

# ON A CLASS OF NON-LOCAL OPERATORS IN CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

SUN-YUNG ALICE CHANG AND RAY A. YANG

*Dedicated to Professor Haim Brezis on his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. In this expository article, we discuss the connection between the study of non-local operators on Euclidean space to the study of fractional GJMS operators in conformal geometry. The emphasis is on the study of a class of fourth order operators and their third order boundary operators. These third order operators are generalizations of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent literature, there have been some parallel developments. On the one hand, there is a vast literature in the study of “non-local operators” on Euclidean domains, lead by L. Caffarelli and many others. On the other hand, there is the study of classes of pseudo-differential operators defined on the boundary of manifolds, which are generalizations of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator; they are also non-local in nature. The study of the latter lead to the recent study of the “fractional Yamabe problem” in conformal geometry. Obviously, there are interesting connections between the two. In this article, we will describe one.

This is an expository paper which summarizes some of the results in the papers [Yan13], [CG11], [CC14] and [AC15]. One key element which has played a major role in all above papers is the extension theorem of Caffarelli-Silvestre [CS07] and a higher order generalization of the theorem by the second author [Yan13]. For expository purposes, here we will derive the extension theorem part of the paper [Yan13], and the later generalization to the manifolds setting in [CC14].

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we cite the extension theorem of [CS07] for the fractional order Laplace operator of order  $2\gamma$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , defined on the Euclidean space. In section 3, we derive a generalization of the extension theorem to fractional order Laplace operators of order  $\gamma > 1$ , again on Euclidean spaces. In section 4, we briefly quote the work of Graham and Zworski [GZ03] for the existence of a class of fractional order conformal covariant operators defined on conformal compact Einstein manifolds, and explain how in the special case when the manifold is the hyperbolic ball, the operators defined on the boundary of the ball correspond to the fractional order Laplace operators defined on Euclidean space ([CG11]). In section 5, we [CC14] discuss the generalization of the extension theorems in ([CS07], [Yan13]) to the manifold setting (we call this the “curved” setting) when  $1 < \gamma < 2$ . In section 6, we explain how to apply the extension

---

*Date:* October 20, 2015.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1509505.

The Second author was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the National Science Foundation, award No. DMS-1103786.

theorem in the special case  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$  to derive some sharp Sobolev trace inequalities for the bi-Laplace operators on the Euclidean ball ([AC15]).

To make the paper easier to understand and the picture more transparent, in most part of the paper we will only derive results for the boundary operator of order 3, that is, when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ .

In the settings of sections 3 and 4, the solution of the Poisson equation of order 2 in both the settings of Euclidean space and in the settings of the conformal compact Einstein manifolds is equivalent to the solution of a fourth order PDE, which is a product of the second order Poisson equation with another second order equation. This crucial equivalence is summarized in Remarks 3.2, 3.4, 5.3 and 5.10.

## 2. EXTENSION THEOREM OF CAFFARELLI-SILVESTRE

In [CS07], Caffarelli and Silvestre showed that, for  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , the fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^\gamma$  of a function  $f$  living on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be understood as the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for a function  $U$  living on the upper half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , where  $U$  coincided with  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $U$  satisfied a particular 2nd-order elliptic equation. The more precise statement of the theorem is the following:

First we recall a well-known result:  $f$  smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Delta_{x,y}U(x,y) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \text{ with } U|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) = f(x)$$

then  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}f(x) = -U_y(x,0)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([CS07]). *For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ ,*

$$(*) \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^a \nabla U) &= 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \\ U|_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= f. \end{cases}$$

*Then*

$$(-\Delta)^\gamma f(x) = C_{n,\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} (y^a U_y)(x,y) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Actually, for  $0 < \gamma < 1$*

$$f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{\gamma,2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*We have*

$$C_{n,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{y>0} |\nabla U|^2 y^a dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^\gamma f \cdot f dx$$

*which implies*

$$(2.1) \quad (-\Delta)^\gamma f = C_{n,\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} |_{y=0}.$$

This theorem is an extremely useful tool in the study of non-local operators. It has important applications to many free-boundary problems, the study of non-local minimal surfaces, etc, which we will not survey here.

3. EXTENSION THEOREM OF ORDER  $\gamma > 1$  ON EUCLIDEAN SPACE

We now generalize the energy equality of Caffarelli and Silvestre to show that the fractional Laplacian of any positive, non-integer order can be represented as a higher-order Neumann derivative of an extended function  $U$ , where  $U$  satisfies a higher-order elliptic equation.

To illustrate the technique, we first show that in the case  $1 < \gamma < 2$ , the fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^\gamma$  can still be represented as a suitable Neumann derivative for the solution of a higher order equation, and subsequently we generalize this to all positive, non-integer values of  $\gamma$ . We remark that in section 4 below, we [CG11] will explain that the extension has an interesting interpretation in terms of scattering theory, and, in particular that the following equation

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta U + \frac{a}{y} U_y = 0$$

(where  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ ) holds for the extended function  $U$  and for all non-integer  $\gamma$ .

**3.1. The model case:**  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ . First we discuss the extension in a special case, which illustrates the main point of the argument without the complexity of notation we need for more general cases. In what follows,  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ , and  $a = 1 - 2\gamma = -2$ .

In this case, the equation (3.1) takes the form

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta U - \frac{2}{y} U_y = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}.$$

The first observation is that (3.2) implies

$$(3.3) \quad (-\Delta)^2 U = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}.$$

We have

**Theorem 3.1.** *For functions  $U \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  satisfying the equation*

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta^2 U(x, y) = 0$$

*on the upper half space for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $y$  is the special direction, and the boundary conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, 0) &= f(x) \\ U_y(x, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

*along  $\{y = 0\}$  where  $f(x)$  is some function defined on  $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have the result that*

$$(3.5) \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}} f(x) = C_{n, \frac{3}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Delta U(x, 0)$$

*Specifically,*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^3 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = C_{n, \frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\Delta U(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

*Proof.* Taking the Fourier transform in the  $x$  variable only on the energy term  $\Delta u$  we get

$$-|\xi|^2 \hat{U}(\xi, y) + \hat{U}_{yy}(\xi, y)$$

And so minimizing the energy corresponds to minimizing the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | -|\xi|^2 \hat{U}(\xi, y) + \hat{U}_{yy}(\xi, y) |^2 d\xi dy.$$

Integrating by parts, we see that for each value of  $\xi$ ,  $\hat{U}$  solves the ODE

$$|\xi|^4 \hat{U} - 2|\xi|^2 \hat{U}_{yy} + \hat{U}_{yyyy} = 0.$$

Let  $\phi \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the minimizer of the functional

$$J(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\phi''(y) - \phi(y))^2 dy$$

among functions satisfying the conditions  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) = 0$ . Thus  $\phi$  solves the ODE

$$\phi(y) - 2\phi''(y) + \phi''''(y) = 0$$

with appropriate boundary conditions and we see that  $\hat{U}(\xi, y) = \hat{f}(\xi)\phi(|\xi|y)$  is a good representation for  $\hat{U}$ .

