# Polynomial bounds for chromatic number VI. Adding a four-vertex path

Maria Chudnovsky<sup>1</sup> Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

> Paul Seymour<sup>3</sup> Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

Sophie Spirkl<sup>4</sup> University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L3G1, Canada

November 1, 2021; revised June 27, 2022

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF DMS-EPSRC grant DMS-2120644.

<sup>2</sup>Research supported by EPSRC grant EP/V007327/1.

<sup>3</sup>Supported by AFOSR grant A9550-19-1-0187.

<sup>4</sup>We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), [funding reference number RGPIN-2020-03912]. Cette recherche a été financée par le Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada (CRSNG), [numéro de référence RGPIN-2020-03912].

### Abstract

A class of graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded if there is a function f such that every graph G in the class has chromatic number at most  $f(\omega(G))$ , where  $\omega(G)$  is the clique number of G; the class is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded if f can be taken to be a polynomial. The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture asserts that, for every forest H, the class of H-free graphs (graphs with no induced copy of H) is  $\chi$ -bounded. Let us say a forest H is good if it satisfies the stronger property that the class of H-free graphs is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.

Very few forests are known to be good: for example, it is open for the five-vertex path. Indeed, it is not even known that if every component of a forest H is good then H is good, and in particular, it was not known that the disjoint union of two four-vertex paths is good. Here we show the latter, and more generally, that if H is good then so is the disjoint union of H and a four-vertex path. We also prove a more general result: if every component of  $H_1$  is good, and  $H_2$  is any path (or broom) then the class of graphs that are both  $H_1$ -free and  $H_2$ -free is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded.

# 1 Introduction

A class of graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded if there is a function f such that every graph G in the class has chromatic number at most  $f(\omega(G))$ , where  $\omega(G)$  is the clique number of G; the class is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded if f can be taken to be a polynomial. A graph is *H*-free if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H.

The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture [4, 14] asserts:

### **1.1 Conjecture:** For every forest H, the class of H-free graphs is $\chi$ -bounded.

There has been a great deal of recent progress on  $\chi$ -bounded classes (see [9] for a survey), although the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture remains open. In most cases, proofs of  $\chi$ -boundedness give fairly fastgrowing functions, so it is interesting to ask: when do we get the stronger property of polynomial  $\chi$ -boundedness?

A provocative conjecture of Louis Esperet [3] asserted that every  $\chi$ -bounded hereditary class is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded. But this was recently disproved by Briański, Davies and Walczak [1]. So the question now is: which hereditary classes are polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded? In particular, can 1.1 be strengthened to polynomial  $\chi$ -boundedness? Let us say a graph H is good if the class of H-free graphs is polynomially  $\chi$ -bounded. Perhaps every forest is good, but the only trees currently known to be good are those not containing the five-vertex path  $P_5$  [11]. It is not known whether  $P_5$  is good (although see [12] for the best current bounds for  $H = P_5$ ; and see [13] for the case when H is a general tree of radius two).

In the case of  $\chi$ -boundedness, it is not hard to show that a forest H satisfies the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture if and only if all its components do. But it has *not* been shown that if every component of a forest H is good then H is good. Indeed, only some very restricted forests are known to be good [8, 10]. One outstanding case was when H is the disjoint union of two copies of the four-vertex path  $P_4$ ; and this was particularly annoying since the  $P_4$ -free graphs are very well-understood and rather trivial.

We will prove the following:

### **1.2** If H is a good forest, then the disjoint union of H and $P_4$ is also good.

In particular, the disjoint union of two or more copies of  $P_4$  is good. 1.2 is a consequence of the next result, about brooms. A (k, d)-broom is a tree obtained from a k-vertex path with one end v by adding d new vertices adjacent to v, and a broom is a tree that is a (k, d)-broom for some k, d. It is known that (3, d)-brooms are good [6, 11], but this is not known for larger brooms (all of which contain  $P_5$ ). We will show the following, which implies 1.2:

**1.3** Let  $H_1$  be a forest such that every component of  $H_1$  is good, and let  $H_2$  be either a broom, or the disjoint union of a good forest and a number of paths. Then there is a polynomial  $\phi$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq \phi(\omega(G))$  for every  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free graph G.

 $({H_1, H_2})$ -free means both  $H_1$ -free and  $H_2$ -free.) To deduce 1.2 from 1.3, let H be a good forest, let  $H_1 = H_2$  be the disjoint union of H and  $P_4$ , and apply 1.3.

