

## 1. PROJECTS: PROPAGATING THE IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURE VIA CONGRUENCES

**1.1. Goal of these projects.** Let  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  be normalized eigenforms (not necessarily newforms) of weight  $k \geq 2$ , say with rational Fourier coefficients  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbf{Q}$  for simplicity, and assume that

$$f \equiv g \pmod{p}$$

in the sense that  $a_n \equiv b_n \pmod{p}$  for all  $n > 0$ . Roughly speaking, the goal of these projects is to study how knowledge of the Iwasawa main conjecture for  $f$  can be “transferred” to  $g$ .

For  $k = 2$  and primes  $p \nmid N$  of ordinary reduction, such study was pioneered by Greenberg–Vatsal [GV00], and in these projects we will aim to extend some of their results to:

- non-ordinary primes;
- certain anticyclotomic settings;
- (more ambitiously) some of the “residually reducible” cases which eluded the methods of [GV00], with applications to the  $p$ -part of the BSD formula in ranks 0 and 1.

**1.2. The method of Greenberg–Vatsal.** Before jumping into the specifics of each of those settings, let us begin with a brief outline of the method of Greenberg–Vatsal (which is beautifully explained in [GV00, §1]). Let  $F_\infty/F$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of a number field  $F$ , and identify the Iwasawa algebra  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)]]$  with the one-variable power series ring  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$  in the usual fashion.

Recall that Iwasawa’s main conjecture for  $f$  over  $F_\infty/F$  posits the following equality between principal ideals of  $\Lambda$ :

$$(1.1) \quad (L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) \stackrel{?}{=} (L_p^{\text{an}}(f)),$$

where

- $L_p^{\text{alg}}(f) \in \Lambda$  is a characteristic power series of a Selmer group for  $f$  over  $F_\infty/F$ .
- $L_p^{\text{an}}(f) \in \Lambda$  is a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function interpolating critical values for  $L(f/F, s)$  twisted by certain characters of  $\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ .

By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we may uniquely write

$$L_p^{\text{alg}}(f) = p^{\mu^{\text{alg}}(f)} \cdot Q^{\text{alg}}(f) \cdot U,$$

with  $\mu^{\text{alg}}(f) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $Q^{\text{alg}}(f) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$  a distinguished polynomial, and  $U \in \Lambda^\times$  an invertible power series. Letting

$$\lambda^{\text{alg}}(f) := \deg Q^{\text{alg}}(f),$$

and similarly defining  $\mu^{\text{an}}(f)$  and  $\lambda^{\text{an}}(f)$  in terms  $L_p^{\text{an}}(f)$ , the strategy of [GV00] is based on the following three observations:

**O1.** The equality (1.1) amounts to having:

- (1)  $(L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) \supseteq (L_p^{\text{an}}(f))$ ,
- (2)  $\mu^{\text{alg}}(f) = \mu^{\text{an}}(f)$ ,
- (3)  $\lambda^{\text{alg}}(f) = \lambda^{\text{an}}(f)$ .

We shall place ourselves in a situation where one expects that  $\mu^{\text{alg}}(f) = \mu^{\text{an}}(f) = 0$ .

**O2.** For  $\Sigma$  any finite set of primes  $\ell \neq p, \infty$ , the equality (1.1) is *equivalent* to the equality

$$(1.2) \quad (L_{p,\text{alg}}^\Sigma(f)) \stackrel{?}{=} (L_{p,\text{an}}^\Sigma(f)),$$

where  $L_{p,\text{alg}}^\Sigma(f)$  and  $L_{p,\text{an}}^\Sigma(f)$  are the “imprimitive” counterparts of  $L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)$  and  $L_p^{\text{an}}(f)$  obtained (roughly speaking) by relaxing the local conditions/removing the Euler factors at the primes  $\ell \in \Sigma$ .