Calculating, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\Delta U)^2 dx dy &= C_n \int | -|\xi|^2 \hat{U} + \hat{U}_{yy} |^2 d\xi dy \\ &= C_n \int | -|\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi)\phi(|\xi|y) + |\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi)\phi''(|\xi|y) |^2 d\xi dy \\ &= C_n \int |\xi|^4 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (-\phi(\bar{y}) + \phi''(\bar{y}))^2 \frac{d\bar{y}}{|\xi|} d\xi \\ &= C_n J(\phi) \int |\xi|^3 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and hence the energies are identical up to a constant.

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the left hand side above is simply the bi-Laplace equation, while for the right hand side it is the fractional harmonic equation of order  $\gamma$ , and the rest of the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *A less obvious fact is that for a given  $f$  in  $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , a solution  $U$  satisfies (3.2) with  $U(x, 0) = f(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if it satisfies the equation (3.3) and*

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, 0) &= f(x) \\ U_y(x, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**3.2. The cases  $1 < \gamma < 2$ .** In these cases, the argument is precisely analogous to the previous section, except that, like Caffarelli and Silvestre, we shall use a weighted seminorm. To be precise, we attach the weighted measure  $y^b dy dx$  to our Sobolev spaces, and consider energy minimizers with respect to this measure on the upper half space of an appropriate energy. Here, we take  $b = 3 - 2\gamma$ .

To construct the appropriate energy in this space, we introduce the following operator, which is a variant of the Laplacian adapted to the measure, whose virtue is that in the weighted space it behaves under integration by parts just as the regular Laplacian does in an unweighted space.

$$\Delta_b U = \Delta U + \frac{b}{y} U_y$$

gives us the desired relationship:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (\nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\Psi)y^b dydx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \left( y^b \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \Phi(\Delta_b\Psi)y^b dydx$$

Clearly, the appropriate 2nd order seminorm for our space is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^b |\Delta_b U|^2 dydx$$

Our space will be equipped with the norm

$$\|U\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, y^b)}^2 = \|y^{\frac{b}{2}} \Delta_b U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 + \|y^{\frac{b}{2}} \nabla U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 + \|y^{\frac{b}{2}} U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2$$

We now observe that a function  $U$  satisfies the (3.1) on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  with  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ , then it satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad (-\Delta_b)^2 U = 0,$$

on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , where  $b = 3 - 2\gamma$ .

Our main result is now that

**Theorem 3.3.** *For functions  $U \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, y^b)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta_b^2 U(x, y) = 0$$

*on the upper half space for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $y$  is the special direction, and the boundary conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, 0) &= f(x) \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^b U_y(x, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

*along  $\{y = 0\}$  where  $f(x)$  is some function defined on  $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have the result that*

$$(3.9) \quad (-\Delta)^\gamma f(x) = C_{n,\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^b \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Delta_b U(x, y)$$

*Specifically,*

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = C_{n,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^b |\Delta_b U(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

*Proof.* Existence and uniqueness of a solution is guaranteed by the usual considerations. Taking the Fourier transform in the  $x$  variable only on the equation  $\Delta_b^2 u = 0$  we get

$$|\xi|^4 \hat{U} - \left( \frac{2b}{y} |\xi|^2 + \frac{b(b-2)}{y^3} \right) \hat{U}_y + \left( -2|\xi|^2 + \frac{b(b-1)}{y^2} \right) \hat{U}_{yy} + \frac{2b}{y} \hat{U}_{yyy} + \hat{U}_{yyyy} = 0$$

which is a 4th order ODE in  $y$  for each value of  $\xi$ . Let  $\phi \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+, y^b)$  be the minimizer of the functional

$$J(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^b (\phi''(y) + \frac{b}{y} \phi'(y) - \phi(y))^2 dy$$

among functions satisfying the conditions  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) = 0$ . Thus  $\phi$  solves the ODE

$$\phi - \left( \frac{2b}{y} + \frac{b(b-2)}{y^3} \right) \phi' + \left( -2 + \frac{b(b-1)}{y^2} \right) \phi'' + \frac{2b}{y} \phi''' + \phi'''' = 0$$

with appropriate boundary conditions and we see that  $\hat{U}(\xi, y) = \hat{f}(\xi) \phi(|\xi|y)$  is a good representation for  $\hat{U}$ .

Calculating, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int y^b (\Delta_b U)^2 dx dy &= C_n \int \left| -|\xi|^2 \hat{U} + \frac{b}{y} \hat{U}_y + \hat{U}_{yy} \right|^2 d\xi y^b dy \\
&= C_n \int \left| -|\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi) \phi(|\xi|y) + \frac{b|\xi}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) \phi'(|\xi|y) + |\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi) \phi''(|\xi|y) \right|^2 d\xi y^b dy \\
&= C_n \int |\xi|^4 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (-\phi(\bar{y}) - \frac{b}{y} \phi'(\bar{y}) + \phi''(\bar{y}))^2 \frac{\bar{y}^b d\bar{y}}{|\xi|^{b+1}} d\xi \\
&= C_n J(\phi) \int |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the energies are identical up to a constant.

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the left hand side above is simply the bi-Laplace equation, while for the right hand side it is the fractional harmonic equation of order  $\gamma$ , and the rest of the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *It turns out for a given function  $f$  in  $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , a solution  $U$  satisfies (3.1) with  $U(x, 0) = f(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and if it satisfies the equation (3.7) and*

$$\begin{aligned}
U(x, 0) &= f(x) \\
\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^b U_y(x, 0) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

*This fact can be compared to the Remark 5.10, which follows from some general fact in scattering theory.*

**3.3. The General Case.** The general case follows on a similar theme, taking progressively higher powers of the weighted Laplacian  $\Delta_b$ . In setting our boundary conditions, we take our cue from [CG11], whence we learn that, when  $\gamma < \frac{n}{2}$  the extension function satisfies

$$\Delta U + \frac{1 - 2\gamma}{y} U_y = 0$$

and furthermore that, if  $m < \gamma < m + 1$ ,  $U$  has a series expansion in  $y$  that has only even integer powers, up to the power  $y^{2\gamma}$ . A similiar behaviour holds for all non-integer value of  $\gamma$ , hence we set boundary conditions for the  $y$ -derivatives of  $U$  in the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\gamma > 0$  be some non-integer, positive power of the Laplacian. Let  $m < \gamma < m + 1$ , or  $m = [\gamma]$ , and  $b(\gamma) = 2m + 1 - 2\gamma$ . For functions  $U \in W^{m+1, 2}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, y^b)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta_b^{m+1} U(x, y) = 0$$

