

# ON THE DISCREPANCY OF COMBINATORIAL RECTANGLES

NOGA ALON, BENJAMIN DOERR, TOMASZ LUCZAK, AND TOMASZ  
SCHOEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  denote the family which consists of all subsets  $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_d$ , where  $S_i \subseteq [n]$ , and  $S_i \neq \emptyset$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We compute the  $L_2$ -discrepancy of  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  and give estimates for the  $L_p$ -discrepancy of  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a family of subsets  $\mathcal{H}$  of a finite set  $\Omega$ , a colouring  $\chi : \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $\chi(A) = \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a)$ . Then, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we set

$$\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{H}, \chi) = \left( \frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}|} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} |\chi(A)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

while for  $p = \infty$

$$\text{disc}_\infty(\mathcal{H}, \chi) = \text{disc}(\mathcal{H}, \chi) = \max \{ |\chi(A)| : A \in \mathcal{H} \}.$$

The  $L_p$ -discrepancy  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , is defined as the minimum value of  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{H}, \chi)$  over all possible colourings  $\chi : \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . We shall sometimes call the  $L_\infty$ -discrepancy just the discrepancy and write  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{H})$  instead of  $\text{disc}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ .

In this note we study the  $L_p$ -discrepancy of the family  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  of boxes (or combinatorial rectangles,) which consists of all sets of type  $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d$ , where  $\emptyset \neq S_i \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . We compute the  $L_2$ -discrepancy of  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  precisely and estimate  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d)$  for all  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

---

*Date:* November 17, 2001.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11K38, 05D40.

*Key words and phrases.* discrepancy, probabilistic method.

The first author was partially supported by a USA-Israeli BSF grant, by the Israel Science Foundation and by the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University. The third author was partially supported by KBN grant 2 P03A 021 17.

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $d, n \geq 1$  we have*

$$\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d) = \left[ \left( \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} \right) \left( \frac{n + \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{n+1})}{4} \right) \right]^{d/2}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $d, n \geq 1$ . Then, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,*

$$8^{-d/2} n^{d/2} \leq \text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \leq p^7 2^{-d/2} (n+1)^{d/2}, \quad (1)$$

for  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq \text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq 2^{-d} n^{d/2},$$

while for the  $L_\infty$ -discrepancy of  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  we have

$$8^{-d/2} n^{(d+1)/2} \leq \text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \leq 2^{-d/2+1} \sqrt{d} (n+1)^{(d+1)/2}. \quad (2)$$

In the special case  $d = 2$ , Theorem 2 improves the bound

$$\frac{1}{15} n^{3/2} - \frac{4}{5} n \leq \text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^2) \leq 2n^{3/2} \quad (3)$$

proven in [1]. Using the method presented in this note one can get a further improvement (for large  $n$ ) of the lower bound in (3) to  $(1/\sqrt{8\pi} + o(1))n^{3/2}$ .

## 2. $L_2$ -DISCREPANCY

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of subsets of a finite abelian group  $G$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is shift-invariant if for every  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $g \in G$  we have also  $g + A \in \mathcal{H}$ . In this section we compute  $\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{H})$  for any shift-invariant family  $\mathcal{H}$  of subsets of  $G$ . Since, clearly, the family of boxes  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ , considered as a family of subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , is shift-invariant, Theorem 1 will follow.

For  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $g \in G$  we set

$$\nu_A(g) = |\{(e, e') \in A \times A : e - e' = g\}|,$$

and

$$\nu(g) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \nu_A(g).$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a shift-invariant family of subsets of a finite abelian group  $G$  and  $\chi : G \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \chi^2(A) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g, g' \in G} \chi(g) \chi(g') \nu(g - g').$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^2(A + g) &= \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a + g) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{a, a' \in A} \chi(a + g) \chi(a' + g) \\ &= \sum_{g, g' \in G} \chi(g) \chi(g') \nu_A(g - g'). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is shift-invariant, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G| \sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \chi^2(A) &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^2(A + g) \\ &= \sum_{g, g' \in G} \chi(g) \chi(g') \sum_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \nu_A(g - g') \\ &= \sum_{g, g' \in G} \chi(g) \chi(g') \nu(g - g'), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\chi_0 : \mathbb{Z}_n^d \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  be a “chessboard colouring” of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , i.e.,  $\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_d) = -1$ , or  $1$ , if the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i$  is odd, or even, respectively. We shall show that for an arbitrary colouring  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_n^d \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ ,

$$\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi) \geq \text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi_0),$$

and compute

$$\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi_0) = \text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d).$$

