GYSIN MAPS FOR ORIENTED \mathbb{P}^1 -SPECTRA

LONGKE TANG

Categories always mean ∞ -categories. Everything is derived. Let Ani be the category of animas. Let Ring be the category of animated rings. Let St be the category of Zariski stacks, i.e. accessible functors Ring \to Ani that satisfy Zariski descent. Let $St^{\mathrm{ex}} \subseteq St$ be the full subcategory consisting of stacks that take blowup squares of derived regular immersions to pullback squares, so there is a localization functor $St \to St^{\mathrm{ex}}$ that takes all such squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \longrightarrow \tilde{X} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y & \longrightarrow X
\end{array}$$

to pushouts, where $Y \to X$ is a regular immersion; $\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ is initial with respect to this property. Let $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})$ be the category of \mathbb{P}^1 -motivic spectra as in [AI22, Definition 2.2.3]. Consider the initial symmetric monoidal category $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})[c]$ under $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})$ with a specified orientation, i.e. a left inverse $c \colon \mathbb{BG}_m \to \mathbb{P}^1$ to $\mathcal{O}(1) \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{BG}_m$. Note that by [AI22, Lemma 3.1.7, Theorem 2.4.5], $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})[c]$ is stable. There is obviously a chain of symmetric monoidal left adjoints

$$\mathsf{St} \to \mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex} \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex}) \to \mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex})[c].$$

I offen tacitly view things on the left as things on the right along these arrows. Note that by this convention, \mathbb{P}^1 is not the same as $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}1$, which is the pointed \mathbb{P}^1 .

In this writeup, I will associate to every regular immersion $Y \to X$ of codimension n a Gysin map $X/(X \setminus Y) \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n Y$ in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})[c]$.

Remark 1. Everything in St is canonically an \mathbb{E}_{∞} -coring by the diagonal map, and for a map $X \to Y$ of stacks, X is canonically a coalgebra over Y. Therefore, the same happens in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})[c]$ for images of stacks and their maps. This does not apply to $c : \mathbb{BG}_m \to \mathbb{P}^1$, since it does not come from a stack map.

Remark 2. Let c_1 denote the composite map $\mathbb{BG}_m \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1} 1$ of $c : \mathbb{BG}_m \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and the obvious map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1} 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let c_1^n denote the map $\mathbb{BG}_m \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n 1$ obtained by applying the Remark 1. By Yoneda, [AI22, Lemma 3.3.5] implies that, for a vector bundle $V \to X$ of rank n, the map

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_1^i(\mathcal{O}(1)) \colon \mathbb{P}(V) \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X$$

is an isomorphism of X-comodules in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})[c]$. Similarly, [AI22, Proposition 4.1.5] implies that the map

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_1^i(\mathcal{O}(1)) \colon \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i 1$$

is well-defined and is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex})[c]$.

Definition 3 (Chern classes). This is a refinement of [AI22, Definition 4.2.1]. Let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank n. Then for $1 \le i \le n$, the i^{th} Chern class of V is the map $c_i(V): X \to \Sigma^i_{\mathbb{P}^1} 1$ defined by twisting the composite

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-i}X \to \mathbb{P}(V) \to \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n 1$$

back by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{i-n}$, where the first arrow is the direct inclusion, the second arrow is $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and the third arrow is the direct projection. By convention the 0^{th} Chern class is the unique map $X \to 1$. The total Chern class of V is the map $c(V): X \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i 1$ obtained by summing all the c_i 's.

By an argument of Marc Hoyois that Toni Annala taught me, for any vector bundle $V \to X$, the natural map $V/\mathbb{G}_m \to X \times \mathbb{BG}_m$ is an isomorphism in the stabilization of $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})$, where \mathbb{G}_m acts on V by multiplication. I will refer to this fact by weighted homotopy invariance in what follows.

