


Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

Noga Alon  

Princeton University

Allan Grønlund 

Kvantify

Søren Fuglede Jørgensen 

Kvantify

Kasper Green Larsen  

Aarhus University

Kvantify

Abstract

Computing a shortest path between two nodes in an undirected unweighted graph is among the most basic algorithmic tasks. Breadth first search solves this problem in linear time, which is clearly also a lower bound in the worst case. However, several works have shown how to solve this problem in sublinear time in expectation when the input graph is drawn from one of several classes of random graphs. In this work, we extend these results by giving sublinear time shortest path (and short path) algorithms for expander graphs. We thus identify a natural deterministic property of a graph (that is satisfied by typical random regular graphs) which suffices for sublinear time shortest paths. The algorithms are very simple, involving only bidirectional breadth first search and short random walks. We also complement our new algorithms by near-matching lower bounds.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Shortest paths

Keywords and phrases Shortest Path, Expanders, Breadth First Search, Graph Algorithms

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2024.3

Funding *Noga Alon*: Supported by NSF grant DMS-2154082.

Kasper Green Larsen: Supported by a DFF Sapere Aude Research Leader Grant No. 9064-00068B.

1 Introduction

Computing shortest paths in an undirected unweighted graph is among the most fundamental tasks in graph algorithms. In the single source case, the textbook breadth first search (BFS) algorithm computes such shortest paths in $O(m + n)$ time in a graph with n nodes and m edges. Linear time is clearly also a lower bound on the running time of any algorithm that is correct on all input graphs, even if we only consider computing a shortest s - t path for a pair of nodes s, t , and not a shortest path from s to all other nodes. Initial intuition might also suggest that linear time is necessary for computing a shortest path between two nodes s, t in a random graph drawn from any reasonable distribution, such as an Erdős-Rényi random graph or a random d -regular graph. However, this intuition is incorrect and there exists an algorithm with a sublinear expected running time for many classes of random graphs [6, 10, 18]. Moreover, the algorithm is strikingly simple! It is merely the popular practical heuristic of bidirectional BFS [19]. In bidirectional BFS, one simultaneously runs BFS from the source s and destination t , expanding the two BFS trees by one layer at a time. If the input graph is e.g. an Erdős-Rényi random graph, then it can be shown that the two BFS trees have a node in common after exploring only $O(\sqrt{n})$ nodes in expectation. If the node v is first to be explored in both trees, then the path from $s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ in the two BFS trees form a shortest path between s and t . The fact that only $O(\sqrt{n})$ nodes need to be explored intuitively follows from the birthday paradox and the fact that the nodes nearest



© Noga Alon, Allan Grønlund, Søren Fuglede Jørgensen and Kasper Green Larsen; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

49th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2024).

Editors: Rastislav Královic and Antonín Kučera; Article No. 3; pp. 3:1–3:13

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics



LIPICs Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

3:2 Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

45 to s and t are uniform random in an Erdős-Rényi random graph (although not completely
46 independent). Note that for sublinear time graph algorithms to be meaningful, we assume
47 that we have random access to the nodes and their neighbors. More concretely, we assume
48 the nodes are indexed by integers $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and that we can query for the number of
49 nodes adjacent to a node v , as well as query for the j 'th neighbor of a node v . We remark
50 that several works have also extended the bidirectional BFS heuristic to weighted input
51 graphs and/or setups where heuristic estimates of distances between nodes and the source or
52 destination are known [19, 20, 12]. There are also works giving sublinear time algorithms for
53 other natural graph problems under the assumption of a random input graph [14].

54 A caveat of the previous works that give provable sublinear time shortest path algorithms,
55 is that they assume a random input graph. In this work, we identify "deterministic" properties
56 of graphs that may be exploited to obtain sublinear time s - t shortest path algorithms.
57 Concretely, we study shortest paths in expander graphs. An n -node d -regular (all nodes have
58 degree d) graph G , is an (n, d, λ) -graph if the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ of the corresponding
59 adjacency matrix A satisfies $\max_{i \neq 1} |\lambda_i| \leq \lambda$. Note that the eigenvalues are real since A is
60 symmetric and real. We start by presenting a number of algorithmic results when the input
61 graph is an expander.

62 Shortest s - t Path.

63 Our first contribution demonstrates that the simple bidirectional BFS algorithm efficiently
64 computes a shortest path between most pairs of nodes s, t in an expander:

65 ► **Theorem 1.** *If G is an (n, d, λ) -graph, then for every node $s \in G$, every $0 < \delta < 1$, it
66 holds for at least $(1 - \delta)n$ nodes t , that bidirectional BFS between s and t , finds a shortest
67 s - t path after visiting $O((d - 1)^{\lceil (1/4) \lg_{d/\lambda}(n/\delta) \rceil})$ nodes.*

68 While the bound in Theorem 1 on the number of nodes visited may appear unwieldy at
69 first, we note that it simplifies significantly for natural values of d and λ . For instance, an
70 (n, d, λ) -graph is Ramanujan if $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d - 1}$. For Ramanujan graphs, and more generally for
71 graphs with $\lambda = O(\sqrt{d})$, the bound in Theorem 1 simplifies to near- \sqrt{n} :

72 ► **Corollary 2.** *If G is an $(n, d, O(\sqrt{d}))$ -graph, then for every node $s \in G$, every $0 < \delta < 1$,
73 it holds for at least $(1 - \delta)n$ nodes t , that bidirectional BFS between s and t , finds a shortest
74 s - t path after visiting $O((n/\delta)^{1/2 + O(1/\ln d)})$ nodes.*

75 We also demonstrate that the bound can be tightened even further for Ramanujan graphs:

76 ► **Theorem 3.** *If G is a d -regular Ramanujan graph where $d \geq 3$, then for every node $s \in G$,
77 it holds for at least $(1 - o(1))n$ nodes t , that bidirectional BFS between s and t , finds a
78 shortest s - t path after visiting $O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \ln^{3/2}(n))$ nodes.*

79 Short s - t Path.

80 One drawback of bidirectional BFS in expanders, is that it is only guaranteed to find a
81 shortest path efficiently for *most* pairs of nodes s, t . One can show that this is inherent.
82 In particular, as we sketch in Section 4, for constant d and infinitely many n , there exists
83 $(n, d, 3\sqrt{d})$ -graphs with diameter at least $1.998 \lg_{d-1} n$. Picking two nodes s and t of maximum
84 distance in such a graph and running BFS from both will only terminate after having visited
85 $\Omega((d - 1)^{(1.998/2) \lg_{d-1} n}) = \Omega(n^{0.999})$ nodes.

