

## Absolute Lipschitz extendability

J. R. Lee <sup>a</sup>, A. Naor <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Computer Science Division, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94720, USA

E-mail: jrl@cs.berkeley.edu

<sup>b</sup> Microsoft Research, One Microsoft Way, Redmond WA 98052, USA

E-mail: anaor@microsoft.com

(Reçu le jour mois année, accepté après révision le jour mois année)

---

**Abstract.** A metric space  $X$  is said to be *absolutely Lipschitz extendable* if every Lipschitz function  $f$  from  $X$  into *any* Banach space  $Z$  can be extended to *any* containing space  $Y \supseteq X$ , where the loss in the Lipschitz constant in the extension is independent of  $Y$ ,  $Z$ , and  $f$ . We show that various classes of natural metric spaces are absolutely Lipschitz extendable. © 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

### *Sur la propriété d'extension lipschitzienne absolue*

**Résumé.** On dit qu'un espace métrique  $X$  a la propriété d'extension lipschitzienne absolue si pour tout espace de Banach  $Z$ , toute fonction lipschitzienne  $f$  de  $X$  dans  $Z$  peut être étendue à tout espace métrique  $Y$  contenant  $X$ , avec une perte dans la constante de Lipschitz de l'extension qui ne dépend pas du choix de  $Y$ ,  $Z$  et  $f$ . Nous montrons que plusieurs classes naturelles d'espaces métriques ont la propriété d'extension lipschitzienne absolue. © 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

---

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$ ,  $(Z, d_Z)$  be metric spaces, and for every  $X \subseteq Y$ , denote by  $e(X, Y, Z)$  the infimum over all constants  $K$  such that every Lipschitz function  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  can be extended to a function  $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow Z$  satisfying  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq K\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$ . (If no such  $K$  exists, we set  $e(X, Y, Z) = \infty$ ). We also define  $e(Y, Z) = \sup\{e(X, Y, Z) : X \subseteq Y\}$  and for every integer  $n$ ,  $e_n(Y, Z) = \sup\{e(X, Y, Z) : X \subseteq Y, |X| \leq n\}$ .

Estimating  $e(Y, Z)$  is a classical and fundamental problem that has attracted a lot of attention due to its intrinsic interest and applications to geometry and approximation theory. It is a classical fact that for every metric space  $Y$ ,  $e(Y, \ell_\infty) = 1$ , and Kirszbraun's famous extension theorem [K] states that whenever  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hilbert spaces,  $e(H_1, H_2) = 1$ . We refer to the books [BL, WW] for a detailed account of the case  $e(Y, Z) = 1$  and list below three results which deal with the case  $e(Y, Z) > 1$ , when the target space  $Z$  is a Banach space. In what follows,  $C$  is a universal constant.

- T1. (Johnson-Lindenstrauss-Schechtman [JLS]) For every metric space  $Y$  and every Banach space  $Z$ ,  $e_n(Y, Z) \leq C \log n$ .
- T2. (Johnson-Lindenstrauss-Schechtman [JLS]) For every  $d$ -dimensional normed space  $Y$  and every Banach space  $Z$ ,  $e(Y, Z) \leq Cd$ .

---

Note présentée par Michael GROMOV.

S0764-4442(00)0????-?/FLA

© 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. Tous droits réservés.

T3. (Matoušek [M]) For every metric tree  $T$  and every Banach space  $Z$ ,  $e(T, Z) \leq C$ .

In this note we observe a new phenomenon underlying these theorems which we refer to as *absolute extendability*—the notion that for some spaces  $X$ , Lipschitz functions  $f$  from  $X$  into *any* Banach space  $Z$  can be extended to *any* containing space  $Y \supseteq X$ , where the loss in the Lipschitz constant is independent of  $Y$ ,  $Z$ , and  $f$ , and thus depends only on  $X$ . To this end, let us define, for a metric space  $X$ , the *absolute extendability constant*  $ae(X)$  by  $ae(X) = \sup\{e(X, Y, Z) : Y \supseteq X, Z \text{ a Banach space}\}$ . If  $ae(X) < \infty$ , we say that  $X$  is *absolutely extendable*. Additionally, for a family of metric spaces  $\mathcal{M}$ , let us define  $ae(\mathcal{M}) = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{M}} ae(X)$  to be a uniform bound on the extendability of metrics in  $\mathcal{M}$ . As far as we are aware, the only previously known families of absolutely extendable metrics had such a property for a “trivial” reason; these are the cases when  $X$  is an absolute Lipschitz retract or when the family  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of finite metrics of uniformly bounded cardinality (it is not too difficult to see that (T1) is true when  $\log n$  is replaced by  $n$ ).

