

Global regularity for critical SQG in bounded domains

Peter Constantin, Mihaela Ignatova, and Quoc-Hung Nguyen

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence and uniqueness of global smooth solutions of the critical dissipative SQG equation in bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^2 . This solves an open problem. We introduce a new methodology of transforming the single nonlocal nonlinear evolution equation in a bounded domain into an interacting system of extended nonlocal nonlinear evolution equations in the whole space. The proof then uses the method of the nonlinear maximum principle for nonlocal operators in the extended system.

1. Introduction

The Surface Quasigeostrophic equation (SQG) of geophysical origin ([17]) was proposed as a two-dimensional model for the study of inviscid incompressible formation of singularities ([4], [9]). The equation has been studied extensively. Blow up from smooth initial data is still an open problem, although the original blow-up scenario of [9] has been ruled out analytically ([15]) and numerically ([8]). The addition of fractional dissipation yields globally regular solutions if the power of the Laplacian is larger or equal than one half. When the linear dissipative operator is precisely the square root of the Laplacian, the equation is commonly referred to as the “critical dissipative SQG”, or “critical SQG”. The global regularity of solutions for critical SQG in the whole space or on the torus was obtained independently in [1] and [20] by very different methods. Several subsequent proofs were obtained (see [12], [13] and references therein).

The basic ingredients used in [12] are specific nonlinear maximum principle lower bounds for $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$, the square root of the Laplacian in the whole space \mathbb{R}^d . A typical example is

$$D(f) = f\Lambda f - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda(f^2) \geq c(\|\theta\|_{L^\infty})^{-1} f^3 \quad (1)$$

pointwise, for $f = \partial_i \theta$ a component of the gradient of a bounded function θ . This is a useful cubic lower bound for a quadratic expression, when $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$ is known to be bounded above. The critical SQG equation in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = 0 \quad (2)$$

where

$$u = \nabla^\perp \Lambda^{-1} \theta = R^\perp \theta \quad (3)$$

and $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)$. The equation has a weak maximum principle, the L^∞ norm of θ does not grow in time. In [12] and [13], instead of estimating directly gradients, the proof of global regularity proceeds by estimating finite difference quotients, with the aim of first obtaining bounds for C^α norms. A basic feature of the critical SQG equation in the whole space is the fact that once the solution is bounded in C^α , for some $\alpha > 0$, then it follows that the solution is in fact C^∞ smooth. More generally, if a generalized SQG equation has a dissipation of order s , i.e., Λ is replaced by Λ^s with $0 < s \leq 1$, then if θ is bounded in C^α with $\alpha > 1 - s$, then the solution is smooth ([14]). This condition is sharp in the class of general linear advection diffusion equations, ([21]). In [13], the smallness of α is crucially used to show that the nonlinear term

Key words and phrases. SQG, global regularity, nonlocal, nonlinear maximum principle, estimates near the boundary, bounded domains.

MSC Classification: 35Q35, 35Q86.

appearing in the evolution of the finite difference quotient $q = D_h^\alpha \theta$ of solutions of (2) is entirely dominated by the term corresponding to $D(q)$. This is no longer the case in bounded domains.

The critical SQG equation in bounded domains is given by

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda_D \theta = 0 \quad (4)$$

with

$$u = \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta. \quad (5)$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded open set with smooth oriented boundary, Λ_D is the square root of the Laplacian with vanishing Dirichlet boundary conditions, and $\nabla^\perp = J\nabla$ with J an invertible antisymmetric matrix.

The problem of global regularity of critical SQG in bounded domains was open until the present work. Interior regularity was investigated in [6]. The approach, initiated in [5], was based on bounds on the heat kernel. One of the main obstacles to implementing a proof of regularity in bounded domains is the lack of translation invariance. It has as consequence the fact that the Riesz transforms $R_D = \nabla \Lambda_D^{-1}$ are not spectral operators, i.e., they do not commute with functions of the Dirichlet Laplacian. In [6] the method of the nonlinear maximum principle was used in conjunction with estimates for the commutator between difference quotient operators and Λ_D . These estimates degenerate at the boundary but they can be used to obtain a priori global in time interior Lipschitz bounds of solutions. A construction of solutions with this degree of regularity was done in [18]. Global weak solutions in bounded domains were studied in [10, 11]. In [7], necessary and sufficient conditions for global C^α bounds up to the boundary with $\alpha < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$ were given in terms of quantitative information on supercritical ($p > d$) L^p norms of $\frac{\theta}{w_1}$, where w_1 is the first eigenfunction of the Dirichlet Laplacian. Because w_1 vanishes linearly at the boundary, this implies that global C^α bounds are available if and only if solutions have a Holder rate of vanishing of θ at $\partial\Omega$.

The work [22] presented C^α bounds of weak solutions, using an approach based on the method of De Giorgi, employed first in the whole space in [1]. However, unlike the case of the whole space, going from C^α to higher regularity does not follow using this approach. Global Holder continuous solutions were not known to be unique, nor smooth. In this work we prove the existence and uniqueness of global smooth solutions. In order to obtain this result we introduce a new methodology consisting of the extension of the single equation in the bounded domain to an interacting system of equations in the whole space. We then employ the method of the nonlinear maximum principle in the analysis of the extended nonlinear nonlocal system.

1.1. Main Results and Description of Ideas of Proofs.

In this paper we prove

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let $\theta_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega)$, $m > 2.5$, and let $T > 0$. Then there exists a unique solution of (4), (5) with initial data θ_0 and which belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega))$.*

The solution is in fact smooth for all time and eventually exponentially decays to zero. The initial data need not be smooth. By parabolic regularization, if the initial data are C^{α_0} for some $\alpha_0 > 0$ and vanish at the boundary, then the solution exists locally, is unique, becomes instantly smooth (Lemma 8), persists globally, and decays (Theorem 6 and Remark 9).

The main result we prove is a priori bound, on which the proof of Theorem 1 rests. This is

THEOREM 2. *Let $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ be a classical solution of (4), (5) for some $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. There exists a small constant δ depending only on T and the domain Ω , such that, for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ satisfying*

$$\alpha(\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 1) \leq \delta, \quad (6)$$

there exists a constant C_α depending (continuously and explicitly) only on $\|\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)}$, T , the domain Ω and α , such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \int_0^T \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} dt \leq C_\alpha. \quad (7)$$

The detailed result is given in Theorem 5. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is not structural, it is there only to signify that the gain of regularity is less than 1, but regularity above $L^1(dt; C^1(\Omega))$ is attained. Once this result is obtained, Theorem 1 follows from the local existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions of (4) given in [6] and a natural continuation result. More precisely, the local existence theorem is

THEOREM 3. *Let Ω be a bounded open domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $m \geq 2$ and let $\theta_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega)$. There exists a time $T_0 > 0$ and a unique solution θ of (4) satisfying*

$$\theta \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)). \quad (8)$$

The time T_0 depends on the initial norm in $H^2(\Omega)$.

This result was proved in [6] for $m = 2$ using Galerkin approximations

$$\theta_N = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(t) w_j(x),$$

Sobolev energy bounds and Sobolev embedding. The fact that the expansion is in terms of eigenfunctions w_j of the Dirichlet Laplacian allows integration by parts because powers of the fractional Laplacian applied to the Galerkin approximation vanish at the boundary, $\Lambda_D^s \theta_N|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. The general m case follows in the same manner.

The local existence result is combined with the following natural continuation result.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\theta_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega)$, $m \geq 2$ be given and let $\theta \in L^\infty([0, T_0], H^m(\Omega))$ be a solution of (4),(5). Assume that for some $0 < \beta < 1$ there exists a constant C_β such that*

$$\int_0^{T_0} \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{1+\beta}(\Omega)} dt \leq C_\beta \quad (9)$$

holds. Then there exists a constant C_m depending (continuously and explicitly) only on $\|\theta_0\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$, the domain Ω and C_β , such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \leq C_m. \quad (10)$$

Combined with the local existence result, this implies that the solution can be uniquely extended beyond T_0 . The proof of Theorem 4 is based on energy estimates and well-known facts about the boundedness of Riesz transforms in $C^r(\Omega)$ [2]. The condition (9) is sufficient for uniqueness and persistence of smoothness of solutions in inviscid SQG as well. A detailed proof is left for the interested reader. In this paper we provide an independent local existence and persistence proof directly based on C^r spaces, without use of Sobolev spaces.