86 Motivated by this shortcoming, we also present a simple randomized algorithm for finding
87 a short, but not necessarily shortest, s - t path. For any parameter $0 < \delta < 1$, the algorithm

88 starts by growing a BFS tree from s until $\Theta(\sqrt{n \ln(1/\delta)})$ nodes have been explored. It then
 89 performs $O(\sqrt{n \ln(1/\delta)}/\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ random walks starting at t . Each of these random walks
 90 run for $O(\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ steps. If any of these walks discover a node in the BFS tree, it has found
 91 an s - t path of length $O(\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$.

92 We show that this BFS + Random Walks algorithm has a high probability of finding an
 93 s - t path:

94 ► **Theorem 4.** *If G is an (n, d, λ) -graph with $\lambda \leq d/2$, then for every pair of nodes s, t ,
 95 every $0 < \delta < 1$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \delta$, that BFS + Random Walks between s
 96 and t , finds an s - t path of length $O(\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ while visiting $O(\sqrt{n \ln(1/\delta)})$ nodes.*

97 Finally, let us mention the two previous works [9, 7] that have also identified deterministic
 98 properties of graphs which suffice for provable speedups from bidirectional BFS. The determ-
 99 inistic properties they identify are vaguely related to expansion, but are not as standard
 100 and clean-cut as our results using the standard definition of expanders. The work [16]
 101 has also investigated short paths in expanders in the context of multicommodity flow and
 102 approximating the maximum number of disjoint paths between pairs of nodes.

103 Lower Bounds.

104 While bidirectional BFS, or BFS + Random Walks, are natural algorithms for finding s - t
 105 paths efficiently, it is not a priori clear that better strategies do not exist. One could e.g.
 106 imagine sampling multiple nodes in an input graph, growing multiple small BFS trees from
 107 the sampled nodes and somehow use this to speed up the discovery of an s - t path. To
 108 rule this approach out, we complement the algorithms presented above with lower bounds.
 109 For proving lower bounds, we consider distributions over input graphs and show that any
 110 algorithm that explores few nodes fails to find an s - t path with high probability in such a
 111 random input graph. As Erdős-Rényi random graphs (with large enough edge probability)
 112 and random d -regular graphs are both expanders with good probability, we prove lower
 113 bounds for both these random graph models. The distribution of an Erdős-Rényi random
 114 graph on n nodes is defined from a parameter $0 < p < 1$. In such a random graph, each edge
 115 is present independently with probability p . A random d -regular graph on the other hand, is
 116 uniform random among all n -node graphs where every node has degree d .

117 Our lower bounds hold even for the problem of reporting an arbitrary path connecting
 118 a pair of nodes s, t , not just for reporting a short/shortest path. Furthermore, our lower
 119 bounds are proved in a model where we allow node-incidence queries. A node-incidence
 120 query is specified by a node index v and is returned the set of all edges incident to v . Our
 121 first lower bound holds for Erdős-Rényi random graphs:

122 ► **Theorem 5.** *Any (possibly randomized) algorithm for reporting an s - t path in an Erdős-
 123 Rényi random graph, where edges are present with probability $p \geq 1.5 \ln(n)/n$, either makes
 124 $\Omega(1/(p\sqrt{n}))$ node-incidence queries or outputs a valid path with probability at most $o(1) + p$.*

125 Note that the lower bound assumes $p \geq 1.5 \ln(n)/n$. This is a quite natural assumption
 126 since for $p \ll \ln(n)/n$, the input graph is disconnected with good probability. The concrete
 127 constant 1.5 is mostly for simplicity of the proof. We remark that the additive p in the
 128 success probability is tight as an algorithm always reporting the direct path consisting of
 129 the single edge (s, t) is correct with probability p . Also observe that the number of edges
 130 discovered after $O(1/(p\sqrt{n}))$ node-incidence queries is about $O(pn/(p\sqrt{n})) = O(\sqrt{n})$ since
 131 each node has $p(n - 1)$ incident edges in expectation.

3:4 Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

132 For the case of random d -regular graphs, we show the following lower bound for constant
133 degree d :

134 ► **Theorem 6.** *Any (possibly randomized) algorithm for reporting an s - t path in a random
135 d -regular graph with $d = O(1)$, either makes $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ node-incidence queries or outputs a valid
136 path with probability at most $o(1)$.*

137 We remark that a random d -regular graph is near-Ramanujan with probability $1 - o(1)$ as
138 proved in [13], confirming a conjecture raised in [1]. A near-Ramanujan graph is an (n, d, λ) -
139 expander with $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d-1} + o(1)$. Thus our upper bounds in Theorem 1 and Theorem 4
140 nearly match this lower bound.

141 Overview.

142 In Section 2, we present our upper bound results and prove the claims in Theorem 1 and
143 Theorem 4. The upper bounds are all simple algorithms and also have simple proofs using
144 well-known facts about expanders.

145 In Section 3, we prove our lower bounds. These proofs are more involved and constitute
146 the main technical contributions of this work.

147 2 Upper Bounds

148 In the following, we present and analyse simple algorithms for various s - t reachability
149 problems in expander graphs.

150 2.1 Shortest Path

151 Let G be an (n, d, λ) -graph and consider the following bidirectional BFS algorithm for finding
152 a shortest path between a pair of nodes s, t : grow a BFS tree \mathcal{T}_s from s and a BFS tree \mathcal{T}_t
153 from t simultaneously. In each iteration, the next layer of \mathcal{T}_s and \mathcal{T}_t is computed and as soon
154 as a node v appears in both trees, we have found a shortest path from s to t , namely the
155 path $s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ in the two BFS trees.