Some notation and terminology: if G is a graph and  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , we denote by G[X] the subgraph of G induced on X, and we sometimes write  $\chi(X)$  for  $\chi(G[X])$  and  $\omega(X)$  for  $\omega(G[X])$ . Two disjoint subsets  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$  are *complete* if every vertex in A is adjacent to every vertex of B, and *anticomplete* if there is no edge between A, B; and we say a vertex v is complete to B if  $\{v\}$  is complete to B, and so on. A graph G contains a graph H if some induced subgraph of G is isomorphic to H, and such a subgraph is a *copy* of H. The *cone* of a graph H is obtained from H by adding a new vertex adjacent to every vertex of H.

Let us say a graph is 0-bad if it is good; and a graph J is  $\beta$ -bad, where  $\beta \geq 1$  is an integer, if either J is the disjoint union of two  $(\beta - 1)$ -bad graphs, or J is the cone of a  $(\beta - 1)$ -bad graph, or J is  $(\beta - 1)$ -bad. In general, cones are not forests, so they are not good. Nevertheless, we will prove the following strengthening of 1.3:

**1.4** Let  $\beta \geq 0$ , let  $H_1$  be a  $\beta$ -bad graph, and let  $H_2$  be either a broom, or the disjoint union of a good forest and a number of paths. Then there is a polynomial  $\phi$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq \phi(\omega(G))$  for every  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free graph G.

This implies several results that were previously known. For instance, in [7] it is proved that:

**1.5** Let  $H_1$  be either

- the disjoint union of a complete graph and a good graph, or
- the disjoint union of some complete graphs, or
- the cone of the disjoint union of some complete graphs.

Let  $H_2$  be a path. Then there is a polynomial  $\phi$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq \phi(\omega(G))$  for every  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free graph G.

Some other results of [7, 8] are also special cases of 1.4.

# 2 Finding a disjoint union

Suppose that H is the disjoint union of good forests  $H_1, H_2$ . Choose  $c_1, c_2$  such that for i = 1, 2, every  $H_i$ -free graph G satisfies  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)^{c_i}$ . Thus, if G is H-free, we know that there do not exist disjoint, anticomplete subsets  $P, Q \subseteq V(G)$  with  $\chi(P) > \omega(P)^{c_1}$  and  $\chi(Q) > \omega(Q)^{c_2}$ ; because then G[P] is not  $H_1$ -free, and G[Q] is not  $H_2$ -free, and the union of a copy of  $H_1$  in G[P] and a copy of  $H_2$  in G[Q] gives a copy of H, which is impossible.

But we do not really need P, Q to be anticomplete. It is enough that  $\chi(P) > \omega(P)^{c_1}$ , and  $\chi(Q) > |H_1|r + \omega(Q)^{c_2}$ , where r denotes the maximum over  $v \in P$  of the chromatic number of the set of neighbours of v in Q; because then if we choose a copy  $H'_1$  of H in G[P], the chromatic number of the set of vertices in Q with no neighbours in  $V(H'_1)$  is at least  $\chi(Q) - |H_1|r > \omega(Q)^{c_2}$ , and so this set contains a copy of  $H_2$ , a contradiction. In the proof to come later in the paper, this is the only way we will ever use that G is H-free; and so we might as well prove a stronger theorem, replacing the hypothesis that G is H-free with the weaker hypothesis that there is no suitable pair P, Q in G.

Thus we will be excluding pairs of disjoint sets P, Q where  $\chi(P)$  is at least some power of  $\omega(P)$ , and for each vertex in P, its set of neighbours in Q has chromatic number at most some r that is small compared with the chromatic number of Q.

In our proof, it happens that when we find a suitable pair (P, Q), it comes equipped with an extra vertex v that is complete to P and anticomplete to Q; so we might as well prove that there is a "suitable triple" (v, P, Q). Such a thing will also allow us to handle cones.

We denote the set of nonnegative integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ , and say a function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is non-decreasing if  $\phi(x) \leq \phi(x')$  for all  $x, x' \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x \leq x'$ .

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing, and let  $q \ge 0$  be an integer. We say a  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering in a graph G is a triple (v, P, Q) where:

- P, Q are disjoint subsets of V(G), and  $v \in V(G) \setminus (P \cup Q)$ ;
- $\{v\}$  is complete to P and anticomplete to Q;
- $\chi(P) > \psi(\omega(P))$ ; and
- $\chi(Q) > qr + \psi(\omega(Q))$ , where r is the maximum, over  $u \in P$ , of the chromatic number of the set of neighbours of u in Q.

Thus we will replace the hypothesis in 1.4 that G is  $H_1$ -free and  $H_1$  is  $\beta$ -bad, with the hypothesis that G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, for appropriate  $\psi, q$ . We will show:

**2.1** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a non-decreasing polynomial and let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $H_2$  be either a broom, or the disjoint union of a good forest and a number of paths. Then there is a polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$  and G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, then G contains  $H_2$ .