The proof of Theorem 2 requires the introduction of a number of new elements which we believe are of general interest. As in [6] we use functional calculus to represent the square root Λ_D of the Dirichlet Laplacian in terms of the heat kernel, but unlike in [6], a direct commutator between finite difference quotients and Λ_D is not attempted. We consider instead an appropriate cover of $\bar{\Omega}$ with open balls and smooth subordinated localizers χ . We associate to the balls corresponding to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ smooth diffeomorphisms $Y : B \cap \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$ which flatten the boundary. For interior balls the diffeomorphisms are just the identity. We consider then maps \mathcal{F} which take functions χg defined in patches $B \cap \bar{\Omega}$ to functions defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 by $\mathcal{F}(\chi g) = \mathcal{O}(\chi g \circ Y)$, where \mathcal{O} is odd extension across the boundary of the half space. While localization and flattening of the boundary is a familiar procedure for proving regularity of elliptic and parabolic equations in bounded domains, our approach requires to extend also the localized equation. This is needed because, unlike the case of local PDE, in the nonlocal case it is difficult to disentangle tangential directions from the normal direction in the principal symbol of the equation. Thus, after the localization and change of variables, the Dirichlet Laplacian is conjugated to (or intertwined with) a second order elliptic operator L with Lipschitz coefficients, defined in the whole space, plus an error. The change of variables

Y is defined carefully so that the cross terms involving normal and tangential derivatives vanish near the boundary, see Appendix 1. This allows L to have Lipschitz coefficients. We take advantage of the fact that the heat equation is local, and therefore when we commute a smooth cutoff function χ with $e^{t\Delta_D}$ we obtain a local error, which we represent in terms of the heat operator using the Duhamel formula. We then apply \mathcal{F} and the functional representations of Λ_D and of $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in terms of their respective semigroups to obtain an expression for the intertwining of the localized Λ_D with the corresponding $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi\Lambda_D\theta) - L^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = \mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta) \quad (11)$$

and show (Proposition 5) that

$$\|\mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta)\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \quad (12)$$

holds for $0 < r < 1$. We localize and extend the nonlinear term $(\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1}\theta) \cdot \nabla\theta = \{\Lambda_D^{-1}\theta, \theta\}$. It is only here that we use the fact that we are in two dimensions. We use properties of the Poisson bracket which allow odd extension across the flattened boundary after composition with Y , while maintaining almost intact the Poisson structure (Proposition 6).

We arrive thus at a representation of the equation (4), (5) as a coupled system of equations in the whole space. This constitutes a new methodology to study boundary value problems which we expect to be more broadly useful. Corresponding to the cover of $\bar{\Omega}$ with balls, we have N transformations \mathcal{F} (some of them not requiring changes of variables), and for each patch $B_i \cap \Omega$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, functions $\theta_i = \mathcal{F}(\chi_i\theta)$ which obey equation in the whole space

$$\partial_t\theta_i + u_i \cdot \nabla\theta_i + L^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_i = f_i \quad (13)$$

The operators L depend on the patch but they have the same second order elliptic, Lipschitz coefficients nature. The velocities u_i depend on the whole θ , not only on θ_i , but the dependence is quasi-local, meaning that the $u_i = \nabla^\perp \tilde{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta}_i) + \text{error}$ where \tilde{L} is like L and $\tilde{\theta}_i$ covers θ_i , i.e. $\theta_i = \eta\tilde{\theta}_i$ with η Lipschitz and compactly supported. The "forces" f_i arise from errors of intertwining and extension and depend in a nonlinear manner on θ . The operators $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ have variable coefficients. The equations (13) are not stand alone equations, rather they are representations of localizations and extensions of (4), (5). Nevertheless they serve the purpose to estimate derivatives of θ . The system of equations (13) is sparse (only few nearby patches interact) but it is not treated as an algebraically coupled system, more like a redundantly oversampled contact system.

We apply and extend the method of nonlinear maximum principle to the aggregate (13), taking great advantage of an enhanced nonlinear lower bound. The fact that the operators have variable coefficients, not unexpectedly implies that inequalities for the evolving C^α norms for small α cannot be closed, as they are driven by norms of full derivatives of θ . The nonlinear maximum principle provides though powerful nonlinear damping. When trying to estimate the C^α norm, the most dangerous term still comes from the finite difference quotient of the active scalar's velocity, as in the case of critical SQG in the whole space, and bounding it still requires the use of the $D(q)$ argument. Like in previous works using the method of the nonlinear maximum principle, in the present work we also have only one small parameter, namely α . We consider the evolution of the difference quotient $q = D_h^\alpha\theta_i$ in each patch. In previous works [13, 6] the smallness of α was used to overcome the contribution of the difference quotient of the active scalar velocity, D_h^1u , by crucially using $D(q)$ in a pointwise manner, and also by using a nonlinear lower bound

$$|h|^{-2\alpha}D(q) \geq c|h|^{-1+\alpha}q^3\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1} \quad (14)$$

in the evolution of q^2 . In this work we use the same idea to overcome the contribution of the inner core of $D_h^1u_i$. In addition we use the observation that at the point of maximum of q , because θ is a priori bounded, $|h|$ must be very small, less than $\left(\frac{|q|}{2\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Thus, the term $D(q)$ provides a nonlinearly enhanced damping with an excess of order $\frac{1}{\alpha}$,

$$|h|^{-2\alpha}D(q) \geq cq^{2+\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad (15)$$

resulting in a differential inequality for the maximum of the type

$$\partial_t q + c \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} q^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \text{translation and localization errors}, \quad (16)$$

and the smaller α is, the larger this useful excess is. The error terms due to the localization and the absence of translation invariance are controlled by this high homogeneity of the nonlinear damping. Thus, the smallness of α is used in two ways, once by bounding the worst term by part of $D(q)$, and the other, by affording high homogeneity nonlinear error terms using the excess damping of homogeneity α^{-1} provided also by $D(q)$. The upshot, described in Lemma 5, is an a priori bound of the supremum in time of the C^α norm which is driven by the time integral of the $C^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ norm. Here the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is not part of the structure of the equation, it only represents the crucially important fact that less than a whole derivative is lost. The loss of almost a whole derivative is however unavoidable. This loss marks the difference between translation invariant and non-translation invariant equations, and it occurs even if we replace in the usual SQG equation in the whole space, the linear dissipation $\sqrt{-\Delta}$ by the linear dissipation $a(x)\sqrt{-\Delta}$, where a is a uniformly bounded positive smooth function.

In order to close the estimates we employ a result about linear dissipative advection equations, of the type

$$\partial_t v + b \cdot \nabla v + L^{\frac{1}{2}} v = f \quad (17)$$

with b and f Holder continuous, $b \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and initial data in $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We show (Lemma 2) that the norm of v in $L^1(0, T; C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is bounded in terms of the norms of $b, f, v(0)$ in C^β for $\alpha < \beta$. For the proof of this result we use a method of freezing coefficients, which rectifies the variable coefficient operator $b \cdot \nabla + L^{\frac{1}{2}}$, that is, it approximates it by its tangent at each frozen point y , the constant coefficients operator $b(y) \cdot \nabla_x + L_y^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This treatment requires a systematic study of the kernels of semigroups e^{-tL} , $e^{-tL^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ and their approximations. The linear result of Lemma 2 is applied to the specific nonlinear equation in Corollary 1 and is used in conjunction with the high homogeneity of the nonlinear damping to close the estimates and prove the main result, Theorem 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we set up the cover of the domain, recall some basic facts about the Dirichlet Laplacian and introduce notation. In Section 3 we describe the procedure of localization and extension. We prove in this section bounds for the intertwining of the localized and extended heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta_D}$, and bounds for the intertwining of the localized and extended Λ_D . We follow, in Section 4 with the derivation of the extended localized system (13) and provide bounds for the errors of nonlinear intertwining. Section 5 is devoted to proving the useful results on the linear dissipative advection equation (17). In Section 6 we apply the nonlinear maximum principle method to the system and obtain a priori bounds for Holder norms of solutions. The proof of Theorem 2, in its precise form, Theorem 5 is given in Section 7. A self-contained proof of local existence with Holder initial data and global persistence of smooth solutions is given in Section 8. Appendix 1 (Section 9) describes the change of variables Y and Appendix 2 (Section 10) provides useful estimates of heat kernels and approximations.

2. Preliminaries

We consider a bounded connected (but not necessarily simply connected) domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with smooth oriented boundary $\partial\Omega$. We cover the boundary $\partial\Omega$ with open balls B_i^0 , $i = 1, \dots, N_1$, centered at points on the boundary, and take nested concentric open balls $B_i^0 \subset B_i^1 \subset B_i^2 \subset B_i^3$, such that the portion of the boundary of each $\partial\Omega \cap B_i^3$ is given after a translation and a rotation by the graph of smooth function with nearly constant gradient. We consider smooth cutoffs χ_i^j , $i = 1, \dots, N_1$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. such that χ_i^j is identically equal to 1 on $\overline{B_i^j} \cap \overline{\Omega}$ and has compact support in $\overline{\Omega} \cap B_i^{j+1}$. Thus $\chi_i^j = \chi_i^{j+1} \chi_i^j$. The radius of the largest balls B_i^3 is denoted r_0 and is taken without loss of generality to be the same for all i . This radius is taken small enough such that, if the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has several connected components, the balls corresponding to one connected component of the boundary do not intersect the balls corresponding to another connected component of the boundary. We cover $\Omega \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{N_1} B_i^0$ with balls $B_i^0 \subset \Omega$, with $i = N_1 + 1, \dots, N$ and take

nested $B_i^0 \subset B_i^1 \subset B_i^2 \subset B_i^3$ with $B_i^3 \subset \Omega$, and cutoffs χ_i^j with $j = 0, 1, 2$ which identically equal 1 on B_i^j and are compactly supported in B_i^{j+1} . We refer to the balls with index $i \leq N_1$ as boundary balls, and to the balls with $N_1 < i \leq N$ as interior balls. The set of balls and cutoffs is entirely based on the geometry of the domain, and is fixed throughout the paper. In each boundary ball we define diffeomorphisms

$$Y_i : B_i^3 \cap \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^d \quad (18)$$