For a given  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , let

$$\text{ind}(\mathbf{g}) = |\{i \in [d] : g_i = 0\}|.$$

Notice that

$$\nu(\mathbf{g}) = n^d 2^{d(n-2) + \text{ind}(\mathbf{g})}.$$

For every  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , and  $I \subseteq [d]$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I) &= \{\mathbf{h}' = (h'_1, \dots, h'_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d : h_i = h'_i \text{ for } i \in I\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{h}' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d : \mathbf{h}'|_I = \mathbf{h}|_I\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \chi^2(A) &= 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{i=0}^d 2^i \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d, \\ \text{ind}(\mathbf{g}-\mathbf{g}')=i}} \chi(\mathbf{g})\chi(\mathbf{g}') \\ &= 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I)} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I)} \chi(\mathbf{g}) \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the sum is taken over all families  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I)$ . Indeed, observe that every term  $\chi(\mathbf{g})\chi(\mathbf{g}')$ , with  $\mathbf{g} \neq \mathbf{g}'$ , appears in the last double sum of (4)  $2^{\text{ind}(\mathbf{g}-\mathbf{g}')+1}$  times, and every term  $\chi^2(\mathbf{g})$  appears  $2^d$  times. Separating the summands with  $I = [d]$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \chi^2(A) &= 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d} \chi^2(\mathbf{g}) + 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I) \\ I \neq [d]}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I)} \chi(\mathbf{g}) \right)^2 \\ &= 2^{d(n-2)} n^d + 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I) \\ I \neq [d]}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{g}|_I = \mathbf{h}|_I} \chi(\mathbf{g}) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider two cases. If  $n$  is even, then, clearly,

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \chi^2(A) \geq 2^{d(n-2)} n^d.$$

On the other hand, for every  $I \subseteq [d]$ ,  $I \neq [d]$ , and every  $\mathbf{h}$ ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{h}, I)} \chi_0(\mathbf{g}) = 0$$

so, if  $n$  is even,

$$[\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d)]^2 = [\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi_0)]^2 = \left( \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} \right)^d \left( \frac{n}{4} \right)^d.$$

If  $n$  is odd, then for every  $I \subseteq [d]$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d$

$$\left| \sum_{\mathbf{g}|_I = \mathbf{h}|_I} \chi(\mathbf{g}) \right| \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, it is not hard to see that each such sum equals one for the colouring  $\chi_0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \chi^2(A) &\geq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \chi_0^2(A) = 2^{d(n-2)} n^d + 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq [d] \\ I \neq [d]}} 1 \\ &= 2^{d(n-2)} n^d + 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d}{i} n^i = 2^{d(n-2)} \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} n^i \\ &= 2^{d(n-2)} (n+1)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for odd  $n$  we have

$$[\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d)]^2 = \left( \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} \right)^d \left( \frac{n+1}{4} \right)^d$$

and the assertion follows.  $\square$

The above proof of Theorem 1 has a combinatorial flavour but one can explore the fact that  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  is a “product family” using an algebraic argument. Below we sketch such an alternative proof of Theorem 1 for the case in which  $n$  is even.

Let  $\chi : V \mapsto \{-1, 1\}$  be a colouring of the set of vertices of a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ . Denote by  $B = (B_{e,v})_{e \in E, v \in V}$  the incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{H}$  in which  $B_{e,v} = 1$  if and only if  $v \in e$ . It is easy to see that then

$$[\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi)]^2 = \frac{1}{|E|} \chi^T B^T B \chi.$$

This implies that if the smallest eigenvalue of  $B^T B$  is  $\lambda$  and  $|V| = n$ , then

$$[\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi)]^2 \geq \frac{1}{|E|} \lambda n,$$

and equality holds if and only if there is an eigenvector of  $B^T B$  corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue with  $\{-1, 1\}$ -coordinates.

If  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_d$  are  $d$  hypergraphs, where  $\mathcal{H}_i = (V_i, E_i)$ , then the product of  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_d$  is the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  whose set of vertices is the Cartesian product  $\prod_{i=1}^d V_i$  and whose set of edges are all Cartesian products  $\prod_{i=1}^d e_i$ , for each choice of  $e_i \in E_i$ . It is not difficult to check that if  $B_i$  is the incidence matrix of  $H_i$  and  $B$  is the incidence matrix of  $H$ , then  $B^T B$  is the tensor product of the matrices  $B_i^T B_i$ . Therefore, the set of all eigenvalues of  $B^T B$  is the set of all products  $\prod_{i=1}^d \mu_i$  where  $\mu_i$  ranges over all eigenvalues of  $B_i^T B_i$ . In particular, the smallest eigenvalue of  $B^T B$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $B_i^T B_i$ , and the tensor product of any  $d$  vectors  $v_i$ , where  $v_i$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue of  $B_i^T B_i$ ,

