

# Decay for Schrödinger and related equations.

## Intoduction to PDE

This is from my paper “Decay estimates for Schrödinger equations”, Com. Math. Phys **127** (1990), 101-108.

The system we study (Schrödinger-Davey-Stewartson-Zakharov) is

$$\begin{aligned}i\partial_t u + L_1 u &= a|u|^2 u + v u \\L_2 v &= L_3(|u|^2)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $L_i$  are second order constant coefficient diferential operators

$$L_i = g_i^{jk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^j \partial x^k}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.\tag{2}$$

where the constant real matrices  $g_i^{jk}$  are symmetric and invertible. We assume  $L_2$  to be elliptic, and we write then the system as a single equation

$$i\partial_t u + P(D)u = L(|u|^2)u\tag{3}$$

where  $P(D) = L_1$  and we drop the index:

$$P(D) = g^{jk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^j \partial x^k}\tag{4}$$

and

$$L = a\mathbb{I} + L_2^{-1}L_3\tag{5}$$

The properties we will use for the linear operator  $L$  are:  $L : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is bounded for any  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $L$  commutes with translations (and hence with differentiation,  $L$  is real (i.e. it commutes with complex conjugation).

# 1 Sobolev lemma and the linear equation

We denote by  $g_{jk}$  the inverse matrix  $(g_{jk}) = (g^{jk})^{-1}$ . We do *not* assume that  $P(D)$  is elliptic. When  $P(D) = \Delta$  then

$$iu_t + P(D)u = 0$$

is the free Schrödinger equation. We introduce the differential operators

$$Q_j((x, t), D) = Q_j = 2t\partial_j - ig_{jk}x^k \quad (6)$$

They commute with the free equation:

$$[i\partial_t + P(D), Q_j] = 0 \quad (7)$$

This can be checked by hand. We can also easily check using the Fourier transform that

$$Q_j = e^{itP(D)}(-ig_{jk}x^k)e^{-itP(D)} \quad (8)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}(e^{itP(D)}(-ig_{jk}x^k)e^{-itP(D)}u)(\xi) = \\ & e^{-itg^{ab}\xi_a\xi_b}(g_{jk}\partial_{\xi_k}(e^{itg^{cd}\xi_c\xi_d}\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi))) \\ & = g_{jk}\partial_{\xi_k}\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) + g_{jk}(itg^{cd}(\xi_c\delta_{dk} + \xi_d\delta_{ck}))\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \\ & = \mathcal{F}[(-ig_{jk}x^k + 2t\partial_j)u](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}u(x)dx$$

and  $\delta_{jk}$  the Kronecker delta.

We have as well that

$$Q_j = 2te^{i\psi}\partial_j e^{-i\psi} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\psi(x, t) = \frac{g_{jk}x^jx^k}{4t} \quad (10)$$

and where the right hand side of (9) is considered as a product of operators (multiplication by  $e^{-i\psi}$  followed by differentiation, followed by multiplication by  $2te^{i\psi}$ ). The operators  $Q_j$  commute. They generate a Lie algebra denoted  $\mathcal{A}$ . The Lie algebra generated by the collection  $Q_j, \partial_j$  is denoted  $\mathcal{B}$ . For any

Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of differential operators with generators  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  we use the notation

$$|u(x, t)|_{\mathcal{A}, m} = \sum_{j=0}^m \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} (A^\alpha u(x, t))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (11)$$

where

$$A^\alpha = A_1^{\alpha_1} \dots A_N^{\alpha_N}, \quad \text{and } |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N.$$

We define generalized  $W^{m,p}$  norms via

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{A}, m, p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|_{\mathcal{A}, m}^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (12)$$

**Lemma 1.** *There exists a constant  $C = C(n)$  such that*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{A}, [\frac{n}{2}] + 1, 2} \quad (13)$$

*holds for all  $(x, t)$  and all  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider the function

$$v(x, t) = e^{-i\psi} u(x, t)$$

and apply the local Sobolev Lemma to it

$$|v(x, t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{1 + [\frac{n}{2}]} R^{j - \frac{n}{2}} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \int_{|x-y| \leq R} |\partial_y^\alpha v(y, t)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This holds for any  $R$ , and the constant  $C$  is independent of  $R$ . Now we observe that

$$|v(x, t)| = |u(x, t)|$$

and, in view of (9), by induction, it holds that

$$|\partial_y^\alpha v(y, t)| = (2|t|)^{-|\alpha|} |Q^\alpha u(y, t)|.$$

The inequality (13) follows by choosing  $R = 2t$ .

