Quantum variance for Hecke eigenforms [1]

Wenzhi Luo
Ohio State University

Peter Sarnak
Courant Institute and
Princeton University

Contents:

1. Introduction.

2. Poincaré series.

3. Symmetry properties of B_ω.

4. Extensions of B_ω and diagonalization.

5. Eigenvalues of B_ω.

6. Appendices.

(I) Classical variance.

(II) Evaluation of $S_c(\gamma)$.

(III) Self-adjointness of Hecke operators for B_ω.

1 Introduction.

This is the third paper of the series ([LS1], [LS2]) dealing with the equidistribution of mass of automorphic forms on $X = \Gamma\backslash\mathbf{H}$ with $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ and $\mathbf{H}$ the upper half plane. We realize $\mathbf{H}$ as $SL(2,\mathbf{R})/SO(2,\mathbf{R})$ with its hyperbolic metric and $Y =$

[1] Both authors are partially supported by NSF grants.

$\Gamma\backslash SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ as the unit cotangent space to X. Functions on X can be thought of as $SO(2,\mathbf{R})$ invariant functions on Y and we will often do so. In this way the Casimir element ω in the universal enveloping algebra of $sl(2,\mathbf{R})$ restricts to the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ when acting on functions on X.

There are two types of automorphic forms which we study. The first are the Maass-Hecke cusp forms ϕ on X (see [Sar]). They satisfy

$$\Delta\phi + \lambda\phi = 0$$

$$T_n\phi = \lambda_\phi(n)\phi, \tag{1}$$

where for $n \geq 1$, T_n is the normalized Hecke operator (see [I1]). We normalize these cusp forms so that

$$\|\phi\|_2^2 = \int_X |\phi(z)|^2 \frac{dxdy}{y^2} = 1.$$

If we order the ϕ's by their eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$, and correspondingly $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots$, we obtain an orthonormal basis for the cuspidal subspace $L^2_{cusp}(X)$ of $L^2(X)$. It is known (after Selberg) that these eigenvalues satisfy a Weyl law

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} 1 \sim \frac{\text{area}(X)}{4\pi}\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{12} \;\; ,$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$.

The other automorphic forms which we consider are the holomorphic cusp forms in $S_k(\Gamma)$ of even integral weight k for Γ (See [Ser]). $S_k(\Gamma)$ is a vector space with the Petersson inner product. Let H_k be the orthonormal basis of Hecke eigenforms for $S_k(\Gamma)$. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem we have

$$\text{dim} S_k(\Gamma) = \# H_k \sim \frac{k}{12} \;\; ,$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Our interest is in the distribution of the probability measures on X, $\mu_\phi = |\phi(z)|^2 \frac{dxdy}{y^2}$

for ϕ in (1) and $\mu_f = y^k|f(z)|^2\frac{dxdy}{y^2}$ for $f \in H_k$, as well as their behavior as λ or k goes to infinity (that is, in the semi-classic limit).

To explain what to expect, we recall some conjectures (or suggestions) from the physics literature. The motion by geodesics on X gives rise to a Hamiltonian flow $\mathcal{G}_t$ on Y given by

$$\Gamma g \to \Gamma g \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This flow preserves normalized Haar measure dg on Y and is ergodic. It has positive entropy as well as all other characteristics of a chaotic Hamiltonian. Let $C_0^\infty(Y)$ denote the space of smooth functions on Y which decay rapidly in the cusp and similarly we define the space $C_0^\infty(X)$. Thus if $\psi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and for any $A > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(A,\ \psi)$ such that $|\psi(z)| \leq C(A,\ \psi)y^{-A}$ for $y = \Im(z) \geq \sqrt{3}/2$ and similarly for the derivatives of ψ. Let $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ (respectively $C_{0,0}^\infty(Y)$) be the subspace of $C_0^\infty(X)$ consisting of functions with mean zero (i.e. $\int_X \psi(z)\frac{dxdy}{y^2} = 0$) and whose zeroth Fourier coefficient $\int_0^1 \psi(z)dx$ is zero for y large enough (depending on ψ). Thus $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ contains the space $C_{c,0}^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions on X with compact support and mean zero, as well as $C_{cusp}^\infty(X)$, the space of smooth rapidly decaying functions on X which are cuspidal. The last is spanned by the Hecke-Maass cusp forms. It is known ([Ra1]) that if $\psi \in C_{0,0}^\infty(Y)$, then its fluctuations along a generic orbit of the geodesic flow obey a central limit theorem. Precisely $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T \psi(\mathcal{G}_t(g))dt$ become Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $V(\psi)$ given by the following non-negative Hermitian form on $C_{0,0}^\infty(Y)$:

$$V(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{\Gamma\backslash SL(2,\mathbf{R})} \psi_1\left(g\begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix}\right)\overline{\psi_2(g)}dgdt. \qquad (2)$$

The t-integral in (2) converges absolutely in view of the exponential decay of the correlations for the flow $\mathcal{G}_t$ ([Ra2]). We call the variance $V(\psi)$ of the 'classical observable' ψ, the classical variance. Since ω commutes with the regular representation, it follows from (2) and integration by parts that

$$V(\omega\psi_1, \psi_2) = V(\psi_1, \omega\psi_2), \text{ and } V(R_{a_1}\psi_1, R_{a_2}\psi_2) = V(\psi_1, \psi_2), \tag{3}$$

where R is the regular representation given by

$$R_{g_1}\psi(\Gamma g) = \psi(\Gamma g g_1),$$

and

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular V is diagonalized by the invariant subspaces for the regular action of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ on $L_0^2(Y)$. Restricting V to $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ we see from (3) that

$$V(\psi_1, \Delta\psi_2) = V(\Delta\psi_1, \psi_2).$$

Thus on $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$, V is diagonalized by the Maass cusp forms ϕ_j (and corresponding unitary Eisenstein series). In Appendix I we compute the eigenvalue of V on ϕ_j, it is given by

$$V(\phi_j) = \frac{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{it_j}{2})|^4}{2\pi|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - it_j)|^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{4} + t_j^2$.

The eigenvalue problem (1) gives the eigenstates for the quantization of the Hamilton flow $\mathcal{G}_t$. Quantization also provides a self-adjoint operator $Op(\psi)$ on $L^2(X)$, for any real valued ψ in $C_0^\infty(Y)$. In this case a 'canonical' quantization is given by Zelditch [Ze]. $Op(\psi)$ is the quantum observable corresponding to the classical observable ψ and $< Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j >$ gives the value of this observable in state ϕ_j. Note that if $\psi \in C_0^\infty(X)$, then $Op(\psi)$ is simply the multiplication operator $(Op(\psi)h)(z) = \psi(z)h(z)$ and $< Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j >= \mu_{\phi_j}(\psi)$.

As mentioned before our interest is in the relation between the classical observable

$\psi(\mathcal{G}_t(g))$ as $t \to \infty$ and the quantum observables $< Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j >$ as $\lambda_j \to \infty$. It is known [Ze] that their means agree. For $\psi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{N(\lambda)} \sum_{\lambda_j \le \lambda} < Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j >= \int_{\Gamma\backslash SL(2,\mathbf{R})} \psi(g)dg. \tag{5}$$

In studying the fluctuations we will asume that $\psi \in C_{0,0}^\infty(Y)$. In [FP] and [EFKAMM] it is proposed that for such classically chaotic Hamiltonians, the variance of the quantum observables $< Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j >$ corresponds to the classical variance $V(\psi)$ and that the distribution of these numbers becomes Gaussian after normalization by the square root of the variance. More precisely the proposed quantum variance is

$$S_\psi(\lambda) := \sum_{\lambda_j \le \lambda} | < Op(\psi)\phi_j, \phi_j > |^2 \sim V(\psi)N(\lambda)^{1/2}, \tag{6}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Zelditch [Ze] introduced these quantum variance sums in his treatment of the quantum ergodicity for this surface. He established the non-trivial bound $S_\psi(\lambda) = O_\psi(\lambda/\log\lambda)$. In [LS1] we showed that for $\psi \in C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, $S_\psi(\lambda) = O_\psi(\lambda^{1/2+\epsilon})$, and Jakobson [Ja] extended this bound to all $\psi \in C_{0,0}^\infty(Y)$. The analysis leading to these $O(\lambda^{1/2+\epsilon})$ bounds involves off-diagonal terms coming from an application of Kuznetsov's trace formula (see the outline below). These were handled using the large sieve inequalities of Deshouillers and Iwaniec [DI]. In order to get rid of the ϵ and obtain an asymptotic for $S_\psi(\lambda)$, one cannot afford to just estimate these off-diagonal terms. In fact as shown below, these terms contribute to the main term in the asymptotics.

As is clear from the later sections of this paper, the analysis of these quantum variance sums is rather delicate. We will follow our strategy in [LS2], to examine first the quantum variance for the very similar problem with ϕ_j replaced by $f \in H_k$. That is, for $\psi \in C^{\infty}_{0,0}(X)$, set

$$< Op(\psi)f, f >:= \mu_f(\psi) = \int_X y^k |f(z)|^2 \psi(z) \frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \tag{7}$$

The corresponding quantum variance sums are

$$\sum_{k \leq K, 2|k} \sum_{f \in H_k} |\mu_f(\psi)|^2.$$

Note that k plays the role of $\sqrt{\lambda}$. The only diference between our treatment of (7) and $S_\psi(\lambda)$ of (6) is that for the holomorphic case one uses the Petersson formula (see [I2]) in place of the Kuznetsov formula ([Ku]). This simplifies the analysis especially as far as the special functions involved. We leave the details of the analysis of the asymptotics of $S_\psi(\lambda)$ to a later paper, though we will record below the leading term in that case for the purpose of comparison.