*on the upper half space for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $y$  is the special direction, and the boundary conditions are that  $U(x, 0) = u(x)$  along  $\{y = 0\}$ , and, furthermore, that for every positive odd integer  $2k + 1 < m + 1$ , we have  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^b \frac{\partial^{2k+1} U}{\partial y^{2k+1}}(x, 0) = 0$ , where  $f(x)$  is some function defined on  $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For even integers, we specify the relationship*

$$\frac{\partial^{2k} U}{\partial y^{2k}}(x, 0) = (\Delta_x^k U(x, 0)) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2\gamma - 4(j-1)}$$

Then we have the result that

$$(3.12) \quad (-\Delta)^\gamma f(x) = C_{n,\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^b \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Delta_b^m U(x, y)$$

Specifically, if  $m$  is odd,

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = C_{n,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^b |\Delta_b^{\frac{m+1}{2}} U(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

and if  $m$  is even,

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = C_{n,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^b |\nabla \Delta_b^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor} U(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

We refer the readers to [Yan13] for a complete proof of this theorem.

*Remark:* It is no surprise that, having carefully set the boundary conditions to coincide with the function  $U$  from scattering theory [CG11], as we will explain in the section below, that our energy minimizer, satisfying the same equation as the  $U$  of the scattering theory, would be exactly the same function by the uniqueness of energy minimizers.

#### 4. FRACTIONAL GJMS OPERATORS

In this section, we will first briefly describe the background material in the work of Graham-Zworski [GZ03] and the notion of the fractional GJMS operator  $P_{2\gamma}$ ; we will then illustrate in theorem 4.1 that in the case when the fractional Laplacian operator  $(-\Delta)^\gamma$  is defined on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , the operator agrees with  $P_{2\gamma}$  on the hyperbolic space; and the fact that this identification can be extended to more general exponents  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

**4.1. Background, Definitions.** One of the important progress in conformal geometry is a discovery of a class of conformal covariant operators by Graham-Jenne-Mason-Sparling in 1992 [GJMS92], called as GJMS operators. This is a class of differential operators  $P_{2k}$  for integers  $k$  defined on closed Riemannian manifolds  $(M^n, g)$  of dimension  $n$  with  $2k \leq n$ .

$$(P_{2k})_g = (-\Delta)_g^k + \text{lower order terms.}$$

The most important property of  $P_{2k}$  is the *conformal covariant* property. This means that when we change the metric  $g$  to a metric  $\hat{g}$  conformal to  $g$ , say  $\hat{g} = v^{\frac{4}{n-2k}} g$  for some smooth function  $v$  defined on  $M$ , then

$$(4.1) \quad (P_{2k})_{\hat{g}}(\phi) = v^{-\frac{n+2k}{n-2k}} (P_{2k})_g(v\phi)$$

for all smooth function defined on  $M$ . (We now skip the referring to the metric  $g$  when it is fixed.) when  $k = 1$ ,  $P_2$  is the famous conformal Laplacian or Yamabe operator,

$$P_2 = -\Delta + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R;$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature of the metric. When  $k = 2$ ,  $P_4$  was independently discovered by Paneitz [Pan08], we now call it the Paneitz operator. To describe the operator and the associated curvature, let  $A$  denote the Schouten tensor,

$$(4.2) \quad A = \frac{1}{n-2} \left( Ric - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Rg \right),$$

where  $\text{Ric}$  is the Ricci tensor and  $R$  the scalar curvature of the metric  $g$ . The 4-th order Paneitz operator is +

$$(4.3) \quad P_4 = (-\Delta)^2 + \delta(4A - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}R)d + \frac{n-4}{2}Q_4.$$

where  $Q_4$  is a fourth order curvature,

$$(4.4) \quad Q_4 = -\Delta\sigma_1(A) + 4\sigma_2(A) + \frac{n-4}{2}\sigma_1(A)^2, .$$

where  $\sigma_k(A)$  denote the  $k$ -th symmetric function of the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

In [GZ03], Graham and Zworski linked the operators  $P_{2k}$  to scattering operator evaluated at its poles on conformally compact Einstein manifolds; and in this way, introduced the class of fractional GJMS operators  $P_{2\gamma}$  for  $0 < 2\gamma \leq n$  and  $\gamma$  not an integer, acting on the conformal infinity of the manifolds. We now briefly recall their work.

Let  $M$  be a compact manifold of dimension  $n$  with a metric  $g$ . Let  $\bar{X}^{n+1}$  be a compact manifold of dimension  $n+1$  with boundary  $M$ , and denote by  $X$  the interior of  $\bar{X}$ . A function  $\rho$  is a defining function of  $\partial X$  in  $X$  if

$$\rho > 0 \text{ in } X, \quad \rho = 0 \text{ on } \partial X, \quad d\rho \neq 0 \text{ on } \partial X.$$

We say that  $g^+$  is a conformally compact (c.c.) metric on  $X$  with conformal infinity  $(M, [g])$  if there exists a defining function  $\rho$  such that the manifold  $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$  is compact for  $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g^+$ , and  $\bar{g}|_M \in [g]$ . If, in addition  $(X^{n+1}, g^+)$  is a conformally compact manifold and  $\text{Ric}[g^+] = -ng^+$ , then we call  $(X^{n+1}, g^+)$  a conformally compact Einstein manifold.

Given a conformally compact, asymptotically hyperbolic manifold  $(X^{n+1}, g^+)$  and a representative  $g$  in  $[g]$  on the conformal infinity  $M$ , there is a uniquely defining function  $\rho$  such that, on  $M \times (0, \delta)$  in  $X$ ,  $g^+$  has the normal form  $g^+ = \rho^{-2}(d\rho^2 + g_\rho)$  where  $g_\rho$  is a one parameter family of metrics on  $M$  satisfying  $g_\rho|_M = g$ . Moreover,  $g_\rho$  has an asymptotic expansion which contains only even powers of  $\rho$ , at least up to degree  $n$ .