$i = 1, \dots, N_1$ with certain properties. Without loss of generality we take the cutoffs χ_i^j to be such that $\chi_i^j \circ Y_i^{-1}$ have smooth even extensions across $y_d = 0$. We associate to a smooth solution $\theta(x, t)$ of (4) defined for $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T]$ an array of functions

$$\Theta(y, t) = (\tilde{\theta}_i(y, t))_{i=1, \dots, N} \quad (19)$$

defined on for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in [0, T]$ in the following manner. For $i = 1, \dots, N_1$, we set

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \mathcal{O}((\chi_i^1 \theta) \circ Y_i) \quad (20)$$

where \mathcal{O} is odd extension across $y_d = 0$. For $i = N_1 + 1, \dots, N$, we put

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \chi_i^1 \theta \quad (21)$$

where we denote by the same letter f the extension of a function f that is compactly supported in Ω by setting it equal to 0 outside the support of f . Norms of Θ in space are equivalent to norms of θ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

We use in particular C^r norms. We frequently use the interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{C^\beta} \leq \|f\|_{C^\delta}^a \|f\|_{C^\gamma}^{1-a} \quad (22)$$

for $\beta = a\delta + (1-a)\gamma$ with $0 < a < 1$.

The $L^2(\Omega)$ -normalized eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_D$ are denoted w_j , and its eigenvalues counted with their multiplicities are denoted μ_j :

$$-\Delta_D w_j = \mu_j w_j. \quad (23)$$

It is well known that $0 < \mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_j \rightarrow \infty$ and that $-\Delta_D$ is a positive selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta_D) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. The ground state w_1 is positive and

$$c_0 d(x) \leq w_1(x) \leq C_0 d(x) \quad (24)$$

holds for all $x \in \Omega$, where c_0, C_0 are positive constants depending on Ω , $d(x)$ is the distance from x to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Functional calculus can be defined using the eigenfunction expansion. In particular

$$(-\Delta_D)^\beta f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^\beta f_j w_j \quad (25)$$

with

$$f_j = \int_{\Omega} f(y) w_j(y) dy$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}((-\Delta_D)^\beta) = \{f \mid (\lambda_j^\beta f_j) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})\}$. We denote by

$$\Lambda_D^s = (-\Delta_D)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \quad (26)$$

the fractional powers of the Dirichlet Laplacian and with $\|f\|_{s,D}$ the norm in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_D^s)$:

$$\|f\|_{s,D}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^s f_j^2. \quad (27)$$

It is well-known that

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_D) = H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Note that in view of the identity

$$\lambda^{\frac{s}{2}} = c_s \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t\lambda}) t^{-1-\frac{s}{2}} dt, \quad (28)$$

with

$$1 = c_s \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\tau}) \tau^{-1-\frac{s}{2}} d\tau,$$

valid for $0 \leq s < 2$, we have the representation

$$((\Lambda_D)^s f)(x) = c_s \int_0^\infty [f(x) - e^{t\Delta_D} f(x)] t^{-1-\frac{s}{2}} dt \quad (29)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}((-\Lambda_D)^s)$.

We use second order elliptic operators in divergence form

$$L = -\operatorname{div}_x(A(x)\nabla_x) \quad (30)$$

in \mathbb{R}^d , where A is a symmetric matrix-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d which satisfies

$$A(x) \geq c_1 I \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (31)$$

$$\|\nabla A\|_{L^\infty} + \|A\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_2, \quad (32)$$

with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

We denote by $H_L(x, y, t)$ the kernel of $\partial_t + L$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$. When A is a constant matrix it is well known that

$$H_L(x, x+z, t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det A}(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(A^{-1}z \cdot z)}{4t}\right) \quad (33)$$

where A^{-1} is the inverse of matrix A and (\cdot) is the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d . We define the square root $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the operator L by

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) = c_0 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_L(x, x+z, s)(u(x) - u(x+z)) dz s^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds, \quad (34)$$

with

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (35)$$

In particular, when A is a constant matrix, we have

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) = \frac{\tilde{c}_0}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(x+z)}{(A^{-1}z \cdot z)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} dz \quad (36)$$

with

$$\tilde{c}_0 = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (37)$$

For each fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$L_y = -\operatorname{div}_x(A(y)\nabla_x). \quad (38)$$

This is a constant coefficients second order elliptic operator. In view of (33), the kernel of $\partial_t + L_y$ is given by

$$G_{A(y)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det A(y)}(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(A(y)^{-1}z \cdot z)}{4t}\right), \quad (39)$$

and, using (36), the square root of the operator L_y is given by

$$L_y^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) = \frac{\tilde{c}_0}{\sqrt{\det A(y)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(x+z)}{(A(y)^{-1}z \cdot z)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} dz. \quad (40)$$

We emphasize that $L_y^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)|_{y=x}$ is not identical to $L^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)$. However, $L_y^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)|_{y=x} - L^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)$ is a zero order operator, for which we provide bounds in Lemma 13 of Appendix 2. We prove in Appendix 2, Lemma 9 useful quantitative bounds for the difference of heat kernels $H_L(x, x+z, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t)$.

3. Localization and extension

In this section, we take $d = 2$. The localization and extension of the linear term can be done in any dimension. We use the Poisson structure of the nonlinearity, and there $d = 2$ is important.

We consider a point on the boundary $x_0 \in \partial\Omega = \Gamma$. Without loss of generality, after a translation and a rotation, $x_0 = 0$ and the domain Ω is given locally near 0 as $\{x = (x_1, x_2) \mid x_2 > \varphi(x_1)\}$ where $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$ and the function $\varphi : (-\ell, \ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. By taking $\ell > 0$ small enough, we make sure

$$|\varphi'(x_1)| \leq \epsilon \quad (41)$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small nondimensional number at our disposal. We extend the function φ to all of \mathbb{R} so that (41) holds globally, and moreover, we may assume that φ' vanishes outside a compact. We consider the global change of variables $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \mapsto Y(x) = (Y_1(x), Y_2(x)) \in C^\infty$ in which Y_1 is given in Appendix 1 by (287), and

$$Y_2(x) = x_2 - \varphi(x_1). \quad (42)$$

From the construction of Y_1 in Appendix 1, we have

$$\|\nabla Y - I\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (43)$$

and

$$\nabla Y_1 \cdot \nabla Y_2 = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \Gamma. \quad (44)$$

We denote the inverse of Y by X , $Y^{-1} = X$.

The map Y maps the portion near $x_0 = 0$ of Ω corresponding to $|x_1| < \ell$ to an open subset of $y_2 > 0$, and the corresponding portion of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ to an open segment $\{|y_1| < \ell', y_2 = 0\}$.

As it is very well known, under the change of variables $y \mapsto x = X(y)$, the Laplacian becomes

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \partial_i \left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{ij}}{\mathbf{a}} \partial_j (\psi \circ X) \right) = (\Delta_x \psi) \circ X, \quad (45)$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ and

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = (\nabla_x Y_i \cdot \nabla_x Y_j) \circ X, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (\det \nabla Y) \circ X. \quad (46)$$

In view of (44) we have

$$\tilde{a}_{12} = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \{y_2 = 0\}. \quad (47)$$

We consider functions $g(x)$ defined in Ω and a cutoffs $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \cap \bar{\Omega})$ with support included in $((-\ell, \ell) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Then functions χg can be composed with X and define functions compactly supported in $y_2 \geq 0$ near 0. If $g|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, these functions vanish at $y_2 = 0$. We consider odd and even extensions of functions f defined on \mathbb{R}_+^2 ,

$$\mathcal{O}f(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} f(y_1, y_2), & \text{for } y_2 > 0, \\ -f(y_1, -y_2), & \text{for } y_2 < 0, \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}f(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} f(y_1, y_2), & \text{for } y_2 > 0, \\ f(y_1, -y_2), & \text{for } y_2 < 0. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \mathcal{O}f &= \mathcal{O} \partial_1 f, \text{ if } f \in C^1(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2), \\ \partial_1 \mathcal{E}f &= \mathcal{E} \partial_1 f, \text{ if } f \in C^1(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2), \\ \partial_2 \mathcal{E}f &= \mathcal{O} \partial_2 f, \text{ if } f \in C^1(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2), \\ \partial_2 \mathcal{O}f &= \mathcal{E} \partial_2 f, \text{ if } f \in C_0^1(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and the product rules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}(fg) &= \mathcal{O}(f)\mathcal{E}(g) = \mathcal{E}(f)\mathcal{O}(g), \\ \mathcal{E}(fg) &= \mathcal{E}(f)\mathcal{E}(g) = \mathcal{O}(f)\mathcal{O}(g).\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

