# Analysis 1 Recitation Session of Week 14

#### Jacob Shapiro

#### December 19, 2014

# 1 Exercise Sheet Number 12

### 1.1 Question 1

- For all  $(f, g) \in ([a, b]^{\mathbb{R}})^2$  define  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx$ .
- *Claim*: C<sup>0</sup> ([a, b], ℝ) together with ⟨·, ·⟩ defined above gives rise to a (real) inner product space.
  *Proof*:
  - *Claim*: C<sup>0</sup> ([a, b], ℝ) is a (real) vector space.
    *Proof*:
    - \* Define 'addition' as a map  $(C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R}))^2 \rightarrow C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  by:  $\forall (f, g) \in [C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})]^2$ ,  $f + g := (x \mapsto f(x) + g(x) \forall x \in [a]$  This map is well defined because of the theorem that says that the sum of two continuous maps is again continuous.
    - \* We must establish that this 'addition' operation endows  $C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  with the structure of a commutative group:
      - The identity element of the group is given by  $(x \mapsto 0 \forall x \in [a, b]) \in C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  because constant maps are continuous.
      - $\cdot \text{ The inverse element of } f \in C^0\left(\left[a, \ b\right], \mathbb{R}\right) \text{ is } (x \mapsto -f(x) \ \forall x \in \left[a, \ b\right]\right) \in C^0\left(\left[a, \ b\right], \mathbb{R}\right) \text{ because multiplication of a map}$
      - by -1 leaves a continuous map continuous.
      - $\cdot\,$  Addition is associative due to associativity of addition in  $\mathbb R.$
      - $\cdot\,$  Addition is commutative due to commutativity of addition in  $\mathbb R.$
    - \* Define 'scalar multiplication' as a map  $\mathbb{R} \times C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \to C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  by  $\forall (\alpha, f) \in \mathbb{R} \times C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha f := (x \mapsto \alpha f(x) \forall x \in [a, b])$ . This map is well defined because multiplication of a continuous map by a constant is again continuous.
    - \* We must to establish three properties of the two 'scalar multiplication' and 'addition' maps:
      - 1.  $\forall (\alpha, f, g) \in \mathbb{R} \times [C^0([\alpha, b], \mathbb{R})]^2, \alpha(f+g) = \alpha f + \alpha g \text{ indeed:}$ 
        - $\forall x \in [a, b] \alpha (f(x) + g(x)) = \alpha f(x) + \alpha g(x)$  because of distributivity in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
      - 2.  $\forall (\alpha, \beta, f) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times C^0([\alpha, b], \mathbb{R}), (\alpha + \beta) f = \alpha f + \beta f \text{ and } (\alpha\beta) f = \alpha (\beta f).$ 
        - ·  $\forall x \in [a, b] (\alpha + \beta) f(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(x)$ , thanks to distributivity in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
        - ·  $\forall x \in [a, b] (\alpha\beta) f(x) = \alpha (\beta f(x))$  due to associtivity of multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
      - 3.  $\forall f \in C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R}), 1f = f$ 
        - · Indeed, as  $\forall x \in [a, b] \ lf(x) = f(x)$ .
  - Now we need to establish that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is indeed an inner product. It is a map from  $[C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  because the integral produces a real number. It obeys the properties of the inner product.  $\forall (f, g) \in C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}$ 
    - 1. Symmetric:

2. Positive:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle f, f \rangle & \equiv & \int_{a}^{b} \left[ f(x) \right]^{2} dx \\ & \leqslant & (b-a) \underbrace{\min\left( \left\{ \left[ f(x) \right]^{2} \mid x \in [a, b] \right\} \right)}_{\geqslant 0} \end{array}$$