By the well-known works of ( Mazzeo-Melrose [MM87], Graham-Zworski [GZ03]) that, given  $f \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(M)$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the eigenvalue problem

$$(4.5) \quad -\Delta_{g^+} u - s(n-s)u = 0, \quad \text{in } X$$

has a solution of the form

$$(4.6) \quad u = F\rho^{n-s} + H\rho^s, \quad F, H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X), \quad F|_{\rho=0} = f,$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  unless  $s(n-s)$  belongs to the pure point spectrum of  $-\Delta_{g^+}$ . Now, the scattering operator on  $M$  is defined as  $S(s)f = H|_M$ , it is a meromorphic family of pseudo-differential operators in  $\text{Re}(s) > n/2$ . The values  $s = n/2, n/2 + 1, n/2 + 2, \dots$  are simple poles of finite rank, these are known as the trivial poles;  $S(s)$  may have other poles, however, for the rest of the paper we assume that we are not in those exceptional cases.

We define the conformally covariant fractional powers of the Laplacian as follows: for  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{n}{2}]$ ,  $\gamma \notin \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$(4.7) \quad P_{2\gamma}[g^+, g] := d_\gamma S\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right), \quad d_\gamma = 2^{2\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(-\gamma)}.$$

With this choice of multiplicative factor, the principal symbol of  $P_\gamma$  is exactly the principal symbol of the fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta_g)^\gamma$ , precisely,  $|\xi|^{2\gamma}$ . We thus have that



$P_\gamma \in (-\Delta_g)^\gamma + \Psi_{\gamma-1}$ , where we denote by  $\Psi_m$  to be the set of pseudo-differential operators on  $M$  of order  $m$ .

The operators  $P_\gamma[g^+, g]$  satisfy an important conformal covariance property (see [GZ03]). Indeed, for a conformal change of metric

$$(4.8) \quad g_v = v^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} g, \quad v > 0,$$

we have that

$$(4.9) \quad P_{2\gamma}[g^+, g_v]\phi = v^{-\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} P_{2\gamma}[g^+, \hat{g}](v\phi),$$

for all smooth functions  $\phi$ , which is a generation of (4.1) for the class of the GJMS operators when  $\gamma$  are integers.

We define the  $Q_{2\gamma}$  curvature of the metric associated to the functional  $P_{2\gamma}$ , to be

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{n-2\gamma}{2} Q_{2\gamma}[g^+, g] := P_{2\gamma}[g^+, g](1).$$

In particular, for a change of metric as (4.8), we obtain the equation for the  $Q_\gamma$  curvature:

$$P_{2\gamma}[g^+, g](v) = \frac{n-2\gamma}{2} v^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} Q_{2\gamma}[g^+, g_v].$$

When  $\gamma$  is an integer, say  $\gamma = k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a careful study of the poles of  $S(s)$  allows to define  $P_{2k}$ . Indeed,

$$Res_{s=n/2+k} S(s) = c_k P_{2k}, \quad c_k = (-1)^k [2^{2k} k! (k-1)!]^{-1}.$$

These are the conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian constructed by Graham-Jenne-Mason-Sparling [GJMS92] which we have mentioned at the beginning of the section.

**4.2. The extension theorem on the hyperbolic space.** The main observation we make in this section is that, in the case  $X = \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  with coordinates  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y > 0$ , endowed the hyperbolic metric  $g_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{dy^2 + |dx|^2}{y^2}$ , the scattering operator  $P_{2\gamma}$  is nothing but the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension problem for the fractional Laplacian when  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  as stated in section 2 of this paper.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Fix  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $f$  a smooth function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $U$  is a solution of the extension problem (3.1), then  $u = y^{n-s} U$  is a solution of the eigenvalue problem (4.5) for  $s = n/2 + \gamma$ , and moreover,*

$$(4.11) \quad P_{2\gamma} f = \frac{d_\gamma}{2\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} (y^a \partial_y U) = (-\Delta_x)^\gamma,$$

where  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ ,  $P_{2\gamma} := P_{2\gamma}[g_{\mathbb{H}}, |dx|^2]$ , and the constant  $d_\gamma$  is defined in (4.7).

*Proof.* Fix  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $u$  be a solution of the scattering problem

$$(4.12) \quad -\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u - s(n-s)u = 0 \text{ in } X.$$

We know that  $u$  can be written as

$$(4.13) \quad u = y^{n-s} F + y^s H,$$

where  $F|_{y=0} = f$  and  $S(s)f = h$  for  $h = H|_{y=0}$ . Moreover,

$$(4.14) \quad F(x, y) = f(x) + f_2(x)y^2 + o(y^2) \quad \text{and} \quad H(x, y) = h(x) + h_2(x)y^2 + o(y^2).$$

On the other hand, the conformal Laplacian operator for a Riemannian metric  $g$  in a manifold  $X$  of dimension  $d = n + 1$  is defined as

$$(P_2)_g = -\Delta_g + \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}R_g.$$

For the hyperbolic metric,  $R_{g_{\mathbb{H}}} = -n(n+1)$ , so that

$$(4.15) \quad (P_2)_{g_{\mathbb{H}}} = -\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{H}}} - \frac{n^2-1}{4}$$

Then, from (4.12) we can compute

$$(4.16) \quad 0 = -\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{H}}}u - s(n-s)u = (P_2)_{g_{\mathbb{H}}}u + (\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{4})u = y^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(P_2)_{g_{eq}}(y^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}u) + (\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{4})u.$$

where in the last equality we have used the conformal covariant property of the conformal Laplacian for the change of metric  $g_{eq} = y^2g_{\mathbb{H}}$ :

$$(4.17) \quad (P_2)_{g_{\mathbb{H}}}(\psi) = y^{\frac{n+3}{2}}L_g(y^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\psi).$$

Next, we change  $u = y^{n-s}U$ , and note that

$$(4.18) \quad (P_2)_{g_{eq}} = -\Delta = -\Delta_x - \partial_{yy},$$

so it follows that

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (P_2)_{g_{eq}}(y^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s}U) \\ &= -y^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} \left[ \Delta_x U + \partial_{yy}U + \frac{a}{y}\partial_y U + \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - s\right)\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - s - 1\right)\frac{U}{y^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.19) into (4.16), we observe that with the choice of  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$  and  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$  we arrive at

$$\Delta_x U + \partial_{yy}U + \frac{a}{y}\partial_y U = 0,$$

as we wished.

For the second part of the lemma, note that

$$(4.20) \quad P_\gamma f = d_\gamma S\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right) = d_\gamma h,$$

where  $h$  is given in (4.14). On the other hand, we also have that

$$U = y^{s-n}u = F + y^{2s-n}H,$$

and thus, looking at the orders of  $y$  in (4.14), we can conclude that the limit

$$(4.21) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a \partial_y U$$

exists and equals  $h$  times the constant  $2\gamma$ . The lemma is proven by comparing (4.21) together with (4.20), with the Caffarelli-Silvestre construction for the fractional Laplacian as given in (2.1).  $\square$

One advantage of identifying the operator  $-\Delta^\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  this way is that, above result can be generalized to any  $\gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . That is:

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{n}{2}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , we have that*

$$P_{2\gamma}[g_{\mathbb{H}}, |dx|^2] = (-\Delta_x)^\gamma,$$

where the fractional conformal Laplacian  $P_{2\gamma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as in (4.7).