**O3.** For appropriate  $\Sigma$ , the objects involved in (1.2) are well-behaved under congruences. Letting  $\mu_{\text{alg}}^\Sigma(f)$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{alg}}^\Sigma(f)$ , etc. be the obvious invariants from the above discussion, this translates into:

**Expectation 1.** Assume that  $f \equiv g \pmod{p}$ , and let  $\ast \in \{\text{alg}, \text{an}\}$ . If  $\mu_\ast^\Sigma(f) = 0$ , then  $\mu_\ast^\Sigma(g) = 0$  and  $\lambda_\ast^\Sigma(f) = \lambda_\ast^\Sigma(g)$ .

Now, if we are given  $f \equiv g \pmod{p}$  and the divisibilities

$$(1.3) \quad (L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) \supseteq (L_p^{\text{an}}(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad (L_p^{\text{alg}}(g)) \supseteq (L_p^{\text{an}}(g)),$$

we see that the equivalence of **O2** combined with **Expectation 1** yields the implication

$$(1.4) \quad (L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) = (L_p^{\text{an}}(f)) \implies (L_p^{\text{alg}}(g)) = (L_p^{\text{an}}(g)).$$

Note that this has interesting applications. Indeed, if for example the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is absolutely irreducible, then one can hope to establish (1.3) by an Euler/Kolyvagin system argument. Proving the opposite divisibility (either via Eisenstein congruences, or via a refined Euler/Kolyvagin system argument) often requires additional ramification hypotheses on  $\bar{\rho}_f$  relative to the level of  $f$  (see below for specific examples), a restriction that could be ultimately removed thanks to (1.4).

**1.3. On the cyclotomic main conjectures for non-ordinary primes.** Here we let  $F_\infty/F$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , let  $p \nmid N$  be a non-ordinary prime for  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ , and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be the roots of the  $p$ -th Hecke polynomial of  $f$ . In this setting, Lei–Loeffler–Zerbes [LLZ10], [LLZ11], formulated<sup>1</sup> “signed” main conjectures:

$$(1.5) \quad (L_p^\sharp(f)) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Char}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_\sharp(f)^\vee), \quad (L_p^\flat(f)) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Char}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_\flat(f)^\vee),$$

where  $\text{Sel}_\sharp(f)$  and  $\text{Sel}_\flat(f)$  are Selmer groups cut out by local condition at  $p$  more stringent than the usual ones, and  $L_p^\sharp(f), L_p^\flat(f) \in \Lambda$  are related to the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions  $L_p^\alpha(f), L_p^\beta(f)$  of Amice–Vélu and Vishik in the following manner:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} L_p^\alpha(f) \\ L_p^\beta(f) \end{pmatrix} = Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} M_{\log} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} L_p^\sharp(f) \\ L_p^\flat(f) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ -p & p \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_{\log}$  is a certain “logarithm matrix”.

**Project A.** Show **Expectation 1** for the signed  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions. More precisely, for each  $\bullet \in \{\sharp, \flat\}$ , show that if  $f \equiv g \pmod{p}$ , then

$$\mu(L_p^\bullet(f)) = 0 \implies \mu(L_p^\bullet(g)) = 0$$

and the  $\lambda$ -invariants of  $\Sigma$ -imprimitive versions of  $L_p^\bullet(f)$  and  $L_p^\bullet(g)$  are equal.

Say  $k = 2$  for simplicity. Similarly as in [GV00], the proof of this result would follow from the equality

$$L_p^{\Sigma,\bullet}(f) \equiv u L_p^{\Sigma,\bullet}(g) \pmod{p\Lambda},$$

for some unit  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ , which in turn would follow from establishing the congruence

$$(1.7) \quad L_p^{\Sigma,\bullet}(f, \zeta - 1) \equiv u L_p^{\Sigma,\bullet}(g, \zeta - 1) \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]},$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$  and some  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  independent of  $\zeta$ . However, a point of departure here from the  $p$ -ordinary setting is that (unless  $a_p = b_p = 0$ ) the signed  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions  $L_p^\bullet(f), L_p^\bullet(g)$  are not directly related to twisted  $L$ -values, and so the arguments of [GV00, §3] do not suffice to cover this case. Nonetheless, it should be possible to exploit the result of [Vat99, Prop. 1.7], which amounts to the congruence