156 We show that this algorithm is efficient for most pairs of nodes s, t as claimed in Theorem 1.

157 To prove Theorem 1, we show that in any (n, d, λ) -graph G , it holds for every node $s \in G$
158 that most other nodes have a small distance to s . Concretely, we show the following

159 ► **Lemma 7.** *If G is an (n, d, λ) -graph, then for every node $s \in G$, it holds for every $0 < \delta < 1$
160 that there are no more than δn nodes with distance more than $(1/2) \lg_{d/\lambda}(n/\delta)$ from s .*

161 Theorem 1 now follows from Lemma 7 by observing that for a pair of nodes s, t of distance k
162 in an (n, d, λ) -graph, the bidirectional searches will meet after expanding for $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ steps from
163 s and t . Since each node explored during breadth first search has at most $d - 1$ neighbors
164 outside the previously explored tree, it follows that the total number of nodes visited is
165 $O((d - 1)^{\lceil k/2 \rceil})$. Since it holds for every $s \in G$ that $\text{dist}(s, t) \leq (1/2) \lg_{d/\lambda}(n/\delta)$ for a $1 - \delta$
166 fraction of all other nodes t , the conclusion follows.

167 Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1 by observing that when $\lambda = O(\sqrt{d})$, we have
168 $(1/4) \lg_{d/\lambda}(n/\delta) = (1/2) \lg_{\Omega(d)}(n/\delta)$. Noting that $\lg_{\Omega(d)}(n/\delta) = \ln(n/\delta)/(\ln(d) - O(1)) =$
169 $(1 + O(1/\ln d)) \lg_{d-1}(n/\delta)$, the conclusion follows.

170 What remains is to prove Lemma 7. While the contents of the lemma is implicit in
171 previous works, we have not been able to find a reference explicitly stating this fact. We
172 thus provide a simple self-contained proof building on Chung's [11] proof that the diameter
173 of an (n, d, λ) -graph is bounded by $\lceil \lg_{d/\lambda} n \rceil$.

174 **Proof of Lemma 7.** Let A be the adjacency matrix of an (n, d, λ) -graph G . Letting $d =$
 175 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ denote the (real-valued) eigenvalues of the real symmetric matrix A , we
 176 may write A in its spectral decomposition $A = U\Sigma U^T$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ being the diagonal
 177 entries of the diagonal matrix Σ . By definition, we have $\max\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\} = \lambda$.

178 Notice that $(A^k)_{s,t}$ gives the number of length- k paths from node s to node t in G .
 179 Furthermore, we have $A^k = U\Sigma^k U^T$. Now let s be an arbitrary node of G and let $Z \subseteq [n]$
 180 denote the subset of columns t such that $(A^k)_{s,t} = 0$. The eigenvalues of A^k are $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$
 181 and the all-1's vector $\mathbf{1}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 . Let $\mathbf{1}_Z$ denote the indicator
 182 for the set Z , i.e. the coordinates of $\mathbf{1}_Z$ corresponding to $t \in Z$ are 1 and the remaining
 183 coordinates are 0. By definition of Z , we have that $e_s^T A^k \mathbf{1}_Z = 0$. At the same time,
 184 we may write $\mathbf{1}_Z = (|Z|/n)\mathbf{1} + \beta u$ where u is a unit length vector orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ and
 185 $\beta = \sqrt{|Z| - |Z|^2/n}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 186 \quad 0 &= e_s^T A^k \mathbf{1}_Z \\ 187 &= e_s^T A^k ((|Z|/n)\mathbf{1} + \beta u) \\ 188 &= e_s^T \lambda_1^k (|Z|/n)\mathbf{1} + \beta e_s^T A^k u \\ 189 &\geq d^k |Z|/n - \beta \cdot \|e_s\| \cdot \|A^k u\| \\ 190 &\geq d^k |Z|/n - \beta \lambda^k. \end{aligned}$$

191 From this we conclude $|Z| \leq (\lambda/d)^k n \beta \leq (\lambda/d)^k n \sqrt{|Z|}$, implying $|Z| \leq (\lambda/d)^{2k} n^2$. For
 192 $k = (1/2) \lg_{d/\lambda}(n/\delta)$, this is $|Z| \leq \delta n$. \blacktriangleleft

193 For the special case of Ramanujan graphs, Theorem 3 claims an even stronger result than
 194 Theorem 1. Recall that an (n, d, λ) -graph is Ramanujan if it satisfies that $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d-1}$. To
 195 prove Theorem 3 we make use of the following concentration result on distances in Ramanujan
 196 graphs:

197 **► Theorem 8 ([17]).** *Let G be a d -regular Ramanujan graph on n nodes, where $d \geq 3$. Then*
 198 *for every node $s \in G$ it holds that*

$$199 \quad |\{t \in G : |\text{dist}(s, t) - \lg_{d-1} n| > 3 \lg_{d-1} \lg n\}| = o(n).$$

200 Using Theorem 8, we conclude that for every node $s \in G$, it holds for $(1 - o(1))n$ choices of t
 201 that $\text{dist}(s, t) \leq \lg_{d-1} n + 3 \lg_{d-1} \lg n$. The middle node v on a shortest path from s to t thus
 202 has distance at most $k = \lceil (\lg_{d-1} n + 3 \lg_{d-1} \lg n)/2 \rceil \leq (1/2) \lg_{d-1} n + (3/2) \lg_{d-1} \lg n + 1$
 203 from s and t . Since the nodes in a layer ℓ of a BFS tree in a d -regular graph G has at most
 204 $d - 1$ neighbors in layer $\ell + 1$, we conclude that the two BFS trees \mathcal{T}_s and \mathcal{T}_t contain at most
 205 $O((d - 1)^k) \leq O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \ln^{3/2}(n))$ nodes each upon termination. Note that the same proof
 206 shows how to find a shortest path in time $n^{1/2+o(1)}$ between most pairs of vertices s and t in
 207 near Ramanujan graphs, as it is also proved in [17] that in such graphs, for every node s
 208 there are only $o(n)$ nodes t of distance exceeding $(1 + o(1)) \lg_{d-1} n$ from s .