We can now state the main result of this paper. In view of the Petersson formula it is convenient to consider a weighted version of the quantum variance sums. The weights are mildly varying arithmetic weights given by special values at $s = 1$ of L-functions. With a little more effort (see [ILS]) these weights can be removed, and they have no effect on the final asymptotics. For $f \in H_k$ or ϕ a Maass-Hecke cusp form, let $L(s, f)$ and $L(s, \phi)$ be the corresponding standard L-functions (finite part), see [IS1], for example, for a description of the L-functions that we need. The completed L-functions $\Lambda(s, f)$ and $\Lambda(s, \phi)$ are entire and satisfy functional equations. Let $\mathrm{sym}^2(f)$ and $\mathrm{sym}^2(\phi)$ be the symmetric square lifts of f and ϕ respectively to cusp forms on $GL_3(\mathbf{A}_Q)$ (see [GJ]). The corresponding L-functions, which are Euler products of degree 3, are denoted by $L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))$ and $L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))$. Their completed L-functions $\Lambda(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))$ and $\Lambda(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))$ are entire and satisfy a functional equation relating the values at s and $1 - s$. We will also have the occasion to use the Rankin-Selberg L-functions $L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f) \otimes \phi)$ of degree 6 and their completion $\Lambda(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f) \otimes$

ϕ). The weights in question are $L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))$. Being special values at $s=1$, they satisfy the bounds (see [HL])

$$k^{-\epsilon} \ll_\epsilon L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \ll_\epsilon k^\epsilon,$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1. Fix $u \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$.

(A). There is a non-negative Hermitian form B_ω defined on $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ such that for $\psi \in C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{f \in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) |\mu_f(\psi)|^2 \\ = \quad & B_\omega(\psi) \left(\int_0^\infty u(t) dt\right) K + O_{\epsilon,\psi}(K^{1/2+\epsilon}), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

as $K \to \infty$.

(B) B_ω satisfies the symmetries

$$B_\omega(\Delta\psi_1, \psi_2) = B_\omega(\psi_1, \Delta\psi_2),$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$B_\omega(T_n\psi_1, \psi_2) = B_\omega(\psi_1, T_n\psi_2).$$

(C). Restricting B_ω to $L^2_{cusp}(X)$, B_ω is diagonalized by the orthonormal basis $\{\psi_j\}$ of Maass-Hecke cusp forms and the eigenvalues of B_ω at ϕ_j is $\frac{\pi}{2} L(1/2, \phi_j)$.

Remarks:

(1). A simple approximation argument in (A) allows us to take u to be the characteristic function of an interval. Hence as $K \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{k \leq K, 2|k} \sum_{f \in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) |\mu_f(\psi)|^2 \sim B_\omega(\psi) K.$$

Also

$$\sum_{k\leq K, 2|k} \sum_{f\in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \sim \frac{\zeta^2(2)}{48} K^2.$$

Thus we obtain the analogue for the μ_f's of the asymptotics of $S_\psi(\lambda)$. As mentioned earlier the methods of the proof of Theorem 1 apply to $S_\psi(\lambda)$ and yield

$$S_\psi(\lambda) \sim B(\psi)\sqrt{\lambda}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$. The Hermitian form B on $C_{0,0}^\infty(X)$ satisfies the same symmetry relation (B) of Theorem 1. The only difference is that the eigenvalue of B at ϕ_j is given by $B(\phi_j) = \frac{1}{2}L(1/2, \phi_j)V(\phi_j)$. Hence both the forms B and V are diagonlized by the ϕ_j's and the proposed quantum variance (6) is correct if one inserts the subtle arithmetic factor $L(1/2, \phi_j)$ to the eigenvalues of V.

(2). The numbers $L(1/2, \phi_j)$, which are essentially the eigenvalues of the non-negative Hermitian form B_ω, must satisfy $L(1/2, \phi_j) \geq 0$. This non-obvious fact is quite deep and useful (see [IS1]). It was first established in [KaS]. The present eigenvalue proof is interesting from various points of view. There is a lot of evidence that the zeros of an L-function are spectral in their nature (see [KS]). Here we have the numbers $L(1/2, \phi_j)$, as ϕ_j varies over the family of Maass-Hecke eigenforms, being the eigenvalues of a non-negative operator.

(3). It is known [IS2] that at least 50% of the even ϕ_j's, i.e. those satisfying $\phi_j(-\bar{z}) = \phi_j(z)$, have $L(1/2, \phi_j) \neq 0$. For the odd ϕ_j, $L(1/2, \phi_j) = 0$ in view of the sign of the functional equation of $\Lambda(1/2, \phi_j)$, and also $\mu_f(\phi_j) = 0$ since any f in H_k is real on $y = 0$ and so $\overline{f(-\bar{z})} = f(z)$. One can show that (see [Lu])

$$\sum_{k\leq K, 2|k} \sum_{f\in H_k} L^2(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \sim \frac{\zeta(3)\zeta^5(2)}{48\cdot\zeta(6)} K^2.$$

Combining this with Theorem 1 and Cauchy's inequality, we see that for ϕ with $L(1/2, \phi) \neq 0$,

$$\mu_f(\phi) = \Omega(k^{-1/2})$$

as $k \to \infty$. This shows that the rate of equidistribution for the $\mu_f(\psi)$'s in the QUE problem, i.e. for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mu_f(\psi) = O_{\epsilon,\psi}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon}),$$

as predicted by Watson's formula (see below) and the GRH, is essentially sharp.

We end the introduction with an outline of the paper. As in [LS1] and [LS2], we establish (A) using the Poincaré series $P_{m,h}$ (see §2). These form a dense subspace of $C_{0,0}^{\infty}(X)$, and they allow us to analyze the quantity $\mu_f(P_{m,h})$ in terms of sums over Fourier coefficients of f. This in turn allows us to exploit the multiplicativity of these coefficients, which comes from the fact that f is a Hecke eigenform (a crucial ingredient). We then average over H_k, using Petersson's formula (see §2). This introduces diagonal and non-diagonal terms. The off-diagonal terms involve standard Kloosterman sums. Next we excute the smooth sum over k using Poisson summation. An application of Lemma 5 and 6 from [LS2] introduces an arithmetic twisting of the Kloosterman sums which become Salié sums. In this way the main term (as $K \to \infty$) is identified and it contains an infinite series of exponential sums $S_c(\gamma)$ discussed in Appendix II. These non-diagonal terms appear as part of the rather complicated main term that is given in Theorem 2 in §2. In this form the Hermitian form B_ω is given in terms of its values at Poincaré series. In §3 we analyze B_ω. Using the series expression as obtained in Theorem 2, we verify directly the symmetry properties (B) of Theorem 1 when ψ_1, ψ_2 are Poincaré series. In §4 the symmetry is extended to $C_{0,0}^{\infty}(X)$. With this and the fact that ϕ_j is uniquely determined by the eigenvalues λ_j and $\lambda_j(n), n \geq 1$, we infer easily that B_ω is diagonalized by the ϕ_j's. In §5 we compute the eigenvalues of B_ω at ϕ_j. To do so we go back to the original asymptotics in Theorem 1 with $\psi = \phi$, an even Maass-Hecke eigenform. For such a ϕ we use

Watson's identity [Wa]

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mu_f(\phi)|^2 &= \left|\int_X y^k |f(z)|^2 \phi(z) \frac{dxdy}{y^2}\right|^2 \\
&= \frac{\Lambda(1/2, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi)\Lambda(1/2,\phi)}{\Lambda(1,\mathrm{sym}^2(f))^2\Lambda(1,\mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))}. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the quantum variance sum over f boils down, after an analysis of the archimedean factors on the r.h.s. of (9), to averaging $L(1/2, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi)$ over f . Using Rankin-Selberg theory for $GL(3)\times GL(2)$, we can express these values in a suitable series (see §5), after which the averaging over $f\in H_k$ and over even k can be carried out. Unlike the case of the general ψ in Theorem 1, in this analysis for ϕ only the diagonal terms contribute to the main term in the variance sum. This leads to the eigenvalue, i.e. $B_\omega(\phi)$, taking the simple form as stated in part (C) of Theorem 1.

To conclude the introduction we comment on the proposed Gaussian behavior of either $\mu_f(\phi)$ as f varies, or $\mu_{\phi_j}(\phi)$ as j varies, with ϕ a fixed even Maass-Hecke form. According to (9) and an analysis of the archimedean factors in (9), this amounts to the distribution of the numbers $L(1/2, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi)$ as f varies. This family of L-functions, $L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi)$ with $f\in H_k,\ k\to\infty$, is an $SO(\text{even})$ family according to [KS]. This is shown in [DM] which examines the distribution of the low-lying zeros for this family (note the signs of the functional equations for this self-dual family are all 1, yet the family has an orthogonal rather than symplectic symmetry). Hence according to the conjectures of Keating and Snaith [KeS, (77)] the moments of these special values should satisfy

$$
\frac{48}{K^2}\sum_{k\le K, 2|k}\sum_{f\in H_k} L^m(1/2, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi) \sim (\log K^2)^{m(m-1)/2} a(m) f_{SO(even)}(m),
$$

where

$$
f_{SO(even)}(m) = \frac{2^m}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(2j-1)!!},
$$

and $a(m)$ is a product over primes specific to this family, which can be computed for any given m, but for which we don't have a simple closed formula.

Thus if these conjectures are true, then the distribution of the numbers $L(1/2, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)\otimes\phi)$ and hence $|\mu_f(\phi)|^2$ is clearly not Gaussian.

To conclude we point the reader to the recent preprint [KR] where a similar anomaly for the quantum variance is found for the cat map.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Zeev Rudnick for interesting discussions, and in particular for drawing our attention to the references [FP] and [EFKAMM].