**Proof of 1.4, assuming 2.1.** We proceed by induction on  $\beta$ . Let  $H_1$  be  $\beta$ -bad, and let  $H_2$  be either a broom, or the disjoint union of a good forest and a number of paths.

If  $H_1$  is good, the result is true, so we assume that  $H_1$  is not good, and therefore  $\beta \geq 1$ . Thus either  $H_1$  is the disjoint union of two  $(\beta - 1)$ -bad graphs  $J_1, J_2$ , or the cone of a  $(\beta - 1)$ -bad graph  $J_1$  (and in this case let  $J_2$  be the null graph). From the inductive hypothesis on  $\beta$ , for i = 1, 2 there is a non-decreasing polynomial  $\phi_i$  such that if G is  $H_2$ -free and  $J_i$ -free then  $\chi(G) \leq \phi_i(\omega(G))$ , and by replacing  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  by  $\phi_1 + \phi_2$  we may assume that  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

Let  $q = |J_1|$ . By 2.1, there is a non-decreasing polynomial  $\phi$  such that if  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$  and contains no  $(\phi_1, q)$ -scattering, then G contains  $H_2$ . We claim that  $\phi$  satisfies 1.4.

Let G be  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free, and suppose that  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$ . Since G is  $H_2$ -free, it follows from the choice of  $\phi$  that G contains a  $(\phi_1, q)$ -scattering (w, P, Q) say. Let r be the maximum, over  $v \in P$ , of the chromatic number of the set of neighbours of v in Q. Since  $\chi(P) > \phi_1(\omega(P))$ , there is an induced subgraph of G[P] isomorphic to  $J_1$ , say  $J'_1$ . Hence G contains the cone of  $J_1$ , so we may assume that  $H_1$  is the disjoint union of  $J_1, J_2$ . The set of vertices in Q with a neighbour in  $V(J'_1)$ has chromatic number at most  $r|J_1|$ , and since

$$\chi(Q) > |J_1|r + \phi_2(\omega(Q)),$$

it follows that the set (say Q') of vertices in Q that are anticomplete to  $J'_1$  has chromatic number more than  $\phi_2(\omega(Q))$ . From the choice of  $\phi_2$ , and since G is  $H_2$ -free, it follows that G[Q'] is not  $J_2$ -free; but then, combining this copy of  $J_2$  with  $J'_1$ , we find a copy of  $H_1$  in G, a contradiction. This proves 1.4.

Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a non-decreasing function. We say a subgraph P of a graph G is  $\sigma$ nondominating if there is a set  $X \subseteq V(G) \setminus V(P)$ , anticomplete to V(P), with  $\chi(X) > \sigma(\omega(X))$ . Next we will show that to prove 2.1 it suffices to prove the following: **2.2** Let  $\psi, \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing polynomials, and let  $q \ge 0$  an integer. Let H be a broom, and let J be a path. Then there is a non-decreasing polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that if G is a graph, and  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$ , and G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, then G contains H and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating copy of J.

**Proof of 2.1, assuming 2.2.** Let  $\psi, q, H_2$  be as in 2.1. If  $H_2$  is a broom, then 2.1 follows immediately from 2.2 (setting  $H = H_2$  and setting J to be some path, for instance the one-vertex path). Thus we assume that  $H_2$  is the disjoint union of a good forest  $J_1$  and a forest  $J_2$  that is a disjoint union of paths. Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a non-decreasing function such that every  $J_1$ -free graph Ghas chromatic number at most  $\sigma(\omega(G))$ ; and choose a path J such that  $J_2$  is an induced subgraph of J. By 2.2 (setting H to be some broom, for instance with one vertex) there is a non-decreasing polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$  and G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, then Gcontains a  $\sigma$ -nondominating copy J' of J.

We claim that  $\phi$  satisfies 2.1. Thus we must show that if G is  $H_2$ -free and contains no  $(\psi, q)$ scattering then  $\chi(G) \leq \phi(\omega(G))$ . Suppose not. By the choice of f, and since G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ scattering, it follows that G contains a copy J' of J, such that there is a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  with  $\chi(X) > \sigma(\omega(X))$  anticomplete to  $V(J'_1)$ . But since  $\chi(X) > \sigma(\omega(X))$ , it follows that G[X] contains  $J_1$ , and since J contains  $J_2$ , and V(J) is anticomplete to X, it follows that G contains  $H_2$ . This
proves 2.1.