In view of (45), it follows that for function $\chi g \circ X$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\Delta_x(\chi g) \circ X) = \mathbf{a}\partial_i\left(\frac{a_{ij}}{\mathbf{a}}\partial_j(\mathcal{O}((\chi g) \circ X))\right)\tag{52}$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}),\tag{53}$$

$$a_{ii} = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{a}_{ii}), \quad i = 1, 2,\tag{54}$$

and

$$a_{12} = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{a}_{12}).\tag{55}$$

We denote by L, B the operators

$$Lf = -\partial_i(a_{ij}\partial_j f), \quad Bf = \frac{a_{ij}}{\mathbf{a}}\partial_i\mathbf{a}\partial_j f\tag{56}$$

viewed as operators defined in \mathbb{R}^2 (for instance on functions $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$). The coefficients of the extended operators are \mathbf{a} , a_{ii} , even extensions $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ and \tilde{a}_{ii} , and $a_{12} = a_{21}$, odd extensions of the cross terms $\tilde{a}_{12} = \tilde{a}_{21}$. This convention is kept throughout the paper. Note that, in view of the construction of Y , and in particular (47), we have

$$(a_{ij})_{ij} \gtrsim I, \quad \mathbf{a} \gtrsim 1,\tag{57}$$

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|a_{ij}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 1.\tag{58}$$

We fix a smooth cutoff $\chi_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ compactly supported in $((-\ell, \ell) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ and with the property that $\chi_2(x_1, x_2) = 1$ for $x_1 \in [-\frac{\ell}{2}, \frac{\ell}{2}]$ and $\varphi(x_1) \leq x_2 \leq \varphi(x_1) + \delta$, we denote by \mathcal{F} the operator

$$g \mapsto \mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{O}((\chi_2 g) \circ X)\tag{59}$$

and we note that

$$\mathcal{F} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\tag{60}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D}(\Delta_D) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\tag{61}$$

are bounded linear operators. We formalize the calculation (52) as

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_D)$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be such that $\chi\chi_2 = \chi$ (i.e. $\chi_2 = 1$ on the support of χ). Then $\mathcal{F}(\chi g) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$$-\mathcal{F}(\Delta_D(\chi g)) = L\mathcal{F}(\chi g) + B\mathcal{F}(\chi g).\tag{62}$$

PROOF. We note that $\chi_2 = 1$ on the support of $\Delta_D(\chi g)$ and the formal calculation (52) is correct for $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, which is dense in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. \square

REMARK 1. *Let g be a smooth compactly supported function in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2$ with $g(y) = 1$ for $y \in [-\delta, \delta] \times \{0\}$. Then the function $f(y) = y_2^2 g(y)$ is smooth in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^2$, vanishes quadratically but $\mathcal{O}(f)$ has discontinuous second derivatives. This example shows that second derivatives of odd extensions of smooth functions which vanish quadratically need not be continuous.*

REMARK 2. *The extension of the change of variables $x \mapsto Y(x)$ to the whole space does not necessarily map the whole domain Ω to the upper half plane, only a very small piece of it, near the boundary point $x_0 = 0$. The extensions \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{E} can be used only on functions in Ω which have been properly localized near x_0 .*

We compute now $\mathcal{F}(\chi e^{t\Delta_D}\theta)$. We denote $\rho = e^{t\Delta_D}\theta$ and therefore we have $\partial_t\rho = \Delta_D\rho$. Moreover, $\Delta_D(\chi\rho) = \chi\Delta_D\rho + 2\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla\rho + (\Delta\chi)\rho$. Therefore, in view of (62)

$$(\partial_t + L)(\mathcal{F}(\chi\rho)) = -B\mathcal{F}(\chi\rho) - \mathcal{F}(2\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla\rho + (\Delta\chi)\rho) := g_\theta(t). \quad (63)$$

Using the Duhamel formula, we have shown the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\theta \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $t \geq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi e^{t\Delta_D}\theta) - e^{-tL}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)L}g_\theta(s)ds =: R_\theta(t). \quad (64)$$

The right hand side $R_\theta(t)$ of (64) plays an important role.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. For any $0 \leq \beta < 2, 0 \leq r < 1, \beta \geq r$, there exists a constant C_χ depending only on χ and r , $\text{diam}(\Omega)$, such that for any $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\|R_\theta(t)\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim C_\chi \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r+1-\beta}{2}} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \quad (65)$$

PROOF. We use the bound

$$\|e^{s\Delta_D}\theta\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \lesssim \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-r}{2}} e^{-c_0s} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \quad (66)$$

valid for $0 \leq s$ and for some $c_0 > 0$. This bound follows from a priori bounds on the heat kernel H_D of the heat operator, see for instance [6]. Thus, in view of (57) and (58) we have

$$\|g_\theta(s)\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} \lesssim \|g_\theta(s)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-r}{2}} e^{-c_0s} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \quad (67)$$

Using (296) and (310), (67), we obtain

$$\|R_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+t-s} \|g_\theta(s)\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} ds \lesssim \frac{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1+r}{2}}}{1+t} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}, \quad (68)$$

$$\|\nabla R_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{\log(2+t-s)}{1+t-s} \frac{1}{\min\{t-s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|g_\theta(s)\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} ds \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t) \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r}{2}}}{t+1} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \quad (69)$$

In view of (329) and (330), (67), we obtain for any $|h| \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_h \nabla R_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{t-s \leq 1} \left(\frac{\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t-s}}, 1\}}{\sqrt{t-s}} + |h| \frac{\log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t-s}}{|h|})}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-r}{2}} e^{-c_0s} ds \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{t-s > 1} |h| \log(2 + \frac{1}{|h|}) \frac{\log(2+t-s)}{t-s} \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-r}{2}} e^{-c_0s} ds \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\} + |h| \right) \log\left(\frac{2}{|h|}\right) \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{-\frac{c_0}{2}t} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} + \mathbf{1}_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} |h| \log\left(\frac{2}{|h|}\right) \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Here we used the fact that

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{t-s \leq 1} \frac{\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t-s}}, 1\}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \lesssim \log\left(\frac{2}{|h|}\right) \sqrt{t} \min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\}. \quad (71)$$

Therefore, we obtain (65). \square

REMARK 3. *In view of (70), we have $\nabla R_\theta \in C^{1-\log}$ and*

$$\sup_{|h| \leq 1} \frac{\|\delta_h \nabla R_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}}{|h| \log(2/|h|)} \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \quad (72)$$

This is an optimal regularity because L has only Lipschitz coefficients.

We take $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and consider the stream function

$$\psi_\theta = \Lambda_D^{-1}\theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{t\Delta_D} \theta dt. \quad (73)$$

We have directly from (64):

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. We have*

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi\psi_\theta) - L^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} R_\theta(t) dt =: S_{\theta,\chi} \quad (74)$$

where

$$\|S_{\theta,\chi}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{2-\beta} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad (75)$$

holds for all $0 \leq \beta < 2$. Here $L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined as the inverse operator of $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and is given by (343).

PROOF. In view of (65), we have

$$\|S_{\theta,\chi}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} dt \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{2-\beta} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (76)$$

This implies (75). □

We represent the localized and extended operator relationship for Λ_D .

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi\Lambda_D\theta) - L^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{3}{2}} R_\theta(t) dt =: \mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta) \quad (77)$$

holds. Moreover, we have

$$\|\mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta)\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}, \quad (78)$$

for any $0 < r < 1$.

PROOF. Using the heat operator representations of Λ_D and $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi\Lambda_D\theta) - L^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{3}{2}} (e^{-tL}\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) - \mathcal{F}(\chi e^{t\Delta_D}\theta)) dt. \quad (79)$$

Using (64) we arrive at (77).

In view of (65), we have for any $0 < r < \beta < 1$ and $|h| \leq 1$

$$|\mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta)| \lesssim \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dt \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}, \quad (80)$$

$$|\delta_h \mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta)| \lesssim \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}}, 1\right\}^\beta dt \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \quad (81)$$

We split the integral (81) in $\int_0^\infty = \int_0^{|h|^2} + \int_{|h|^2}^2 + \int_2^\infty$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_h \mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta)| &\lesssim \left(\int_0^{|h|^2} t^{-1+\frac{r}{2}} dt + |h|^\beta \int_{|h|^2}^2 t^{-1-\frac{\beta-r}{2}} dt + |h|^\beta \int_2^\infty t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t+1} dt \right) \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim |h|^r \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Combining this with (80) we obtain the result. □

REMARK 4. *Similarly, we have*

$$\|\chi_{in}\psi_\theta - \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{-1}(\chi_{in}\theta)\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (83)$$

$$\|\chi_{in}\Lambda_D\theta - \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\chi_{in}\theta)\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}, \quad (84)$$

for any $0 < \beta < 2$ and $0 < r < 1$ where χ_{in} is a cutoff function satisfying $\chi_{in} = 1$ in $B(x_0, r_0)$ and $\chi_{in} = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(x_0, \frac{5}{4}r_0)$ with $B(x_0, 2r_0) \subset \Omega$.