Above theorem can be established by induction on the integer  $k = [\gamma]$ , the techniques can also be applied to identity general fractional GJMS operators as boundary operators on compactified Einstein manifolds. We refer the readers to the work in [CG11].

## 5. EXTENSION THEOREM ON CONFORMAL COMPACT EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

We now return to the setting of conformal Einstein manifolds and the task of generalizing the extension Theorems in 4.1 and 3.5 for functions defined on the “flat” space of  $(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to the “curved” case of conformal compact Einstein manifold and its conformal infinity boundary.

We start with a general lemma ([CG11], Lemma 4.1)

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(X = X^{n+1}, g^+)$  be any conformally compact Einstein manifold with boundary  $M$ . For any defining function  $\rho$  of  $M$  in  $X$ , not necessarily geodesic, the equation*

$$(5.1) \quad -\Delta_{g^+}u - s(n-s)u = 0 \quad \text{in } (X, g^+),$$

is equivalent to

$$(5.2) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\rho^a \nabla U) + E(\rho)U = 0 \quad \text{in } (X, \bar{g}),$$

where  $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g^+$ ,  $U = \rho^{s-n}u$  and the derivatives in (5.2) are taken with respect to the metric  $\bar{g}$ . The lower order term is given by

$$(5.3) \quad E(\rho) = -\Delta_{\bar{g}}(\rho^{\frac{a}{2}})\rho^{\frac{a}{2}} + (\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{4})\rho^{-2+a} + \frac{n-1}{4n}R_{\bar{g}}\rho^a,$$

Here we denote  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ ,  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ .

**Remark 5.2.** *For the model case  $X = \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g^+ = \frac{dy^2 + |dx|^2}{y^2}$ , with the defining function  $y > 0$ ,  $\bar{g} = dy^2 + |dx|^2$ , it automatically follows from (5.3) that*

$$E(y) \equiv 0.$$

The Lemma follows from the conformal covariant property of the conformal Laplacian operators.

In view of the equation (5.2), one can integrate and obtain an extension theorem similar to that of Caffarelli-Silvestre 4.2 for the cases  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

In the following we will explain the extension theorem for the special case  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ .

5.1. **when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ .** When  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$ , the equation (5.1) takes the form

$$(5.4) \quad -\Delta_{g^+}u - \frac{n^2-9}{4}u = 0 \quad \text{in } (X, g^+),$$

Our first observation is that in this case, as  $g^+$  is an Einstein manifold with  $\operatorname{Ric}g^+ = -ng^+$ , its 4-th order Paneitz operator is

$$(5.5) \quad (P_4)_{g^+} = (\Delta_{g^+} - \frac{n^2-1}{4})(\Delta_{g^+} - \frac{n^2-9}{4})$$

Thus solution of the second order equation (5.4) satisfies also the fourth order equation

$$(5.6) \quad (P_4)_{g^+}u = 0$$

We now translate this to the corresponding conformal compactified manifold  $(X^{n+1}, \bar{g})$ , where  $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g^+$ . In this case, denote  $U = \rho^{s-n} u = \rho^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{n}{2}} u$ , we notice that in this case the conformal covariant property of the Paneitz operator  $P_4$  states exactly that

$$(5.7) \quad (P_4)_{\bar{g}}(U) = (\rho)^{-\frac{n+5}{2}} (P_4)_{g^+} (\rho^{\frac{n-3}{2}} U) = (\rho)^{-\frac{n+5}{2}} (P_4)_{g^+}(u) = 0$$

We now make another key observation, that denote by  $f$  the Dirichlet data of the Poisson equation (5.4), then it follows from the asymptotic expansion of  $u$  (4.14) that

$$(5.8) \quad U = (f + f_2 \rho^2 + f_4 \rho^4 + \dots) + \rho^3 (h + h_2 \rho^2 + \dots),$$

where  $h = P_3(f)$  is the scattering matrix operating on  $f$ . Thus in particular we have  $\nabla U \nabla \rho = 0$ . Combining above observations we reach the conclusion which is a complete analogue of Remark 3.2 on the flat cases:

**Remark 5.3.**  *$u$  satisfies the second order equation (5.4) with Dirichlet data if and only if  $U$  satisfies the 4th order equation (5.7) with*

$$\begin{aligned} U|_{\partial X} &= f \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho}|_{\partial X} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**5.2. Right choice of  $\rho$ , the adopted metrics  $g^*$ , when  $n > 3$ .** In the statement of Lemma 5.1 above,  $\rho$  can be any geodesic distance function. But the expression of the term  $E(\rho)$  in (5.3) for such general  $\rho$  is complicated and the geometric content not clear. In order to remedy this, in ([CG11], [CC14] section 6) we have chosen instead a preferred distance function  $\rho = \rho^*$ , and called the resulting compactified metric  $g^* = (\rho^*)^2 g^+$  the adopted metric. With this adopted metric, we then derive a useful extension theorem to study the corresponding  $P_{2\gamma}$  operators for  $0 < \gamma < 2$  for general conformal compact Einstein manifolds. The choice of  $\rho^*$  in general is more complicated, here we will just explain the choice when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ .

We first recall an important result of J. Lee in the subject

**Theorem 5.4.** ([Lee95]) *Assume the Yamabe class of the conformal infinity of  $(X, g^+)$  is positive, then the first eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta_{g^+})$  is greater than or equal to  $\frac{n^2}{4}$ .*

On a given conformal compact Einstein manifold  $(X, g^+)$ , we denote  $v$  the solution of the Poisson equation (5.1) when  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$  with Dirichlet data  $f \equiv 1$ . Note that when  $n > 3$ , we have  $n > s$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Under the assumption that the scalar curvature  $R_{\partial X, g} > 0$ ,  $n > 3$  then*

(a)  $v > 0$  on  $X$ .

(b) Denote  $\rho^* = v^{\frac{1}{n-s}}$ ,  $g^* = (\rho^*)^2 g^+$ , then  $R_{g^*}|_{\partial X} = c_\gamma R(\partial X, g) > 0$ , where  $c_\gamma$  is a positive constant when  $\gamma > 1$ .