Definition 4 (Weighted Thom map). Let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank n. By Remark 2, the stack map $\mathcal{O}(1) \colon \mathbb{P}(V) \to X \times \mathbb{BG}_m$, after postcomposed with the direct projection

$$X \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X,$$

becomes an isomorphism of X-comodules. Therefore, the direct inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{i=n}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X = X \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{G}_m$$

gives an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{i=n}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X \cong (X \times \mathbb{BG}_m)/\mathbb{P}(V)$. The weighted Thom map of V is a map in the stabilization of $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^{\mathrm{ex}})$, defined as

$$(V/\mathbb{G}_m)/((V/\mathbb{G}_m)\setminus (0/\mathbb{G}_m))\cong (X\times \mathbb{BG}_m)/\mathbb{P}(V)\cong \bigoplus_{i=n}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^i X\to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n X$$

of X-comodules, where the first isomorphism is the weighted homotopy invariance and the definition of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, the second isomorphism is as explained in the previous sentence, and the final map is the direct projection.

In what follows, let $Y \to X$ be a regular immersion of codimension n. Consider the weighted deformation to the normal cone $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ as in [Tan22, Definition 5.11]. In short, $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathrm{Bl}_Y(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \setminus \mathrm{Bl}_Y(X))/\mathbb{G}_m$ thought of as over $\mathbb{A}_X^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, and $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{A}_Y^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ is naturally closed immersed into \mathfrak{X} . (So the second part of [Tan22, Remark 5.13] is a mistake.) Let $\mathfrak{Y}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}_0$ be the fiber of $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ over $0/\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Then it can be identified with the inclusion $0/\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}/\mathbb{G}_m$ of the zero section of the weighted normal bundle of Y in X. Note that the fiber of $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ is $Y \to X$.

Proposition 5. Let C denote the stabilization of $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex})$. Then the natural map $\mathfrak{X}_0/(\mathfrak{X}_0\setminus\mathfrak{Y}_0)\to\mathfrak{X}/(\mathfrak{X}\setminus\mathfrak{Y})$ is an isomorphism in C.

REFERENCES

3

Proof. Since $Bl_Y(X) \cap \mathbb{A}^1_Y = \emptyset$ in $Bl_Y(\mathbb{A}^1_X)$, by Zariski excision one can replace \mathfrak{X} by $Bl_Y(\mathbb{A}^1_X)/\mathbb{G}_m$. Consider the two blowup squares

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_{Y}(X) \qquad \qquad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_{Y}(\mathbb{A}^{1}_{X}) \\
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
Y \longrightarrow X \qquad \qquad Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}_{Y}$$

where the former has an obvious map to the latter. Note that after quotient by \mathbb{G}_m , the two lower rows become isomorphic; therefore by stability of \mathcal{C} , the cofibers of the two upper rows also become isomorphic. This means that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Y(X) \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{G}_m$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X} \oplus \mathcal{O})/\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Y(\mathbb{A}^1_X)/\mathbb{G}_m$$

is a fiber square in \mathcal{C} . Now note that $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(\mathbb{A}^1_X) \setminus \mathbb{A}^1_Y$ is the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Bl}_Y(X)}(-1)$; this implies that the cofiber of the right column is $\mathfrak{X}/(\mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Y})$. Similarly, since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$ is the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X})}(-1)$, the cofiber of the left column is $\mathfrak{X}_0/(\mathfrak{X}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{Y}_0)$. This proves the proposition.

Definition 6 (Gysin map). The Gysin map of $Y \to X$ is defined as the composite

$$X/(X\setminus Y)\to \mathfrak{X}/(\mathfrak{X}\setminus \mathfrak{Y})\cong \mathfrak{X}_0/(\mathfrak{X}_0\setminus \mathfrak{Y}_0)\to \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n Y$$

in $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex})[c]$, where the first map is induced by the inclusion $X \to \mathfrak{X}$ and the final map is the weighted Thom map of the vector bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$.

Question 7. Is there an unoriented Gysin map $X/(X \setminus Y) \to \Sigma_V^n Y$ in (the stabilization of) $\mathsf{Sp}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathsf{St}^\mathrm{ex})$?

References

- [AI22] Toni Annala and Ryomei Iwasa. Motivic spectra and universality of K-theory. 2022. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.03434.
- [Tan22] Longke Tang. Syntomic cycle classes and prismatic Poincaré duality. 2022. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.14279.