REMARK 5. *In view of Remark 4, Proposition 4 and (5), and (346), we have the bounds*

$$\|\Lambda_D^{-1}\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^{(r-1)_+(\Omega)}}, \quad \text{for any } r \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}, \quad (85)$$

$$\|\Lambda_D^{-1}\theta\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for any } r \in (0, 1), \quad (86)$$

$$\|\Lambda_D\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^{1+r}(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for any } r \in (0, 1). \quad (87)$$

We consider now the localization and extension of the nonlinear term. We denote the usual Poisson bracket by $\{\psi, \theta\} = J(\psi, \theta)$,

$$\{\psi, \theta\} = \nabla^\perp \psi \cdot \nabla \theta \quad (88)$$

and use its behavior under composition

$$\{f \circ X, g \circ X\} = (\det \nabla X) (\{f, g\} \circ X). \quad (89)$$

Thus, in particular,

$$\{\chi_1\psi, \chi\theta\} \circ X = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \{(\chi_1\psi) \circ X, (\chi\theta) \circ X\}. \quad (90)$$

holds for smooth cutoffs χ, χ_1 supported in $\bar{\Omega}$, and where $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (\det \nabla Y) \circ X$ (see (46)).

We also use the important observation that odd extensions commute with the Poisson bracket. This follows from the properties (50) and from the product rules (51). We have thus, recalling our definition (53), $\mathbf{a} = \mathcal{E}\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$,

$$\mathcal{O}(\{\chi_1\psi, \chi\theta\} \circ X) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \{\mathcal{O}((\chi_1\psi) \circ X), \mathcal{O}((\chi\theta) \circ X)\}. \quad (91)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{O}\left((\nabla^\perp(\chi_1\psi_\theta) \cdot \nabla(\chi\theta)) \circ X\right) = \mathbf{a}\nabla^\perp(\mathcal{O}((\chi_1\psi_\theta) \circ X) \cdot \nabla(\mathcal{O}((\chi\theta) \circ X))). \quad (92)$$

We proved

PROPOSITION 6. *Let $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, let ψ_θ be a stream function defined by (73) and let χ_1, χ be smooth cutoffs supported in $\bar{\Omega}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\nabla_x^\perp(\chi_1\psi_\theta) \cdot \nabla_x(\chi\theta)\right) = \mathbf{a}\nabla_y^\perp(\mathcal{F}(\chi_1\psi_\theta)) \cdot \nabla_y\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) \quad (93)$$

holds.

4. Extended localized critical SQG

We start by computing, with $\chi = \chi_i^0$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_i^1$ two localizers, $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\nabla^\perp\psi) \cdot \nabla\theta &= \nabla^\perp\psi \cdot \nabla(\chi\theta) - (\nabla^\perp\psi \cdot \nabla\chi)\theta \\ &= \nabla^\perp(\chi_1\psi) \cdot \nabla(\chi\theta) - (\nabla^\perp(\chi_1\psi) \cdot \nabla\chi)\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

The last equality follows because $\chi_1 \equiv 1$ is on the support of χ .

Applying the product rules (51), Proposition 6 we obtained

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $\theta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, let ψ_θ be a stream function defined by (73). Then we have*

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\chi(\nabla^\perp\psi_\theta \cdot \nabla\theta)\right) - \mathbf{a}\nabla^\perp\mathcal{F}(\chi_1\psi_\theta) \cdot \nabla\mathcal{F}(\chi\theta) = -\mathbf{a}\nabla^\perp\mathcal{F}(\chi_1\psi_\theta) \cdot \mathcal{F}((\nabla\chi)\theta). \quad (95)$$

In view of (74), we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi_1 \psi_\theta) = L^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\chi_1 \theta) + S_{\theta, \chi_1}. \quad (96)$$

We denote by $\theta_i, \tilde{\theta}_i$ the functions

$$\theta_i = \mathcal{F}(\chi \theta), \quad (97)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \mathcal{F}(\chi_1 \theta), \quad (98)$$

by u_i

$$u_i = \mathbf{a} \nabla^\perp L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta}_i) + u_{re}, \quad (99)$$

with

$$u_{re} = \mathbf{a} \nabla^\perp S_{\theta, \chi_1}, \quad (100)$$

and by $\tilde{\gamma}$ the vector

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \mathcal{F}((\nabla \chi) \theta). \quad (101)$$

Note that

$$\theta_i = \eta \tilde{\theta}_i, \quad (102)$$

where $\eta = \mathcal{E}(\chi \circ X)$ is a Lipschitz cutoff function satisfying $\eta = 1$ in $B(x_0, r_1)$, $\eta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(x_0, 4r_1)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r_1 > 0$.

Multiplying the SQG equation (4) by χ_i^0 , using the definitions (97), (98), (99) and (101) above, using (96), (95) and (77), we arrive at

$$\partial_t \theta_i + u_i \cdot \nabla \theta_i + L^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_i = f, \quad (103)$$

where

$$f = -\mathbf{R}_\chi(\theta) + u_i \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \quad (104)$$

for $i \leq N_1$.

LEMMA 1. *For any $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, the following inequalities hold*

$$\|u_{re}\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (105)$$

$$\|f\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \left(1 + \|\theta\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)}\right). \quad (106)$$

PROOF. In view of (75), (346), (57) and (58), we obtain (105) and

$$\|u_i\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} + \|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)}. \quad (107)$$

Combining this with (78) and $\|\tilde{\gamma}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)}$ to obtain (106). \square

Similarly, the equation for interior balls ($N_1 + 1 \leq i \leq N$) for $\theta_i = \chi_i^0 \theta$ is

$$\partial_t \theta_i + u_i \cdot \nabla \theta_i + \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2} \theta_i = f_{in}, \quad (108)$$

$$u_i = \nabla^\perp \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{-1}(\chi_1 \theta) + u_{er, in}, \quad (109)$$

where

$$f_{in} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\chi \theta) - \chi \Lambda_D(\theta) + (u \cdot \nabla \chi) \theta, \quad (110)$$

$$u_{er, in} = \nabla^\perp(\chi_1 \Lambda_D^{-1}(\theta)) - \nabla^\perp \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{-1}(\chi_1 \theta), \quad (111)$$

and $\chi = \chi_i^0, \chi_1 = \chi_i^1$ for $N_1 < i \leq N$.

In view of Remark 4, we have

$$\|u_{er, in}\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (112)$$

$$\|f_{in}\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{C^r(\Omega)} \left(1 + \|\theta\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)}\right), \quad (113)$$

for any $0 < r, \beta < 1$.

5. Bounds for a Linear Dissipative Advection Equation

In this section, we consider the linear advection equation

$$\partial_t v(x, t) + b(x, t) \cdot \nabla v(x, t) + L^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (114)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$, with $d \geq 2$ where $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is given by (34).

LEMMA 2. *Assume that $v \in L^\infty([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0})$ is a solution of (114) for some $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then, the following inequalities hold for any $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1/3)$, $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1})} &\lesssim M_1(T+1) \left(\|v(0)\|_{C^{\alpha_2}} + \|v\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\alpha_2})} \right) \\ &\quad + M_1^{\frac{3}{\alpha_1}} (T+1)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}} \int_0^T (1 + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} ds; \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1})} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad + \left(M_1^{\frac{6}{\alpha_1}} T^{2(1-\alpha_1)} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_1}} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}; \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 T^{\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{3\alpha_1}} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad + M_1^{\frac{3}{\alpha_1}} T^{1-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

where $M_1 = 1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}$.

REMARK 6. *We see from (117), that $\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}$ is small when T is small. This property is used in the proof of the Lemma 8 which is key for the proof of Theorem 6.*

REMARK 7. *When $b(x, t) \equiv 0$, estimates (115), (116) and (117) are proven by K. Chen, R. Hu and the third author in [3, Theorem 1.1]. When $b(x, t) \neq 0$, these estimates are new.*

The proof of Lemma (2) is based on a method of freezing coefficients, to avoid directly differentiating b or the variable coefficients of $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We start by taking a fixed x_0 and computing the kernel of the semigroup generated by the constant coefficients operator $L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. A direct calculation verifies that

$$\mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} G_{A(x_0)}(x - y, \frac{t^2}{4\rho}) d\rho = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\det A(x_0)}} \frac{t}{\left(t^2 + |A(x_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x|^2 \right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \quad (118)$$

is the kernel of $\partial_t + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dx}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} = 1$. Above $A(x_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the square root of the positive symmetric matrix $A(x_0)^{-1}$.

We write

$$\partial_t v(x, t) + b(x_0, t) \cdot \nabla v(x, t) + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t) = F(x, x_0, t) \quad (119)$$

for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, x_0, t) &= \left\{ f(x, t) + (L_y^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t)|_{y=x} - L^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t)) \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ (L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t) - L_y^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x, t)|_{y=x}) + (b(x_0, t) - b(x, t)) \nabla v(x, t) \right\} \\ &:= F_1(x, t) + F_2(x, x_0, t). \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

Using (370) and (302), (303), we have

$$\|F_1(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} \lesssim \|f(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + \|v(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_2+\kappa}}, \quad (121)$$

$$|F_2(x, x_0, t)| \lesssim |x - x_0|^{\alpha_2} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_2})}\right) \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1-\alpha_1}, \quad (122)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta_h^{x_0} F_2(x, x_0, t) - \delta_h^{x_0} F_2(y, x_0, t)| \\ &\leq |(L_{x_0+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} - L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}})v(x, t) - (L_{x_0+h}^{\frac{1}{2}} - L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}})v(y, t)| + |\delta_h b(x_0, t)| |\nabla v(x, t) - \nabla v(y, t)| \\ &\lesssim |x - y|^\kappa |h|^{\alpha_2 - \kappa} (1 + \|b(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2 - \kappa}}) \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\kappa}}, \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

for any $\kappa \in (0, \min\{1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_2\})$.