(c)  $R_{g^*}$  is positive on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Proof of Lemma: (a) follows directly from the theorem of J. Lee cited above. (b) follows by a straight forward computation. Part (c) also follows from the theorem of Lee, but quite indirectly from the method of the proof plus some method of continuity argument. Interested readers are referred to Proposition 6.4 in [CC14] for a complete argument.  $\square$

We now state other good properties of the  $g^*$  metric.

**Lemma 5.6.** *On the setting as above, we have*

- (a)  $E(\rho^*) = 0$ , where  $E$  is defined as in (5.3)  
 (b)  $(Q_4)_{g^*} \equiv 0$  on  $X$ .

Proof of Lemma:

*Proof.* (a) This fact was first pointed out in ([CG11], Lemma 4.2). To see this, one observe that, in turns of the  $g^+$  metric, the expression of  $E(\rho)$  can be rewritten as

$$(5.9) \quad E(\rho) = -\Delta_{g^+}(\rho^{\frac{n-1+a}{2}})\rho^{\frac{-n-3+a}{2}} - (\frac{n^2}{4} - \gamma^2)\rho^{-2+a}.$$

Here we denote  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ ,  $a = 1 - 2\gamma$ . Thus if we choose  $\rho = \rho^*$ , it follows from the Poisson equation (5.1) satisfied by  $v$  and the definition of  $\rho^*$  that  $E(\rho^*) \equiv 0$ . (b) As  $n > 3$ , we have  $\frac{1}{n-3}Q_4 = P_4(1)$  in general. In particular, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{cases} (Q_4)_{g^*} = (n-3)(P_4)_{g^*}(1) & = (n-3)(\rho^*)^{-\frac{n+5}{2}}(P_4)_{g^+}((\rho^*)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}) \\ & = (n-3)(\rho^*)^{-\frac{n+5}{2}}(P_4)_{g^+}(v) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The last line follows from the (5.7). □

**5.3. Right choice of  $\rho$ , the adopted metrics  $g^*$ , when  $n = 3$ .** We now indicate the modification needed in above arguement when  $n = 3$  and  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2} = 3$ .

In this case, the metric  $g^*$  has appeared in the literature before in the work of Fefferman-Graham [FG02], in their study of the conformal invariant quantity  $Q_n$  on the boundary of  $(n+1)$  dimensional manifolds. For that reason, we call this metric the Fefferman-Graham metric.

It is defined as follows. On a conformal compact Einstein manifold (or more general asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds)  $(X^{n+1}, g^+)$ , for each  $s \neq n$ , denote  $v_s$  the solution of the Poisson equation 5.1, with Dirichlet data  $f \equiv 1$ , then define  $w = -\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=n}v_s$  and  $g^* = e^{2w}g^+$ . It turns out  $w$  satisfies the PDE

$$(5.11) \quad -\Delta_{g^+}w = n \text{ on } X.$$

Fefferman-Graham metrics satisfy all the properties listed in Lemma 5.5, 5.6 above; in fact our choice of the  $g^*$  metrics in the general cases is inspired by this case (and also the metric constructed by Lee in [Lee95]). The proof of these lemmas is essentially the same as the case when  $s \neq n$ , but with modifications. Here we will present the proof of the property (b) in Lemma 5.6, which has played an important role in the earlier work of [YKC06].

When  $s = n = 3$ ,  $(P_4)_{g^*}(1) \equiv 0$ , but it does not indentify  $(Q_4)_{g^*}$ . We can instead using the functional property of the  $P_4$  operators; that is

$$(5.12) \quad P_{4g^+}w + (Q_4)_{g^+} = (Q_4)_{g^*}e^{4w}$$

We can now examine to see that by the formula of  $(P_4)_{g^+}$  in (5.5) and equation (5.11) that  $P_{4g^+}w = 6$ , while it follows from the definition of  $Q_4$  (4.4) that  $Q_4(g^+) = -6$ ; thus  $Q_4(g^*) = 0$ .

5.4. **Extension theorem when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ .** We finally are ready to state the extension theorem for when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$  on conformal compact Einstein manifolds.

**Theorem 5.7.** ([CC14]) *Let  $(X^{n+1}, M^n, g^+)$  be a Poincaré–Einstein manifold and fix a representative  $g$  of the conformal boundary. and let  $(X^{n+1}, g^*)$  be the adapted metric space as defined above. Then for each  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , the solution  $U$  to the boundary value problem*

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{cases} (P_4)_{g^*}(U) = 0, & \text{in } X^{n+1}, \\ U = f, & \text{on } M, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial n_{g^*}} = 0 & \text{on } M \end{cases}$$

is such that

$$(5.14) \quad P_3 f = \frac{n-3}{2} Q_3 f + \frac{d_\gamma}{8\gamma(\gamma-1)} \lim_{\rho^* \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^*} \Delta(U).$$

We define the energy of  $U$  with respect to the Paneitz operator  $(P_4)_{g^*}$  as the integral quantity obtained by dropping the boundary terms when integrating by parts of the integral  $\int_X \{(P_4)_{g^*} U\} U dV_{g^*}$ , i.e.,

$$(5.15) \quad E_4(g^*)[U] = \int_X (\Delta_{g^*} U)^2 - (4A_{g^*} - \frac{n-1}{2n} R_{g^*} g^*) \langle \nabla_{g^*} U, \nabla_{g^*} U \rangle dV_{g^*}.$$

For all smooth function  $f$  defined on  $M$ , we have the identity

$$(5.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} E_4(g^*)[U_f] = \int_M P_3 f f d\sigma_{g^*} - \frac{n-3}{2} \int_M Q_3(g^*) f^2 d\sigma_{g^*}.$$

5.5. **Extension theorem when  $1 < \gamma < 2$ .** We now describe the work in [CC14], where we have generalized the extension theorem (described above for the case  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ ) also for all  $1 < \gamma < 2$ . The key step which enables us to do so is to adopt the concept of “metric space with measure” to re-write the Poisson equation (5.1). We now briefly introduce the notion.

Given a number  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi$  a function defined on  $(X^{n+1}, g)$ , and  $(F, h)$  a metric space of dimension  $m$ ; on the metric measure space  $(X, g, e^{-\phi} dv_g)$ , denote  $P_{2k, \phi}^m$ , the GJMS operators on the warped product space

$$(X \times_{e^{-\phi}} F^m, g \oplus e^{-\frac{2\phi}{m}} h)$$

restricted to functions on  $X$ , and denote  $R_\phi^m$  the scalar curvature,  $Ric_\phi^m$  the Ricci curvature of the product metric induced on  $X$ .

