

# DECOMPLETIONS

GYUJIN OH

## CONTENTS

1. Tate-Sen conditions	1
2. Example: Sen theory	3
References	4

### 1. TATE-SEN CONDITIONS

Sen's theory of decompletion is made to overcome the following situation. Given  $k$  a  $p$ -adic field and an infinitely ramified  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $k_\infty = \cup_n k_n$  of  $k$  (say,  $k_n = k(\mu_{p^n})$ ), one wants to "descend" a  $\mathbb{C}_k$ -representation of  $G_k$  to a finite level, namely over  $k_n$  for some  $n$ . However,  $\mathbb{C}_k^{G_{k_\infty}}$  is not  $k_\infty$ , but rather  $\widehat{k_\infty}$ , so taking  $G_{k_\infty}$ -invariants gives a functor

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_k}(G_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\widehat{k_\infty}}(\mathrm{Gal}(k_\infty/k)).$$

At this point, it is not obvious whether a nonzero input always yields a nonzero output, or even whether the functor is an equivalence. However, due to the existence of **Tate's normalized trace map** in this case, there is indeed an equivalence with the representation category even over  $k_\infty$ ! The classical Sen theory constructs a functor

$$D_{\mathrm{Sen}} : \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_k}(G_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{k_\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(k_\infty/k)),$$

which is a quasi-inverse to  $W \mapsto \mathbb{C}_k \otimes_{k_\infty} W$ . More precisely, for each  $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_k}(G_k)$ , the Sen theory finds a unique  $(\dim_{\mathbb{C}_k} V)$ -dimensional  $G_k$ -stable  $k_\infty$ -subspace  $D_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V) \subset V$  on which  $G_{k_\infty}$  acts trivially and  $\mathbb{C}_k \otimes_{k_\infty} D_{\mathrm{Sen}}(V) = V$ . This is extremely useful; for example, we can justify  $(\mathbb{C}_p \otimes \chi)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} = 0$ , where  $\chi$  is the cyclotomic character.

*Proof.* If not, by the Sen theory and the usual Galois descent,  $(k_n \otimes \chi)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} \neq 0$  for some  $n$ . However, this implies that  $\ker \chi$  contains  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k_n)$ , so that  $\chi$  has finite image, which is false.  $\square$

The aforementioned Tate's normalized trace map is defined as

$$R_{k_m/k_n} := \frac{1}{[k_m : k_n]} \mathrm{Tr}_{k_m/k_n} : k_m \rightarrow k_n.$$

This then extends unambiguously to  $k_\infty$ , which defines  $R_{k_\infty/k_n} = \varinjlim R_{k_m/k_n} : k_\infty \rightarrow k_n$ . The role of normalized trace maps is that they give some control (in terms of metric) on elements of (finite extensions of)  $\widehat{k_\infty}$ .

The decompletion argument of Sen is further axiomatized by Colmez, who formulated the general **Tate-Sen conditions** which formally imply a similar consequence as that of the classical Sen theory. We first informally formulate the three Tate-Sen conditions in certain generality, and try to justify the conditions afterwards.

**Definition 1.1** (Tate-Sen conditions). Let  $k_\infty/k$  be an infinitely ramified extension. Let  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra with a complete topology equipped by a “valuation”  $v : \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  has an isometric and continuous  $G_k$ -action. The following three conditions for  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  are called the **Tate-Sen conditions**.

- (TS1) There is a constant  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any finite Galois extensions  $l'/l/k$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}^{G_{l'}}$  satisfying  $v(\alpha) > -c_1$  and  $\text{Tr}_{l'/l}(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (TS2) There is a constant  $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any finite Galois extension  $l/k$ , there exist an increasing sequence of closed  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -subalgebras  $\Lambda_{l,n} \subset \tilde{\Lambda}^{G_{l,n}}$  and normalized trace maps  $R_{l,n} : \tilde{\Lambda}^{G_{l,n}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{l,n}$  for large enough  $n$ 's. The normalized trace maps have some “uniformly controlled behavior” in terms of  $c_2$ ; in particular,  $v(R_{l,n}(x)) \geq v(x) - c_2$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{l,n}(x) = x$ .
- (TS3) There is a constant  $c_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any finite Galois extension  $l/k$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(l_\infty/k)$  and  $n$  large enough (depending on  $l$  and  $\gamma$ ; the closer  $\gamma$  is to 1, the larger  $n$  needs to be),  $(\gamma - 1)$  is invertible on  $X_{l,n} := \ker(R_{l,n})$  and  $v(x) \geq v((\gamma - 1)x) - c_3$  for all  $x \in X_{l,n}$ .