Now we compute the kernel of $\partial_t + b(x_0, t) \nabla + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) F(y, x_0, s) dy ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) \left(\partial_s v(y, s) + b(x_0, s) \nabla v(y, s) + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(y, s) \right) dy ds \\ &= v(x, t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_0^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t) v(y, 0) dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_s \left[\mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) \right] v(s, y) dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(x_0, s) \nabla_x + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_s^t b(\tau, x_0) d\tau, t - s) v(y, s) dy ds \\ &= v(x, t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_0^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t) v(y, 0) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_0^t b(\tau, x_0) d\tau, t) v(y, 0) dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_s^t b(\tau, x_0) d\tau, t - s) F(y, x_0, s) dy ds, \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

This verifies that the map $(t, s, x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(t - s, x - y - \int_s^t b(\tau, x_0) d\tau)$ is the kernel of the semi-group generated by the operator $-\left(b(t, x_0) \cdot \nabla + L_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$.

In the proof of Lemma (2), we make use of the following bound.

LEMMA 3. For $\beta \in [0, 1)$, and $j = 0, 1$, we have

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h^z \nabla_z^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})(z, t)| |z|^\beta dz \lesssim \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t}, 1\right\}^{1-\beta} \frac{|h|^\beta}{t^j}. \quad (126)$$

PROOF. Case 1: $|h| \leq 4t$. We have

$$|(\delta_h^z \nabla_z^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})(z, t)| \lesssim \frac{|h|t}{(t + |z|)^{d+j+2}}. \quad (127)$$

So,

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h \nabla^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})(z, t)| |z|^\beta dz \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|h|t}{(t + |z|)^{d+j+2}} |z|^\beta dz \sim \frac{|h|}{t^{j+1-\beta}}. \quad (128)$$

Case 2: $|h| \geq 4t$. We have

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h \nabla^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})(z, t)| |z|^\beta dz \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{t}{(t + |z - h|)^{d+j+1}} + \frac{t}{(t + |z|)^{d+j+1}} \right) |z|^\beta dz \lesssim \frac{|h|^\beta}{t^j}. \quad (129)$$

The two cases together yield the result. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. We apply $\delta_h \nabla_x^j, j = 0, 1$ to both sides of (125), then we take $x_0 = x$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_h \nabla_x^j v(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\delta_h \nabla^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_0^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t) |_{x_0=x} v(y, 0) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\delta_h \nabla^j \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} (F_1(y, s) + F_2(y, x, s)) dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_h \nabla_x v(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_0^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t) |_{x_0=x} (\delta_{-h} v(y, 0) - \delta_{-h} v(x, 0)) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\delta_h \nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} (F_1(y, s) - F_1(x, s) + F_2(y, x, s)) dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} (\delta_{-h} F_1(y, s) - \delta_{-h} F_1(x, s)) dy ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=0,1} (-1)^{i+1} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x + ih - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} F_2(y, x + ih, s) dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x + h - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} \\ &\quad \times (F_2(y, x, s) - F_2(y, x + h, s) - (F_2(x + h, x, s) - F_2(x + h, x + h, s))) dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

Here we used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\delta_h u_1)(x - y) u_2(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_1(x - y) \delta_{-h} u_2(y) dy, \quad \forall u_1, u_2, \quad (132)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |_{x_0=x} dy = 0 \quad \forall x, s, t. \quad (133)$$

Thus, using (121), (122) and (123) we have for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4 \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_4 \geq \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta_h \nabla v(x, t)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})|(x - y - \int_0^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t)|_{x_0=x} |h|^{\alpha_1} |x - y|^{\alpha_4 - \alpha_1} dy \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h \nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})|(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s)|_{x_0=x} |x - y|^{\alpha_2} E(s) dy ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}|(x - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s)|_{x_0=x} |h|^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} |x - y|^{\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2}} E(s) dy ds \\
&+ \sum_{i=0,1} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}|(x + ih - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s)|_{x_0=x} |x + ih - y|^{\alpha_2} E(s) dy ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla_x \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)})|(x + h - y - \int_s^t b(x_0, \tau) d\tau, t - s)|_{x_0=x} \\
&\quad \times |x + h - y|^\kappa dy |h|^{\alpha_2 - \kappa} (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2 - \kappa}}) \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\kappa}} ds, \tag{134}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E(s) &:= \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}}) \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1-\alpha_1} + \|v(s)\|_{C^{\alpha_2+\kappa}} \\
&\lesssim \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}}) \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1-\alpha_1} + \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{135}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (126), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h \nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})|(y - \int_s^t b(x, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |y|^\beta dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h \nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})|(z, t - s) (|z| + |t - s| \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)})^\beta dy \\
&\lesssim M_1 \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t-s}, 1\right\}^{1-\beta} \frac{|h|^\beta}{t-s}, \tag{136}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla \mathbf{H}_{A(x)})|(y - \int_s^t b(x, \tau) d\tau, t - s) |y|^\beta dy \lesssim M_1 (t-s)^{-1+\beta}, \tag{137}$$

for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta_h \nabla v(x, t)| &\lesssim M_1 |h|^{\alpha_1} t^{-1+\alpha_4-\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} + M_1 \int_0^t \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t-s}, 1\right\}^{1-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \frac{|h|^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha_2+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}} E(s) ds \\
&+ M_1 \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} (t-s)^{-1+\kappa} |h|^{\alpha_2-\kappa} (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2-\kappa}}) \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\kappa}} ds. \tag{138}
\end{aligned}$$

3) Because $\|g\|_{C^{\beta_1}} \lesssim \|g\|_{C^{\beta_2}}$ for any $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$, it is enough to prove (115) when $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \ll \alpha_1$. Using (138) with $\alpha_4 = \alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ and $\kappa = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \ll \alpha_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 t^{-1+\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + M_1 \int_0^t \frac{E(s) ds}{(t-s)^{1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}} \\
&\quad + M_1 \int_0^t (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}) \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_2-\alpha_1}} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}} \\
&\lesssim M_1 t^{-1+\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + M_1 \int_0^t \frac{E(s) ds}{(t-s)^{1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}} \\
&\quad + M_1^2 \int_0^t (1 + \|b(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{2\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1+\alpha_2-2\alpha_1} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}}. \tag{139}
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used interpolation inequalities.

Thus, in view of (135) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1})} &\lesssim M_1 T^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \left(\|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + \|v\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{\alpha_2})} \right) \\ &+ M_1^2 T^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|b\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1+\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1} + (1 + \|b\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}}) \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1-\alpha_1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

Using Holder's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1})} &\lesssim M_1 T^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \left(\|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}} + \|v\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{\alpha_2})} \right) \\ &+ M_1^{\frac{2}{2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} T^{\frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} \int_0^T (1 + \|b\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2(2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} + M_1^{\frac{2}{\alpha_1}} T^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} - 1} \int_0^T (1 + \|b\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\ &\lesssim M_1(T+1) \left(\|v(0)\|_{C^{\alpha_2}} + \|v\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\alpha_2})} \right) + M_1^{\frac{3}{\alpha_1}} (T+1)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}} \int_0^T (1 + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha_2}})^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

Here we used $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2(2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}, \frac{1}{\alpha_1} < \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1^2}$ when $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \ll \alpha_1$. This implies (115).

4) Using (138) with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_4/2$ and $\kappa = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_h \nabla v(x, t)| &\lesssim M_1 |h|^{\alpha_1} t^{-1+\alpha_4 - \alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} + M_1 \int_0^t \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t-s}, 1\right\}^{1-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \frac{|h|^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} s^{-1+\alpha_1}}{(t-s)^{1-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}} ds \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} E(s) \\ &+ M_1 \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}})}\right) |h|^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\frac{|h|}{t-s} > 1} (t-s)^{-1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} s^{-1+\alpha_1} ds \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim M_1 |h|^{\alpha_1} t^{-1+\alpha_4 - \alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} + M_1 |h|^{\alpha_1} t^{-1+\alpha_1} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} E(s) \\ &+ M_1 \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}})}\right) |h|^{\alpha_1} t^{-1+\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}^{1-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

where $E(s)$ satisfies (135) with $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 T^{\alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\ &+ M_1 T^{\alpha_1(1-\alpha_1)} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}^{\alpha_1} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}\right)^{1-\alpha_1} \\ &+ M_1^{\frac{3}{2}} T^{\frac{\alpha_1(1-\alpha_1)}{2}} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

Here we used the interpolation inequality $\left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}})}\right) \lesssim M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Using Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 T^{\alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_4}} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\ &+ M_1^{\frac{3}{\alpha_1}} T^{1-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

This implies (117) by taking $\alpha_4 = 3\alpha_1$.