We remark that there is an appealing possible strengthening of 2.2, that we could not prove:

**2.3 Conjecture:** Let  $\psi, \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing polynomials, let  $q \ge 0$  an integer, and let H be a broom. Then there is a non-decreasing polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that if G is a graph, and  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$ , and G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, then G a  $\sigma$ -nondominating copy of H.

Let us say a graph H is *self-isolating* if for every non-decreasing polynomial  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , there is a polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  with the following property: for every graph G with  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$ , there exists  $A \subseteq V(G)$  with  $\chi(A) > \psi(\omega(A))$ , such that either

- G[A] is *H*-free, or
- G contains a copy H' of H such that V(H') is disjoint from and anticomplete to A.

Which graphs are self-isolating? It is proved in [10] that stars are self-isolating, and we will show in [2] that complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs are self-isolating. Let us observe that 2.2 implies that:

#### **2.4** Every path is self-isolating.

**Proof.** Let J be a path, and let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a non-decreasing polynomial. Choose  $\phi$  satisfying 2.2 with H = J and  $\sigma = \psi$  and q = |J|, and let G be a graph with  $\chi(G) > \phi(\omega(G))$ . We claim that either there is a  $\psi$ -nondominating copy of J in G, or there exists  $A \subseteq V(G)$  with  $\chi(A) > \psi(\omega(A))$  such that G[A] is J-free. By 2.2 we may assume that there is a  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering (w, P, Q) in G. If G[P] is J-free, the claim holds, so we assume that there is a copy J' of J in G[P]. Thus |J'| = q. Let r be the maximum over  $v \in P$  of the chromatic number of the set of neighbours of v in Q. The set of vertices in Q with a neighbour in V(J') has chromatic number at most |J'|r = qr; and  $\chi(Q) > \psi(\omega(Q)) + qr$  from the definition of a  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering. Consequently J' is  $\psi$ -nondominating, and hence J is self-isolating. This proves 2.4.

### 3 Constructing a horn

Let  $d \ge 0$  be an integer. If  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$  are disjoint, we say that A is *d*-dense to B if for every vertex  $v \in A$ , the set of non-neighbours of v in B has chromatic number at most d. Let us say a (d, z)-horn in a graph G is a triple (v, A, B) where

- A, B are disjoint subsets of V(G), and  $v \in V(G) \setminus (A \cup B)$ ;
- v is complete to A and anticomplete to B; and
- there is no  $Z \subseteq A \cup B$  with  $\chi(Z) \leq z$  such that  $A \setminus Z$  is d-dense to  $B \setminus Z$ .

We will need a (d, z)-horn (v, A, B) where z is at least some large function of the clique number of  $A \cup B$ , and this section produces such a horn. We show in 3.5 that if G has sufficiently large chromatic number (and, for convenience, all its proper induced subgraphs have smaller chromatic number), then either G contains both a (k, s)-broom and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path, or G contains a (d, z)-horn. To complete the proof of 2.2, it therefore suffices to handle graphs G that contain (d, z)-horns, for suitably chosen values of d, z, and we will do so in the next section.

We will use the following well-known version of Ramsey's theorem, proved (for instance) in [10] (|G| denotes the number of vertices of G):

**3.1** Let  $x \ge 2$  and  $y \ge 1$  be integers. For a graph G, if  $|G| \ge x^y$ , then G has either a clique of cardinality x + 1, or a stable set of cardinality y.

If  $v \in V(G)$ , we denote by N(v) or  $N_G(v)$  the set of all neighbours of v in G. First, we need a result of Gyárfás [5] (we give the well-known proof, because it is so pretty.)

**3.2** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $x \ge 0$  be integers. Let G be a connected graph such that  $\chi(N(v)) \le x$  for every vertex v. Let H be a connected induced subgraph of G, and let  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$  with a neighbour in V(H). If  $\chi(H) > (k-2)x$ , there is an induced k-vertex path of G with one end v and all other vertices in V(H).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on k. The result is clear for  $k \leq 2$ , so we assume that  $k \geq 3$ . Let J be obtained from H by deleting all vertices in N(v); thus  $\chi(J) > (k-3)x > 0$ , and so there is a component H' of J with chromatic number more than (k-3)x. Let  $v' \in N(v) \cap V(H)$  with a neighbour in V(H'). From the inductive hypothesis applied to v', H', there is an induced (k-1)-vertex path of G with one end v' and all other vertices in V(H'). Appending v to this path proves 3.2.

We deduce:

**3.3** Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing, let  $k, x \ge 1$  be integers, and let G be a graph. If  $\chi(N(v)) \le x$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ , and  $\chi(G) > kx + \sigma(\omega(G))$ , then there is a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex induced path P in G.

