

# On the Regularity Theory of Incompressible Flows

Alexandru Ionescu

August 16, 2025

# Introduction

We consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes and Euler equations in  $d \geq 2$  dimensions

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where  $u : \mathcal{D} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is the velocity field,  $p : \mathcal{D} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the pressure, and  $\nu \geq 0$ . In components

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u_k + u_j \partial_j u_k + \partial_k p - \nu \Delta u_k &= 0, \\ \partial_j u_j &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

- The pressure is such that the incompressibility condition is propagated by the flow

$$\Delta p + \partial_k(u_j \partial_j u_k) = 0 \implies p = R_j R_k(u_j u_k),$$

where  $R_j$  denotes the Riesz transform  $R_j = \partial_j |\nabla|^{-1}$ .

- Several possible frameworks: periodic domain  $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , Euclidean domain  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , chanel  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ , bounded domains  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  (with suitable boundary conditions).
- The case  $\nu = 0$  corresponds to the Euler equations, which are time reversible.

- **Local wellposedness:** one has local regularity for sufficiently smooth initial data in Sobolev spaces. There are also continuation criteria that guarantee regularity as long as certain quantities are controlled.
- **Long term regularity:** in certain cases one can prove long term (sometimes global) regularity: the solutions exist globally in time if the initial data is "small" in suitable critical spaces or if  $d = 2$ . The question of global regularity of solutions of the Navier-Stokes or the Euler equations in dimension  $d = 3$  is a fundamental open problem in Fluid Mechanics and one of the Millennium Problems.

# Basic questions

- **Stability of certain classes of solutions:** shear flows and vortices are sometimes "stable" both at the linear and nonlinear level in 2D.
- **Formation of singularities:** loss of regularity of solutions starting with smooth initial data (completely open).
- **Derived models:** many important equations, like the water waves system, the KdV equation, and the Schrödinger equation can be derived from the basic Fluid equations.
- **Numerical analysis:** solutions of the Euler equations can be very complicated, and numerics have played a critical role in understanding their dynamics.

**Theorem 1 (local well-posedness):** (i) Assume  $\nu \in [0, 1]$  and  $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  $s > d/2 + 1$  satisfies  $\partial_j \phi_j = 0$ . Then there is  $T = T(s, \|\phi\|_{H^s}) > 0$  and a unique solution  $u \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u &= 0, & \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \\ u(0) &= \phi.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Moreover

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim C(s, \|\phi\|_{H^s}).$$

(ii) For any  $R \geq 0$  the mapping  $\phi \mapsto u$  is a continuous mapping from  $B_R(H_0^s(\mathbb{T}^d))$  to  $C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))$ .

**Duhamel formula:**  $u$  is a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (3) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{\nu t \Delta} \phi + \int_0^t e^{\nu(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{N}(u, u)(s) ds, \\ \mathcal{N}(u, u) &:= -(\nabla p + u \cdot \nabla u), \quad p = p(u) = R_j R_k (u_j u_k). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

When  $\nu > 0$  this can be solved using a fixed-point argument in the space  $Z := C([0, T_\nu] : H^s)$  provided that  $T_\nu$  is sufficiently small depending on  $\nu > 0$  and  $\|\phi\|_{H^s}$ .

To prove local well-posedness in the Euler case  $\nu = 0$  we need to prove apriori control of high order energy functionals.

# Local regularity

Energy dissipation:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\nu \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla u(s)|^2 dx ds.$$

High order energy inequality:

$$\mathcal{E}_s(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_s(0) + C_s \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(t') \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^\infty} dt'$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_s(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |J^s u(t, x)|^2 dx,$$

and  $J^s$  is defined by the Fourier multiplier  $\xi \rightarrow (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$ . The proof uses the Kato-Ponce inequality: if  $s \geq 0$  then

$$\|J^s(u \nabla v) - u J^s \nabla v\|_{L^2} \lesssim_s \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^s}$$

for any  $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ .

# Critical well-posedness theory

Set  $\nu = 1$ , so the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \Delta u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0.$$

Solutions are formally invariant under parabolic scaling

$$u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x),$$

$$p_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The well-posedness theory and regularity criteria are best expressed in terms of scaling-invariant (critical) norms like

$$L_t^\infty L_x^d, \quad L_t^\infty \dot{H}^{d/2-1}, \quad L_t^p L_x^q, \quad 2/p + d/q = 1,$$

**Theorem 2 (Kato 1984):** Assume  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\phi \in L^d(\mathbb{T}^d)$  satisfies  $\partial_j \phi_j = 0$ . Then there is a unique solution  $u \in C([0, T] : L^d)$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \Delta u &= 0, & \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \\ u(0) &= \phi,\end{aligned}$$

where  $T = T(\phi) > 0$ . Moreover,  $T = \infty$  (meaning global regularity) if  $\|\phi\|_{L^d} \leq \varepsilon_d \ll 1$ .

# Critical well-posedness theory

To prove this we use a fixed-point argument in the space

$$Z_q := \{ \|f\| \in C([0, T] : L^d) : \\ \|f\|_{Z_q} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|f(t)\|_{L^d} + t^a \|f(t)\|_{L^q}] < \infty \}.$$

where  $q \in (d, \infty)$  and  $a = 1/2 - d/(2q)$ .

We use the general inequality

$$\|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^q} + \sqrt{t} \|e^{t\Delta} \nabla f\|_{L^q} \lesssim t^{-b} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad b := \frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right),$$

which holds for all exponents  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$  and numbers  $t > 0$ , provided that  $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$ .