2 Poincaré series.

We use the same notations as in [LS2]. For $h(x) \in C_0^\infty(0,\infty)$, the incomplete Poincaré series $(m \in \mathbf{Z}, m \neq 0)$ is defined as

$$P_{h,m}(z) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma} h(y(\gamma z))e(mx(\gamma z)),$$

where

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

f has a Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{r\geq 1} a_f(r)e(rz),$$

and we define

$$\lambda_f(r) = \frac{a_f(r)r^{(-k+1)/2}}{a_f(1)}.$$

Denote by $L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))$ the symmetric square L-function associated to f:

$$L(s, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) = \zeta(2s)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n^s},$$

and recall that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the following bounds hold:

$$k^{-\epsilon} \ll L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \ll k^{\epsilon}. \tag{10}$$

Since $< f, f >= 1$ we have the relation

$$|a_f(1)|^2 = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)} \frac{2\pi^2}{L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))}. \tag{11}$$

Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad m_1 m_2 \neq 0$ and $h_1, h_2 \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$. Recall if $m_i > 0$, we have (see Proposition 3 in [LS2])

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_i,m_i}(z) \rangle \\ = \ & \frac{2\pi^2}{(k-1)L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))} \sum_{r \geq 1} \lambda_f(r) \lambda_f(r+m_i) h_i \left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi(r+m_i/2)} \right) + O(k^{-1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $m_1 > 0, m_2 > 0$, since $\langle \mu_f,\ P_{h,m}(z) \rangle = \langle \mu_f,\ P_{h,-m}(z) \rangle$. Thus, by (3) and the multiplicativity of Hecke eigenvalues,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_1,m_1}(z) \rangle \overline{\langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_2,m_2}(z) \rangle} \\ = \ & \frac{2\pi^2}{(k-1)L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))} \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \\ & \times \frac{a_f(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1)) \overline{a_f(r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2))}}{(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1))^{(k-1)/2} (r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2))^{(k-1)/2}} \\ & \times h_1 \left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_1 (r_1 + m_1/(2d_1))} \right) \overline{h_2} \left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_2 (r_2 + m_2/(2d_2))} \right) + O(k^{-1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $u \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$. From the above formula and by Petersson formula (see [I1]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K} \right) \sum_{f \in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_1,m_1}(z) \rangle \overline{\langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_2,m_2}(z) \rangle} \\ = \ & \sum_{k \geq 1, 2|k} \frac{2\pi^2}{k-1} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K} \right) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1) = r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2)} \\ & h_1 \left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_1 (r_1 + m_1/(2d_1))} \right) \overline{h_2} \left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_2 (r_2 + m_2/(2d_2))} \right) \\ & - \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{r_1, r_2 \geq 1} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1), r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2); c)}{c} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} 2\pi(-1)^{k/2} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right)\frac{2\pi^2}{k-1}$$

$$h_1\left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_1(r_1+m_1/(2d_1))}\right)\overline{h_2}\left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_2(r_2+m_2/(2d_2))}\right)$$

$$J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{r_1r_2(r_1+m_1/d_1)(r_2+m_2/d_2)}}{c}\right)+O(K^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

We evaluate the diagonal terms by means of Poisson summation formula as

$$\frac{K\pi}{4}\int_0^\infty u(\xi)d\xi \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2;\ m_1/d_1=m_2/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2}+O(1), \qquad (12)$$

since $r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1)=r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2)$ has at most finitely many solutions if $m_1/d_1\neq m_2/d_2$.

Applying Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 from [LS2], we deduce that the non-diagonal terms are equal to

$$\frac{-2\pi^{5/2}}{K}\sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2}\sum_{r_1,r_2\geq 1}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1),r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2);c)}{c}$$

$$\times\int_0^\infty u\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta c^{-1}y}}{K}\right)\frac{K}{\sqrt{\Delta c^{-1}y}}\ h_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta c^{-1}y}}{4\pi d_1(r_1+m_1/(2d_1))}\right)\overline{h_2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta c^{-1}y}}{4\pi d_2(r_2+m_2/(2d_2))}\right)$$

$$\times\sin\left(\Delta c^{-1}/2+y-\pi/4\right)\frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}}+O(1)$$

$$=\sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2}\sum_{r_1,r_2\geq 1}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1),r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2);c)}{c}J_{r_1,r_2,c}+O(1),$$

say, where

$$\Delta=8\pi\sqrt{r_1r_2(r_1+m_1/d_1)(r_2+m_2/d_2)}.$$

The terms with $c\gg K^\epsilon$ contribute $O(1)$, by partial integration. Making the change of variable $t=\frac{\sqrt{\Delta c^{-1}y}}{K}$, we see $J_{r_1,r_2,c}$ is

$$-4\pi^{5/2}\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\int_0^\infty\frac{u(t)}{t}\sin\left(\Delta c^{-1}/2+(tK)^2c/\Delta-\pi/4\right)$$

$$\times h_1\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_1(r_1+m_1/(2d_1))}\right)\overline{h_2}\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_2(r_2+m_2/(2d_2))}\right)dt.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta i}{2c} &= \frac{4\pi i}{c}\sqrt{r_1r_2(r_1+m_1/d_1)(r_2+m_2/d_2)} \\
&= \frac{2\pi i}{c}\left(2r_1r_2+\frac{m_2r_1}{d_2}+\frac{m_1r_2}{d_1}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{r_2}{r_1}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{r_1}{r_2}+\cdots\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We first assume the test functions u, h_1, h_2 are all real-valued and write for simplicity

$$J_{r_1,r_2,c} = \Im\left\{e_c\left(2r_1r_2+\frac{m_2r_1}{d_2}+\frac{m_1r_2}{d_1}\right)f_c(r_1,r_2)\right\},$$

say, where $e_c(x)=\exp(2\pi ix/c)$.

Reducing the summation over $r_1,\ r_2$ into congruence classes mod c, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r_1,r_2\ge 1} S(r_1(r_1+m_1/d_1), r_2(r_2+m_2/d_2);c)e_c\left(2r_1r_2+\frac{m_2r_1}{d_2}+\frac{m_1r_2}{d_1}\right)f_c(r_1,r_2) \\
= &\sum_{a,\, b(\bmod c)} S(a(a+m_1/d_1), b(b+m_2/d_2);c)e_c\left(2ab+\frac{m_2a}{d_2}+\frac{m_1b}{d_1}\right) \\
&\times \sum_{r_1\equiv a(\bmod c), r_2\equiv b(\bmod c)} f_c(r_1,r_2) \\
= &\frac{1}{c^2}\sum_{u(\bmod c)}\sum_{v(\bmod c)}\left(\sum_{a,\, b(\bmod c)} S(a(a+m_1/d_1), b(b+m_2/d_2);c)\right. \\
&\left.\times\, e_c\left(2ab+\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}+u\right)a+\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}+v\right)b\right)\right)\left(\sum_{r_1,r_2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1-vr_2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we apply Poisson summation formula for the sum in $r_1,\ r_2$ and obtain

$$\sum_{r_1,r_2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1-vr_2) = \sum_{l_1,l_2} B(l_1,l_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B(l_1,l_2) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1-vr_2)e(l_1r_1+l_2r_2)dr_1dr_2 \\
&= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e((l_1-u/c)r_1+(l_2-v/c)r_2)dr_1dr_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can assume $|u|\le c/2,\quad |v|\le c/2$, and by partial integration sufficiently many times, we see that

$$\sum_{l_1,l_2} B(l_1,l_2) = B(0,0)+O(K^{-A}),$$

for any $A > 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{r_1,r_2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2)dr_1dr_2 + O(K^{-A}).$$

For $(u,v) \neq (0,0)$, by partial integration sufficiently many times, we infer that (recall $c \ll K^\epsilon$)

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2)dr_1dr_2 \ll K^{-A},$$

for any $A > 0$. Thus only $(u,v) = (0,0)$ contributes. Moreover we can allow $c \gg K^\epsilon$ in the c-summation since

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)dr_1dr_2 \ll c^{-A}K^2,$$

for any $A > 0$.

For fixed d_i, m_i $(i = 1,2)$ and integer γ, denote

$$S_c(\gamma) = \sum_{a,\, b(\bmod c)} S(a(\gamma a + m_1/d_1), b(\gamma b + m_2/d_2); c)e_c\left(2\gamma ab + \left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)a + \left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)b\right),$$

and $S_c = S_c(1)$. We also write $S_{c,\, m_1/d_1,\, m_2/d_2}$ for S_c if we need to indicate the dependence on other parameters. Obviously $S_{c,\, m_1/d_1,\, m_2/d_2} = S_{c,\, m_2/d_2,\, m_1/d_1}$.

Thus, the non-diagonal contribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_c}{c^2} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f_c(r_1,r_2)dr_1dr_2 + O(1) \\
= \; & -4\pi^{5/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \Im\left\{ \frac{S_c}{c^{5/2}}\overline{\zeta_8} \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \right. \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} e_c\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{r_2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right) e^{i(tK)^2c/\Delta} \\
& \left. \times h_1\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_1(r_1 + m_1/(2d_1))}\right) h_2\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_2(r_2 + m_2/(2d_2))}\right) dr_1dr_2dt \right\} + O(1) \\
= \; & \frac{-2\pi^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \Im\left\{ \frac{S_c}{c^{5/2}}\overline{\zeta_8} \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \right. \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1r_2}} e_c\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{r_2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right) e^{i(tK)^2c/\Delta}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times h_1\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_1 r_1}\right) h_2\left(\frac{tK}{4\pi d_2 r_2}\right) dr_1 dr_2 dt\Big\} + O(1) \\
= \ & \frac{-K\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)dt\right) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \Im\left\{\frac{S_c}{c^{5/2}}\overline{\zeta_8} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2}\right. \\
& \times e\left(-\frac{1}{4c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{1}{4c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + \xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times h_1\left(\frac{\xi}{d_1}\right) h_2\left(\frac{\eta}{d_2}\right) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{(\xi\eta)^{3/2}}\Big\} + O(1) \\
= \ & \frac{-K\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)dt\right) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \Im\left\{\frac{S_c}{c^{5/2}}\overline{\zeta_8} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2}\right. \\
& \times e\left(-\frac{1}{4c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 v\frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{1}{4c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{h_2(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}\Big\} + O(1).
\end{aligned}
$$