**Remark 5.8.** (1). *In this setting, for all  $m$ , the role of  $\Delta$  is replaced by  $\Delta_\phi := \Delta - \nabla \phi \nabla$ ; when  $m = \infty$ ,  $Ric_\phi^m = Ric + \nabla^2 \phi$  (Bakry–Emery Ricci tensor). (2). *The precise relation between  $E(\rho)$  defined in (5.3) and  $R_\phi^m$ , using  $\rho^m = e^{-\phi}$  and  $m = 1 - 2\gamma$ , is**

$$(5.17) \quad E(\rho) = \frac{m+n-1}{4(m+n)} \rho^m R_\phi^m.$$

We now make two key observations:

(1). On  $(X^{n+1}, \partial X, g_+)$ , a conformal compact Einstein manifold, with  $Ric_{g_+} = -ng_+$ , When  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ ,  $g = r^2 g_+$ , denote the equation (5.1)

$$(*)_s \quad -\Delta_{g_+} u - s(n-s)u = 0 \text{ on } X.$$

We notice that when  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $(*)_{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}$  is just the Conformal Laplace  $P_2(g_+)u = 0$ , hence, if we denote  $U = r^{s-n}u$ , the equation is equivalent to

$$(P_2)_g U = 0 \text{ on } X,$$

We can then verify that in general,  $(*)_s$  is equivalent to the PDE

$$(P_{2,\phi}^m)_g U = 0 \text{ on } X$$

where  $U = r^{s-n}u$  and where  $(F^m, h)$  is chosen to be the (sphere) with  $Ric_h = (m-1)h$ ,  $U = r^{s-n}u$  and  $g = r^2g_+$ ,  $m = 1 - 2\gamma$  and  $e^{-\phi} = r^m$ .

(2). When  $1 < \gamma < 2$ , then  $u$  satisfies  $(*)_s$  implies it also satisfies the equation on  $X$

$$(5.18) \quad (-\Delta_{g_+} - (s-2)(n-(s-2))) \circ (-\Delta_{g_+} - s(n-s))u = 0.$$

(5.18) turns out to be equivalent to

$$(5.19) \quad P_{4,\phi_2}^m U = 0 \text{ on } X$$

where  $m = 3 - 2\gamma$  and  $e^{-\phi_2} = \rho^m$ .

We remark that when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $m = 0$ ,  $\phi_2 = 0$ , thus in this case  $P_{4,\phi_2}^m = P_4$  on  $X$  as we have claimed earlier in (5.4) and (5.6).

With this notation, and choice of the adopted metric  $g^*$  as before, we can then generalize the extension theorem to all  $1 < \gamma < 2$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** ([CC14]) *Let  $(X^{n+1}, M^n, g_+)$  be a Poincaré–Einstein manifold and let  $\gamma \in (1, 2)$  if  $n \geq 4$  and  $\gamma \in (1, 3/2]$  if  $n = 3$ . Suppose that  $h$  is a representative of the conformal boundary with positive scalar curvature. Set  $m = 3 - 2\gamma$  and let  $(X^{n+1}, g, \rho^m)$  be the adapted smooth metric measure space. Let  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and let  $U$  be the solution to (5.19) with Dirichlet data  $f$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M P_{2\gamma} f f \, dvol_h &= \frac{n-2\gamma}{2} \int_M Q_{2\gamma} f^2 \, dvol_h \\ &+ \frac{d_\gamma}{8\gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_X \left[ (\Delta_\phi U)^2 - \left( 4A - \frac{(m+n-1)}{2n} R_\phi^m g \right) (\nabla U, \nabla U) \right] y^m \, dvol_g, \end{aligned}$$

For comparison purpose, we add a remark.

**Remark 5.10.** *It follows from the scattering theory described above, for all  $1 < \gamma < 2$ ,  $u$  satisfies the Poisson equation (5.1) with Dirichlet Data  $f$  for  $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$  if and only if it satisfies the fourth order PDE (5.18) on the conformal compact Einstein manifold  $X^{n+1}$  with the Dirichlet data  $f$  and satisfies the Neumann type condition  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^m \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho} = 0$ , where  $U = \rho^{s-n}u$  and  $m = 3 - 2\gamma$ .*

In [CC14], above extension theorems are applied to study the positivity property and strong maximal principle of the boundary operators  $P_{2\gamma}$ ; which in turn is an extension of the earlier works of [Gur99] and the exploding recent works of [GM14], [HY14a], [HY14c], [HY14b], [GHL15] on the study of corresponding properties of  $P_4$  operators on closed manifolds. We refer the readers to the recent lecture notes of [HY15] on a comprehensive study of the topic.

## 6. AN APPLICATION: SHARP SOBOLEV TRACE INEQUALITIES OF ORDER 4 ON MODEL DOMAINS

In a recent work, [AC15], we derive sharp Sobolev trace inequalities on the model domains  $(B^d, S^{d-1}, |dx|^2)$  by applying the extension theorem 5.7 in section 5 above. Here we will summarize the approach.

We start by recalling the classical Sobolev Trace inequality of order 2 on  $(B^d, S^{d-1}, |dx|^2)$  when  $d > 2$

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{d-2}{2} \text{vol}(S^{d-1})^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \left( \int_{S^{d-1}} |f|^{\frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}} \leq \int_{B^d} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx + \frac{d-2}{2} \int_{S^{d-1}} f^2 d\sigma$$

where  $u$  is any smooth extension of  $f$ .

We remark that inequality (6.1) has been derived by Escobar and been applied to study the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary.

When  $d = 2$ , the Sobolev trace inequality becomes the classical Lebedev-Milin, inequality

$$(6.2) \quad \log \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} e^f d\sigma \right) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_D |\nabla f|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} f d\sigma,$$

The Lebedev-Milin inequality (6.2) has been used in a wide variety of problems in classical analysis, including the Bieberbach conjecture [Bec93] and by Osgood-Phillips-Sarnak [OPS88] in the study of the compactness of isospectral planar domains.

We now state two sharp Sobolev Trace inequalities of order 4 we have obtained on  $(B^d, S^{d-1}, |dx|^2)$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $f \in C^\infty(S^{d-1})$  for  $d \geq 5$ , and let  $v$  be a smooth extension of  $f$  to the unit ball satisfying  $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} v|_{\partial B^d} = -\frac{(d-4)}{4} f$ . Then we have:*

$$(6.3) \quad c_d \left( \text{vol}(S^{d-1}) \right)^{\frac{3}{d-1}} \left( \int_{S^{d-1}} |f|^{\frac{2(d-1)}{d-4}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{d-4}{d-1}} \leq \int_{B^d} (\Delta_{g_0} v)^2 dx + 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} |\tilde{\nabla} f|^2 d\sigma + b_d \int_{S^{d-1}} |f|^2 d\sigma,$$

where  $c_d = \frac{d(d-2)(d-4)}{4}$ ,  $b_d = \frac{d(d-4)}{2}$  and  $\tilde{\nabla} f$  is the gradient of  $f$  with respect to the round metric  $g_{S^{d-1}}$ . Equality holds for any  $f(\xi) = c|1 - \langle z_0, \xi \rangle|^{\frac{4-d}{4}}$  with  $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ ,  $|z_0| < 1$ ,  $c$  a constant and  $v$  is a bi-harmonic extension of  $f$  satisfying the Neumann boundary condition. When  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $v = 1 + \frac{d-4}{4}(1 - |x|^2)$ .