#### 3. Zero iff zero vector:

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} 0 dx$$
  
= 0

and if  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$  then  $\int_{\alpha}^{b} [f(x)]^{2} dx = 0$ . Now suppose  $f^{2}$  is not identically zero. Then  $\exists x_{0} \in [\alpha, b]$  such that  $[f(x_{0})]^{2} > 0$ . Because f is continuous,  $f^{2}$  is also continuous. So  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $|x - x_{0}| < \delta(\varepsilon)$  then  $|[f(x)]^{2} - [f(x_{0})]^{2}| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in [\alpha, b]$ . Pick  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2} > 0$ . Then  $[f(x)]^{2} > \frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2}$  for all  $x \in [x_{0} - \delta(\frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2})$ ,  $x_{0} + \delta(\frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2})]$ . Then a lower sum on a partition that contains the interval  $\left[x_{0} - \delta(\frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2}), x_{0} + \delta(\frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2})\right]$  is larger than or equal to  $[f(x_{0})]^{2} \delta(\frac{1}{2} [f(x_{0})]^{2}) > 0$ . But the lower sums become only *larger* as the partitions become finer (Theorem 6.4 in Rudin). As a result,  $\int_{\alpha}^{b} [f(x)]^{2} dx > 0$ , which is a contradiction to the initial hypotheis that  $\int_{\alpha}^{b} [f(x)]^{2} dx = 0$ . 4. Linearity in first slot:

Let  $(\alpha, \beta, h) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})]^2$  be given. Then we want to show that

$$\langle \alpha f + \beta g, h \rangle = \alpha \langle f, h \rangle + \beta \langle g, h \rangle$$

which follows easily from Rudin's Theorem 6.12:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha f + \beta g, h \rangle &\equiv \int_{a}^{b} \left\{ \left[ \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) \right] h(x) \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha f(x) h(x) + \beta g(x) h(x) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha f(x) h(x) \right] dx + \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \beta g(x) h(x) \right] dx \\ &= \alpha \int_{a}^{b} \left[ f(x) h(x) \right] dx + \beta \int_{a}^{b} \left[ g(x) h(x) \right] dx \\ &\equiv \alpha \langle f, h \rangle + \beta \langle g, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Holiday Exercise Sheet (Number 13)

#### 2.1 Convex Functions

(question 5.23 in Rudin)

- Let  $f \in (a, b)^{\mathbb{R}}$  be given.
- f is called *convex* iff

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$$

for all  $(x, y, \lambda) \in (a, b)^2 \times (0, 1)$ .

• *Claim*: If f is convex then f is continuous. *Proof*:

1. *Claim*:  $\forall$  (y, x<sub>0</sub>, x)  $\in$  (a, b)<sup>3</sup> such that a < y < x<sub>0</sub> < x < b the following relation holds

$$\frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} \leqslant \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \leqslant \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

Proof:

- Define  $\lambda := \frac{x_0 - y}{x - y}$ . Note that  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  by definition.

- Then  $1 - \lambda = 1 - \frac{x_0 - y}{x - y} = \frac{x - y - x_0 + y}{x - y} = \frac{x - x_0}{x - y}$  and so

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (1 - \lambda) \, (x - y) &=& x - x_0 \\ x - y - \lambda \, (x - y) &=& x - x_0 \\ - y - \lambda \, (x - y) &=& - x_0 \\ x_0 &=& \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) \, y \end{array}$$

- Thus we have

$$f(x_0) = f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y)$$
  
convexivity  
$$\leqslant \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$$
  
$$= \frac{x_0 - y}{x - y} f(x) + \frac{x - x_0}{x - y} f(y)$$

and so

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x-y)\,f(x_0) &\leqslant & (x_0-y)\,f(x)+(x-x_0)\,f(y) \\ (x-y)\,f(x_0)-(x-y)\,f(y) &\leqslant & (x_0-y)\,f(x)+(x-x_0)\,f(y)-(x-y)\,f(y) \\ & (x-y)\,[f(x_0)-f(y)] &\leqslant & (x_0-y)\,[f(x)-f(y)] \\ & \quad \frac{f(x_0)-f(y)}{x_0-y} &\leqslant & \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} \end{array}$$

We also have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x-y)\,f(x_0) &\leqslant & (x_0-y)\,f(x)+(x-x_0)\,f(y)\\ &-(x-y)\,f(x_0) &\geqslant & -(x_0-y)\,f(x)-(x-x_0)\,f(y)\\ (x-y)\,f(x)-(x-y)\,f(x_0) &\geqslant & (x-y)\,f(x)-(x_0-y)\,f(x)-(x-x_0)\,f(y)\\ &(x-y)\,[f(x)-f(x_0)] &\geqslant & (x-x_0)\,[f(x)-f(y)]\\ &\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} &\leqslant & \frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0}\end{array}$$

2. Let  $(y, \alpha, x_0, \beta, x) \in (a, b)^5$  be given such that  $y < \alpha < x_0 < \beta < x$ . Then by the preceding claim, we have that

$$\frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} \leqslant \frac{f(x_0) - f(\alpha)}{x_0 - \alpha} \leqslant \frac{f(\beta) - f(x_0)}{\beta - x_0} \leqslant \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