**Proof.** We may assume that G is connected; choose  $v \in V(G)$ . Since  $\chi(G \setminus v) > kx - 1 \ge (k-2)x$ , 3.2 (applied to v and to a component of  $G \setminus v$  of maximum chromatic number) implies that G contains a k-vertex induced path P. The set of vertices of G with a neighbour in V(P) has chromatic number at most kx, and the result follows. This proves 3.3.

The next result is also essentially due to Gyárfás (mentioned in [5]):

**3.4** Let H be a (k, s)-broom, and suppose that G is H-free, and  $\chi(N(v)) \leq x$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ . Then

$$\chi(G) \le \max(\omega(G)^{2s}, (2s+1)(x+1) + (k-2)x).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\chi(G) > \max(\omega(G)^{2s}, (2s+1)(t+1) + (k-2)x)$ . We may assume that G is connected. If every vertex of G has degree less than  $\omega(G)^{2s}$  then  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)^{2s}$ , a contradiction, so some vertex v has at least  $\omega(G)^{2s}$  neighbours. By 3.1 applied to G[N(v)], there is a stable set S of neighbours of v, with |S| = 2s. Let M be the set of all vertices of G that do not belong to  $S \cup \{v\}$  and have a neighbour in  $S \cup \{v\}$ . Thus  $\chi(M) \leq (2s+1)x$ . Let H be a component of  $G \setminus (M \cup S \cup \{v\})$  of maximum chromatic number; then  $\chi(H) \geq \chi(G) - (2s+1)(x+1) > (k-2)x$ . Choose  $u \in M \cup S \cup \{v\}$  with a neighbour in V(H). Since no vertex of  $S \cup \{v\}$  has a neighbour in V(H), from the definition of M, it follows that  $u \in M$ . By 3.2 applied to u, H, there is an induced k-vertex path P of G with one end u and all other vertices in S, then the subgraph induced on V(P) and some s of these neighbours is a (k, s)-broom, a contradiction. Thus there exists  $S' \subseteq S$  with |S'| = s, such that all vertices in S' are nonadjacent to u. If u is adjacent to x, the subgraph induced on  $V(P) \cup S \cup \{v\}$  is a (k+1, s)-broom, a contradiction. Thus u is a (k+2, s)-broom, a contradiction. This proves 3.4.

**3.5** Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing. Let  $k, s, d, z \ge 0$  and  $c \ge 2s$  be integers. Let G be a graph such that

$$\begin{split} \chi(G) &> \omega(G)^c;\\ \chi(G') &\leq \omega(G')^c \text{ for every induced subgraph } G' \text{ of } G \text{ with } G' \neq G;\\ \omega(G)^c &\geq (\omega(G) - 1)^c + z + d\omega(G) + 2;\\ \omega(G)^c &\geq (2s + 1)(z + 1) + (k - 2)z; \text{ and}\\ \omega(G)^c &\geq kz + \sigma(\omega(G)). \end{split}$$

Then either

- G contains a (d, z)-horn; or
- G contains a (k, s)-broom, and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\chi(N(v)) \leq z$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . By 3.4, and since

$$\chi(G) > \omega(G)^c \ge \max(\omega(G)^{2s}, (2s+1)(x+1) + (k-2)z)$$

(because  $c \ge 2s$ ), it follows that G contains a (k, s)-broom. By 3.3, since  $\chi(G) - kz > \sigma(\omega(G))$ , there is a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex induced path P in G, and so the second bullet holds.

Thus we assume that  $\chi(N(v)) > z$  for some vertex v. Let A be the set of neighbours of v, and  $B = V(G) \setminus (A \cup \{v\})$ . We claim that (v, A, B) is a (d, z)-horn. Suppose not; then there exists  $Z \subseteq A \cup B$  with  $\chi(Z) \leq z$ , such that  $A \setminus Z$  is d-dense to  $B \setminus Z$ . Let  $P \subseteq A \setminus Z$  be a clique with

cardinality  $p = \omega(A \setminus Z)$ . Then  $p \ge 1$ , since  $\chi(Z) \le z < \chi(A)$ ; and  $p < \omega(G)$  since otherwise adding v would give a clique of cardinality  $\omega(G) + 1$ . For each  $u \in P$ , the set of vertices in  $B \setminus Z$  nonadjacent to u has chromatic number at most d, since  $A \setminus Z$  is d-dense to  $B \setminus Z$ ; and so the set of vertices in B with a non-neighbour in P has chromatic number at most  $\mu d \le d\omega(G)$ . The set of vertices in B complete to P has clique number at most  $\omega(G) - p$  and so has chromatic number at most  $(\omega - p)^c$ . Hence  $\chi(B \setminus Z) \le pd + (\omega(G) - p)^c$ , and so

$$\chi(G) \le \chi(Z) + \chi(A \setminus Z) + \chi(B \setminus Z) + 1 \le z + p^c + d\omega(G) + (\omega(G) - p)^c + 1.$$

Since  $1 \le p \le \omega(G) - 1$ ,  $p^c + (\omega(G) - p)^c \le (\omega(G) - 1)^c + 1$ , and so

$$\omega(G)^c < \chi(G) \le z + d\omega(G) + (\omega(G) - 1)^c + 2,$$

a contradiction. This proves 3.5.