By the multiplicativity of $S_c(\gamma)$:

$$
S_{c_1 c_2,\, m_1/d_1,\, m_2/d_2}(\gamma) = S_{c_1,\, m_1/d_1,\, m_2/d_2}(\gamma c_2) S_{c_2,\, m_1/d_1,\, m_2/d_2}(\gamma c_1), \text{ for } (c_1,\ c_2) = 1,
$$

and using the fact $\overline{2c_2}/c_1 + \overline{c_1}/(2c_2) \equiv 1/(2c_1c_2) \pmod 1$ for $(c_1, 2c_2) = 1$ as well as the result in §6, one can check that

$$
S_c e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{m_1}{d_1}\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right) \in \mathbf{R},
$$

and hence we obtain, under the assumption that the test functions u, h_1, h_2 are all real-valued, the following Theorem. Before stating it we need a little extra notation. For A a non-negative integer define $\|\cdot\|_A$ on $C_c^\infty(0,\infty)$ by

$$
\|h\|_A = \max_{0\leq i\leq A,\ |j|\leq A,\ x\in(0,\infty)} \left|\frac{h^i(x)}{x^j}\right| . \tag{13}
$$

Theorem 2. For $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$, $m_1 m_2 \neq 0$, $u, h_1, h_2 \in C_c^\infty(0,\infty)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{f\in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \left\langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_1,m_1}(z)\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle \mu_f,\ P_{h_2,m_2}(z)\right\rangle} \\
= \ & B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) K \left(\int_0^\infty u(t)dt\right) + O(K^{1/2+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

where

$$B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|/d_1\ =\ |m_2|/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2}$$
$$- \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1|/d_1,\ |m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2}$$
$$\times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi(d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right)$$
$$\times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}. \tag{15}$$

Moreover there is an absolute constant A and C $(= C(\epsilon))$ such that the implicit constant in (14) is at most

$$C((|m_1|+1)(|m_2|+1))^A \|h_1\|_A \cdot \|h_2\|_A, \tag{16}$$

and the series defining the B_ω converges absolutely and satisfies

$$|B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2})| \leq C((|m_1|+1)(|m_2|+1))^A \|h_1\|_A \cdot \|h_2\|_A. \tag{17}$$

(16) is proven by keeping track of the dependence on h_1 and h_2 in the derivation of (14). (17) follows from integrating by parts in the double integral in (15), and then directly estimating the terms.

A closer inspection of the proof actually shows that if any incomplete Poincaré series P_{h_i,m_i} in the Theorem 2 is replaced by incomplete Eisenstein series (i.e. $m_i = 0$) with zero mean $\int_X P_{h_i,m_i}\tilde{\nu} = 0$ (i.e. $\int_0^\infty h_i(y)y^{-2}dy = 0$), then the Theorem 2 is still valid except for the case $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. For the case $m_1 = m_2 = 0$, (14) and (15) of the Theorem 2 continue to hold as long as the term

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2;\ m_1/d_1=m_2/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2}$$

is replaced by

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \int_{1/A}^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_2(\xi) b_2(\eta) H_1\left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right) H_2\left(\frac{r}{\eta}\right) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{(\xi\eta)^2} \right) \frac{dr}{r^2},$$

where $H_i(\xi) = h_i''(\xi)\xi^2 + 2h_i'(\xi)\xi = (h_i'(\xi)\xi^2)'$; $h_i(\xi) = 0$ for $0 < \xi \leq 1/A$, $i = 1, 2$; $2b_2(x) = B_2(x - [x])$, and $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree 2. This follows by Euler-MacLaurin summation formula from

$$\sum_{d_i \geq 1} h_i\left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi d_i r}\right) = -\int_0^\infty b_2(\xi) H_i\left(\frac{k-1}{4\pi \xi r}\right)\frac{d\xi}{\xi^2}, \quad \text{for } r \geq 1,$$

which vanishes if $r \geq A\frac{k-1}{4\pi}$.

It is in turn equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{4}\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_2(\xi)b_2(\eta) H_1\left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right) H_2\left(\frac{r}{\eta}\right)\frac{d\xi d\eta}{(\xi\eta)^2}\right)\frac{dr}{r^2} \\ = \; & \frac{\pi}{4}\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_2(\xi)b_2(\eta)\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} h_1\left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} h_2\left(\frac{r}{\eta}\right) d\xi d\eta\right)\frac{dr}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Symmetry properties of B_ω

Let $L_m = L_m^x$ be the differential operator on $C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ given by

$$L_m h(x) = (x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 4\pi^2 m^2 x^2) h(x). \tag{18}$$

If we define the inner product on $C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ by

$$(h_1,\ h_2) = \int_0^\infty h_1(x)\overline{h_2(x)}\frac{dx}{x^2}, \tag{19}$$

then L_m is symmetric with respect to (,), i.e.

$$(L_m h_1,\ h_2) = (h_1,\ L_m h_2).$$

We have

$$\Delta(h(y)e(mx)) = (L_m h)(y)e(mx), \tag{20}$$

and hence

$$\Delta P_{h,m} = P_{L_m h, m}, \tag{21}$$

where Δ is the hyperbolic Laplacian. Moreover, we have (see the proof of Theorem 6.9 in [I2])

$$T_n P_{h,\,m}(z) = \sum_{d|(m,\,n)} \left(\frac{d^2}{n}\right)^{1/2} P_{h\left(\frac{ny}{d^2}\right),\,\frac{mn}{d^2}}(z), \tag{22}$$

where T_n is the n-th Hecke operator (see §8.5 in [I2]). It turns out that the bilinear form $B_\omega(\cdot,\cdot)$, defined on the space P spanned by all $P_{h,m}$'s, is self-adjoint with respect to the Laplacian Δ and the Hecke operators $T_n,\ n \geq 1$:

$$B_\omega(\Delta P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ \Delta P_{h_2,m_2}), \tag{23}$$

$$B_\omega(T_n P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_n P_{h_2,m_2}). \tag{24}$$

The verification of (23) is straightforward by (21), by change of variables (when $m_1 m_2 \neq 0$, to symmetrize the integral kernel)

$$\xi \to \frac{m_2}{d_2}\xi, \quad \eta \to \frac{m_1}{d_1}\eta,$$

and in view of the fact

$$\left(\xi^2\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - 4\pi^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 \xi^2\right) K_{m_1,m_2,d_1,d_2}(\xi,\ \eta) = \left(\eta^2\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} - 4\pi^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 \eta^2\right) K_{m_1,m_2,d_1,d_2}(\xi,\ \eta),$$

i.e.

$$\left(\xi^2\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - 4\pi^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 \xi^2\right) K_{m_1,m_2,d_1,d_2}(\xi,\ \eta) \quad \text{is a symmetric function in} \quad \xi,\ \eta,$$

where

$$K_{m_1,m_2,d_1,d_2}(\xi,\ \eta) = \sqrt{\xi\eta}\sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\frac{m_1 m_2}{d_1 d_2}\frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\frac{m_1 m_2}{d_1 d_2}\frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi(d_1 d_2)^2\frac{m_1 m_2}{d_1 d_2}\xi\eta c\right).$$

If $m_1 m_2 = 0$, we then desymmetrize the integral kernel and use the continuity argument.

In order to prove (24), it suffices to check it for each T_p (p is a prime), which can be verified by a tedious computation, using (22) and the explicit evaluation of $S_{c,\,m_1/d_1,\,m_2/d_2}(\gamma)$ in §6. For the details, see the Appendix (III) in §6.

4 Extension of B_ω and diagonalization.

Let $P: \mathbf{H} \to X$ be the usual projection map, and $\{D_{0j}\}_{0\le i\le 3} \bigcup \{D_{k1} \cup D_{k2}\}_{k\ge 1}$ be a system of open sets with compact closures in $\mathbf{H}$ whose projections to X form a locally finite open covering of X (see [He]), such that the restriction $P|_{D_{kj}}$ is injective except for D_{00} or D_{01}; D_{00} (res. D_{01}) is a neighborhood of i (res. $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$) and the restriction $P|_{D_{00}}$ (res. $P|_{D_{01}}$) is two to one (res. three to one) map except at i (res. except at ρ). We choose $(k \ge 1)$

$$D_{02} = \{z,\ \Im(z) < 2,\ |\Re(z)| < 1/2,\ |z| > 1\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{03} &= \{z,\ \Im(z) < 2,\ -1/2 \le \Re(z) < 0,\ |z| > 1\} \\ &\quad \cup\{z,\ \Im(z) < 2,\ -1 < \Re(z) \le -1/2,\ |z+1| > 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{k1} = \{z,\ 3^k/2 < \Im(z) < 2\cdot 3^k,\ -1 < \Re(z) < 0\},$$

$$D_{k2} = \{z,\ 3^k/2 < \Im(z) < 2\cdot 3^k,\ -1/2 < \Re(z) < 1/2\}.$$

Let $\{f_{kj}\}_{k\ge 0}$ is the partition of unity subordinate to the above covering of X (see [He]). Each f_{kj} can be regarded as an automorphic function with respect to Γ. The restriction $f_{kj}|_{D_{kj}}$ has compct support in D_{kj} and we extend it to a smooth Γ_∞ periodic function $\tilde{f}_{kj}$ on $\mathbf{H}$. There exists $y_0 > 0$ so that $\tilde{f}_{kj}$ are all supported in the half-plane $y \ge y_0$, on which $\tilde{f}_{kj}(z) = f_{kj}(z)$, except when $k = 0$ and $j = 2$ or 3.