5) It follows from (130), (121) and (122) that for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta_h v(x, t)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_0^t b(x, \tau) d\tau, t)|_{x_0=x} |\delta_{-h} v(y, 0)| dy \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h \mathbf{H}_{A(x_0)}(x - y - \int_s^t b(x, \tau) d\tau, t - s)|_{x_0=x} |y - x|^{\alpha_1} dy E(s) ds \\
&\stackrel{(126)}{\lesssim} |h|^{2\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 \int_0^t |h|^{\alpha_1} \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t-s}, 1\right\}^{1-\alpha_1} E(s) ds \\
&\lesssim |h|^{2\alpha_1} \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 \int_0^t |h|^{\alpha_1} \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{t-s}, 1\right\}^{1-\alpha_1} s^{-1+\alpha_1} ds \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} E(s) \\
&\sim |h|^{2\alpha_1} \left(\|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} E(s) \right), \tag{145}
\end{aligned}$$

where $E(s)$ satisfies (135) with $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$.

Therefore, as in (143) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1})} &\lesssim \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} + M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \\
&+ M_1 T^{\alpha_1(1-\alpha_1)} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}^{\alpha_1} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}}\right)^{1-\alpha_1}. \tag{146}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (144) (for $\alpha_4 = 2\alpha_2$) and Holder's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1})} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_1}} &\lesssim M_1 \|v(0)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1}} + M_1 T^{1-\alpha_1} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} \\
&+ M_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_1} \|f(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} + M_1^{\frac{3}{\alpha_1}} T^{1-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})}. \tag{147}
\end{aligned}$$

Now (116) follows because $\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{\alpha_1})} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_1})}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and Holder's inequality. \square

6. The Nonlinear Maximum Principle

We consider a solution θ of (4) defined on an interval of time $[0, T]$. In this section we assume that the solution belongs to $C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ for some $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$. The local existence Theorem (3) guarantees this to be the case if T is small. Here we obtain the basic a priori estimates which allow the continuation of the solution. We consider one of the boundary or interior balls $1 \leq i \leq N$, and take the function $\theta_i = \chi_i^0 \theta$ as the basic variable. The extended localized equation (103) for $i \leq N_1$ and its interior counterpart for $N_1 + 1 \leq i \leq N$, (108), are both represented below by the equation

$$\partial_i \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta = f \tag{148}$$

in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, where $\theta = \eta \tilde{\theta}$ and u obeys

$$u = \mathbf{J} \nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta}) + u_1. \tag{149}$$

with u_1 a lower order term, and where

i: $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}(x)$ is a matrix valued function which satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{J}\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 1 \tag{150}$$

- ii: $L_j = -\operatorname{div}(A_j \nabla \cdot)$, $j = 1, 2$, A_1, A_2 are symmetric matrix valued functions in \mathbb{R}^d which satisfy (31) and (32);
- iii: η is a Lipschitz cutoff function $\eta = 1$ in $B(0, r_0)$ and $\eta = 0$ in $B(0, 2r_0)^c$.

We reiterate that in this section we use the name θ , but this variable corresponds to one of the θ_i and not to the solution θ of (4), (5). In (149) we have implicitly assumed that $\tilde{\theta}$ is known. In the application to (103), $\tilde{\theta}$ is compactly supported obtained from the solution of (4), (5) by the formula (98).

We apply the method of nonlinear maximum principle introduced in [12] for the whole space, used in [13] in the periodic case and in [6] to establish interior Hölder bounds in bounded domains. In this section we do not explicitly use the divergence-free property of velocity u . We expand here the range of applicability of the method to allow for nonlinear forcing and the absence of translation invariance. We make use of the following result ([13, Lemma B.1]).

LEMMA 4. *Let $\Upsilon(t, z) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\operatorname{supp} \Upsilon(t, \cdot) \subset B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ and for some $R > 0$. Assume that $\Upsilon(t, z) \in C^1([0, T], C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^m)) \cap C^\beta([0, T], C^1(\mathbb{R}^m))$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\varrho(t) = \sup_z \Upsilon(t, z)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. Then, ϱ is Lipschitz continuous in $[0, T]$ with*

$$\|\varrho\|_{Lip([0, T])} \leq \|\partial_t \Upsilon\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m)}, \quad (151)$$

for almost every $t \in [0, T]$ the function ϱ is differentiable at t and there exists $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that simultaneously

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varrho(t) = (\partial_t \Upsilon)(t, z(t)) \text{ and } \varrho(t) = \Upsilon(t, z(t)) \quad (152)$$

hold. In particular, ϱ is absolutely continuous functions on $[0, T]$ and

$$\varrho(t_2) = \varrho(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{dt} \varrho(t) dt \quad (153)$$

for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$.

LEMMA 5. *Assume that $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^{\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for some $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ is a solution of (148)-(149). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + M \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \\ & + M \left(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty \cap L^1)} + \int_0^T \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \int_0^T \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^6 + \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

holds for α such that $\alpha(1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)})$ is small enough. Above, the constant M is given by

$$M = (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^1 \cap L^\infty)} + 1)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}.$$

PROOF. We take $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{16}$ and consider the equation obeyed by $D_h^\alpha \theta = \frac{\delta_h \theta}{|h|^\alpha}$ with $\delta_h f = f(x+h) - f(x)$. We apply first the finite difference δ_h :

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) \delta_h \theta = L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_h \theta - \delta_h (L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta) + \delta_h f. \quad (155)$$

Then we obtain the equation obeyed by $q(t, x, h) = D_h^\alpha \theta$:

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) q = L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_h^\alpha \theta - D_h^\alpha (L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta) + D_h^\alpha f - \alpha \left(\delta_h u \cdot \frac{h}{|h|^2} \right) q. \quad (156)$$

We multiply (156) by q and use the quadratic difference lower bound (378),(341), where $D(v)$ is defined in (340), and (150), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x + (\delta_h u) \cdot \nabla_h + L_1^{\frac{1}{2}})(q^2) + c\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}|h|^{-1+\alpha}|q|^3 + c|h|^{-2\alpha}D(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta})) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{c} \left(|h|^{-1-2\alpha}|\delta_h \nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})|(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta}))^2 + \|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{L^\infty} q^2 \right) + |q|\|L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}D_h^\alpha \theta - D_h^\alpha(L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta)\| \\ & \quad + q|D_h^\alpha f| + \alpha|h|^{-1}\|\delta_h u_1\|_{L^\infty} q^2, \end{aligned} \quad (157)$$

where the constant $c > 0$ does not depend on α .

Note that

$$\sup_{h,x \in \mathbb{R}^d} q(t,x,h)^2 = \sup_{h,x \in \mathbb{R}^d} [\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(h)q(t,x,h)^2] \quad (158)$$

where φ_1, φ_2 are cut-off functions such that $\varphi_1 = 1$ in $B(0, \lambda_0)$ and $\varphi_2 = 1$ in $B(0, \lambda_0) \setminus B(0, 1/\lambda_0)$; $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, 2\lambda_0)$, $\varphi_2 = 0$ in $B(0, 1/(2\lambda_0))$ for some $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ large enough.

Thus, we apply Lemma 4 to deduce that there exists $(x_t, h_t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$q(t) := q(t, x_t, h_t) = \sup_{h,x} q(t, x, h) \in W^{1,\infty}([0, T]). \quad (159)$$

Moreover, q is an absolutely continuous function on $[0, T]$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(t) = (\partial_t q)(t, x_t, h_t) \text{ a.e. in } t \in [0, T]. \quad (160)$$

We take great advantage of the fact that

$$0 < |h_t| \leq \min \left\{ 4r_0, \left(\frac{2\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}{q(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}, \quad q(t) \geq cr_0^{-\alpha}\|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (161)$$

In (157), we take $(x, h) = (x_t, h_t)$ and use (160) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & q\partial_t q + \frac{c}{2}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}|h|^{-1+\alpha}|q|^3 + c|h|^{-2\alpha}D(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta})) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{c}|h|^{-1-2\alpha}|\delta_h \nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})|(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta}))^2 + C|h|^{2(1-\alpha)}\|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{L^\infty}^3\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ & \quad + |q|\|L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}D_h^\alpha \theta - D_h^\alpha(L_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta)\| + q\|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \alpha^3 C\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

Here we have used Holder's inequalities

$$\frac{\alpha}{c}\|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{L^\infty} q^2 \leq \frac{c}{4}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}|h|^{-1+\alpha}|q|^3 + C|h|^{2(1-\alpha)}\|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{L^\infty}^3\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2, \quad (163)$$

and

$$\alpha|h|^{-1}\|\delta_h u_1\|_{L^\infty} q^2 \leq \frac{c}{4}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}|h|^{-1+\alpha}|q|^3 + \left(\frac{4}{c}\right)^2 \alpha^3 \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3. \quad (164)$$

We invoke Corollary 5 with the estimate (361) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |h|^{-1-2\alpha}|\delta_h \nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})|(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta}))^2 \leq C\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}|h|^{-2\alpha}D(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta})) + C\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2 \\ & \quad + C|h|^{2-2\alpha}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + C_\alpha|h|^{1-2\alpha}(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2 \log(2 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}) \\ & \leq C\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}|h|^{-2\alpha}D(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta})) + C|h|^{2-2\alpha}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\ & \quad + C_\alpha(1+r_0)(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^2\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}(1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (165)$$

where C does not depend on α , but C_α depends on α .