In the Sen theory,  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}_k$ . The above definition is by no means precise, and the actual definition of Tate-Sen conditions is more involved; see for example [BrCo, 14.1], [Ber]. A typical consequence of the Tate-Sen formalism is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  satisfies the Tate-Sen conditions, then the natural map

$$\lim_{l/k \text{ Galois}} \lim_n H^1(\text{Gal}(l_\infty/k), \text{GL}_d(\Lambda_{l,n})) \rightarrow H^1(G_k, \text{GL}_d(\tilde{\Lambda})),$$

is an isomorphism.

In terms of  $G_k$ -representations over  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ , we have the following theorem, which says that we can **uniquely**, in a strong sense, find a descent submodule inside the given representation.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $W$  be a free rank  $d$   $G_k$ -representation over  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ . Then, there exists a finite extension  $l/k$  and a finite free rank  $d$   $\Lambda_{l,\infty} := \varinjlim_n \Lambda_{l,n}$ -submodule  $W' \subset W$  such that  $W'$  is a descent of  $W$  as a  $\text{Gal}(l_\infty/k)$ -representation (i.e.  $W = W' \otimes_{\Lambda_{l,\infty}} \tilde{\Lambda}$  as  $\tilde{\Lambda}[G_k]$ -modules), and is unique in a certain sense.

If one believes the above assertions and that the Tate-Sen conditions are indeed satisfied for  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}_k$  (with Tate’s normalized trace maps), then we could deduce the following

**Theorem 1.3.** Given a  $p$ -adic representation  $V$  of  $G_k$ , for any large enough  $n$ , there exists a Galois stable  $k_n$ -vector space  $W_n \subset (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{G_{k_\infty}}$  such that the inclusion induces an isomorphism  $W_n \otimes_{k_n} \widehat{k_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{G_{k_\infty}}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Theorem 1.3 implies that, for a  $d$ -dimensional  $p$ -adic  $G_k$ -representation  $V$ ,  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{G_{k_\infty}}$  is  $d$ -dimensional over  $\widehat{k_\infty}$ . On the other hand, this conclusion can be alternatively achieved from the so-called **overconvergence of  $p$ -adic representations**. More precisely, Fontaine’s theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules relates an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module (over some period ring “ $\mathbb{B}_k$ ”) to  $V$ , and a theorem of Cherbonnier-Colmez implies that an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module can be descended down to be over the overconvergent period ring (“ $\mathbb{B}_k^\dagger$ ”), from which we can explicitly find a basis of  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{G_{k_\infty}}$  by using comparison isomorphism and a  $\theta$ -like map; note that  $\theta$  does not extend to the whole  $W(\mathbb{C}_k^b)$  as the sum may not converge, but it extends to a subring where the formal series gives a convergent sum.

The Cherbonnier-Colmez theorem is usually proved also by using a Tate-Sen formalism [BeCo, 4.2]. On the other hand, if one’s objective is to just prove that  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{G_{k_\infty}}$  is of right dimension, then one can work over the perfectoid field  $K = \widehat{k_\infty}$ , and the theory becomes much simpler. We sketch the argument briefly here. Take a  $G_K$ -stable lattice  $T \subset V$ . Fontaine’s theory gives an equivalence of categories (where the argument is an easy application of Galois descent; see the proof of [Ked, Theorem 2.3.5])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Free finite rank} \\ \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-representations of} \\ G_K \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Étale } \varphi\text{-modules} \\ \text{over } W(K^b) \end{array} \right\},$$