209 2.2 Connecting Path

210 In the following, we analyse our algorithm, BFS + Random Walks, for finding a short s - t
 211 path in an (n, d, λ) -graph. The algorithm is parameterised by an integer $k \geq \sqrt{n}$ and is as
 212 follows: First, run BFS from s until k nodes have been discovered. Call the set of discovered
 213 nodes V_s . Next, run $\tau = k/(3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ random walks $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_\tau$ from t , with each random
 214 walk having a length of $3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$. If any of the random walks intersects V_s , we have found
 215 an s - t path of length $O(\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ as the paths \mathbf{p}_i have length $O(\lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ and the diameter,
 216 and hence the depth of the BFS tree, in an (n, d, λ) -graph is at most $\lceil \lg_{d/\lambda}(n) \rceil$ [11].

3:6 Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

217 To analyse the success probability of the algorithm, we bound the probability that all
 218 paths \mathbf{p}_i avoid V_s . For this, we use the following two results

219 ► **Theorem 9** ([15]). *Let G be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any two nodes s, t in G , the probability
 220 $p_{s,t}^k$ that a random walk starting in s and of length k ends in the node t , satisfies $|1/n - p_{s,t}^k| \leq$
 221 $(\lambda/d)^k$.*

222 ► **Theorem 10** ([3]). *Let G be an (n, d, λ) -graph and let W be a set of w vertices in G and
 223 set $\mu = w/n$. Let $P(W, k)$ be the total number of length k paths ($k + 1$ nodes) that stay in
 224 W . Then*

$$225 \quad P(W, k) \leq wd^k(\mu + (\lambda/d)(1 - \mu))^k.$$

226 Now consider one of the length $3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$ random walks $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_i$ starting in t . To show that
 227 it is likely that the path intersects V_s , we split the random walk $\mathbf{p} = (t, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1})$
 228 into two parts, namely the first $2 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$ steps $\mathbf{p}^{(1)} = (t, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1})$ and the
 229 remaining $\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$ steps $\mathbf{p}^{(2)} = (\mathbf{v}_{2 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1})$. Note that we let the last
 230 node $e(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}) = \mathbf{v}_{2 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1}$ in $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$ equal the first node $s(\mathbf{p}^{(2)}) = \mathbf{v}_{2 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)+1}$ in $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$. We
 231 use $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$ to argue that $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ has a near-uniform random starting node. We then argue that
 232 $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ intersects V_s with good probability.

233 By Theorem 9, it holds for any node $r \in G$ that $\Pr[e(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}) = r] \leq 1/n + 1/n^2$. Next,
 234 conditioned on $e(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}) = r$, the path $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ is uniform random among the $d^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)}$ length
 235 $\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$ paths starting in r . It follows that for any fixed path p of length $\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)$ in G ,
 236 we have $\Pr[\mathbf{p}^{(2)} = p] \leq \Pr[e(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}) = s(p)]d^{-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)} \leq (1/n + 1/n^2)d^{-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)}$. Now by
 237 Theorem 10 with $W = V(G) \setminus V_s$ and assuming $\lambda \leq d/2$, there are at most $nd^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)}((1 -$
 238 $k/n) + (\lambda/d)(k/n))^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)} \leq nd^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)}(1 - k/(2n))^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)} \leq nd^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)} \exp(-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)k/(2n))$
 239 paths in G that stay within $V(G) \setminus V_s$. A union bound over all of them implies that the
 240 probability that $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ avoids V_s is at most

$$241 \quad (1/n + 1/n^2)d^{-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)}nd^{\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)} \exp(-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)k/(2n)) \leq \exp(-\lg_{d/\lambda}(n)k/(2n) + 1/n).$$

242 Since the $\tau = k/(3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n))$ random walks $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_\tau$ are independent, we conclude that the
 243 probability they all avoid V_s is no more than

$$244 \quad \exp(-k^2/(6n) + k/(3 \lg_{d/\lambda}(n)n)).$$

245 Letting $k = \sqrt{7n \ln(1/\delta)}$ and assuming n is at least some sufficiently large constant, we have
 246 that at least one path \mathbf{p}_i intersects V_s with probability at least $1 - \delta$. This completes the
 247 proof of Theorem 4.

248 **3 Lower Bounds**

249 In this section, we prove lower bounds on the number of queries made by any algorithm for
 250 computing an s - t path in a random graph. Our query model allows *node-incidence queries*.
 251 Here the n nodes of a graph G are assumed to be labeled by the integers $[n]$. A node-incidence
 252 query is specified by a node index $i \in [n]$, and the query algorithm is returned the list of
 253 edges (i, j) incident to i .

254 We start by considering an Erdős-Rényi random graph, as it is the simplest to analyse.
 255 We then proceed to random d -regular graphs. For the lower bounds, the task is to output
 256 a path between nodes $s = 1$ and $t = n$. An algorithm for finding an s - t path works as

257 follows: In each step, the algorithm is allowed to ask one node-incidence query. We make no
 258 assumption about how the algorithm determines which query to make in each step, other
 259 than it being computable from all edges seen so far (the responses to the node-incidence
 260 queries). For randomized algorithms, the choice of query in each step is chosen randomly
 261 from a distribution over queries computable from all edges seen so far.

262 3.1 Erdős-Rényi

263 Let \mathbf{G} be an Erdős-Rényi random graph, where each edge is present independently with
 264 probability $p \geq 1.5 \ln(n)/n$ and let \mathcal{A}^* be a possibly randomized algorithm for computing
 265 an s - t path in \mathbf{G} when $s = 1$ and $t = n$. Let α^* be the probability that \mathcal{A}^* outputs a *valid*
 266 s - t path (all edges on the reported path are in \mathbf{G}) and let q be the worst case number of
 267 queries made by \mathcal{A}^* (for \mathcal{A}^* making an expected q queries, we can always make it worst case
 268 $O(q)$ queries by decreasing α by a small additive constant). Here the probability is over both
 269 the random choices of \mathcal{A}^* and the random input graph \mathbf{G} . By linearity of expectation, we
 270 may fix the random choices of \mathcal{A}^* to obtain a deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} that outputs a valid
 271 s - t path with probability $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$. It thus suffices to prove an upper bound on α for such
 272 deterministic \mathcal{A} .