3. Define  $\begin{cases} m & := \frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} \\ M & := \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \end{cases}$ 

4. Thus we have

or

$$m \leq \frac{f(x_0) - f(\alpha)}{x_0 - \alpha} \leq \frac{f(\beta) - f(x_0)}{\beta - x_0} \leq M$$
$$\int m \leq \frac{f(x_0) - f(\alpha)}{x_0 - \alpha} \leq M$$

$$m \leqslant \frac{f(\beta) - f(x_0)}{\beta - x_0} \leqslant M$$

5. For the first inequality,  $\mathfrak{m} \leqslant \frac{f(x_0)-f(\alpha)}{x_0-\alpha} \leqslant M$  , or

$$m(x_0 - \alpha) \leqslant [f(x_0) - f(\alpha)] \leqslant M(x_0 - \alpha)$$

- If m > 0 and M > 0, define  $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ .

- \* Then if  $0 < x_0 \alpha < \delta$  then  $[f(x_0) f(\alpha)] < \epsilon$ .
- \* Because  $(x_0 \alpha) m > 0$ ,  $(x_0 \alpha) m > -\varepsilon$  so that  $f(x_0) f(\alpha) > -\varepsilon$ , so that  $|f(x_0) f(\alpha)| < \varepsilon$ .
- \* Thus

$$\lim_{\alpha \to x_0^-} f(\alpha) = f(x_0)$$

- If m < 0 and M > 0, define  $\delta := \varepsilon \min\left(\left\{\frac{1}{|m|}, \frac{1}{M}\right\}\right)$ .

- \* Then the right hand side is fulfilled.
- \* The left hand side has:
  - $\cdot x_0 \alpha < \delta$  then m  $(x_0 \alpha) > -\varepsilon$
  - · thus  $f(x_0) f(\alpha) > -\varepsilon$
- $* \ Thus \left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(\alpha\right)\right|<\epsilon.$
- If m < 0 and M < 0, define  $\delta := \varepsilon \frac{1}{|m|}$ .
  - \* Then  $f(x_0) f(\alpha) < 0 < \epsilon$  and  $f(x_0) f(\alpha) > (x_0 \alpha) m > -\epsilon$
- If m = 0 and so  $M \ge 0$ , define  $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$  (unless M = 0, in which case any  $\delta$  will do).
- If M = 0 and so  $m \leq 0$ , define  $\delta := \frac{\epsilon}{|m|}$  unless m = 0 and then any  $\delta$  will do.

6. Final conclusion:

$$\lim_{\alpha \to x_{0}^{-}} f(\alpha) = f(x_{0})$$

7. In a similar analysis we can conclude also that

$$\lim_{\beta \to x_0^+} f(\beta) = f(x_0)$$

- 8. That means that  $\lim_{x\to x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$ . Thus according to Rudin's Theorem 4.6 f is continuous at  $x_0$ .
- 9. As  $x_0$  was arbitrary, f is continuous.

#### 

- *Claim*: Every increasing convex function of a convex function is convex. *Proof*:
  - 1. Let  $(f, g) \in [(a, b)^{\mathbb{R}}]^2$  be given such that f is convex and g is convex and increasing.
  - 2. Define  $h \in (a, b)^{\mathbb{R}}$  by  $h := g \circ f$ .
  - 3. The statement of the claim is then that h is convex itself, as well.
  - 4. That means that h should obey

 $h\left(\lambda x+\left(1-\lambda\right)y\right)\leqslant\lambda h\left(x\right)+\left(1-\lambda\right)h\left(y\right)$ 

for all  $(x, y, \lambda) \in (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})^2 \times (\mathfrak{0}, 1)$ .

- 5.  $h(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y) = g(f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y))$  by definition.
- 6. Use the fact that f is convex, so that  $f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda) f(y)$ .
- 7. Use the fact that g is increasing, so if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then  $g(\alpha) \leq g(\beta)$ . In our case, that means that  $g(f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y)) \leq g(\lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y))$ .
- 8. Use the fact that g is convex so that  $g(\lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda) f(y)) \leq \lambda g(f(x)) + (1 \lambda) g(f(y))$ .

- 2.2 Differential Equations
- 2.3 Fourier Series
- 2.4 Riemann-Stieltjes Integral
- 2.5 Convolution and the Stone Weierstrass Theorem