where an *étale  $\varphi$ -module* over  $W(K^b)$  is a finite rank free  $W(K^b)$ -module with a semilinear Frobenius action such that the Frobenius action takes a basis to a basis. On the other hand, the overconvergence of étale  $\varphi$ -module in this case (cf. [Ked, Lemma 2.4.4]) implies that the base change functor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Étale } \varphi\text{-modules} \\ \text{over } W^{(0,1]}(K^b) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Étale } \varphi\text{-modules} \\ \text{over } W(K^b) \end{array} \right\},$$

is an equivalence, where

$$W^{(0,r]}(K^b) = \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} p^i [a_i] : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left( v(a_i) + \frac{i}{r} \right) = +\infty \right\}.$$

What this says is that there is a  $d$ -dimensional étale  $\varphi$ -module  $D^{(0,1]}(T)$  over  $W^{(0,1]}(K^b)$  such that there is a comparison isomorphism

$$D^{(0,1]}(T) \otimes_{W^{(0,1]}(K^b)} W^{(0,1]}(\mathbb{C}_K^b) \xrightarrow{\sim} T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^{(0,1]}(\mathbb{C}_K^b),$$

respecting Galois and Frobenius action on both sides. What is really proved is that one finds a (unique)  $W^{(0,1]}(\mathbb{C}_K^b)$ -basis of  $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^{(0,1]}(\mathbb{C}_K^b)$  such that the Galois action has matrix entries in  $W^{(0,1]}(K^b)$ . The upshot here is that the  $\theta$  map now linearly extends to  $\theta : W^{(0,1]}(K^b) \rightarrow K$ . Thus, applying  $\theta$  to the comparison isomorphism, we find a basis of  $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_K$  whose Galois actions have matrix entries contained in  $K$ . The  $K$ -module generated by the basis, which is  $d$ -dimensional, is contained in  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_K)^{G_K}$ , so we get the right dimension.

## 2. EXAMPLE: SEN THEORY

We finish the discussion of Tate-Sen formalism by justifying some conditions in the classical setting of Sen, namely  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}_k$ . Firstly, the first condition (TS1) is a direct consequence of the almost purity result of Tate:

**Theorem 2.1** (Tate). *Let  $k_\infty/k$  be an infinitely ramified Galois extension, whose Galois group is locally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For any finite extension  $M/k_\infty$ , the image of  $\text{Tr}_{M/k_\infty} : \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k_\infty}$  contains  $\mathfrak{m}_{k_\infty}$ .*

From this, we can see that, in the classical Sen theory, (TS1) is satisfied for any choice of  $c_1 > 0$ . Namely, Tate's almost purity says that  $\mathfrak{m}_{l_\infty} \subset \text{Tr}_{l'_\infty/l_\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{l'_\infty})$ , and  $l_\infty/l$  is infinitely ramified, we can choose  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{l_\infty}$  such that  $v(a) < c_1$ . Thus,  $1 \in \text{Tr}_{l'_\infty/l_\infty}(a^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{l'_\infty})$ , and every element in  $a^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{l'_\infty}$  has valuation bounded below by  $-v(a) > -c_1$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Theorem 2.1 is usually proven by studying ramification carefully, and intermediately one proves that, given a finite Galois extension  $k'/k$ ,  $v_p(\mathcal{D}_{k'/k_n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the different. This statement is literally the almost purity; recall that, in a perfectoid setting, almost purity is the following assertion: *for a perfectoid algebra  $A$  (over some perfectoid field, say), any finite étale  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is perfectoid, and  $B^\circ/A^\circ$  is almost finite étale.* As the different is the annihilator of  $\Omega^1$ , that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(\mathcal{D}_{k'_n/k_n}) = 0$  implies that  $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{k'_\infty}/\mathcal{O}_{k_\infty}}$  is annihilated by an element of arbitrarily small valuation, thus annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}_{k'_\infty}$ , or that  $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{k'_\infty}/\mathcal{O}_{k_\infty}}$  is “almost zero,” so that  $\text{Tr}_{k'_\infty/k_\infty} : \mathcal{O}_{k'_\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k_\infty}$  is almost étale.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 indicated above inherently uses an “integral model,” and the same is true when deriving consequences formally from the Tate-Sen conditions. However, almost purity can be proved by exploiting tilting equivalence of the generic fiber. Thus, one may think that the whole process of decomposition may be done without a control of ramification of integral model. This is the idea that will be demonstrated through the formalism of **decompletion systems** (after Kedlaya-Liu).