273 For a graph G , let $\pi(G)$ denote the *trace* of running the deterministic \mathcal{A} on G . If
 274 $i_1(G), \dots, i_q(G)$ denotes the sequence of queries made by \mathcal{A} on G and $\mathcal{N}_1(G), \dots, \mathcal{N}_q(G)$
 275 denotes the returned sets of edges, then

$$276 \quad \pi(G) := (i_1(G), \mathcal{N}_1(G), i_2(G), \dots, i_q(G), \mathcal{N}_q(G)).$$

277 Observe that if we condition on a particular trace $\tau = (i_1, \mathcal{N}_1, i_2, \dots, i_q, \mathcal{N}_q)$, then the
 278 distribution of \mathbf{G} conditioned on $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{G}) = \tau$ is the same as if we condition on the set of
 279 edges incident to i_1, \dots, i_q being precisely $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_q$. This is because the algorithm \mathcal{A} is
 280 deterministic and the execution of \mathcal{A} is the same for all graphs G with the same such sets of
 281 edges incident to i_1, \dots, i_q . Furthermore, no graph G with a different set of incident edges
 282 for i_1, \dots, i_q will result in the trace τ .

283 For a trace $\tau = (i_1, \mathcal{N}_1, \dots, i_q, \mathcal{N}_q)$, call the trace *connected* if there is a path from s to t
 284 using the *discovered* edges

$$285 \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^q \mathcal{N}_j.$$

286 Otherwise, call it *disconnected*. Intuitively, if a trace is disconnected, then it is unlikely that
 287 \mathcal{A} will succeed in outputting a valid path connecting s and t as it has to guess some of the
 288 edges along such a path. Furthermore, if \mathcal{A} makes too few queries, then it is unlikely that
 289 the trace is connected. Letting $\mathcal{A}(G)$ denote the output of \mathcal{A} on the graph G , we have for a
 290 random graph \mathbf{G} that

$$291 \quad \alpha = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid}] \leq \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected}] + \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}].$$

292 We now bound the two quantities on the right hand side separately.

293 The simplest term to bound is

$$294 \quad \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}].$$

295 For this, let $\tau = (i_1, \mathcal{N}_1, \dots, i_q, \mathcal{N}_q)$ be an arbitrary disconnected trace in the support of
 296 $\pi(\mathbf{G})$ when \mathbf{G} is an Erdős-Rényi random graph, where each edge is present with probability

3:8 Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

297 $p \geq 1.5 \ln(n)/n$. Observe that the output of \mathcal{A} is determined from τ . Since τ is disconnected,
 298 the path reported by \mathcal{A} on τ must contain at least one edge (u, v) where neither u nor v
 299 is among $\cup_j \{i_j\}$ or otherwise the output path is valid with probability 0 conditioned on τ .
 300 But conditioned on the trace τ , every edge that is not connected to $\{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ is present
 301 independently with probability p . We thus conclude

$$302 \quad \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) = \tau] \leq p.$$

303 Since this holds for every disconnected τ , we conclude

$$304 \quad \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}] \leq p.$$

305 Next we bound the probability that $\pi(\mathbf{G})$ is connected. For this, define for $1 \leq k \leq q$

$$306 \quad \pi_k(G) := (i_1(G), \mathcal{N}_1(G), i_2(G), \dots, i_k(G), \mathcal{N}_k(G))$$

307 as the trace of \mathcal{A} on G after the first k queries. As for $\pi(G)$, we say that $\pi_k(G)$ is connected
 308 if there is a path from s to t using the discovered edges

$$309 \quad E(\pi_k(G)) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \mathcal{N}_j(G)$$

310 and that it is disconnected otherwise. We further say that $\pi_k(G)$ is *useless* if it is both
 311 disconnected and $|E(\pi_k(G))| \leq 2pnk$. Since

$$312 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}] \geq \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}]$$

313 we focus on proving that $\Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}]$ is large. For this, we lower bound

$$314 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}].$$

315 Note that the base case $\pi_0(\mathbf{G})$ is defined to be useless as s and t are not connected
 316 when no queries have been asked and also $|E(\pi_0(G))| = 0 \leq 2pn \cdot 0 = 0$. Let $\tau_{k-1} =$
 317 $(i_1, \mathcal{N}_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, \mathcal{N}_{k-1})$ be any useless trace. The query $i_k = i_k(\mathbf{G})$ is uniquely determined
 318 when conditioning on $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}$ and so is the edge set $E_{k-1} = E(\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}))$. Fur-
 319 thermore, we know that $|E_{k-1}| \leq 2pn(k-1)$. We now bound the probability that the
 320 query i_k discovers more than $2pn$ new edges. If i_k has already been queried, no new edges
 321 are discovered and the probability is 0. So assume $i_k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$. Now observe that
 322 conditioned on $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}$, the edges (i_k, i) where $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$ are independently
 323 included in \mathbf{G} with probability p each. The number of new edges discovered is thus a sum of
 324 $m \leq n$ independent Bernoullis $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_m$ with success probability p . A Chernoff bound
 325 implies $\Pr[\sum_i \mathbf{X}_i > (1 + \delta)\mu] < (e^\delta / (1 + \delta)^{1+\delta})^\mu$ for any $\mu \geq mp$ and any $\delta > 0$. Letting
 326 $\mu = np$ and $\delta = 1$ gives

$$327 \quad \Pr[\sum_i \mathbf{X}_i > 2np] < (e/4)^{np} < e^{-np/3}.$$

328 Since we assume $p > 1.5 \ln(n)/n$, this is at most $1/\sqrt{n}$.

329 We next bound the probability that the discovered edges $\mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{G})$ makes s and t connected
 330 in $E(\pi_k(\mathbf{G}))$. For this, let V_s denote the nodes in the connected component of s in the
 331 subgraph induced by the edges E_{k-1} . Define V_t similarly. We split the analysis into three
 332 cases. First, if $i_k \in V_s$, then $\mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{G})$ connects s and t if and only if one of the edges $\{i_k\} \times V_t$