Let ψ be a fixed element in $C^\infty_{0,0}(X)$. We have

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k,\,j} f_{kj}(z)\psi(z),$$

$$f_{kj}(z)\psi(z) = \frac{1}{n_{kj}} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{\Gamma}_\infty\backslash\mathbf{\Gamma}} \tilde{f}_{kj}(\gamma z)\psi(\gamma z),$$

where

$$n_{kj} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k = 0, j = 0; \\ 3, & \text{if } k = 0, j = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Expanding $\tilde{f}_{kj}(z)\psi(z)$ into its Fourier series in x gives

$$\tilde{f}_{kj}(z)\psi(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbf{Z}} h_{kjm}(y)e(mx).$$

$h_{kjm}(y)$ are smooth with compact support, and since $\psi \in C^{\infty}_{0,0}(X)$ the h's satisfy

$$h_{kjm}(y) \ll_A y^{-A}(|m|+1)^{-A} \tag{25}$$

for any $A > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(z) &= \sum_{k,j}\sum_{m\in\mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{n_{kj}} P_{h_{kjm},m}(z) \\
&= \sum_{k,j}\sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{1}{n_{kj}} P_{h_{kjm},m}(z) + \sum_{k,j} P_{h_{kj0},0}(z) - \frac{1}{2}P_{h_{000},0}(z) - \frac{2}{3}P_{h_{010},0}(z) \\
&= \sum_{k,j}\sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{1}{n_{kj}} P_{h_{kjm},m}(z) + P_{H,0}(z) - \frac{1}{2}P_{h_{000},0}(z) - \frac{2}{3}P_{h_{010},0}(z),
\end{aligned}$$

say, where $H(y) \in C^{\infty}_{c}(0,\ \infty)$ (recall that for y large enough the zeroth coefficient of ψ is zero) is defined as

$$H(y) = \sum_{k,j} h_{kj0}(y) \ \ .$$

This follows from the fact that $\sum_{k,j} f_{kj}(z) = 1$, and $\tilde{f}_{kj}$ are all supported in the half-plane $y \geq y_0$, on which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,j} h_{kj0}(y) &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k,j} \tilde{f}_{kj}(z)\right)\psi(z)dx \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k,j} f_{kj}(z) + (\tilde{f}_{02}(z) - f_{02}(z)) + (\tilde{f}_{03}(z) - f_{03}(z))\right)\psi(z)dx \\
&= \int_0^1 \left((\tilde{f}_{02}(z) - f_{02}(z)) + (\tilde{f}_{03}(z) - f_{03}(z))\right)\psi(z)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\int_X \left(P_{H,0}(z) - \frac{1}{2}P_{h_{000},0}(z) - \frac{2}{3}P_{h_{010},0}(z)\right)\tilde{\nu} = 0.$$

Write

$$P_{H,0}(z) - \frac{1}{2}P_{h_{000},0}(z) - \frac{2}{3}P_{h_{010},0}(z) = P_{h,0}(z) \ \ ,$$

with

$$h = H - \frac{1}{2}h_{000} - \frac{2}{3}h_{010}.$$

We then have

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k,j}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{1}{n_{kj}}P_{h_{kjm},m}(z) + P_{h,0}(z), \tag{26}$$

with

$$\int_X P_{h,0}(z)\tilde{\nu} = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 2 and the comments following it, together with (25) and (26), that for ψ and ϕ in $C_{0,0}^{\infty}(X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right)\sum_{f\in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f))\,\langle \mu_f,\ \psi\rangle\,\overline{\langle \mu_f,\ \phi\rangle} \\
= \ &B_\omega(\psi,\ \phi)K\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)dt\right) + O_{\psi,\phi,\epsilon}(K^{1/2+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_\omega(\psi,\ \phi) \\
= \ &\sum_{k_1,j_1,n_1\neq 0; k_2,j_2,n_2\neq 0}\frac{1}{n_{k_1j_1}n_{k_2j_2}}B_\omega(P_{h^{(\psi)}_{k_1j_1m_1},m_1},\ P_{h^{(\phi)}_{k_2j_2m_2},m_2}) \\
&+ \sum_{k_1,j_1,n_1\neq 0}\frac{1}{n_{k_1j_1}}B_\omega(P_{h^{(\psi)}_{k_1j_1m_1,m_1}},\ P_{h^{(\phi)},0}) \\
&+ \sum_{k_2,j_2,n_2\neq 0}\frac{1}{n_{k_2j_2}}B_\omega(P_{h^{(\psi)},0}, P_{h^{(\phi)}_{k_2j_2m_2,m_2}}) \\
&+ B_\omega(P_{h^{(\psi)},0}, P_{h^{(\phi)},0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In view of (25) for ϕ and ϕ respectively and (17), we see that the series (28) converges absolutely. From (23), (24), (26) and (28) it follows that the bilinear form $B_\omega(\psi_1,\ \psi_2)$ now defined on $C_{0,0}^{\infty}(X)\times C_{0,0}^{\infty}(X)$ satisfies

$$B_\omega(\Delta\psi_1,\ \psi_2) = B_\omega(\psi_1,\ \Delta\psi_2), \tag{29}$$

and for $n\geq 1$,

$$B_\omega(T_n\psi_1,\ \psi_2) = B_\omega(\psi_1,\ T_n\psi_2). \tag{30}$$

This completes the proof of part (A) and (B) of Theorem 1.

Now restrict B_ω to the subspace

$$C^\infty_{cusp}(X) = C^\infty_{0,0}(X) \cap L^2_{cusp}(X).$$

If ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are distinct Hecke-Maass eigenforms in $C^\infty_{cusp}(X)$, then for $n \geq 1$

$$B_\omega(T_n\phi_1,\ \phi_2) = B_\omega(\phi_1,\ T_n\phi_2)$$

implies

$$\lambda_n(\phi_1)B_\omega(\phi_1,\ \phi_2) = \lambda_n(\phi_2)B_\omega(\phi_1,\ \phi_2).$$

According to the theory of Hecke operators and Fourier coefficients there is an n such that $\lambda_n(\phi_1) \neq \lambda_n(\phi_2)$. It follows that if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are distinct Hecke-Maass cusp forms then

$$B_\omega(\phi_1,\ \phi_2) = 0. \tag{31}$$

Thus we have shown that B_ω is diagonalized by the orthonormal basis of Hecke-Maass cusp forms in $L^2_{cusp}(X)$. In the next section we compute the value of B_ω on such a ϕ.

5 Eigenvalues of B_ω.

Let $\phi(z)$ be an even Maass-Hecke cuspidal eigenform for the modular group Γ, with the Laplacian eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi = \frac{1}{4} + it_\phi$, and $L(s,\ \phi)$ is the associated standard L-function, which is well known to admit analytic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies the functional equation:

$$\Lambda_\phi(s) = \Lambda_\phi(1-s),$$

where

$$\Lambda_\phi(s) = \pi^{-s}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s-it_\phi}{2}\right)L(s,\ \phi).$$

We assume $\phi(z)$ is normalized so that its first Fourier coefficient $a_\phi(1) = 1$. From the works of Watson [Wa], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \mu_f,\ \phi\rangle|^2 &= \frac{\pi^2}{2\cosh(\pi t_\phi)} \frac{|\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2}+it_\phi)|^2}{(4\pi)^k\Gamma(k)} L^{-1}(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(f))|a_f(1)|^2 L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes f\otimes f) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^k}\frac{\pi^2}{2\cosh(\pi t_\phi)} L^{-1}(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(f))|a_f(1)|^2 L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes f\otimes f)(1+O(k^{-1})),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of the fact that for any vertical strip $0 < a \le \Re(s) \le b$, we have that

$$\frac{\Gamma(s+k-1)}{\Gamma(k-1)} = (k-1)^s(1+O_{a,\,b}((|s|+1)^2k^{-1})), \tag{32}$$

by the Stirling's formula.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\ge 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{f\in H_k} L(1,\mathrm{sym}^2(f))|\,\langle \mu_f,\ \phi\rangle\,|^2 \\
=\ & \frac{\pi}{8}(1+O(k^{-1}))\frac{L(1/2,\ \phi)}{\cosh(\pi t_\phi)} \sum_{k\ge 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \\
&\cdot\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f)).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Define

$$\Lambda_{\phi,f}(s) = \pi^{-3s}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+k-1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s+k-1-it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s+k+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s+k-it_\phi}{2}\right)$$
$$\times\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-it_\phi}{2}\right) L(s,\ \phi\otimes\mathrm{sym}^2(f)),$$

then $\Lambda_{\phi,f}(s)$ admits analytic continuation to $\mathbf{C}$ as an entire function and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda_{\phi,f}(s) = \Lambda_{\phi,f}(1-s).$$

Let F be the cuspidal automorphic form on $GL(3)$ which is the Gelbart-Jacquet lift of the cusp form f, with the Fourier coefficients $a_F(m_1, m_2)$, where

$$a_F(m_1,m_2) = \sum_{d|(m_1,m_2)} \lambda_F(m_1/d,1)\lambda_F(m_2/d,1)\mu(d),$$

and

$$\lambda_F(r,1) = \sum_{s^2t=r} \lambda_f(t^2).$$

The Rankin-Selberg convolution $L(s,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f))$ is represented by the Dirichlet series (see [Bu1], [Bu2]),

$$L(s,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) = \sum_{m_1,m_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(m_1)a_F(m_1,m_2)(m_1m_2^2)^{-s}, \tag{34}$$

where $\lambda_\phi(r)$ is the r-th Hecke eigenvalue of ϕ.