The conditions of (TS2) can be summarized as follows.

- $R_{l,n}$ 's are Galois equivariant and compatible with respect to  $l$  and  $n$ .
- $R_{l,n}$  restricted to  $\Lambda_{l,n}$  is the identity map.

- For  $n$  large enough depending on  $l$ ,  $v(R_{l,n}(x)) \geq v(x) - c_2$ .
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{l,n}(x) = x$ .

We justify some of the above aspects for the Tate's normalized trace map  $R_{k_\infty/k_n} : k_\infty \rightarrow k_n$ .

- First of all, we need to extend the Tate's normalized trace to  $\widehat{k_\infty}$ , which follows from the continuity of them, a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.
- The ramification theory says that there is a constant  $n(k)$ ,  $c$  and a bounded sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq n(k)}$  such that  $v(\mathfrak{D}_{k_n/k_{n(k)}}) = n + c + p^{-n}a_n$  for all  $n \geq n(k)$  (cf. [BrCo, Proposition 13.1.9]). Using this we easily get that, for any  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $v(R_{l,n}(x)) \geq v(x) - c_2$  for large  $n$ , for  $x \in l_\infty$ . By continuity we can extend this to  $x \in \widehat{l_\infty}$ . That  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{l,n}(x) = x$  also follows from this estimate.

We finally justify (TS3). As  $\ker(\gamma - 1) \subset l_n$  for some large  $n$  and  $X_{l,m} \cap l_n = 0$  for large enough  $m$ , for  $m \geq n \gg 0$ ,  $(\gamma - 1)$  induces a  $k$ -linear injection on  $X_{l,n} \cap l_m$ . As this space is finite-dimensional,  $(\gamma - 1)$  is a bijection. Taking a limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that  $(\gamma - 1)$  induces a bijection on  $X_{l,n} \cap l_\infty$ . Provided that we have a bounded inverse for  $(\gamma - 1)$  on  $X_{l,n} \cap l_\infty$ , we can extend the invertibility to the whole  $X_{l,n} (= X_{l,n} \cap \widehat{l_\infty})$ . For the bound  $v(x) \geq v((\gamma - 1)x) - c_3$  for  $x \in X_{l,n} \cap l_\infty$ , we can try to bound  $v(x - R_{l_\infty/l_n}(x))$  instead. One can see that any  $c_3 > 1$  works in this case, by noticing that all elements of  $\text{Gal}(l_k/l_{k-1})$  can be expressed as a power of  $\gamma$  for large enough  $k$  (so that  $x - R_{l_\infty/l_n}(x) = p^{-m}P(\gamma)(1 - \gamma)x$  for some  $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and that  $v(\text{Tr}_{l_m/l_n}(x)) \geq v(x) + v(\mathfrak{D}_{l_m/l_n})$  (so that, for large  $n$ ,  $v(R_{l_m/l_n}(x)) \geq v(x) + p^{-m}a_m - p^{-n}a_n$ ).

#### REFERENCES

- [Ber] L. Berger, *Galois representations and  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules*, Course given at IHP in 2010, Preprint.
- [BeCo] L. Berger, P. Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie  $p$ -adique*, Astérisque **319**, 2008.
- [BrCo] O. Brinon, B. Conrad, *CMI notes on  $p$ -adic Hodge theory*, Preprint, Clay Mathematics Institute, 2009.
- [Ked] K. Kedlaya, *New methods for  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules*, Res. Math. Sci. **2:20** (Robert Coleman memorial issue), 2015.