$$L_p^{\Sigma,\star}(f, \zeta - 1) \equiv u L_p^{\Sigma,\star}(g, \zeta - 1) \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]}$$

for both  $\star \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ , together with (1.6) to establish (1.7). This will involve a detailed analysis of the values of  $M_{\log}$  at  $p$ -power roots of unity, for which some of the calculations in [LLZ17] (see esp. [loc.cit., Lem. 3.7]) might be useful.

<sup>1</sup>Extending earlier work of Kobayashi, Pollack, Lei, and Sprung

*Remark 1.1.* The algebraic analogue of Project A has recently been established by Hatley–Lei (see [HL16, Thm. 4.6]). On the other hand, as shown in [LLZ11, Cor. 6.6], either of the main conjectures (1.5) is equivalent to Kato’s main conjecture (see [LLZ11, Conj. 6.2]). Thus from the discussion of §1.2 and the main result of [KKS17], we see that a successful completion of Project A would yield<sup>2</sup> cases of the signed main conjectures beyond those covered by [Wan14] or [CÇSS17, Thm. B], where the following hypothesis is needed:

there exists a prime  $\ell \neq p$  with  $\ell \parallel N$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is ramified at  $\ell$ .

(cf. [KKS17, §1.2.3]).

**1.4. On the anticyclotomic main conjecture of Bertolini–Darmon–Prasanna.** Here we let  $F_\infty/F$  be the anticyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  in which

$$p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits,}$$

let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ , and let  $p \nmid N$  be a prime. Assume also that every prime factor of  $N$  splits in  $K$ ; so  $K$  satisfies the *Heegner hypothesis*, and  $N^- = 1$  with the standard notation.

The Iwasawa–Greenberg main conjecture for the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(f) \in \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p[[\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)]]$  introduced in [BDP13] predicts that

$$(1.8) \quad \text{Char}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_p(f)^\vee) \Lambda_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p} \stackrel{?}{=} (L_p(f)),$$

where  $\Lambda_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p} = \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p[[T]]$  and  $\text{Sel}_p(f)$  is a Selmer group defined by imposing local triviality (resp. no condition) at the primes above  $\mathfrak{p}$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ ).

**Project B.** *Show Expectation 1 for the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions of [BDP13]. That is, if  $f \equiv g \pmod{p}$ , then  $\mu(L_p(f)) = \mu(L_p(g)) = 0^3$  and the  $\lambda$ -invariants of  $\Sigma$ -imprimitive versions of  $L_p(f)$  and  $L_p(g)$  are equal.*

Similarly as for Project A, in weight  $k = 2$  this problem can be reduced to establishing the congruence

$$(1.9) \quad L_p^\Sigma(f, \zeta - 1) \equiv u L_p^\Sigma(g, \zeta - 1) \pmod{p \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p[\zeta]}$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$  and some  $u \in \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p^\times$  independent of  $\zeta$ . Now, by the  $p$ -adic Waldspurger formula of [BDP13, Thm. 5.13], the congruence of [KL16, Thm. 2.9] amounts to (1.9) for  $\zeta = 1$ , and so a promising approach to Project B would be based on extending the result of [KL16, Thm. 2.9] to ramified characters.

*Remark 1.2.* When  $p$  is a good *ordinary* prime, the algebraic analogue of Project B has recently been established by Hatley–Lei (see [HL17, Prop. 4.2 and Thm. 5.4]). On the other hand, one can show that Howard’s divisibility towards Perrin–Riou’s Heegner point main conjecture implies one of the divisibilities predicted by (1.8) (see [How04, Thm. B] and [Cas17b, App. A]). Similarly as in [KKS17], it should be possible to show (this is work in progress) that a suitable refinement of the Kolyvagin system arguments of [How04] combined with Wei Zhang’s proof of Kolyvagin’s conjecture [Zha14]<sup>4</sup> yields the full equality (1.8). In particular, this would yield new cases of conjecture (1.8) with  $N^- = 1$  (not currently available in the literature), and even more cases (under a somewhat weaker version of Hypothesis ♠ in [Zha14], still with  $N^- = 1$ ) after a successful completion of Project B.