We have

$$\Lambda_{\phi,f}(1/2) = \frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} \Lambda_{\phi,f}(s+1/2)\frac{ds}{s}.$$

Hence,

$$L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) = 2\sum_{m_1,m_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(m_1)a_F(m_1,m_2)(m_1m_2^2)^{-1/2}G_k(\pi^3m_1m_2^2), \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+k-1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+k+it_\phi}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+k-1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+k+it_\phi}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+k-1-it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+k-it_\phi}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+k-1-it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+k-it_\phi}{2}\right)} \\ &\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}\xi^{-s}\frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} (1+T_k(s))\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{4\xi}{(k-1)^2}\right)^{-s}\frac{ds}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_k(s) = \sum_{1\leq r\leq 6} \frac{p_{r+1}(s)}{(k-1)^r} + O\left(\frac{(|s|+1)^8}{(k-1)^7}\right)$$

is an anlytic function in $\Re s \geq -2$, in view of the Stirling's formula. Here $p_{r+1}(s)$ is an polynomial of degree at most $r+1$. Denote

$$U_k(s) = (1+T_k(s))\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{(s+1/2)+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1+it_\phi}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+1-it_\phi}{2}\right)}.$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \\
= \ & \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 \\
& \times 2 \sum_{m_1,m_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(m_1) a_F(m_1,m_2)(m_1m_2^2)^{-1/2} G_k(\pi^3 m_1 m_2^2) \\
= \ & 2\sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}} \sum_{n_1,n_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(dn_1) G_k(\pi^3 d^3 n_1 n_2^2)(n_1n_2^2)^{-1/2} \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 \lambda_F(n_1,1)\lambda_F(n_2,1) \\
= \ & 2\sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}} \sum_{s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(ds_1^2t_1) G_k(\pi^3 d^3 s_1^2 t_1 s_2^4 t_2^2)(s_1^2t_1s_2^4t_2^2)^{-1/2} \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 \lambda_f(t_1^2)\lambda_f(t_2^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Petersson formula, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f\in H_k} |a_f(1)|^2 L(1/2,\ \phi\otimes \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) \\
= \ & 2\sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}} \sum_{s_1,s_2,t_1\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(ds_1^2t_1) G_k(\pi^3 d^3 s_1^2 s_2^4 t_1^3)(s_1^2s_2^4t_1^3)^{-1/2} \\
& + 2\sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}} \sum_{s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2\geq 1} \lambda_\phi(ds_1^2t_1)(s_1^2t_1s_2^4t_2^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S(t_1^2,t_2^2;c)}{c} \\
& \times \sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} 2\pi(-1)^{k/2} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) G_k(\pi^3 d^3 s_1^2 t_1 s_2^4 t_2^2) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi t_1 t_2}{c}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The diagonal term is (writing $r = dt_1$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{r\geq 1} r^{-3/2} \sum_{d|r} \mu(d) \sum_{s_2\geq 1} s_2^{-2} \sum_{s_1\geq 1} s_1^{-1} \lambda_\phi(rs_1^2) G_k(\pi^3 s_1^2 s_2^4 r^3) \\
= \ & 2\sum_{k\geq 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{s_2\geq 1} s_2^{-2} \sum_{s_1\geq 1} s_1^{-1} \lambda_\phi(s_1^2) G_k(\pi^3 s_1^2 s_2^4).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\sum_{s_1\geq 1} s_1^{-1} \lambda_\phi(s_1^2) G_k(\pi^3 s_1^2 s_2^4)
$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}\sum_{s_1\ge 1}\frac{\lambda_\phi(s_1^2)}{s_1^{2s+1}}U_k(s)\left(\frac{4\pi^3 s_2^4}{(k-1)^2}\right)^{-s}\frac{ds}{s}. \tag{36}$$

We have

$$\sum_{s_1\ge 1}\frac{\lambda_\phi(s_1^2)}{s_1^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2s)}L(s,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi)).$$

Moving the line of integration in (18) to $\Re(s) = -1/4+\epsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s_1\ge 1} s_1^{-1}\lambda_\phi(s_1^2)G_k(\pi^3 s_1^2 s_2^4)\\
= &\ \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}L(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))U_k(0)\\
&+\int_{(-1/4+\epsilon)}\frac{1}{\zeta(2+4s)}L(1+2s,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))U_k(s)\left(\frac{4\pi^3 s_2^4}{(k-1)^2}\right)^{-s}\frac{ds}{s}\\
= &\ \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}L(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))U_k(0) + O(K^{-1/2+\epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the diagonal terms contribute

$$\frac{2K}{\zeta(2)}L(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi))\int_0^\infty u(\xi)d\xi + O(K^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

Since

$$G_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} U_k(s)\left(\frac{4\xi}{(k-1)^2}\right)^{-s}\frac{ds}{s},$$

we can write

$$G_k(\xi) = H\left(\frac{4\xi}{(k-1)^2}\right) + \sum_{1\le r\le 6}\frac{1}{(k-1)^r}H_r\left(\frac{4\xi}{(k-1)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(k-1)^7}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 from [LS2], we deduce that the non-diagonal terms are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2\pi^{1/2}\sum_{d\ge 1}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}}\sum_{s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2\ge 1}\lambda_\phi(ds_1^2t_1)(s_1^2t_1s_2^4t_2^2)^{-1/2}\sum_{c\ge 1}\frac{S(t_1^2,t_2^2;c)}{c}\\
&\times\int_0^\infty u\left(\frac{\sqrt{8\pi t_1t_2c^{-1}y}}{K}\right)H\left(\frac{4\pi^3d^3s_1^2t_1s_2^4t_2^2}{8\pi t_1t_2c^{-1}y}\right)\\
&\times\sin\left(8\pi t_1t_2c^{-1}/2+y-\pi/4\right)\frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} + O(1)\\
= &-2\pi^{1/2}\sum_{d\ge 1}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^{3/2}}\sum_{s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2\ge 1}\lambda_\phi(ds_1^2t_1)(s_1^2t_1s_2^4t_2^2)^{-1/2}\sum_{c\ge 1}\frac{S(t_1^2,t_2^2;c)}{c}J_{c,d,s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2} + O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

say.

We can assume $d^3 s_1^2 t_1 s_2^4 t_2^2 \ll K^{2+\epsilon}$ since $H(\xi)$ has exponential decay as $\xi \to \infty$. The terms with $c \gg K^{2\epsilon}$ as well as the terms with $t_1 t_2 \ll K^{2-\epsilon}$ contribute $O(1)$, by partial integration. So we can assume $c \ll K^{2\epsilon}$ and $t_1 t_2 \gg K^{2-\epsilon}$. Moreover from $t_1 t_2^2 \ll K^{2+\epsilon}$ and $t_1 t_2 \gg K^{2-\epsilon}$ we deduce that $t_2 \ll K^{2\epsilon}$. Making the change of variable $t = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi t_1 t_2 c^{-1} y}}{K}$, we see J_{c,d,s_1,s_2,t_1,t_2} is

$$2K \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{8\pi t_1 t_2}} \int_0^\infty u(t) \sin\left(8\pi t_1 t_2 c^{-1}/2 + (tK)^2 c/(8\pi t_1 t_2) - \pi/4\right)$$
$$\times H\left(\frac{4\pi^3 d^3 s_1^2 t_1 s_2^4 t_2^2}{(tK)^2}\right) dt.$$

From the Hecke's bound ([I2], Theorem 8.1)

$$\sum_{r \le R} \lambda_\phi(r) e(r\alpha) r^{-1/2} \ll_\epsilon R^\epsilon,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$; the Hecke relation

$$\lambda_\phi(r_1 r_2) = \sum_{d|(r_1,r_2)} \mu(d) \lambda_\phi(r_1/d) \lambda_\phi(r_2/d);$$

and partial summation, we infer that the contribution from the non-diagonal terms is $O(K^{4\epsilon})$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k\ge 1, 2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{f \in H_k} L(1, \mathrm{sym}^2(f)) |\langle \mu_f,\ \phi\rangle|^2 \\ = \ &\frac{\pi K}{4} \int_0^\infty u(\xi) d\xi \frac{L(1/2,\ \phi)}{\cosh(\pi t_\phi)} L(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi)) + O(K^{1/2+\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1, in view of the fact

$$L(1,\ \mathrm{sym}^2(\phi)) \ = \ 2 < \phi,\ \phi > \cosh(\pi t_\phi).$$

6 Appendices

Appendix (I). Classical variance.

We evaluate the classical variance given by (4). This evaluation is general and applies to any $Y = \Gamma\backslash SL(2,\ \mathbf{R})$, where Γ is a lattice (not necessarily arithmetic). Assume that $C_{0,0}(Y)$ consists of functions on Y of mean zero. The classical variance V is given by the symmetric bilinear form

$$V(\psi_1,\ \psi_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_Y \psi_1(g)\psi_2\left(g\begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2}\end{pmatrix}\right) dgdt. \tag{38}$$

From this it is clear that V is diagonalized by the irreducible subspaces in the decomposition of the right regular representation of $SL(2,\ \mathbf{R})$ on $L^2_{0,0}(Y)$. If $\psi(g)$ is an element in $L^2_{0,0}(Y)$ which is $SO(2)$ invariant on the right, then ψ is a Maass form on $\mathbf{H} = SL(2,\ \mathbf{R})/SO(2)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} + t^2 > 0$. We evaluate the matrix coefficient

$$F(g) := \int_{\Gamma\backslash SL(2,\ \mathbf{R})} \psi(g_1)\psi(g_1 g)dg_1. \tag{39}$$

As a function on $SL(2,\ \mathbf{R})$, F satisfies

(i). $F(k_1 g k_2) = F(g)$, for $k_1, k_2 \in SO(2)$;

(ii). $\omega F = \lambda F$;

(iii). $F(e) = 1$. (We are normalizing ψ so that $\int_Y |\psi(g)|^2 dg = 1$.)

According to the theory of spherical functions these determine F uniquely. Specifically F is given explicitly (see [Te], p143) by

$$F\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{r/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-r/2}\end{pmatrix}\right) = P_{-\frac{1}{2}+it}(\cosh r),$$

where P_s is the associated Legendre function. Hence

$$V(\psi,\ \psi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+it}(\cosh r)dr. \tag{40}$$

This integral may be computed ([GR], p810) and yields

$$V(\psi,\ \psi) = \frac{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{it}{2}\right)\right|^4}{2\pi\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|^2}.$$

Appendix (II). Evaluation of the sum $S_c(\gamma)$.

For $2 \not| c$, we have

$$S_c(\gamma) = \epsilon_c c^{3/2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{c}\right) T\left(-\overline{4\gamma}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2, \; -\overline{4\gamma}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2; \; c\right) e_c\left(-\overline{2\gamma}\frac{m_1 m_2}{d_1 d_2}\right),$$

where

$$\epsilon_c = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod} 4); \\ i & \text{if } c \equiv -1 (\mathrm{mod} 4), \end{cases}$$

is the sign of the Gauss sum, and

$$T(m, n; c) = \sum_{d \; (\bmod c)} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{m\overline{d} + nd}{c}\right) \tag{41}$$

is the Salié sum ([Sa]).