In order to state our results, let us introduce some notation. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a countable graph with edge lengths in  $[0, \infty]$ . Denote by  $\Sigma(G)$  the one-dimensional simplicial complex that arises from  $G$  by replacing every edge  $e$  of  $G$  by an interval whose length is equal to that of  $e$ . We now define the set of metrics supported on  $G$ , denoted  $\langle G \rangle$ , as the set of all subsets of  $\Sigma(G)$  for all possible non-negative lengths on edges of  $G$ . For a family of graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{F}} \langle G \rangle$ . Finally, Recall that the *doubling constant* of a metric space  $X$ , denoted  $\lambda(X)$ , is the infimum over all numbers  $\lambda$  such that every ball in  $X$  can be covered by  $\lambda$  balls of half the radius. When  $\lambda(X) < \infty$ , one says that  $X$  is *doubling*.

THEOREM 1. – *The following extension results hold true:*

1. For a family of finite graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $ae(\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle) < \infty$  if and only if  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  does not contain all finite metrics.
2. If  $M$  is a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold of genus  $g$ , then for every  $X \subseteq M$ ,  $ae(X) \leq Cg$ .
3. For every metric space  $X$ , we have  $ae(X) \leq C \log \lambda(X)$ .
4. For every  $n$ -point metric space  $X$ ,  $ae(X) \leq C \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ .

Observe that (2) implies that for every planar graph  $G$ ,  $ae(\langle G \rangle) \leq C$ , which improves (T3). Additionally since any  $n$ -point metric space is isometrically embeddable in a compact two-dimensional Riemannian manifold of genus  $O(n^3)$ , in (2) above  $ae(M)$  must tend to infinity with the genus of  $M$ . Since  $\log \lambda(X) = O(\log n)$  for any  $n$ -point metric space  $X$ , and  $\log \lambda(X) = O(d)$  whenever  $X$  is a subset of some  $d$ -dimensional normed space, (3) unifies and generalizes (T1) and (T2). Finally, clearly (5) improves on (T1) by a factor of  $\log \log n$ .

In what follows we will sketch the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1. In particular, in the ensuing arguments we will ignore all measurability assumptions. We refer to our upcoming paper [LN] for detailed proofs and additional results.

Let  $(Y, d)$  be a metric space and  $X$  a subspace of  $Y$ . For the purpose of proving extension results, we may assume that  $X$  is closed. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and fix  $K > 0$ . We shall say that a function  $\Psi : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a *K-gentle partition of unity with respect to X* if for every  $x \in Y \setminus X$   $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(\omega, x) d\mu(\omega) = 1$ , for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\Psi(\omega, x) = 0$ , and there exists a mapping  $\gamma : \Omega \rightarrow X$  such that for every  $x, y \in Y$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} d(\gamma(\omega), x) \cdot |\Psi(\omega, x) - \Psi(\omega, y)| d\mu(\omega) \leq Kd(x, y).$$

Let  $Z$  be a Banach space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  a Lipschitz function. We extend  $f$  to a function  $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow Z$  by defining for  $x \in Y \setminus X$ ,  $\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(\gamma(\omega)) \Psi(\omega, x) d\mu(\omega)$ . It is not difficult to check that the *K-gentle* condition ensures that  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 3K\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$ . All the statements in Theorem 1 actually produce *K-gentle* partitions of unity for the appropriate value of  $K$ .

