) + (k - 1) \geq n$ , so  $j + k \geq n$ . This proves the claim. The case  $j = 0$  of the claim gives the theorem.  $\square$

By this theorem, any two bases of a finite-dimensional vector space have the same number of elements, the *dimension* of the vector space.

Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over the field  $F$ . An *annihilating polynomial* for  $T$  is a non-zero polynomial  $p$  such that  $p(T) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then there exists an annihilating polynomial for  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a basis for  $V$ . For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by Theorem 1 there exist scalars  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ , not all 0, such that

$$a_0\alpha_i + a_1T\alpha_i + \dots + a_nT^n\alpha_i = 0.$$

That is,  $p_i(T)\alpha_i = 0$  where  $p_i = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ . Let  $p$  be the product of all the  $p_i$ . Then  $p$  is an annihilating polynomial for  $T$  since  $p(T)\alpha_i = 0$  for each basis vector  $\alpha_i$ .  $\square$

We denote the null space of the linear transformation  $T$  by  $\mathcal{N}T$  and its range by  $\mathcal{R}T$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}T$  and  $\mathcal{R}T$  are linear subspaces of  $V$  invariant under  $T$ , with*

$$\dim \mathcal{N}T + \dim \mathcal{R}T = \dim V. \quad (3)$$

If  $\mathcal{N}T \cap \mathcal{R}T = \{0\}$  then

$$V = \mathcal{N}T \oplus \mathcal{R}T \quad (4)$$

is a decomposition of  $V$  as a direct sum of subspaces invariant under  $T$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\mathcal{N}T$  and  $\mathcal{R}T$  are linear subspaces of  $V$  invariant under  $T$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{N}T$  and extend it by the vectors  $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$  to be a basis for  $V$ . Then

$$T\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, T\alpha_n$$

are a basis for  $\mathcal{R}T$ : they span  $\mathcal{R}T$ , and if  $a_{k+1}T\alpha_{k+1} + \dots + a_nT\alpha_n = 0$  then  $a_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} + \dots + a_n\alpha_n \in \mathcal{N}T$ , so all of the coefficients are 0. This proves (3), from which (4) follows if  $\mathcal{N}T \cap \mathcal{R}T = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on a non-zero finite-dimensional vector  $V$  over an algebraically closed field  $F$ . Then  $T$  has an eigenvector.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 there exists an annihilating polynomial  $p$  for  $T$ . Since  $F$  is algebraically closed,  $p$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of

$$(x - c_k) \cdots (x - c_1)$$

for some scalars  $c_k, \dots, c_1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a non-zero vector and let  $i$  be the least number such that

$$(T - c_i I) \cdots (T - c_1 I)\alpha = 0.$$

If  $i = 1$ , then  $\alpha$  is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $c_1$ ; otherwise,

$$\beta = (T - c_{i-1} I) \cdots (T - c_1 I)\alpha$$

is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $c_i$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 5. Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  with an eigenvalue  $c$ . Let

$$V_c = \{ \alpha \in V : \text{for some } j, (T - cI)^j \alpha = 0 \}. \quad (5)$$

Then there exists  $r$  such that

$$V_c = \mathcal{N}(T - cI)^r \quad (6)$$

and

$$V = \mathcal{N}(T - cI)^r \oplus \mathcal{R}(T - cI)^r \quad (7)$$

is a decomposition of  $V$  as a direct sum of subspaces invariant under  $T$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in V_c$  and  $c \in F$ , then  $c\alpha \in V_c$ ; if  $\alpha_1 \in V_c$  (so that  $(T - cI)^{j_1} \alpha_1 = 0$  for some  $j_1$ ) and  $\alpha_2 \in V_c$  (so that  $(T - cI)^{j_2} \alpha_2 = 0$  for some  $j_2$ ), then  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in V_c$  (since  $(T - cI)^j (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = 0$  whenever  $j \geq j_1, j_2$ ). Thus  $V_c$  is a linear subspace of  $V$ . It has a finite basis, since  $V$  is finite dimensional, so there is an  $r$  such that  $(T - cI)^r \alpha = 0$  for each basis element  $\alpha$  and consequently for all  $\alpha$  in  $V_c$ . This proves (6).

I claim that  $V_c = \mathcal{N}(T - cI)^r$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T - cI)^r$  have intersection  $\{0\}$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is in both spaces. Then  $\alpha = (T - cI)^r \beta$  for some  $\beta$  since  $\alpha$  is in  $\mathcal{R}(T - cI)^r$ . Since it is in  $\mathcal{N}(T - cI)^r$ ,

$$(T - cI)^r \alpha = (T - cI)^{2r} \beta = 0,$$

so  $\beta \in V_c$  by the definition (5) of  $V_c$ . Hence  $(T - cI)^r \beta = 0$  by (6), so  $\alpha = 0$ . This proves the claim.

By Theorem 3 we have (7). Each of the two spaces is invariant under  $T - cI$ , and under  $cI$ , so also under  $T = (T - cI) + cI$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 6. Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over the algebraically closed field  $F$ , and let the scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then there exist numbers  $r_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that

$$V = \mathcal{N}(T - c_1 I)^{r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{N}(T - c_k I)^{r_k} \quad (8)$$

is a direct sum decomposition of  $V$  into subspaces invariant under  $T$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 5 by induction on the number of distinct eigenvalues.  $\square$

A linear transformation  $N$  is *nilpotent of degree  $r$*  in case  $N^r = 0$  but  $N^{r-1} \neq 0$ ; it is *nilpotent* in case it is nilpotent of degree  $r$  for some  $r$ . Notice that on each of the subspaces of the direct sum decomposition (8), the operator  $T$  is a scalar multiple of  $I$  plus a nilpotent operator. Thus our remaining task is to find the structure of a nilpotent operator.