If $(c, 2n) = 1$, we know (see [I1], lemma 4.9)

$$T(m, n; c) = \left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \epsilon_c c^{1/2} \sum_{y^2 \equiv mn \; (\bmod c)} e\left(\frac{2y}{c}\right).$$

Hence if $(p, 2n) = 1$, $2 \not| c$, then $T(pm, n; p^2 c) = 0$.

If $c = p^k$ and $k \geq 2t \geq 2$, we write $d = l + rp^{k-t}$, $l \pmod{p^{k-t}}$, $(p,\ l) = 1$, $r \pmod{p^t}$, then $\overline{d} \equiv \overline{l} - r\overline{l}^2 p^{k-t} \pmod{p^k}$, and hence

$$T(m, n; p^k) = \sum_{l \; (\bmod p^{k-t})} \left(\frac{l}{p^k}\right) e\left(\frac{m\overline{l} + nl}{p^k}\right) \sum_{r (\bmod p^t)} e\left(\frac{(n - m\overline{l}^2)r}{p^t}\right). \tag{42}$$

For $c = 2^l$ and $m_1/d_1 \not\equiv m_2/d_2 \pmod 2$, we have

$$S_c(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0, & l \geq 2; \\ 4, & l = 1, \end{cases}$$

since for $2 \not| AB$,

$$\sum_{a (\bmod c)} e_c(Aa^2 + Ba) = \begin{cases} 0, & l \geq 2; \\ 2, & l = 1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for $c = 2^l$ and $m_1/d_1 \equiv m_2/d_2 \pmod 2$, we have

$$S_c(\gamma) \;\; = \;\; \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x (\bmod 4c), \, (2,x)=1} c\, G(\gamma x, c)\, e_{4c}\left(-\overline{\gamma}\left(\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 x + \left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \overline{x}\right)\right) e_{2c}\left(-\overline{\gamma}\frac{m_1 m_2}{d_1 d_2}\right),$$

where

$$G(n,2^l)=\sum_{t\,(\bmod c)} e\left(\frac{nt^2}{2^l}\right)=\begin{cases} 0, & l=1;\\ (1+i^n)2^{l/2}, & 2|l;\\ 2^{(l+1)/2}e^{\pi in/4}, & 2\not| l>1.\end{cases}$$

Let

$$2^s=\left(\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2,\ \left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2,\ 4c\right)$$

and we assume without loss of generality that $2^s\le c$, and $2^s\|\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2$. We distinguish two cases (note $2^{-s}(m_2/d_2)^2\equiv 1\ (\bmod 8)$):

(a). $2|l$.

$$e_{2c}\left(\overline{\gamma}\frac{m_1m_2}{d_1d_2}\right)S_c(\gamma)=\frac{2^sc^{3/2}}{4}\left\{S\left(\overline{\gamma}^2\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{d_1d_2 2^s}\right)^2,\ 1;\ \frac{4c}{2^s}\right)+S\left(-\frac{c}{2^s}+\overline{\gamma}^2\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{d_1d_22^s}\right)^2,\ 1;\ \frac{4c}{2^s}\right)\right\}.$$

(b). $2\not| l>1$.

$$e_{2c}\left(\overline{\gamma}\frac{m_1m_2}{d_1d_2}\right)S_c(\gamma)=\frac{2^sc^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}}S\left(-\frac{c}{2^{s+1}}+\overline{\gamma}^2\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{d_1d_22^s}\right)^2,\ 1;\ \frac{4c}{2^s}\right).$$

Here $S(m,\ n;\ c)$ is the usual Kloosterman sum.

Appendix (III). Self-adjointness of Hecke operators for B_ω.

We write

$$B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) + B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}),$$

where

$$B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|/d_1\ =\ |m_2|/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2};$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) \quad = \quad & -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1|/d_1,\ |m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\ & \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi(d_1d_2)^2\xi\eta c\right) \\ & \times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}\frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the special case $p \not| m_1 m_2$ in details. The general cases, as we see later, can be treated similarly by induction. We have, by (22), that

$$T_p P_{h,m}(z) = p^{-1/2} P_{h(p\cdot),pm}(z).$$

Thus, since the conditions $d_1|pm_1,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|p/d_1 \ = \ |m_2|/d_2$ implies $p|d_1$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & B_\infty(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1(p\cdot),pm_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) \\ = \quad & \frac{\pi}{4}p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|/d_1\ =\ |m_2|/d_2} \frac{1}{pd_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(pd_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(pd_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \\ = \quad & p^{-1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(p\cdot),pm_2}) \\ = \quad & B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_f(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2}B_f(P_{h_1(p\cdot),pm_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) \\
= \;& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}p^{-1/2}\sum_{d_1|pm_1,d_2|m_2}\frac{1}{d_1d_2}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S_{c,\,|m_1p|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}}e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1p|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right)\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times\sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1p}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{\xi}{\eta}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{\eta}{\xi}+2\pi(d_1d_2)^2\xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times\frac{h_1(d_2p\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}\frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
= \;& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}p^{-1/2}\sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2}\frac{1}{d_1d_2}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S_{c,\,|m_1p|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}}e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1p|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right)\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times\sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1p}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{\xi}{\eta}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{\eta}{\xi}+2\pi(d_1d_2)^2\xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times\frac{h_1(d_2p\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}\frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}p^{-1/2}\sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2}\frac{1}{pd_1d_2}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}}e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right)\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times\sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{\xi}{\eta}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{\eta}{\xi}+2\pi(pd_1d_2)^2\xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times\frac{h_1(d_2p\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}\frac{\overline{h_2}(pd_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
= \;& \textstyle\sum_1+\sum_2,
\end{aligned}
$$

say, where $\sum_1,\ \sum_2$ correspond to the conditions $p\not|d_1$ and $p|d_1$ respectively in the initial sum. Making the change of variables $\xi\to\xi/p,\ \eta\to p\eta$ in $\sum_1$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\sum_1 \;=\; & -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}p^{-1/2}\sum_{d_1|m_1,d_2|m_2}\frac{1}{d_1d_2}\sum_{c\geq 1}\frac{S_{c,\,|m_1p|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}}e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1p|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right)\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times\sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2\frac{\xi}{\eta}-\frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2p}{d_2}\right)^2\frac{\eta}{\xi}+2\pi(d_1d_2)^2\xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times\frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}\frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1p\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2}B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(p\cdot),pm_2})$$

$$= \quad \sum_1' + \sum_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_1' \;=\;& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2 p|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2 p|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2 p}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi \eta c\right) \\
& \times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1 p \eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, in view of the Appendix (II) in §6, we have

$$S_{c,\,|m_1 p|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2} = S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2 p|/d_2}. \tag{43}$$

To see this, recall the multiplicativity of $S_c(\gamma)$:

$$S_{c_1 c_2,\,m_1/d_1,\,m_2/d_2}(\gamma) = S_{c_1,\,m_1/d_1,\,m_2/d_2}(\gamma c_2) \cdot S_{c_2,\,m_1/d_1,\,m_2/d_2}(\gamma c_1), \text{ for } (c_1,\ c_2) = 1.$$

We write $c = c_1 c_2 c_3$ if $p > 2$, where $c_1 | p^\infty,\ c_2 | 2^\infty$, and $(c_3, 2p) = 1$; $c = c_1 c_2$ if $p = 2$, where $c_1 | p^\infty,\ (c_2, 2) = 1$. Then

$$S_{c_1 c_2 c_3,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2} = S_{c_1,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_2 c_3) \cdot S_{c_2,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_1 c_3) \cdot S_{c_3,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_1 c_2),$$

if $p > 2$;

$$S_{c_1 c_2,\,pm_1/d_1,\,m_2/d_2} = S_{c_1,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_2) \cdot S_{c_2,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_1)$$

if $p = 2$. We also decompose $S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2 p|/d_2}$ in the same way. From the evaluation in the Appendix (II) in §6 (for $S_{c_3,\,|pm_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}(c_1 c_2)$, making change of variable $d \to p^2 d$ inside the sum $T(\cdot, \cdot; c_3)$), (43) follows.

Thus we see that $\sum_1 = \sum_1'$, and consequently

$$B_f(T_p P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_p P_{h_2,m_2}).$$

Let's consider the general case by induction on a with $p^a \| (m_1,\ m_2)$. Since

$$T_p P_{h,m}(z) = p^{-1/2} P_{h(p\cdot),pm}(z) + p^{1/2} P_{h(\cdot/p),m/p}(z),$$

where if $p \not| m$, we understand that $P_{h(\cdot/p),m/p}(z) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_f(T_p P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2} B_f(P_{h_1(p\cdot),pm_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) + p^{1/2} B_f(P_{h_1(\cdot/p),m_1/p},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) \\
= \ & -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|pm_1,\ d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1 p|/d_1,\ |m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1 p|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1 p}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times \frac{h_1(d_2 p\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} p^{1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1/p,\ d_2|m_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1|/pd_1,\ |m_2|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{pd_1}\frac{|m_2|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{pd_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi/p)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
= \ & I_A + I_B,
\end{aligned}$$

say.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_p P_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2} B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(p\cdot),pm_2}) + p^{1/2} B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(\cdot/p),m_2/p}) \\
= \ & -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|pm_2} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1|/d_1,\ |m_2 p|/d_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2 p|}{d_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2 p}{d_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right) \\
& \times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1 p\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta} \\
& -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} p^{1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2/p} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{c\geq 1} \frac{S_{c,\ |m_1|/d_1,\ |m_2|/pd_2}}{c^{5/2}} e\left(\frac{1}{2c}\frac{|m_1|}{d_1}\frac{|m_2|}{pd_2}\right) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \\
& \times \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}\right)^2 \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\pi}{2c}\left(\frac{m_2}{pd_2}\right)^2 \frac{\eta}{\xi} + 2\pi (d_1 d_2)^2 \xi\eta c\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{h_1(d_2\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta/p)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi\eta}$$
$$= \quad II_A + II_B,$$

say.