# 4 Making taller horns

In this section we prove 2.2, and hence complete the proofs of 2.1, 1.4, 1.3, and therefore 1.2. Because of 3.5, we may assume that G contains a (d, z)-horn, for some suitable values of d, z; and now we will show that, provided that G does not contain the proscribed scattering, we can use this horn to make a "k-tall" (d', z')-horn, which is a horn with a k-vertex path appended to its distinguished vertex. From such a horn, it is easy to obtain a (k, s)-broom and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path, to satisfy 2.2. The main step is therefore to convert an  $\ell$ -tall horn to an  $(\ell + 1)$ -tall horn, and for that we need the next result.

If  $d, z, \omega \ge 0$  are integers, a graph G is  $(d, z, \omega)$ -unsplittable if there is no partition (A, B, Z) of V(G) such that  $\chi(Z) \le z$ , and  $\chi(A), \chi(B) > d\omega$ , and A is d-dense to B. We begin with:

**4.1** If  $d, z \ge 0$  are integers, every graph G admits a partition  $(D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_k)$  of its vertex set with  $k \le \omega(G)$  such that  $\chi(D_0) \le z\omega(G)$  and  $G[D_i]$  is  $(d, z, \omega(G))$ -unsplittable for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that G is not  $(d, z, \omega(G))$ -unsplittable, and so it admits a partition  $(D_0, D_1, D_2)$  such that  $\chi(D_0) \leq z$ ,  $\chi(D_1), \chi(D_2) > d\omega(G)$ , and  $D_1$  is d-dense to  $D_2$ . Hence we may choose  $k \geq 2$  maximum such that there is a sequence  $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_k$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of V(G) with union V(G), and with the following properties:

- $\chi(D_0) \leq (k-1)z$
- $D_i$  is d-dense to  $D_j$  for  $1 \le i < j \le k$ ; and
- $\chi(D_i) > d\omega(G)$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

We claim:

(1)  $k \leq \omega(G)$ .

Suppose that  $k > \omega(G)$ , and define  $d_i \in D_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \omega(G) + 1$  inductively as follows. Let

 $1 \leq i \leq \omega(G) + 1$ , and suppose that  $d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1}$  have been defined, all pairwise adjacent. The set of vertices in  $D_i$  that have a non-neighbour among  $d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1}$  has chromatic number at most

$$(i-1)d \le d\omega(G) < \chi(D_i),$$

and so some vertex  $d_i \in D_i$  is adjacent to all of  $d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1}$ . This completes the inductive definition. But then  $\{d_1, \ldots, d_{\omega(G)+1}\}$  is a clique of G, contradicting the definition of  $\omega(G)$ . This proves (1).

(2) For  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $G[D_i]$  is  $(d, z, \omega(G))$ -unsplittable.

Suppose that (A, B, Z) is a partition of  $D_i$  such that  $\chi(Z) \leq z$ , and  $\chi(A), \chi(B) > d\omega(G)$ , and A is d-dense to B. Then the sequence

$$(D_0 \cup Z, D_1, \dots, D_{i-1}, A, B, D_{i+1}, \dots, D_k)$$

contradicts the maximality of k. This proves (2).

From (1), (2), this proves 4.1.

Let (v, A, B) be a (d, z)-horn in a graph G, and let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. We say that (v, A, B)is k-tall if there is an induced path P in G with k vertices, with one end v, such that  $V(P) \setminus \{v\}$ is disjoint from and anticomplete to  $A \cup B$ . Thus every (d, z)-horn is 1-tall. We use 4.1 to prove a result which is the heart of the paper:

**4.2** Let G be a graph, let  $d, z, d', z', q \ge 0$  be integers, and let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing, satisfying:

$$z \ge (2\psi(\omega(G)) + (1+q)z' + qd'\omega(G))\omega(G)$$
  
$$d \ge (z' + d'\omega(G))\omega(G).$$

Let (v, A, B) be an  $\ell$ -tall (d, z)-horn in a graph G, for some  $\ell \geq 1$ . Then either

- there exist  $P \subseteq A$  and  $Q \subseteq B$  such that (v, P, Q) is a  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering; or
- there exist  $v' \in A$  and disjoint subsets A', B' of B such that (v', A', B') is an  $(\ell + 1)$ -tall (d', z')-horn.