is an eigenvector of  $B^T B$ , corresponding to its smallest eigenvalue. We have thus proved the following.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_i = (V_i, E_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  be hypergraphs, and suppose  $\lambda_i$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $B_i^T B_i$ , where  $B_i$  is the incidence matrix of  $H_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the product of all hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}_i$ , and let  $n_i$  denote the number of vertices of  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . Then*

$$\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi) \geq \left[ \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d |E_i|} \prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i n_i \right]^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, if for each  $i$  there is an eigenvector of  $B_i^T B_i$  corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue with  $\{-1, 1\}$ -coordinates, then (5) holds with equality.  $\square$

In particular, if for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{B}_n^1$  is the hypergraph whose set of vertices is  $[n]$  and whose set of edges is the set of all nonempty subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $B_i^T B_i$  is an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix with each diagonal entry being  $2^{n-1}$  and each other entry being  $2^{n-2}$ . It follows that its smallest eigenvalue is  $2^{n-1} - 2^{n-2} = 2^{n-2}$  (with multiplicity  $n - 1$ ). Thus, by Lemma 4 (where here the product  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ ,  $n_i = n$ ,  $\lambda_i = 2^{n-2}$  and  $|E_i| = 2^n - 1$  for all  $i$ ):

$$\text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq \left[ \left( \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} \right) \left( \frac{n}{4} \right) \right]^{d/2}.$$

Moreover, equality holds for every even  $n$ , as in this case every  $\{-1, 1\}$ -vector of length  $n$  whose sum of coordinates is 0, is an eigenvector of the smallest eigenvalue of  $B_i^T B_i$ .

### 3. $L_p$ -DISCREPANCY: THE LOWER BOUND

Our proofs of the lower bounds in (1) and (2) rely on the following probabilistic theorem, proved by Szarek [3].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be real numbers and let  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_n$  denote independent identically distributed random variables such that*

$$\Pr(\tilde{\epsilon}_i = 1) = \Pr(\tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1) = 1/2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Set  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_i a_i$ . Then for the expectation  $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}|$  of  $|\tilde{X}|$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

Let  $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_n$  denote the random subset of  $[n]$ , where each element of  $[n]$  is included in  $\tilde{R}$  independently with probability  $1/2$ , or, equivalently, where each subset of  $[n]$  appears as  $\tilde{R}$  with probability  $2^{-n}$ . The following corollaries are straightforward consequences of Lemma 5.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be a sequence of real numbers and  $\tilde{Y} = \sum_{i \in \tilde{R}} a_i$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{Y}| = 2^{-n} \sum_{A \subseteq [n]} \left| \sum_{i \in A} a_i \right| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8n}} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|.$$

*Proof.* For every vector  $\mathbf{e} = (\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  define  $A_{\mathbf{e}} = \{i : \tilde{\epsilon}_i = 1\}$  and  $A'_{\mathbf{e}} = \{i : \tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1\}$ . Then, by the triangle inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i \in A_{\mathbf{e}}} a_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i \in A'_{\mathbf{e}}} a_i \right| \geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_i a_i \right|.$$

As  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  ranges over all  $2^n$  members of  $\{-1, 1\}^n$ ,  $A_{\mathbf{e}}$ , as well as  $A'_{\mathbf{e}}$  range over all  $2^n$  subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Thus, using Lemma 5 and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we infer that

$$2\mathbb{E} |\tilde{Y}| \geq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_i a_i \right| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|. \quad \square$$

*Remark.* If  $|a_1| = \dots = |a_n| = 1$ , then  $\tilde{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_i a_i$  is asymptotically a normal random variable with standard deviation  $\sqrt{n}$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{Y}| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{X}| = \frac{1}{2} (1 + o(1)) \sqrt{n} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \sqrt{n/2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $\chi : [n]^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Then for every  $\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq d$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\ell n} \sum_{x_1 \in [n]} \dots \sum_{x_{d-\ell} \in [n]} \sum_{A_{d-\ell+1} \subseteq [n]} \dots \sum_{A_d \subseteq [n]} \\ \left| \sum_{x_{d-\ell+1} \in A_{d-\ell+1}} \dots \sum_{x_d \in A_d} \chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \right| \geq 8^{-\ell/2} n^{d-\ell/2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $\ell$ . For  $\ell = 0$  there is nothing to prove. In order to show the assertion for  $\ell \geq 1$  it is enough to set for each

