

Princeton University
 Spring 2025 MAT425: Measure Theory
 HW6
 Mar 22nd 2025

March 30, 2025

1. Prove that if $\mu, \nu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two measures and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ then both $\alpha\mu$ and $\mu + \nu$ are measures as well.
2. Prove the chain rule for the Radon-Nikodym derivative. Let (X, \mathfrak{M}) be a measurable space. Let $\mu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be σ -finite, $\nu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\eta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be measures such that

$$\eta \ll \nu \ll \mu.$$

Prove that $\eta \ll \mu$ and that the Radon-Nikodym derivatives satisfy

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\mu} = \frac{d\eta}{d\nu} \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}.$$

3. Prove that if $\mu \ll \nu$ and $\nu \ll \mu$ then

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right)}.$$

4. Let (X, \mathfrak{M}) be a measurable space. Let $\mu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be σ -finite and let $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be measures such that

$$\nu_i \ll \mu \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

Show that the sum measure $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i$ obeys

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \ll \mu$$

too and calculate

$$\frac{d\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i}{d\mu}.$$

5. Let (X_i, \mathfrak{M}_i) be measurable spaces for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let $\mu_i : \mathfrak{M}_i \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be σ -finite, $\nu_i : \mathfrak{M}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given. Assume that $\nu_i \ll \mu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \nu_i \ll \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

and that

$$\frac{d\prod_{i=1}^n \nu_i}{d\prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d\nu_i}{d\mu_i}(x_i) \quad \left(x \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right).$$

6. Show that if δ_0 is a Dirac measure then $\delta_0 \perp \lambda$.
7. Consider both the counting measure c and Lebesgue measure λ on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$. Show that $\lambda \ll c$ but that $\frac{d\lambda}{dc}$ does not exist (which hypothesis of the Radon-Nikodym derivative theorem does not hold?).

8. Let a Borel measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be given such that $\mu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ and $H_\mu : \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+$ is Stieltjes transform (see the lecture notes for the definition). Let

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_{sc} + \mu_{pp}$$

be the Lebesgue decomposition of μ w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure $\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ (you may refer to the lecture notes for the notion of singular continuous and pure point). Then there exists some $M \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|H_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, if the support of any measure ν is defined as

$$\text{supp}(\nu) := \{x \in X \mid \forall U \in \text{Open}(X) : x \in U, \nu(U) > 0\}$$

then

$$\text{supp}(\mu_{ac}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Im \{H_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)\} \in (0, \infty) \right\}$$

and

$$\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{d\lambda}(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \{H_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Moreover,

$$\text{supp}(\mu_s) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Im \{H_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)\} = \infty \right\}, \quad \text{supp}(\mu_{pp}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \Im \{H_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)\} \in (0, \infty) \right\}.$$