**Stochastic decomposition.** We construct gentle partitions of unity by first producing an appropriate distribution over partitions of  $Y$ . We say that  $\{(\Gamma^i(\cdot), \gamma^i(\cdot), \Omega, \Pr)\}_{i \in I}$  is a *stochastic decomposition of  $Y$  with respect to  $X$*  if  $I$  is some index set,  $(\Omega, \Pr)$  is a probability space, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\{\Gamma^i(\omega)\}_{i \in I}$  is a partition of  $Y$  and for every  $i \in I$ ,  $\gamma^i : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a function such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $d(\gamma^i(\omega), \Gamma^i(\omega)) \leq 2d(X, \Gamma^i(\omega))$ . For  $\Delta > 0$  the decomposition is said to be  $\Delta$ -bounded if for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $i \in I$ ,  $\text{diam}(\Gamma^i(\omega)) \leq \Delta$ . A  $\Delta$ -bounded decomposition is called  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -padded if for every  $x \in Y$  such that  $d(x, X) < \varepsilon\Delta$ ,  $\Pr(\exists i \in I \text{ s.t. } d(x, X \setminus \Gamma^i(\omega)) \geq \varepsilon\Delta) \geq \delta$ .

Since we are interested in bounding the absolute extendability constant of a metric space  $X$ , we need to impose intrinsic geometric restrictions on  $X$  which ensure that every super-space  $Y \supseteq X$  admits an appropriate stochastic decomposition with respect to  $X$ . This is achieved via the following partition extension lemma.

**Lemma 2 (Partition extension)** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a metric space and  $X$  a closed subspace of  $Y$ . If  $X$  admits an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -padded  $\Delta$ -bounded stochastic decomposition (with respect to itself), then  $Y$  admits an  $(\frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \delta)$ -padded  $(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\Delta$ -bounded stochastic decomposition with respect to  $X$ .*

To prove Lemma 2 we argue as follows. Let  $\{(\Gamma^i(\cdot), \gamma^i(\cdot), \Omega, \mu)\}_{i \in I}$  be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -padded  $\Delta$ -bounded stochastic decomposition of  $X$  with respect to itself. For every point  $x \in Y$ , let  $t_x \in X$  be such that  $d(x, t_x) \leq 2d(x, X)$ . Now, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $i \in I$ , consider the set

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^i(\omega) = \Gamma^i(\omega) \cup \{x \in Y : d(t_x, X \setminus \Gamma^i(\omega)) \geq \varepsilon\Delta/2 \text{ and } d(x, t_x) \leq \varepsilon\Delta/4\}.$$

Finally, for any point  $x \in Y \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \widehat{\Gamma}^i(\omega)$ , place  $x$  in a singleton set  $\{x\}$ . It is not difficult to check that this yields the required decomposition of  $Y$  with respect to  $X$ .

We pass from padded decompositions to gentle partitions of unity as follows. Let  $X$  be a closed subset of  $Y$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Y$  admits an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -padded  $2^n$ -bounded stochastic decomposition with respect to  $X$ . We claim that then  $Y$  also admits a  $\frac{C}{\varepsilon\delta}$ -gentle partition of unity with respect to  $X$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be any 2-Lipschitz map with  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 4]$  and  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $[1, 2]$ . Additionally, let  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that  $g \equiv 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $g \equiv 1$  on  $[2, \infty)$  and  $g(x) = x - 1$  on  $[1, 2]$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\{(\Gamma_n^i(\cdot), \gamma_n^i(\cdot), \Omega_n, \Pr_n)\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $2^n$ -bounded stochastic decomposition of  $Y$  with respect to  $X$ , and denote by  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be the disjoint union of  $\{I \times \Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  (where the measure on  $I$  is the counting measure). For every  $x \in Y$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  there is a unique  $i \in I$  for which  $x \in \Gamma_n^i(\omega)$ , and we denote  $\pi_\omega^n(x) = d(x, Y \setminus \Gamma_n^i(\omega))$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega_n$ ,  $i \in I$  and  $x \in Y$  set:

$$\Psi(i, \omega, x) = \frac{1}{S(x)} \cdot g\left(\frac{\pi_\omega^n(x)}{\varepsilon 2^{n-1}}\right) \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{d(x, X)}{\varepsilon 2^{n-3}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_n^i(\omega)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(i, \omega) = \gamma_n^i(\omega), \quad (1)$$

where  $S(x)$  is a normalization factor ensuring that  $\int_\Omega \Psi(i, \omega, x) d\mu(i, \omega) = 1$ . It is possible to show that this construction yields the required gentle partition of unity; we refer to [LN] for the details.