$(d - \ell)$ -tuple  $x_1, \dots, x_{d-\ell}$  and all  $A_{d-\ell+2}, \dots, A_d \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & a_i(x_1, \dots, x_{d-\ell}, A_{d-\ell+2}, \dots, A_d) \\ &= \sum_{x_{d-\ell+2} \in A_{d-\ell+2}} \cdots \sum_{x_d \in A_d} \chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-\ell}, i, x_{d-\ell+2}, \dots, x_d), \end{aligned}$$

and apply Corollary 6.  $\square$

*Proof of the lower bounds in Theorem 2.* Note that for every family of sets  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$ , we have

$$\text{disc}_r(\mathcal{H}) \leq \text{disc}_s(\mathcal{H}). \quad (7)$$

Now it is enough to observe that Corollary 7 applied with  $\ell = d$ , gives the required lower bound for  $\text{disc}_1(\mathcal{B}_n^d)$ , and thus, for  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For  $p \geq 2$  we get a slightly better lower bound, as in this case

$$\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq \text{disc}_2(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq 2^{-d} n^{d/2},$$

by Theorem 1.

In order to deal with  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^d)$  note that Corollary 7 with  $\ell = d - 1$  gives

$$2^{-(d-1)n} \sum_{A_2 \subseteq [n]} \cdots \sum_{A_d \subseteq [n]} \sum_{x_1 \in [n]} |\chi(\{x_1\} \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_d)| \geq 8^{-(d-1)/2} n^{(d+1)/2}.$$

Thus, there exist sets  $S_2, \dots, S_d$  such that

$$\sum_{x_1 \in [n]} |\chi(\{x_1\} \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d)| \geq 8^{-(d-1)/2} n^{(d+1)/2}.$$

Let  $S_1^\pm$  be the set of all  $x_1 \in [n]$  for which

$$\pm \chi(\{x_1\} \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d) > 0.$$

Take as  $S_1$  any of the sets  $S_1^-, S_1^+$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_1 \in S_1} |\chi(\{x_1\} \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d)| &= |\chi(S_1 \times \cdots \times S_d)| \\ &\geq 8^{-(d-1)/2} n^{(d+1)/2} / 2 > 8^{-d/2} n^{(d+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The above holds for arbitrary  $\chi : [n]^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , so  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \geq 8^{-d/2} n^{(d+1)/2}$ .

Finally, from (6) we get  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^2) \geq (1/\sqrt{8\pi} + o(1))n^{3/2}$ .  $\square$



4.  $L_p$ -DISCREPANCY – THE UPPER BOUND

*Proof of the upper bounds in Theorem 2.* Let us divide the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into  $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$  subsets, setting  $P_i = \{2i - 1, 2i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and, if  $n$  is odd,  $P_m = \{n\}$ . Let also

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_{i_1} \times \dots \times P_{i_d} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq m\}.$$

Hence, the family  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of the set  $[n]^d$  into  $m^d$  boxes, each of at most  $2^d$  elements.

Note that for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  there exist two “natural” colourings  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(P), \chi_{\text{even}}(P) : P \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  which colour elements  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  of  $P$  according to the parity of  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i$ , so that no two points at Hamming distance one are coloured with the same colour. Let  $\tilde{\chi} : [n]^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  denote a random colouring of  $[n]^d$  in which for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  independently we choose with probability  $1/2$  one of the colourings  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(P), \chi_{\text{even}}(P)$ . Our aim is to show that with positive probability  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \tilde{\chi})$  is small; this will imply the existence a colouring  $\chi$  with small  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi)$  and the assertion will follow.

Let us first find the upper bound for  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d)$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Note that from Theorem 1 and (7) it follows that for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$

$$\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{H}) \leq \text{disc}_2(\mathcal{H}) \leq 2^{-d}(n+1)^{d/2} < p^7 2^{-d/2}(n+1)^{d/2},$$

so it is enough to verify (1) for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . Since the colouring  $\tilde{\chi}$  is random,  $[\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \tilde{\chi})]^p$  is a random variable with expectation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \tilde{\chi})]^p &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_n^d|} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} |\tilde{\chi}(B)|^p \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_n^d|} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\chi}(B)|^p \leq \max_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\chi}(B)|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In order to estimate the above sum we study the behaviour of the random variable  $\tilde{\chi}(B)$ , for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d$ . Note that for any colouring  $\chi$  of  $[n]^d$ ,

$$\chi(B) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \chi(P \cap B).$$

Let us assume now that  $\chi$  is such that for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we have  $\chi|_P = \chi_\alpha(P)$  for some  $\alpha = \text{odd}, \text{even}$ . It is not hard to see that then, for any box  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d$ ,

$$|\chi(P \cap B)| \leq 1,$$

and equality holds if and only if  $|P \cap B| = 1$ . Thus, for a fixed  $B$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}(B)$  is a sum of  $w$  independent identically distributed random variables