Finally, in line with the previous remark, we note that the following should be possible:

**Project C.** *Extend the results of [HL17] to the non-ordinary case.*

<sup>2</sup>Subject to the nonvanishing mod  $p$  of some “Kurihara number”

<sup>3</sup>Note that in this case the vanishing of  $\mu$ -invariants is known under mild hypotheses by [Hsi14, Thm. B] and [Bur17, Thm. B]

<sup>4</sup>Which can be seen as proving “primitivity” in the sense of [MR04] of the Heeger point Kolyvagin system

**1.5. On the  $p$ -part of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer formula for residually reducible primes.** Here we consider the primes  $p > 2$  for which the associated residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is *reducible*. For simplicity, assume that  $f$  corresponds to an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  (admitting a rational  $p$ -isogeny with kernel  $\Phi$ ). The combination of [GV00, Thm. 3.12] (with a key input from [Kat04, Thm. 17.4]) and [Gre99, Thm.4.1] yields the  $p$ -part of the BSD formula for  $E$  in analytic rank 0, i.e., when  $L(E, 1) \neq 1$ , provided the following holds:

(GV) the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -action on  $\Phi \subset E[p]$  is either  $\begin{cases} \text{ramified at } p \text{ and even, or} \\ \text{unramified at } p \text{ and odd.} \end{cases}$

Similarly as in the residually irreducible cases considered in [JSW17], the above result (applied to a suitable quadratic twist of  $E$ ) would be an important ingredient in the following:

**Project D.** *Prove the  $p$ -part of the BSD formula in analytic rank 1 for elliptic curves  $E$  and primes  $p > 2$  for which (GV) does not hold.*

Following the strategy of [JSW17] and [Cas17a], a key ingredient toward this<sup>5</sup> would be the proof of the relevant cases of the anticyclotomic main conjecture (1.8). By the discussion in §1.2, this could be approached in the following steps:

- (1) establish the divisibility “ $\supseteq$ ” in (1.8) (possibly after inverting  $p$ ), based on a suitable refinement of the Kolyvagin system argument in [How04].
- (2) show that  $\mu(L_p(f)) = 0$  based on the congruence of [Kri16, Thm. 3] between  $L_p(f)$  and an anticyclotomic Katz  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function, and Hida’s results on the vanishing of  $\mu$  for the latter.
- (3) letting  $L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)$  be a generator of the characteristic ideal in (1.8), show that  $\mu(L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) = 0$  and  $\lambda(L_p^{\text{alg}}(f)) = \lambda(L_p(f))$  based on an algebraic counterpart of [Kri16, Thm. 3] and the known cases of the main conjecture for the anticyclotomic Katz  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function.

After this is carried out, we could try to study the missing cases:

**Project E.** *Prove the  $p$ -part of the BSD formula for elliptic curves  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  at residually reducible primes  $p > 2$  when:*

- $L(E, 1) \neq 0$  and (GV) doesn’t hold (complementing the cases that follow from [GV00]).
- $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$  and (GV) holds (complementing the cases covered by Project D).

Finally, we should note that  $p = 2$  has been neglected throughout the above discussion, but one would of course like to understand this case as well. (See e.g. [CLZ17] for recent results in this direction.)