We remove the singularity at  $t = 0$  by augmenting to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

**Lemma 2.** *There exists a constant  $C = C(n)$  such that*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{B}, [\frac{n}{2}] + 1, 2} \quad (14)$$

*holds for all  $(x, t)$  and all  $u$ .*

This is trivial because for  $|t| \leq 1$  we use the usual Sobolev inequality. A direct application is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of*

$$iu_t + P(D)u = 0 \quad (15)$$

*with initial datum  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ . Then*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}, 1 + [\frac{n}{2}], 2} \quad (16)$$

*where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the Lie algebra generated by the operators of multiplication by  $x^j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . More generally,*

$$|u(x, t)|_{\mathcal{A}, k} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}, k + 1 + [\frac{n}{2}], 2} \quad (17)$$

*and*

$$|u(x, t)|_{\mathcal{B}, k} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_0, k + 1 + [\frac{n}{2}], 2} \quad (18)$$

*where  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is the Lie algebra generated by the operators of multiplication by  $1, x^j$  and by  $\partial_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .*

## 2 The nonlinear equation

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $0 < j < m$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $j, m$  and  $n$  such that*

$$\sum_{|\beta|=j} \|Q^\beta u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{2m}{j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 - \frac{j}{m}} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|Q^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{j}{m}} \quad (19)$$

The inequality (19) in which the operators  $Q_j$  are replaced by  $\partial_j$  is a well known Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Applying it to  $v = e^{-i\psi}u$  and using (9) and the scale invariance of the inequality, we immediately obtain (19).

Now we state a Leibniz rule:

**Lemma 4.** *For any multi-index  $\alpha$  it holds that*

$$Q^\alpha(L(|u|^2)v) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} L((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u})Q^\delta v \quad (20)$$

Proof. We used the notation  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$ . The proof is done by induction on  $|\alpha|$  and it follows from the observations that

$$Q_j(ab) = (2t\partial_j a)b + aQ_j(b)$$

and that

$$2t\partial_j(a\bar{b}) = (Q_j(a))\bar{b} + a\overline{(Q_j(b))}$$

The first equality is used to write

$$Q_j(L((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u})Q^\delta v) = L(2t\partial_j((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u}))Q^\delta v + L((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u})Q_jQ^\delta v$$

and the second one to finish

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j(L((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u})Q^\delta v) &= L((Q_jQ^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u} + (Q^\beta u)\overline{Q_jQ^\gamma u})Q^\delta v \\ &\quad + L((Q^\beta u)\overline{Q^\gamma u})Q_jQ^\delta v \end{aligned}$$

So,  $Q_j$  distributes just like a derivative in the product. The fact that the complex conjugate is inside the operator  $L$  is used crucially. Let us start by denoting

$$I_m(w)(t) = I_m = \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q^\alpha w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (21)$$

Let us assume that  $w$  solves the equation

$$iw_t + P(D)w = L(|u|^2)w \quad (22)$$

for some given (smooth) function  $u$ . Note that this is a linear Schrödinger equation if  $P(D)$  is elliptic. Then, in view of (7) and the fact that  $P(D)$  is real, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} I_m^2 = \text{Im} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q^\alpha(L(|u|^2)w)\overline{Q^\alpha w} dx \quad (23)$$

Now, in view of our Leibniz formula (20), the right-hand side of (23) is a sum for  $|\alpha| = m$  and  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma + \delta$  of terms

$$\frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L((Q^\beta u) \overline{Q^\gamma u})(Q^\delta w) \overline{Q^\alpha w} dx \quad (24)$$