**Proof.** Let  $p = \psi(\omega(G))$ . By 4.1, B admits a partition  $(D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_k)$  with  $k \leq \omega(G)$  such that  $\chi(D_0) \leq z'\omega(G)$  and  $G[D_i]$  is  $(d', z', \omega(G))$ -unsplittable for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\chi(D_i) \leq q(z'+d'\omega(G)) + p$  let  $P_i = \emptyset$ , and if  $\chi(D_i) > q(z'+d'\omega(G)) + p$  let  $P_i$  be the set of vertices  $a \in A$  such that  $\chi(U) \leq z' + d'\omega(G)$ , where U is the set of neighbours of a in  $D_i$ . Let  $P = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_k$ .

Suppose that  $\chi(P_i) > p$ , for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . Consequently  $P_i \neq \emptyset$ , and so

. . . .

$$\chi(D_i) > q(z' + d'\omega(G)) + p \ge q(z' + d'\omega(G)) + \psi(\omega(P_i));$$

and for each  $a \in P_i$ ,  $\chi(U) \leq z' + d'\omega(G)$ , where U is the set of neighbours of a in  $D_i$ . It follows that  $(v, P_i, D_i)$  is a  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering and the first bullet of the theorem holds. Thus we may assume that  $\chi(P_i) \leq p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and consequently  $\chi(P) \leq p\omega(G)$ .

Let Z be the union of  $P, D_0$ , and all the sets  $D_i$  with  $1 \le i \le k$  such that

$$\chi(D_i) \le q(z' + d'\omega(G)) + p.$$

Consequently

$$\chi(Z) \le 2p\omega(G) + z'\omega(G) + q(z' + d'\omega(G))\omega(G) \le z.$$

Since (v, A, B) is a (d, z)-horn, it follows that  $A \setminus Z$  is not d-dense to  $B \setminus Z$ ; and so there exists  $v' \in A \setminus P$  such that the set of vertices in  $B \setminus Z$  that are nonadjacent to v' has chromatic number more than d. Since  $B \setminus Z$  is the union of the sets  $D_i$  with  $\chi(D_i) > q(z' + d'\omega(G)) + p$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  with  $\chi(D_i) \ge q(z' + d'\omega(G)) + p$  such that the set B' of vertices in  $D_i$  nonadjacent to v' has chromatic number more than  $d/\omega(G)$ . Since  $v' \notin P$ , the set A' of neighbours of v' in  $D_i$  has chromatic number more than  $d'\omega(G) + z'$ .

Let  $Z' \subseteq D_i$  with  $\chi(Z') \leq z'$ . Thus  $\chi(A' \setminus Z') \geq \chi(A') - \chi(Z') > d'\omega(G)$ ; and  $\chi(B' \setminus Z') > d/\omega(G) - z' \geq d'\omega(G)$ . Since  $G[D_i]$  is  $(d', z', \omega(G))$ -unsplittable, it follows that  $A' \setminus Z'$  is not d'-dense to  $B' \setminus Z'$ . This proves that (v', A', B') is a (d', z')-horn.

Since (v, A, B) is  $\ell$ -tall, there is an  $\ell$ -vertex induced path P of G with one end v, such that  $V(P) \setminus \{v\}$  is disjoint from and anticomplete to  $A \cup B$ . Then  $P' = G[V(P) \cup \{v'\}]$  is an  $(\ell + 1)$ -vertex path, and since V(P) is anticomplete to B and hence to  $A' \cup B'$ , it follows that (v', A', B') is  $(\ell + 1)$ -tall, and so the second bullet of the theorem holds. This proves 4.2.

Now we prove 2.2, which we restate:

**4.3** Let  $k, s \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 0$  be integers, and let  $\psi, \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be non-decreasing polynomials. Then there exists an integer  $c \ge 0$  such that if G is a graph with  $\chi(G) > \omega(G)^c$ , and G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, then G contains a (k, s)-broom and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path.