333 is in \mathbf{G} . Conditioned on $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}$, each such edge is in \mathbf{G} independently either
 334 with probability 0, or with probability p (depending on whether one of the end points is
 335 in $\{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$). A union bound implies that s and t are connected in $E(\pi_k(\mathbf{G}))$ with
 336 probability at most $p|V_t|$. A symmetric argument upper bounds the probability by $p|V_s|$ in
 337 case $i_k \in V_t$. Finally, if i_k is in neither of V_s and V_t , it must have an edge to both a node in
 338 V_s and in V_t to connect s and t . By independence, this happens with probability at most
 339 $p^2|V_t||V_s|$. We thus conclude that

$$340 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}] \leq p \max\{|V_s|, |V_t|\} \leq p(|E_{k-1}| + 1) \leq 2p^2nk.$$

341 A union bound implies

$$342 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}] \geq 1 - 2p^2nk - 1/\sqrt{n}.$$

343 This finally implies

$$\begin{aligned} 344 \quad \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}] &= \prod_{k=1}^q \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}] \\ 345 &\geq \prod_{k=1}^q (1 - 2p^2nk - 1/\sqrt{n}) \\ 346 &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^q (2p^2nk + 1/\sqrt{n}) \\ 347 &\geq 1 - p^2n(q+1)^2 - q/\sqrt{n}. \end{aligned}$$

348 It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 349 \quad \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected}] &= 1 - \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}] \\ 350 &\leq 1 - \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is useless}] \\ 351 &\leq p^2n(q+1)^2 + q/\sqrt{n}. \end{aligned}$$

352 For $q = o(1/(p\sqrt{n}))$ and $p \geq 1.5 \ln(n)/n$, this is $o(1)$. Note that for the lower bound to be
 353 meaningful, we need $p = O(1/\sqrt{n})$ as otherwise the bound on q is less than 1. (Indeed, for
 354 $p = \Omega(1/\sqrt{n})$, s and t have a common neighbor with probability bounded away from 0 and
 355 if so 2 queries suffice). This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

356 3.2 d -Regular Graphs

357 We now proceed to random d -regular graphs. Assume dn is even, as otherwise a d -regular
 358 graph on n nodes does not exist. Similarly to our proof for the Erdős-Rényi random graphs,
 359 we will condition on a trace of \mathcal{A} . Unfortunately, the resulting conditional distribution of
 360 a random d -regular graph is more cumbersome to analyse. We thus start by reducing to a
 361 slightly different problem.

362 Let $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ denote the set of all graphs on nd nodes where the edges form a perfect
 363 matching on the nodes. There are thus $nd/2$ edges in any such graph. We think of the nodes
 364 of a graph $G \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ as partitioned into n groups of d nodes each, and we index the nodes
 365 by integer pairs (i, j) with $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [d]$. Here i denotes the index of the group. For a
 366 graph $G \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ and a sequence of group indices $p := s, i_1, \dots, i_m, t$, we say that p is a valid
 367 s - t meta-path in G , if for every two consecutive indices a, b in p , there is at least one edge
 368 $((a, j_1), (b, j_2))$ in G . A meta-path is thus a valid path if and only if s and t are connected in
 369 the graph resulting from contracting the nodes in each group.

3:10 Sublinear Time Shortest Path in Expander Graphs

370 Now consider the problem of finding a valid s - t meta-path in a graph \mathbf{G} drawn uniformly
 371 from $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ (we write $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ to denote such a graph) while asking *group-incidence queries*.
 372 A group-incidence query is specified by a group index $i \in [n]$ and the answer to the query is
 373 the set of edges incident to the nodes $\{i\} \times \{1, \dots, d\}$.

374 We start by showing that an algorithm \mathcal{A}^* for finding an s - t path in a random d -regular
 375 n -node graph, gives an algorithm \mathcal{A} for finding an s - t meta-path in a random $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$
 376 using group-incidence queries.

377 **► Lemma 11.** *If there is a (possibly randomized) algorithm \mathcal{A}^* that reports a valid s - t path
 378 with probability α in a random d -regular graph on n nodes while making q node-incidence
 379 queries, then there is a deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} that reports a valid s - t meta-path with
 380 probability at least $\exp(-O(d^2))\alpha$ in a random graph $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ while making q group-
 381 incidence queries.*

382 **Proof.** Given an algorithm \mathcal{A}^* that reports a valid s - t path in a random d -regular graph on n
 383 nodes with probability α , we start by fixing its randomness to obtain a deterministic algorithm
 384 \mathcal{A}' with the same number of queries that outputs a valid s - t path with probability at least α .
 385 Next, let $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$. Let $i_1 \in [n]$ be the first node that \mathcal{A}' queries (which is independent of the
 386 input graph). Our claimed algorithm \mathcal{A} for reporting an s - t meta-path in \mathbf{G} starts by querying
 387 the group i_1 . Upon being returned the set of edges $\{((i_1, 1), (j_1, k_1)), \dots, ((i_1, d), (j_d, k_d))\}$
 388 incident to $\{i_1\} \times \{1, \dots, d\}$, we *contract* the groups such that each edge $((i_1, h), (j, k))$ is
 389 replaced by (i_1, j) . If this creates any duplicate edges or self-edges, \mathcal{A} aborts and outputs an
 390 arbitrarily chosen s - t meta-path. Otherwise, the resulting set of edges $\{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_1, j_d)\}$
 391 is passed on to \mathcal{A}' as the response to the first query i_1 . The next query i_2 of \mathcal{A}' is then
 392 determined and we again ask it as a group-incidence query on \mathbf{G} and proceed by contracting
 393 groups in the returned set of edges and passing the result to \mathcal{A}' if there are no duplicate
 394 or self-edges. Finally, if we succeed in processing all q queries of \mathcal{A}' without encountering
 395 duplicate or self-edges, \mathcal{A} outputs the s - t path reported by \mathcal{A}' as the s - t meta-path.

396 To see that this strategy has the claimed probability of reporting a valid s - t meta-path, let
 397 \mathbf{G}^* be the graph obtained from \mathbf{G} by contracting *all* groups. Observe that if we condition on
 398 \mathbf{G}^* being a simple graph (no duplicate edges or self-edges), then the conditional distribution
 399 of \mathbf{G}^* is precisely that of a random d -regular graph on n nodes. It is well-known [5, 8, 22, 21]
 400 that the contracted graph \mathbf{G}^* is indeed simple with probability at least $\exp(-O(d^2))$ and
 401 the claim follows. ◀

402 In light of Lemma 11, we thus set out to prove lower bounds for deterministic algorithms
 403 that report an s - t meta-path in a random $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ using group-incidence queries.