$\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_w$ , where

$$w = w(B) = |\{P \in \mathcal{P} : |P \cap B| = 1\}| \leq m^d \quad (9)$$

and  $\Pr(\tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1) = \Pr(\tilde{\epsilon}_i = 1) = 1/2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, w$ . Thus, using Chernoff's bounds for the tails of the binomial distribution (see, for instance, [2], Corollary A.1.2), we infer that for every  $t > 0$

$$\Pr(|\tilde{\chi}(B)| \geq t) < 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2w(B)}\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2m^d}\right). \quad (10)$$

Set  $\tau_i = 2^i m^{d/2}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then, from (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\chi}(B)|^p &\leq \tau_0^p + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau_{i+1}^p 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_i^2}{2m^d}\right) \\ &= m^{pd/2} + m^{pd/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{ip+p+1} \exp(-2^{2i-1}) \\ &= m^{pd/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jp+1} \exp(-2^{2j-3}). \end{aligned}$$

A crude estimate of the above sum gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jp+1} \exp(-2^{2j-3}) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jp+1-2^{2j-3}} \\ &\leq 5 \log_2 p 2^{5p \log_2 p + 1} + \sum_{j \geq 5 \log_2 p} 2^{jp+1-p^5 2^{j-3}} \\ &\leq 10p^{5p} \log_2 p + 1 \leq p^{7p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbb{E}[\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \tilde{\chi})]^p \leq p^{7p} m^{pd/2}$ , so there exists a colouring  $\chi : [n]^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $[\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi)]^p \leq p^{7p} m^{pd/2}$ . Hence

$$\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \leq [p^{7p} m^{pd/2}]^{1/p} \leq p^7 2^{-d/2} (n+1)^{d/2}.$$

Finally, note that (10) implies that the probability that for some set  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}_n^d$  we have  $|\tilde{\chi}(B)| \geq t$  is at most

$$|\mathcal{B}_n^d| 2 \exp(-t^2/2m^d) \leq 2^{dn+1} \exp(-t^2/2m^d).$$

The above expression is strictly smaller than 1 for  $t = 2\sqrt{dn} m^{d/2}$ , so for some colouring  $\chi$  we have  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \chi) \leq 2\sqrt{dn} m^{d/2}$  and

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}_n^d) \leq 2\sqrt{dn} m^{d/2} \leq 2^{-d/2+1} \sqrt{d} (n+1)^{(d+1)/2}. \quad \square$$

We conclude the section with a remark that in the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 2, instead of the random colouring  $\tilde{\chi}$  one can use the random colouring  $\tilde{\chi}'$ , in which each element of  $[n]^d$  is coloured

independently with  $-1$  or  $1$ . Then, similarly as in the argument above, for a given  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n^d$  the random variable  $\tilde{\chi}'(B)$  is a sum of independent identically distributed random variables  $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ , but in this case the number of  $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ 's can be substantially larger than for  $\tilde{\chi}(B)$ . Consequently, Chernoff's bounds we used in the paper would give a weaker estimate for  $\text{disc}_p(\mathcal{B}_n^d, \tilde{\chi}')$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. AGNARSSON, B. DOERR, AND T. SCHOEN, *Coloring  $t$ -dimensional  $m$ -boxes*, Discrete Mathematics 226 (2001), 21–33.
- [2] N. ALON, J. SPENCER, “*The Probabilistic Method*”, 2nd edition, Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [3] S. J. SZAREK, *On the best constants in the Khinchin Inequality*, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 197–208.

SCHOOLS OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL.

*E-mail address:* <noga@math.tau.ac.il>

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, LUDEWIG-MEYN-STRASSE 4, 24098 KIEL, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* <bed@numerik.uni-kiel.de>

DEPARTMENT OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, 60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

*E-mail address:* <tomasz@amu.edu.pl>

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, LUDEWIG-MEYN-STRASSE 4, 24098 KIEL, GERMANY,

AND

DEPARTMENT OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, 60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

*E-mail address:* <tos@numerik.uni-kiel.de>