According to whether or not $p|(c,\ *,\ *)$ in $S_{c,\,*,\,*}$, we decompose further the sums I_A, I_B, II_A, II_B into

$$I_A = I_{A1} + I_{A2},\ I_B = I_{B1} + I_{B2},\ II_A = II_{A1} + II_{A2},\ II_B = I_{B1} + I_{B2}.$$

Note if $p|(c,\ *,\ *)$, $S_{c,\,*,\,*} = 0$ unless $p^2|\ c$. Write $c = p^2 c_1$, we have

$$S_{c,\,|m_1 p|/d_1,\,|m_2|/d_2} = S_{c_1,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/pd_2}\ p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta(p, c_1)}{p}\right),$$

where

$$\delta(p, c_1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p|c_1, \\ 1, & \text{if } p \not| \ c_1, \end{cases}$$

and write correspondingly $I_{A1} = I'_{A1} - I''_{A1}$;

$$S_{c,\,|m_1|/pd_1,\,|m_2|/d_2} = S_{c_1,\,|m_1|/p^2 d_1,\,|m_2|/pd_2}\ p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta(p, c_1)}{p}\right),$$

and $I_{B1} = I'_{B1} - I''_{B1}$;

$$S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2 p|/d_2} = S_{c_1,\,|m_1|/pd_1,\,|m_2|/d_2}\ p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta(p, c_1)}{p}\right),$$

and $II_{A1} = II'_{A1} - II''_{A1}$;

$$S_{c,\,|m_1|/d_1,\,|m_2|/pd_2} = S_{c_1,\,|m_1|/pd_1,\,|m_2|/p^2 d_2}\ p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta(p, c_1)}{p}\right),$$

and $II_{A1} = II'_{B1} - II''_{B1}$.

We see, by induction hypothesis on $(m_1/p, m_2/p)$, that $I'_{A1} + I'_{B1} = II'_{A1} + II'_{B1}$. Moreover note that if $p \not| \ bc$, we have $S_{cp,\,ap,\,b} = p^2 S_{c,\,a,\,b}$ and $S_{tp^2,\,ap,\,b} = 0$. Using this, together with the evaluation of $S_{c,*,*}$ in appendix II one can readily verify that (where $I_{A2}(p|d_1)$, for example, denotes the partial sum of I_{A2} in which $p|d_1$)

$$I_{A2}(p|d_1) = II_{A2}(p|d_2);\ I_{A2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p\not|\,d_2,\ p\not|\,c) = II_{A2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\not|\,d_1,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$I_{A2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p\|\ d_2,\ p\not|\,c) = II_{A2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\|\ d_1,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$I_{A2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p^2|d_2,\ p\not|\,c) = I''_{A1}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p^2|m_2/d_2);$$

$$I_{A2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p|c) = I''_{A1}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p\|m_2/d_2);$$

$$II''_{A1}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p^2|m_1/d_1) = II_{A2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p^2|d_1,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$II''_{A1}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\|m_1/d_1) = II_{A2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$I''_{A1}(p|d_1) = II''_{A1}(p|d_2);\ I_{B2}(p|d_2) = II_{B2}(p|d_1);$$

$$I_{B2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\not|\,d_1,\ p\not|\,c) = II_{B2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p\not|\,d_2,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$I_{B2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p\|d_1,\ p\not|\,c) = II_{B2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p\|d_2,\ p\not|\,c);$$

$$I_{B2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p^2|d_1,\ p\not|\,c) = I''_{B1}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p^3|m_1/d_1);$$

$$I_{B2}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p|c) = I''_{B1}(p\not|\,d_2,\ p^2\|m_1/d_1);$$

$$II''_{B1}(p|d_1) = I''_{B1}(p|d_2);$$

$$II_{B2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p^2|d_2,\ p\not|\,c) = II''_{B1}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p^3|m_2/d_2);$$

$$II_{B2}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p|c) = II''_{B1}(p\not|\,d_1,\ p^2\|m_2/d_2).$$

We deduce from the above that

$$B_f(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_f(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}).$$

On the otherhand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_\infty(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1(p\cdot),pm_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) + p^{1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1(\cdot/p),m_1/p},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) \\
= \ & \frac{\pi}{4}p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1p,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|p/d_1 = |m_2|/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(pd_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \\
& + \frac{\pi}{4}p^{1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1/p,\ d_2|m_2;\ |m_1|/pd_1 = |m_2|/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta/p)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \\
= \ & A + B,
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}) = p^{-1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(p\cdot),pm_2}) + p^{1/2}B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2(\cdot/p),m_2/p}) \\
= \ & \frac{\pi}{4}p^{-1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2p;\ |m_1|/d_1 = |m_2|p/d_2} \frac{1}{d_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(pd_1\eta)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \\
& + \frac{\pi}{4}p^{1/2} \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ d_2|m_2/p;\ |m_1|/d_1 = |m_2|/pd_2} \frac{1}{d_1d_2}\int_0^\infty h_1(d_2\eta)\overline{h_2}(d_1\eta/p)\frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \\
= \ & A' + B',
\end{aligned}
$$

say. One can check easily that

$$A(p|d_1) = A'(p|d_2);\ A(p \not| d_1) = B'(p \not| d_1);\ B(p \not| d_2) = A'(p \not| d_2);\ B(p|d_2) = B'(p|d_1).$$

Thus,

$$B_\infty(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_\infty(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}).$$

This completes the proof that

$$B_\omega(T_pP_{h_1,m_1},\ P_{h_2,m_2}) = B_\omega(P_{h_1,m_1},\ T_pP_{h_2,m_2}).$$

Bibliography

[Bu1] Bump, D. *Automorphic forms on* $\mathrm{GL}(3, R)$, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1083, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[Bu2] Bump, D. The Rankin-Selberg method: a survey, *Number theory, trace formulas and discrete groups* (Oslo, 1987), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, 49–109.

[DI] Deshouillers, J.-M.; Iwaniec, H. Kloosterman sum and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Invent. Math. 70, 1982, 219-288.

[DM] Miller, S; Dueñez, E. The low-lying zeros of a $GL(6)$ family of L-functions, preprint, 2004.

[EFKAMM] Eckhardt, B.; Fishman, S.; Keating, J.; Agam, O.; Main, J.; Müller, K. Approach to ergodicity in quantum wave functions, Phys. Rev. E, 52, 1995, 5893-5903.

[FP] Feingold, M; Peres, A. Distribution of matrix elements of chaotic systems, Phys. Rev. A (3), 34, no. 1, 1986, 591–595.

[GJ] Gelbart, S.; Jacquet, H. A relation between automorphic representations of $GL(2)$ and $GL(3)$, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4^e série, 11, 1978, 471-552.

[GR] Gradshtein, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1965.

[He] Helgason, S. *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. XII, Academic Press, New York-London, 1962.

[HL] Hoffstein, J.; Lockhart, P. Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero, appendix by Goldfeld, D., Hoffstein, J., Lieman, D. An effective zero free region, Annals of Math. 140, 1994, 161-181.

[I1] Iwaniec, H. *Introduction to the spectral theory of automorphic forms*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, Madrid, 1995.

[I2] Iwaniec, H. *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 17, A.M.S., 1997.

[ILS] Iwaniec, H.; Luo, W.; Sarnak, P. Low lying zeros of families of L-functions, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 91, 2001, 55–131.

[IS1] Iwaniec, H.; Sarnak, P. Perspectives on the analytic theory of L-functions, Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 705–741.

[IS2] Iwaniec, H.; Sarnak, P. The nonvanishing of central values of automorphic L-functions and Siegel's zero, Israel J. Math. 120, part A, 2000, 155-177.

[Ja] Jakobson, D. Equidistribution of cusp forms on $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})\backslash PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47, no. 3, 1997, 967–984.

[KaS] Katok, S.; Sarnak, P. Heegner points, cycles and Maass forms, Israel J. Math. 84, 1993, 193-227.

[KS] Katz, N.; Sarnak, P. *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues and monodromy*, A.M.S. Colloquium Publications, vol. 45, 1999.

[KeS] Keating, J. P.; Snaith, N. C. Random matrix theory and L-functions at $s = 1/2$. Comm. Math. Phys. 214, no. 1, 2000, 91–110.

[KR] Kurlberg, P.; Rudnick, Z. On the distribution of matrix elements for the quantum cat map, preprint, 2003.

[Ku] Kuznetsov, N.V. Petersson's conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and Linnik's conjecture, Sums of Kloosterman sums, Mat. Sb. 111, 1980, 334-383.

[Lu] Luo, W. Values of symmetric square L-functions at 1, J. reine angew. Math. 506, 1999, 215-235.

[LS1] Luo, W.; Sarnak, P. Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})\backslash\mathbf{H}$, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 81, 1995, 207-237.

[LS2] Luo, W.; Sarnak, P. Mass equidistribution for Hecke eigenforms, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56, no. 7, 2003, 874-891.

[Ra1] Ratner, M. The central limit theorem for geodesic flows on n-dimensional manifolds of negative curvature, Israel J. Math. 16, 1973, 181–197.

[Ra2] Ratner, M. The rate of mixing for geodesic and horocycle flows, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 7, 1987, 267–288.

[Sa] Salié, H. Uber die Kloostermanschen Summen $S(u, v; q)$, Math. Z. 34, 1931, 91-109.

[Sar] Sarnak, P. Arithmetic quantum chaos, The R.A. Blyth Lecture, University of Toronto, 1993.

[Wa] Watson, T. Rankin triple products and quantum chaos, Thesis, Princeton University, 2001.

[Ser] Serre, J.-P. *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[Te] Terras, A. *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[Ze] Zelditch, S. Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces, Duke Math. Jnl. 55, 1987, 919-941.