The notion of a padded decomposition is motivated by recent advances in combinatorics and theoretical computer science. Often in theoretical computer science, one needs to analyze data with an inherent metric structure, and constructing well behaved stochastic decompositions has proved itself to be extremely useful in various algorithmic applications. Variants of this approach have appeared in numerous contexts; see for instance [LS, KPR, B]. The structural results of [KPR, R] imply that for every integer  $r$ , if  $G$  is a graph which doesn't admit the complete graph  $K_r$  as a minor then any  $X \in \langle G \rangle$  admits a  $(c_r, 1/2)$ -padded,  $\Delta$ -bounded stochastic decomposition with respect to itself (for every  $\Delta > 0$ ). Since planar graphs exclude  $K_5$  as a minor, part (2) of Theorem 1 follows. Additionally, for a family of graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $mc(\mathcal{F})$  denote its closure under taking minors, i.e. the maximal minor-closed family containing  $\mathcal{F}$ . A deep theorem of Robertson and Seymour [RS] states that if  $mc(\mathcal{F})$  does not contain all finite graphs, then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that all the graphs in  $\mathcal{F}$  exclude a  $K_r$  minor. Since contraction/deletion of an edge corresponds to

weighting by  $0/\infty$ , respectively, it follows that  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = \langle mc(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$ , thus if  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  does not contain all finite metrics, then certainly  $mc(\mathcal{F})$  does not contain all finite graphs. By the above reasoning it follows that  $ae(\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle) < \infty$ , proving part (1) of Theorem 1. Part (3) of Theorem 1 follows from another result of Robertson and Seymour [RS] which characterizes graphs which can be realized on a surface of bounded genus with no edge-crossings. Part (4) of Theorem 1 is based on a variant of a construction from [GKL] showing that if  $(X, d)$  is doubling then it admits a  $(c/\log \lambda(X), 1/2)$ -padded  $\Delta$ -bounded stochastic decomposition with respect to itself, for each  $\Delta > 0$ . Finally, part (5) of Theorem 1 follows from the decomposition of [CKR] and the improved analysis of [FHRT, FRT]. We refer to the upcoming paper [LN] for a detailed account of these constructions and variants thereof, as well for additional extension theorems based on different notions of stochastic metric decomposition. In particular, in [LN] we discuss results analogous to Theorem 1 in which the target space is not a Banach space, e.g.  $CAT(0)$  and related spaces.

---

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant CCR-0121555 and an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

### References

- [B] Y. Bartal. Probabilistic approximation of metric spaces and its algorithmic applications. In *Proceedings of the 37th Annual Symposium Foundations of Computer Science*, 1998.
- [BL] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss. *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1*, volume 48 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [CKR] G. Calinescu, H. Karloff, and Y. Rabani. Approximation algorithms for the 0-extension problem. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. ACM, 2001.
- [FHRT] J. Fakcharoenphol, C. Harrelson, S. Rao, and K. Talwar. An improved approximation algorithm for the 0-extension problem. In *Proceedings of the 14th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. ACM, 2003.
- [FRT] J. Fakcharoenphol, S. Rao, and K. Talwar. A tight bound on approximating arbitrary metrics by tree metrics. In *35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. ACM, 2003. To appear.
- [GKL] A. Gupta, R. Krauthgamer, and J. R. Lee. Bounded geometries, fractals, and low-distortion embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 44th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, to appear, 2003.
- [JLS] W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, and G. Schechtman. Extensions of Lipschitz maps into Banach spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 54(2):129–138, 1986.
- [K] M. D. Kirszbraun. Über die zusammenziehenden und Lipschitzchen Transformationen. *Fund. Math.*, (22):77–108, 1934.
- [KPR] P. Klein, S. A. Plotkin, and S. Rao. Excluded minors, network decomposition, and multicommodity flow. In *25th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 682–690, May 1993.
- [LN] J. R. Lee and A. Naor. A unified approach to Lipschitz extension. Preprint.
- [LS] N. Linial and M. Saks. Low diameter graph decompositions. *Combinatorica*, 13(4):441–454, 1993.
- [M] J. Matoušek. Extension of Lipschitz mappings on metric trees. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 31(1):99–104, 1990.
- [R] S. Rao. Small distortion and volume preserving embeddings for planar and Euclidean metrics. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*, pages 300–306. ACM, 1999.
- [RS] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. VIII. A Kuratowski theorem for general surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 48(2):255–288, 1990.
- [WW] J. H. Wells and L. R. Williams. *Embeddings and extensions in analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 84.