404 Let \mathcal{A} be a deterministic algorithm making q group-incidence queries that reports a
 405 valid s - t meta-path with probability α in a random $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$. Similarly to our proof
 406 for Erdős-Rényi graphs, we start by defining the trace of \mathcal{A} on a graph $G \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$. If
 407 $i_1(G), \dots, i_q(G) \in [n]$ denotes the sequence of group-incidence queries made by \mathcal{A} on G and
 408 $\mathcal{N}_1(G), \dots, \mathcal{N}_q(G)$ denotes the returned sets of edges, then for $1 \leq k \leq q$, we define

$$409 \quad \pi_k(G) = (i_1(G), \mathcal{N}_1(G), \dots, i_k(G), \mathcal{N}_k(G)).$$

410 We also let $\pi(G) := \pi_q(G)$ denote the full trace. Call a trace $\tau_k = (i_1, \mathcal{N}_1, \dots, i_k, \mathcal{N}_k)$
 411 connected if there is a sequence of group indices $p := s, i_1, \dots, i_m, t$ such that for every two
 412 consecutive indices a, b in p , there is an edge $((a, h), (b, k))$ in $\cup_i \mathcal{N}_i$. Otherwise, call the trace
 413 disconnected. Letting $\mathcal{A}(G)$ denote the output of \mathcal{A} on the graph G , we have

$$414 \quad \alpha = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid}] \leq \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected}] + \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}].$$

415 We bound the two terms separately, starting with the latter. So let $\tau = (i_1, N_1, \dots, i_q, N_q)$ be a
 416 disconnected trace in the support of $\pi(\mathbf{G})$. The output meta-path $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) = p = s, i_1, \dots, i_m, t$
 417 of \mathcal{A} is determined from τ . Since τ is disconnected, there must be a pair of consecutive
 418 indices a, b in p such that there is no edge $((a, h), (b, k)) \in \cup_i N_i$. Fix such a pair a, b . We
 419 now consider two cases. First, if either a or b is among i_1, \dots, i_q , then all edges incident
 420 to that group are among $\cup_i N_i$ conditioned on $\pi(\mathbf{G}) = \tau$. It thus follows that p is a valid
 421 s - t meta-path with probability 0 conditioned on $\pi(\mathbf{G}) = \tau$. Otherwise, neither of a and b
 422 are among i_1, \dots, i_q . The set of edges $\cup_i N_i$ specify at most dq edges of the matching \mathbf{G} .
 423 For any node whose matching edge is not specified by $\cup_i N_i$, the conditional distribution of
 424 its neighbor is uniform random among all other nodes whose matching edge is not in $\cup_i N_i$.
 425 For each of the d^2 possible edges $((a, h), (b, k))$ between the groups a and b , there is thus
 426 a probability at most $1/(nd - 1 - 2dq)$ that the edge is in \mathbf{G} conditioned on $\pi(\mathbf{G}) = \tau$. A
 427 union bound over all d^2 such edges finally implies

$$428 \quad \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) = \tau] \leq \frac{d^2}{nd - 1 - 2dq}.$$

429 Since this holds for every disconnected τ , we conclude

$$430 \quad \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is valid} \mid \pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}] \leq \frac{d^2}{nd - 1 - 2dq}.$$

431 Next, to bound $\Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected}]$, we show that

$$432 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}]$$

433 is large. So let $\tau_{k-1} = (i_1, N_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, N_{k-1})$ be a disconnected trace in the support of
 434 $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G})$. The next query $i_k = i_k(\mathbf{G})$ of \mathcal{A} is fixed conditioned on $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}$. We have
 435 a two cases. First, if $i_k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$ then no new edges are returned by the query and we
 436 conclude

$$437 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}] = 1.$$

438 Otherwise, let V_s denote the subset of group-indices j for which there is a meta-path from s
 439 to j . Similarly, let V_t denote the subset of group-indices j for which there is a meta-path
 440 from t to j . We have $V_s \cap V_t = \emptyset$. Now if $i_k \in V_s$, we have that $\pi_k(\mathbf{G})$ is connected only
 441 if there is an edge between a node (i_k, j) with $j \in [d]$ and a node (b, k) with $b \in V_t$. Let
 442 $r \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ denote the number of nodes (i_k, j) with $j \in [d]$ for which the corresponding
 443 matching edge is not in $\cup_i N_i$. Conditioned on $\pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}$, the neighbor of any such
 444 node is uniform random among all other nodes for which the corresponding matching edge
 445 is not in $\cup_i N_i$. There are at least $nd - 1 - 2d(k - 1)$ such nodes. A union bound over at
 446 most $rd|V_t| \leq d^2|V_t|$ pairs $((i_k, j), (b, k))$ implies that $\pi_k(\mathbf{G})$ is connected with probability
 447 at most $d^2|V_t|/(nd - 1 - 2d(k - 1))$. A symmetric arguments gives an upper bound of
 448 $d^2|V_s|/(nd - 1 - 2d(k - 1))$ in case $i_k \in V_t$. Finally, if i_k is in neither of V_s and V_t , then there
 449 must still be an edge $((i_k, j), (a, k))$ for a group $a \in V_s$. We thus conclude

$$450 \quad \Pr[\pi_k(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected} \mid \pi_{k-1}(\mathbf{G}) = \tau_{k-1}] \leq \frac{d^2 \max\{|V_s|, |V_t|\}}{nd - 1 - 2d(k - 1)} \leq \frac{d^3 k}{nd - 1 - 2dq}.$$

451 Since this holds for every disconnected trace τ_{k-1} , we finally conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 452 \quad \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is disconnected}] &\geq \prod_{k=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{d^3 k}{nd - 1 - 2dq}\right) \\
 453 &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{d^3 k}{nd - 1 - 2dq} \\
 454 &\geq 1 - \frac{d^3 q^2}{nd - 1 - 2dq},
 \end{aligned}$$

455 and thus

$$456 \quad \Pr[\pi(\mathbf{G}) \text{ is connected}] \leq \frac{d^3 q^2}{nd - 1 - 2dq}.$$

457 For constant degree d , if $q = o(\sqrt{n})$, this is $o(1)$. Together with Lemma 11, we have thus
458 proved Theorem 6.