The vorticity equation

$$\partial_t \omega_{ij} + u_k \partial_k \omega_{ij} + \omega_{jk} \partial_i u_k - \omega_{ik} \partial_j u_k - \nu \Delta \omega_{ij} = 0$$

In dimension  $d = 2$  the equation becomes

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \nu \Delta \omega = 0.$$

$L^p$  conservation laws:

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\omega(0)\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } p \in [1, \infty].$$

**Theorem 3 (global well-posedness in 2D):** (i) Assume  $d = 2$ ,  $\nu \in [0, 1]$  and  $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  $s > 2$  satisfies  $\partial_j \phi_j = 0$ . Then there is a unique global solution  $u \in C([0, \infty : H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)))$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u &= 0, & \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \\ u(0) &= \phi.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim C(s, t, \|\phi\|_{H^s}) & \text{for any } t \in [0, \infty), \\ \|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

# Regularity criteria

**Theorem 4:** Assume  $\nu \in [0, 1]$ ,  $s > d/2 + 1$ , and  $u \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))$ , is a solution of the Navier-Stokes equation

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0.$$

on some interval  $[0, T]$ .

(i) Then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C \left( s, \|u(0)\|_{H^s}, \int_0^T \|\nabla_x u(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \right)$$

(ii) (Beale-Kato-Majda) Moreover

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C \left( s, \|u(0)\|_{H^s}, \int_0^T \|\omega(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \right),$$

where  $\omega$  is the associated vorticity of the velocity field

$$\omega_{ij} := \partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i.$$

**Theorem 5: (Prodi-Serrin regularity criterion):** Assume  $s > d/2 + 1$ , and  $\phi \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))$ , is a solution of the Navier-Stokes equation

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \Delta u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0.$$

on some interval  $[0, T]$ . If  $2/p + d/q = 1$  then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C \left( s, \|u(0)\|_{H^s}, \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \right).$$

# Fourier analysis and harmonic analysis

The Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

The Fourier inversion formula:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

The Plancherel theorem:

$$\|f\|_{L^2} = C_d \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}.$$

The heat flow  $e^{t\Delta}$  is defined by the Fourier multiplier  $\xi \rightarrow e^{-t|\xi|^2}$ :

$$e^{t\Delta} f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f}(\xi) e^{-t|\xi|^2}),$$

$$e^{t\Delta} f(x) = f * K_t(x)$$

# Fourier analysis and harmonic analysis

where  $K_t$  is the heat kernel

$$K_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = \frac{C_d}{t^{d/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4t}.$$

- It is easy to see that for  $p \in [1, \infty]$

$$\|K_t\|_{L^p} \approx t^{-d/2} t^{d/(2p)}.$$

- Young's inequality

$$\|f * K\|_{L^q} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|K\|_{L^r}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} - 1$$

then gives the heat flow estimates

$$\|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^q} + \sqrt{t} \|e^{t\Delta} \nabla f\|_{L^q} \lesssim t^{-b} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad b := \frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right),$$

holds for all exponents  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  and numbers  $t > 0$ .

# Fourier analysis and harmonic analysis

- Littlewood-Paley theory: Assume  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a smooth even function supported in the interval  $[-2, 2]$  and equal to 1 in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define

$$\varphi_k(\xi) := \varphi(|\xi|/2^k) - \varphi(|\xi|/2^{k-1})$$

so  $\varphi_k$  is supported in the annulus  $\{|\xi| \in [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]\}$ . We define the Littlewood-Paley projections

$$P_k f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)] = f * L_k,$$

$$L_k(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_k(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = 2^{kd} L_0(2^k x).$$

Many properties:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f, & \|f\|_{H^s}^2 &\approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{2ks} + 1) \|P_k f\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \|P_k f\|_{L^q} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^p} 2^{dk(1/p-1/q)}, & 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- Calderón-Zygmund theory: if  $T$  is an operator defined by a Hörmander-Michlin multiplier  $m$  satisfying

$$|D_\xi^\alpha m(\xi)| \lesssim_{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{-\alpha}$$

then  $T$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and

$$\|Tf\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim_s \|f\|_{L^\infty} [1 + \log(2 + \|f\|_{H^s}/\|f\|_{L^\infty})].$$

if  $s > d/2$ .

- The Kato-Ponce inequality: if  $s \geq 0$  then

$$\|J^s(u \nabla v) - u J^s \nabla v\|_{L^2} \lesssim_s \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{H^s}$$

for any  $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $J^s$  is defined by the Fourier multiplier  $\xi \rightarrow (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$ .

# The Euler equations in 2D: Arnold stability

- In vorticity formulation

$$\partial_t \omega + u_1 \partial_1 \omega + u_2 \partial_2 \omega = 0,$$

$$u_1 = -\partial_2 \psi, \quad u_2 = \partial_1 \psi, \quad \Delta \psi = \omega.$$

- Shear flows:

$$(u_1, u_2)(x, y) = (U(y), 0), \quad \omega(x, y) = -U'(y).$$

These are stationary solutions of the 2D Euler equations.

- Vortices:

$$\omega(x, y) = \Omega(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}), \quad \psi(x, y) = \Psi(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}),$$

$$u_1(x, y) = -\Psi'(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$u_2(x, y) = \Psi'(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.$$

These are also stationary solutions of the 2D Euler equations.

# The Euler equations in 2D: Arnold stability

**Theorem (Arnold stability):** (i) Assume  $D = \mathbb{T} \times [0, 1]$ . Then shear flows defined by strictly convex functions  $U : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are globally stable under the norm

$$\int_D |u - \bar{u}|^2 dx + \int_D |\omega - \bar{\omega}|^2 dx.$$

(ii) Assume  $D = B_{R_0}$ . Then vortices defined by strictly monotonic functions  $\Omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are globally stable under the same norm as above.