**Proof.** Let  $\zeta_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be the polynomial defined by  $\zeta_k(x) = \sigma(x) + x^s$ , and let  $\delta_k(x) = 0$ . For  $i = k - 1, \ldots, 1$ , define polynomials  $\zeta_i, \delta_i : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  by

$$\zeta_i(x) = 2x\psi(x) + (1+q)x\zeta_{i+1}(x) + qx^2\delta_{i+1}(x)$$
  
$$\delta_i(x) = x\zeta_{i+1}(x) + x^2\delta_{i+1}(x).$$

Choose an integer  $c \geq 2s$  such that

$$x^{c} \ge (x-1)^{c} + \zeta_{1}(x) + x\delta_{1}(x) + 2$$
  

$$x^{c} \ge (2s+1)(\zeta_{1}(x)+1) + (k-2)\zeta_{1}(x), \text{ and}$$
  

$$x^{c} \ge k\zeta_{1}(x) + \sigma(x)$$

for all integers  $x \ge 2$ . We claim that c satisfies 4.3. To see this, let G be a graph with  $\chi(G) > \omega(G)^c$ , and suppose that G contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering. We must show that G contains a (k, s)-broom and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path. We show this by induction on |G|. If there is an induced subgraph G' of G with  $G' \ne G$  and  $\chi(G') > \omega(G')^c$ , then G' contains no  $(\psi, q)$ -scattering, and from the inductive hypothesis, G' contains a (k, s)-broom and a  $\sigma$ -nondominating k-vertex path, and hence so does G, as required. We may assume then that there is no such G'. Since  $\chi(G) > \omega(G)^c$ , it follows that  $\omega(G) \ge 2$ , and so the five displayed inequalities of 3.5 hold with z, d replaced by  $\zeta_1(\omega(G)), \delta_1(\omega(G))$  respectively. From 3.5, we may assume that G contains a  $(\delta_1(\omega(G)), \zeta_1(\omega(G)))$ -horn, which is therefore 1-tall.

From 4.2, it follows that for i = 2, ..., k, G contains an *i*-tall  $(\delta_i(\omega(G)), \zeta_i(\omega(G)))$ -horn, and so contains a k-tall (0, z)-horn (v, A, B) say, where  $z = \zeta_k(\omega(G))$ . Since this horn is k-tall, there is a k-vertex induced path P of G with one end v, such that  $V(P) \setminus \{v\}$  is disjoint from and anticomplete to  $A \cup B$ . From the definition of a (0, z)-horn,  $\chi(A), \chi(B) > z$ . Since  $\chi(A) > z \ge \omega(A)^s$ , 3.1 implies that there is a stable set  $S \subseteq A$  with |S| = s, and so  $G[V(P) \cup S]$  is a (k, s)-broom. Since  $\chi(B) > z > \sigma(\omega(B))$ , and V(P) is anticomplete to B, P is  $\sigma$ -nondominating. This proves 4.3.

# References

- [1] M. Briański, J. Davies and B. Walczak, "Separating polynomial  $\chi$ -boundedness from  $\chi$ -boundedness", arXiv:2201.08814.
- [2] M. Chudnovsky, A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, "Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. VII. Disjoint holes", in preparation.
- [3] L. Esperet, Graph Colorings, Flows and Perfect Matchings, Habilitation thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes (2017), 24, https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01850463/document.
- [4] A. Gyárfás, "On Ramsey covering-numbers", in Infinite and Finite Sets, Vol. II (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973), Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10, 801–816.
- [5] A. Gyárfás, "Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs", Proceedings of the International Conference on Combinatorial Analysis and its Applications, (Pokrzywna, 1985), Zastos. Mat. 19 (1987), 413–441.
- [6] X. Liu, J. Schroeder, Z. Wang and X. Yu, "Polynomial  $\chi$ -binding functions for t-broom-free graphs", arXiv:2106.08871.
- [7] I. Schiermeyer, "On the chromatic number of (P<sub>5</sub>, windmill)-free graphs", Opuscula Math. 37 (2017), 609–615.
- [8] I. Schiermeyer and B. Randerath, "Polynomial  $\chi$ -binding functions and forbidden induced subgraphs: a survey", *Graphs and Combinatorics* **35** (2019), 1–31.
- [9] A. Scott and P. Seymour, "A survey of χ-boundedness", J. Graph Theory 95 (2020), 473–504, arXiv:1812.07500.
- [10] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, "Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. II. Excluding a star forest", submitted for publication, arXiv:2107.11780.
- [11] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, "Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. III. Excluding a double star", submitted for publication, arXiv:2108.07066.
- [12] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, "Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. IV. A near-polynomial bound for excluding the five-vertex path", submitted for publication, arXiv:2110.00278.

- [13] A. Scott and P. Seymour, "Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. V. Excluding a tree of radius two and a complete multipartite graph", submitted for publication, arXiv:2202.05557.
- [14] D. P. Sumner, "Subtrees of a graph and chromatic number", in *The Theory and Applications of Graphs*, (G. Chartrand, ed.), John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981), 557–576.