459 **4 Large Diameter Expanders**

460 In this section, we sketch the claim from Section 1 that there exists large diameter expanders.
461 Concretely, using the techniques in [4] with a slightly different choice of parameters it is
462 not difficult to show that there are (n', d, λ) -graphs with $\lambda < 3\sqrt{d}$ and diameter larger than
463 $(2 - 0.003) \lg_{d-1} n'$ for constant d . Here is a sketch of the argument proving this fact.

464 Start with a Ramanujan $(n, d, 2\sqrt{d-1})$ -graph, with girth $\Omega(\lg_{d-1} n)$ (for example, taking
465 an LPS expander). Choose in it a set X of $2(d-1)^{0.999 \lg_{d-1} n}$ vertices so that the distance
466 between any pair of them is $\Omega(\lg_{d-1} n)$. This can be done by choosing the vertices one by one,
467 always adding a vertex far from all vertices chosen already. Omit these vertices and identify
468 their $2d(d-1)^{0.999 \lg_{d-1} n}$ neighbors with the leaves of two d -regular trees, each of depth
469 $0.999 \lg_{d-1} n$ and each having $d(d-1)^{0.999 \lg_{d-1} n}$ leaves. The graph obtained is d -regular
470 and has n' vertices (the original n plus the vertices of the two trees). The distance between
471 the roots of the two trees is clearly bigger than $(2 - 0.002) \lg_{d-1} n > (2 - 0.003) \lg_{d-1} n'$.

472 By the argument in [4] (see also [2], Lemma 3.2) based on the delocalization of eigenvectors
473 of high girth graphs it is not difficult to show that the absolute value of every nontrivial
474 eigenvalue of the graph obtained is smaller than $3\sqrt{d}$, implying the required fact. We omit
475 the detailed computation.

476 **References**

- 477 **1** Noga Alon. Eigenvalues and expanders. *Combinatorica*, 6(2):83–96, 1986.
- 478 **2** Noga Alon. Explicit expanders of every degree and size. *Combinatorica*, 41, 02 2021.
- 479 **3** Noga Alon, Uriel Feige, Avi Wigderson, and David Zuckerman. Derandomized graph products.
480 *Comput. Complex.*, 5(1):60–75, jan 1995.
- 481 **4** Noga Alon, Shirshendu Ganguly, and Nikhil Srivastava. High-girth near-ramanujan graphs
482 with localized eigenvectors. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 246(1), 2021.
- 483 **5** Edward A. Bender. The asymptotic number of non-negative integer matrices with given row
484 and column sums. *Discret. Math.*, 10:217–223, 1974.
- 485 **6** Thomas Bläsius, Cedric Freiberger, Tobias Friedrich, Maximilian Katzmann, Felix Montenegro-
486 Retana, and Marianne Thieffry. Efficient shortest paths in scale-free networks with underlying
487 hyperbolic geometry. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 18(2), mar 2022. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3516483>.

- 489 7 Thomas Bläsius and Marcus Wilhelm. Deterministic performance guarantees for bidirectional
490 bfs on real-world networks. In *Combinatorial Algorithms: 34th International Workshop,*
491 *IWOCA 2023, Tainan, Taiwan, June 7–10, 2023, Proceedings*, page 99–110. Springer-Verlag,
492 2023.
- 493 8 Béla Bollobás. A probabilistic proof of an asymptotic formula for the number of labelled
494 regular graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 1:311–316, 1980.
- 495 9 Michele Borassi, Pierluigi Crescenzi, and Luca Trevisan. An axiomatic and an average-case
496 analysis of algorithms and heuristics for metric properties of graphs. In *Proceedings of the*
497 *Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA '17*, page
498 920–939. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2017.
- 499 10 Michele Borassi and Emanuele Natale. Kadabra is an adaptive algorithm for betweenness via
500 random approximation. *ACM J. Exp. Algorithmics*, 24, feb 2019. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3284359>.
- 502 11 Fan R. K. Chung. Diameters and eigenvalues. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*,
503 2:187–196, 1989.
- 504 12 Dennis de Champeaux. Bidirectional heuristic search again. *J. ACM*, 30(1):22–32, jan 1983.
- 505 13 Joel Friedman. *A Proof of Alon's Second Eigenvalue Conjecture and Related Problems*.
506 American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- 507 14 Dorit S. Hochbaum. An exact sublinear algorithm for the max-flow, vertex disjoint paths and
508 communication problems on random graphs. *Operations Research*, 40(5):923–935, 1992.
- 509 15 Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications.
510 *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43(04):439–562, August 2006.
- 511 16 J. Kleinberg and R. Rubinfeld. Short paths in expander graphs. In *Proceedings of the 37th*
512 *Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS '96*, page 86. IEEE Computer
513 Society, 1996.
- 514 17 Eyal Lubetzky and Yuval Peres. Cutoff on all ramanujan graphs. *Geometric and Functional*
515 *Analysis*, 26:1190–1216, 2015.
- 516 18 Michael Luby and Prabhakar Ragde. A bidirectional shortest-path algorithm with good
517 average-case behavior. *Algorithmica*, 4(1–4):551–567, mar 1989.
- 518 19 Ira Sheldon Pohl. *Bi-Directional and Heuristic Search in Path Problems*. PhD thesis, Stanford
519 University, Stanford, CA, USA, 1969.
- 520 20 Lenie Sint and Dennis de Champeaux. An improved bidirectional heuristic search algorithm.
521 *J. ACM*, 24(2):177–191, apr 1977.
- 522 21 N. C. Wormald. *Models of Random Regular Graphs*, page 239–298. London Mathematical
523 Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- 524 22 Nicholas C. Wormald. Some problems in the enumeration of labelled graphs. *Bulletin of the*
525 *Australian Mathematical Society*, 21(1):159–160, 1980.