The following is the analogue of Lebedev-Milin inequality of order 4 on  $(B^d, S^{d-1}, |dx|^2)$

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $f \in C^\infty(S^3)$  and let  $v$  be a  $C^\infty$  extension of  $f$  to the ball  $B^4$  satisfying  $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} v|_{\partial B^4} = 0$ . Then we have*

$$(6.4) \quad \log \left( \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{S^3} e^{3(f-\bar{f})} d\sigma \right) \leq \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \int_{B^4} (\Delta_{g_0} v)^2 dx + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^3} |\tilde{\nabla} f|^2 d\sigma.$$



Again, equality holds for any  $f(\xi) = \log |1 - \langle z_0, \xi \rangle| + c$  with  $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ ,  $|z_0| < 1$ ,  $c$  a constant and  $v$  is a bi-harmonic extension of  $f$  satisfying the Neumann boundary condition.

Main idea in the proof of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 above is first to establish the inequalities in the  $g^*$  metric using the Extension theorem, then apply the conformal covariant property of the  $P_4$  operator to transform the inequalities back from  $g^*$  back to  $g_0 = |dx|^2$ . Our proof also relies on some sharp higher order Sobolev inequality on the spheres derived much earlier by B. Beckner [Bec93]. It turns out in these model cases, we can explicitly compute the  $g^*$  metric.

**Lemma 6.3.** *On the model case  $(B^d, S^{d-1}, g_H)$ , where  $g_H = \rho^{-2}|dx|^2$  and  $\rho = \frac{1-|x|^2}{2}$ , we have*

(i) *When  $d \geq 5$ ,  $g^* = (\psi)^{\frac{4}{d-4}}|dx|^2$ , where*

$$\psi = 1 + \frac{d-4}{2}\rho$$

(ii) *When  $d = 4$ ,  $g^* = e^{2w}g_H = e^{2\rho}|dx|^2 = e^{(1-|x|^2)}|dx|^2$ . satisfying  $-\Delta_{g_H}w = 3$  on  $B^4$ .*

We remark that when one applies the same scheme as above to deal with Sobolev trace inequalities of order 2 on  $(B^d, S^{d-1})$ , it turns out  $g^* = |dx|^2$ , thus we recover the classical inequalities (6.1) and (6.2) above. Thus we believe the metric  $g^*$  is the “natural” metric for the 4th order problem.

Above inequalities has been generalized to much general settings, with the introductions of new classes of fractional order boundary operators with conformally covariant property in the most recent works of Jeffrey Case. [Cas15b], [Cas15a].

## REFERENCES

- [AC15] Antonio Ache and Sun-Yung Alice Chang, *Sobolev-trace inequalities of order four*, ArXiv:1509.06069v1, 2015.
- [Bec93] William Beckner, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **138** (1993), no. 1, 213–242. MR 1230930 (94m:58232)
- [Cas15a] Jeffrey Case, *Boundary operators associated to the paneitz operator*, arXiv:1509.08347, 2015.
- [Cas15b] ———, *Some energy inequalities involving fractional gjms operators*, arXiv:1509.08342, 2015.
- [CC14] Jeffrey Case and Sun-Yung Alice Chang, *On fractional GJMS operators*, arXiv:1406.1846, 2014.
- [CG11] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and María del Mar González, *Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 2, 1410–1432. MR 2737789 (2012k:58057)
- [CS07] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260. MR 2354493 (2009k:35096)
- [FG02] Charles Fefferman and C. Robin Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), no. 2-3, 139–151. MR 1909634 (2003f:53053)
- [GHL15] Matthew Gursky, Fengbo Hang, and Y. J. Lin, *Riemannian manifolds with positive yamabe invariant and paneitz operator*, International Mathematics Notices, 2015.
- [GJMS92] C. Robin Graham, Ralph Jenne, Lionel J. Mason, and George A. J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1992), no. 3, 557–565. MR 1190438 (94c:58226)
- [GM14] Matthew Gursky and Andrea Malchiodi, *A strong maximum principle for the paneitz operator and a non-local flow for the Q-curvature*, arXiv:1401.3216v5, 2014.
- [Gur99] Matthew J. Gursky, *The principal eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semilinear elliptic PDE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), no. 1, 131–143. MR 1724863 (2000k:58029)
- [GZ03] C. Robin Graham and Maciej Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 1, 89–118. MR 1965361 (2004c:58064)

- [HY14a] Fengbo Hang and Paul Yang, *Q curvature on a class of 3 manifolds*, Comm Pure Appl Math, 2014.
- [HY14b] ———, *Q curvature on a class of manifolds with dimension at least 5*, ArXiv:1411.3926, 2014.
- [HY14c] ———, *Sign of Green's function of Paneitz operators and the Q curvature*, ArXiv:1411.3924, 2014.
- [HY15] ———, *Lecture on the fourth order q curvature equation*, preprint, 2015.
- [Lee95] John M. Lee, *The spectrum of an asymptotically hyperbolic Einstein manifold*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **3** (1995), no. 1-2, 253–271. MR 1362652 (96h:58176)
- [MM87] Rafe R. Mazzeo and Richard B. Melrose, *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal. **75** (1987), no. 2, 260–310. MR 916753 (89c:58133)
- [OPS88] B. Osgood, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Extremals of determinants of Laplacians*, J. Funct. Anal. **80** (1988), no. 1, 148–211. MR 960228 (90d:58159)
- [Pan08] Stephen M. Paneitz, *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (summary)*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **4** (2008), Paper 036, 3. MR 2393291 (2009b:58080)
- [Yan13] Ray Yang, *On higher order extensions for the fractional laplacian*, arXiv:1302.4413, 2013.
- [YKC06] P. Yang, Dzh. King, and S.-Yu. A. Chang, *Renormalized volumes for conformally compact Einstein manifolds*, Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. **17** (2006), 129–142. MR 2336463 (2008m:53111)

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON  
NEW JERSEY 08544-1000 USA

*E-mail address:* [chang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:chang@math.princeton.edu)

*E-mail address:* [rayayang@gmail.com](mailto:rayayang@gmail.com)