## REFERENCES

- [BDP13] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna, *Generalized Heegner cycles and  $p$ -adic Rankin  $L$ -series*, *Duke Math. J.* **162** (2013), no. 6, 1033–1148.
- [Bur17] Ashay A. Burungale, *On the non-triviality of the  $p$ -adic Abel-Jacobi image of generalised Heegner cycles modulo  $p$ , II: Shimura curves*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **16** (2017), no. 1, 189–222. MR 3591965
- [Cas17a] Francesc Castella, *On the  $p$ -part of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer formula for multiplicative primes*, *Camb. J. Math.*, to appear (2017).
- [Cas17b] ———,  *$p$ -adic heights of Heegner points and Beilinson-Flach classes*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **96** (2017), no. 1, 156–180. MR 3687944
- [CÇSS17] Francesc Castella, Mirela Çiperiani, Christopher Skinner, and Florian Sprung, *On two-variable main conjectures for modular forms at non-ordinary primes*, preprint (2017).
- [CLZ17] Li Cai, Chao Li, and Shuai Zhai, *On the 2-part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for quadratic twists of elliptic curves*, preprint, [arXiv:1712.01271](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01271) (2017).
- [Gre99] Ralph Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves*, *Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves* (Cetraro, 1997), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1716, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 51–144.

<sup>5</sup>Note that there are other points where the residually irreducible hypothesis is used in [JSW17], e.g. in the “anticyclotomic control theorem” of [*loc.cit.*, §3.3], but handling these should be relatively easy.

- [GV00] Ralph Greenberg and Vinayak Vatsal, *On the Iwasawa invariants of elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. **142** (2000), no. 1, 17–63. MR 1784796
- [HL16] Jeffrey Hatley and Antonio Lei, *Arithmetic properties of signed Selmer groups at non-ordinary primes*, preprint, [arXiv:1608.00257](#) (2016).
- [HL17] ———, *Comparing anticyclotomic Selmer groups of positive coranks for congruent modular forms*, preprint, [arXiv:1706.04531](#) (2017).
- [How04] Benjamin Howard, *The Heegner point Kolyvagin system*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1439–1472. MR 2098397 (2006a:11070)
- [Hsi14] Ming-Lun Hsieh, *Special values of anticyclotomic Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -functions*, Doc. Math. **19** (2014), 709–767. MR 3247801
- [JSW17] Dimitar Jetchev, Christopher Skinner, and Xin Wan, *The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for elliptic curves of analytic rank one*, Camb. J. Math. **5** (2017), no. 3, 369–434. MR 3684675
- [Kat04] Kazuya Kato,  *$p$ -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque (2004), no. 295, ix, 117–290, Cohomologies  $p$ -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III. MR 2104361 (2006b:11051)
- [KKS17] Chan-Ho Kim, Myoungil Kim, and Hae-Sang Sun, *On the indivisibility of derived Kato’s Euler systems and the main conjecture for modular forms*, preprint, [arXiv:1709.05780](#) (2017).
- [KL16] Daniel Kriz and Chao Li, *Congruences between Heegner points and quadratic twists of elliptic curves*, preprint, [arXiv:1606.03172](#) (2016).
- [Kri16] Daniel Kriz, *Generalized Heegner cycles at Eisenstein primes and the Katz  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 2, 309–374. MR 3477744
- [LLZ10] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms*, Asian J. Math. **14** (2010), no. 4, 475–528.
- [LLZ11] ———, *Coleman maps and the  $p$ -adic regulator*, Algebra Number Theory **5** (2011), no. 8, 1095–1131. MR 2948474
- [LLZ17] ———, *On the asymptotic growth of Bloch-Kato-Shafarevich-Tate groups of modular forms over cyclotomic extensions*, Canad. J. Math. **69** (2017), no. 4, 826–850. MR 3679697
- [MR04] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin, *Kolyvagin systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), no. 799, viii+96. MR 2031496 (2005b:11179)
- [Vat99] V. Vatsal, *Canonical periods and congruence formulae*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), no. 2, 397–419. MR 1695203
- [Wan14] Xin Wan, *Iwasawa main conjecture for supersingular elliptic curves*, preprint, [arXiv:1411.6352](#) (2014).
- [Zha14] Wei Zhang, *Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points*, Camb. J. Math. **2** (2014), no. 2, 191–253. MR 3295917