THEOREM 7. Let  $N$  be nilpotent of degree  $r$  on the vector space  $V$ . Then we have strict inclusions

$$\mathcal{N}N \subset \mathcal{N}N^2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{N}N^{r-1} \subset \mathcal{N}N^r = V. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* The inclusions are obvious. They are strict inclusions because by definition there is a vector  $\alpha$  in  $V$  such that  $N^r\alpha = 0$  but  $N^{r-1}\alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $N^{r-i}\alpha$  is in  $\mathcal{N}N^i$  but not  $\mathcal{N}N^{i-1}$ .  $\square$

We say that the vectors  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  are *linearly independent* of the linear subspace  $W$  in case  $b_1\beta_1 + \cdots + b_k\beta_k$  is in  $W$  only if  $b_1 = \cdots = b_k = 0$ .

THEOREM 8. Let  $N$  be a nilpotent linear transformation of degree  $r$  on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then there exist a number  $m$  and vectors  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  such that the non-zero vectors of the form  $N^j\alpha_l$ , for  $j \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , are a basis for  $V$ . Any vectors linearly independent of  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$  can be included among the  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ .

For  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , let  $V_l$  be the subspace with basis  $\alpha_l, \dots, N^{s_l-1}\alpha_l$ , where  $s_l$  is the least number such that  $N^{s_l}\alpha_l = 0$ . Then

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m \quad (10)$$

is a direct sum decomposition of  $V$  into  $s_l$ -dimensional subspaces invariant under  $N$ , and  $N$  is nilpotent of degree  $s_l$  on  $V_l$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $\varphi(i)$  be the number of subspaces in the decomposition (10) of dimension at least  $i$ . Then

$$\dim \mathcal{N}N^i - \dim \mathcal{N}N^{i-1} = \varphi(i),$$

so the number of subspaces in (10) of any given dimension is determined uniquely by  $N$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statements of the first paragraph by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$ , we have  $N = 0$  and the result is trivial. Suppose that the result holds for  $r - 1$ , and consider a nilpotent linear transformation of degree  $r$ .

Given vectors linearly independent of  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$ , extend them to a maximal such set  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  (so that they together with any basis for  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$  are a basis for  $V$ ). Then the vectors  $N\beta_1, \dots, N\beta_k$  are in  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$  and are linearly independent of  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-2}$ , for if  $b_1N\beta_1 + \cdots + b_kN\beta_k \in \mathcal{N}N^{r-2}$  then  $b_1\beta_1 + \cdots + b_k\beta_k \in \mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$  so  $b_1 = \cdots = b_k = 0$ . Now  $N$  restricted to  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$  is nilpotent of degree  $r - 1$ , so by the

induction hypothesis there are vectors  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , including  $N\beta_1, \dots, N\beta_k$  among them, such that the non-zero vectors of the form  $N^j\alpha_l$  are a basis for  $\mathcal{N}N^{r-1}$ . Adjoin the vectors  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  to them; then this is a basis for  $V$  of the desired form.

Now the statements of the second paragraph follow directly. (See the following example, in which  $N$  is nilpotent of degree 5 on a 24 dimensional space. The bottom  $i$  rows are  $\mathcal{N}N^i$ .)  $\square$

$V_1$	$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$	$V_6$
$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$				
$N\alpha_1$	$N\alpha_2$	$\alpha_3$	$\alpha_4$	$\alpha_5$	
$N^2\alpha_1$	$N^2\alpha_2$	$N\alpha_3$	$N\alpha_4$	$N\alpha_5$	
$N^3\alpha_1$	$N^3\alpha_2$	$N^2\alpha_3$	$N^2\alpha_4$	$N^2\alpha_5$	$\alpha_6$
$N^4\alpha_1$	$N^4\alpha_2$	$N^3\alpha_3$	$N^3\alpha_4$	$N^3\alpha_5$	$N\alpha_6$

We have done all the work necessary to establish the Jordan canonical form; it remains only to put the pieces together. It is convenient to express the result in matrix language.

Let  $B(r; c)$  be the  $r \times r$  lower triangular matrix with  $c$  along the diagonal, 1 everywhere immediately below the diagonal, and 0 everywhere else. Such a matrix is called a *Jordan block*. Notice that in the decomposition (10), the matrix of  $N$  on  $V_i$ , with respect to the basis described in Theorem 8, is the Jordan block  $B(s_i; 0)$ . (With the basis in reverse order, the entries 1 are immediately above the diagonal. Either convention is acceptable.) A matrix that is a direct sum of Jordan blocks is in *Jordan form*.

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over the algebraically closed field  $F$ . Then there exists a basis of  $V$  such that the matrix of  $T$  is in Jordan form. This matrix is unique except for the order of the Jordan blocks.*

*Proof.* By Theorems 6 and 8.  $\square$

The proof shows that the same result holds for a field that is not algebraically closed provided that  $T$  has some annihilating polynomial that factors into first degree factors.

<http://math.princeton.edu/~nelson/217/jordan.pdf>