The term in (24) corresponding to  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  vanishes. This is very important, because  $L$  is not bounded in  $L^\infty$ . If  $\beta = \delta = 0$  or  $\gamma = \delta = 0$ , then we estimate (24) using

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(u \overline{Q^\alpha u}) w \overline{Q^\alpha w} dx \right| &\leq C \|u Q^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w Q^\alpha w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} I_m(u) \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} I_m(w) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The rest of the terms have  $0 < |\delta| < m$ . In these terms we apply a Hölder inequality, raising the last term to the second power, the term involving  $Q^\delta w$  to the power  $\frac{2m}{|\delta|}$  and the term involving  $L$  to the power  $q = 2(1 - \frac{|\delta|}{m})^{-1}$ . Using the boundedness of  $L$  in  $L^q$  spaces and our Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (19) we majorize (24) by

$$C \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 - \frac{|\delta|}{m}} I_m(w)^{1 + \frac{|\delta|}{m}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q^\beta u|^q |Q^\gamma u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

In the last integral we use a Hölder inequality with powers  $\frac{2m}{q|\beta|}$  and  $\frac{2m}{q|\gamma|}$  (their inverses do add up to 1!) and again our Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (19). The result is that all these terms in the (24) can be bound by

$$C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 + \frac{|\delta|}{m}} I_m(u)^{1 - \frac{|\delta|}{m}} \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 - \frac{|\delta|}{m}} I_m(w)^{1 + \frac{|\delta|}{m}} \quad (26)$$

We note that (25) has the form of (26) with  $|\delta| = 0$ . Dividing by  $I_m(w)$  we obtained

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_m(w) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1 + \frac{j}{m}} I_m(u)^{1 - \frac{j}{m}} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^{1 - \frac{j}{m}} I_m(w)^{\frac{j}{m}} \quad (27)$$

Now the exact same calculation applies to integrals

$$J_m(w) = \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (28)$$

using the usual Leibniz formula and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Denoting

$$K_m(w) = I_m(w) + J_m(w) \quad (29)$$

we obtain by adding the two similar inequalities and using  $\max\{I, J\} \leq K$

$$\frac{d}{dt}K_m(w) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{j}{m}} K_m(u)^{1-\frac{j}{m}} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{j}{m}} K_m(w)^{\frac{j}{m}} \quad (30)$$

Let us introduce now

$$E_N(w) = \sum_{m=0}^N K_m(w) \quad (31)$$

and take  $N \geq 1 + [\frac{n}{2}]$ . Note that (14) implies

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}} E_N(w) \quad (32)$$

Using the same inequality for  $u$  and majorizing each  $K_m$  by  $E_N$ , we obtain from (30)

$$\frac{d}{dt}K_m(w) \leq (1 + |t|)^{-n} E_N(u) E_N(w) \quad (33)$$

for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . (Note that  $K_0$  is conserved.) Adding in  $m$  we obtain

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $w$  solve the linear equation (22). For  $N \geq 1 + [\frac{n}{2}]$  there exists a constant  $C = C(n, N)$  such the norm  $E_N(w)$  satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_N(w) \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-n} E_N(u) E_N(w) \quad (34)$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $N \geq 1 + [\frac{n}{2}]$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(N)$  and  $C = C(n, N)$  such that, if  $u_0$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|Q^\alpha(x, 0, D)u_0(x)|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u_0(x)|^2] dx \leq \epsilon$$

*then the solution of (3) exists for all time and satisfies*

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|Q^\alpha(x, t, D)u(x, t)|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u(x, t)|^2] dx \leq C\epsilon$$

*and*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + |t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

Proof. We prove first local existence (short time existence) and uniqueness in  $H^N$ . This is done by considering the map

$$u(t) \mapsto e^{itP(D)}u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)P(D)}L(|u(s)|^2)u(s)ds$$

for  $u \in C(0, T; H^N)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$ . We obtain unique solutions on time intervals depending on the norm of  $u_0$  in  $H^N$ . Then we use (34) with  $u = w$  to deduce that

$$E_N(t)(1 - C_N E(0)) \leq E_N(0).$$