We emphasize the key point that in the above estimate the constant C in front of $\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}|h|^{-2\alpha}D(\delta_h(\eta\tilde{\theta}))$ does not depend on α . Thus, crucially, if α is small enough, the corresponding term, which comes in (162) multiplied by $\frac{\alpha}{c}$, and is the most dangerous term, is less than a fraction of the term provided by the

dissipation $D(q)$.

On the other hand, using (380), (346) and interpolation inequality, we also have

$$|L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_h^\alpha \theta - D_h^\alpha (L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta)| \lesssim C_\alpha |h|^{1-\alpha} q^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} + C_\alpha |h|^{1-\alpha} \|\theta\|_{L^1}, \quad (166)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{L^\infty}^3 &\leq C_\alpha \|\nabla L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{\theta})\|_{C^{\frac{3}{2}}}^3 \leq C_\alpha \left(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{C^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1} \right)^3 \\ &\leq C_\alpha \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2 (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}) \\ &\leq C_\alpha (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^2 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be small enough such that

$$C_\alpha \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} + \alpha \leq \frac{\min\{c, 1\}}{8}. \quad (168)$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q \partial_t q + \frac{c}{2} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-1} |h|^{-1+\alpha} |q|^3 &\lesssim (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^4 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2) \\ &\quad + |h|^{2-2\alpha} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + |h|^{1-\alpha} q^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} \\ &\quad + |h|^{1-\alpha} q \|\theta\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} + q \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

Using $|h_t| \leq \left(\frac{2\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}}{q(t)} \right)^\frac{1}{\alpha}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} q \partial_t q + c' \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} |q|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+2} &\lesssim (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^4 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2) \\ &\quad + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2-2\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}+2} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}+2} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} + q \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

We divide both sides by $q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}) + c'' \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} q^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} &\lesssim q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^4 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2) \\ &\quad + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2-2\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} + q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (171)$$

Note that the positive constant c'' now depends on α .

Using $q(t) \geq cr_0^{-\alpha} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}$; $q \lesssim \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}$ and $\|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} \lesssim r_0 \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^4 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2) &\lesssim (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} + 1)^4 \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1} (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2) \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim M \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}; \end{aligned} \quad (172)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2-2\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} &+ \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim M \left(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

$$q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}\|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \leq \varepsilon\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}q^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + C_\varepsilon M\|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2; \quad (174)$$

$$q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^3 \leq \varepsilon\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}q^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + C_\varepsilon M\|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^6, \quad (175)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Combining these with (171), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}) + c''\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}q^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \\ & \lesssim M \left(\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^6 + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2 + \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

This implies (154) by using (153). \square

COROLLARY 1. *Assume that $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^{\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ is a solution of (148)-(149). Then, for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ such that $\alpha(1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)})$ is small enough, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \lesssim \tilde{M}\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \\ & + \tilde{M} \left(\int_0^T \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}}\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \int_0^T \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^6 + \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2 \right) \\ & + \tilde{M}M_2(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty \cap L^1)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}})}) + \tilde{M}M_2^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{5}{8}} + \|u_1\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}})^{\frac{5}{2\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

where

$$\tilde{M} = (\|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^1 \cap L^\infty)} + T + 1)^{\frac{3}{\alpha}}, \quad (178)$$

$$M_2 = (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^1)}) \log(2 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^\alpha)}) + \|u_1\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}. \quad (179)$$

PROOF. We apply (115) to $(v, b) = (\theta, u)$ with $\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha_2 = \frac{5\alpha}{8}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}})} & \lesssim M_1(T+1) \left(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}})} \right) \\ & + M_1^{\frac{6}{\alpha}}(1+T)^{\frac{5}{4}} \int_0^T (1 + \|u\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}})^{\frac{5}{2\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

with $M_1 = 1 + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}$.

In view of (346), (345) and (150), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(s)\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} & \lesssim \|\tilde{\theta}(s)\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} + \|\tilde{\theta}(s)\|_{L^1} + \|u_1(s)\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tilde{\theta}(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\tilde{\theta}(s)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{5}{8}} + \|\tilde{\theta}(s)\|_{L^1} + \|u_1(s)\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

$$M_1 \lesssim \left(1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^1)} \right) \log(2 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^\alpha)}) + \|u_1\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} := M_2, \quad (182)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_{L_T^1(\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}})} & \lesssim M_2(T+1) \left(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}})} \right) \\ & + M_2^{\frac{6}{\alpha}}(T+1)^{\frac{5}{4}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{5}{8}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^1} + \|u_1\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}})^{\frac{5}{2\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

Combining this with (154) we obtain (177). \square

7. Holder regularity

In this section, we prove

THEOREM 5. *Let $\theta \in C([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)) \cap C_{loc}^{1+\alpha_0}((0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)) \cap C_{loc}^{\alpha_0}((0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega))$, $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ be a solution to (4), (5). Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\alpha(\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty} + 1)$ is small enough and $\alpha < \frac{\alpha_0}{2}$. Then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \lesssim (\|\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \text{diam}(\Omega) + T + 1)^{\frac{36}{\alpha^2}} \quad (184)$$

holds.

PROOF. We know from (4) that

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad (185)$$

holds.

First, we prove (184) with assumption $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)) \cap C^{\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega))$. We apply (177) with $(f, u_1) = (f_{in}, u_{re,in})$ to the system (108)-(109) and use (112), (113) and (185) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(B_i^0)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(B_i^0)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(B_i^0)} ds &\lesssim \tilde{M}_1 + \\ &+ \tilde{M}_1 \left(\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\theta\|_{C^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^4 \right) \\ &+ \tilde{M}_1 \log(2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(C^\alpha)})^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\theta\|_{C^\alpha})^{\frac{25}{16\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}} := M_4, \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

for $N_1 + 1 \leq i \leq N$, where

$$\tilde{M}_1 = (\|\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \text{diam}(\Omega) + T + 1)^{\frac{12}{\alpha}}. \quad (187)$$

In this inequality, we estimated

$$\tilde{M} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \tilde{M} \int_0^T \|u_1\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{3}}}^6 + \tilde{M} M_2 \left(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}} + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty \cap L^1)} \right) \lesssim \tilde{M}_1 \log(2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(C^\alpha)}); \quad (188)$$

$$\tilde{M} \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^2 + \tilde{M} M_2 \|f\|_{L_T^1(C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}})} \lesssim \tilde{M}_1 + \tilde{M}_1 \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^4; \quad (189)$$

and

$$\tilde{M} M_2^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{5}{8}} + \|u_1\|_{C^{\frac{5\alpha}{8}}}^{\frac{5}{2\alpha}} \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}) \lesssim \tilde{M}_1 \log(2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(C^\alpha)})^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\theta\|_{C^\alpha})^{\frac{25}{16\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (190)$$

Similarly, we apply (177) to the equation (103) and use (105), (106), (58) and (185) to deduce that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(B_i^0 \cap \Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(B_i^0 \cap \Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \int_0^T \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(B_i^0 \cap \Omega)} ds \lesssim M_4 \quad (191)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq N_1$.

As we have a cover of Ω by $\cup_{i=1}^N B_i^0$, then we obtain from (186) and (191) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \lesssim M_4. \quad (192)$$

By interpolation, Holder's inequalities and (202), (203), we obtain

$$\tilde{M}_1 \left(\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^\alpha}^4 \right) \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + C_\varepsilon \tilde{M}_1^3; \quad (193)$$

$$\tilde{M}_1 \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|\theta\|_{C^{\frac{1}{4}}} \stackrel{(185)}{\lesssim} \tilde{M}_1 \int_0^T \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{2+\alpha}} \|\theta\|_{C^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + C_\varepsilon \tilde{M}_1^{\frac{3}{2}}; \quad (194)$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_1 \log(2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(C^\alpha)})^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \int_0^T (1 + \|\theta\|_{C^\alpha})^{\frac{25}{16\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon (\sup_{[0,T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}) + C_\varepsilon \tilde{M}_1^3. \quad (195)$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} \lesssim \tilde{M}_1^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (196)$$

This implies (184) with assumption $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)) \cap C^{\alpha_0}([0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega))$. Now, we prove (184) without this assumption.

Indeed, because $\theta \in C^{1+\alpha_0}([\varepsilon, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)) \cap C^{\alpha_0}([\varepsilon, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega))$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we apply (184) on $\varepsilon + [0, T - \varepsilon] = [\varepsilon, T]$ and deduce that

$$\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_\varepsilon^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \int_\varepsilon^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \lesssim (\|\theta(\varepsilon)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \text{diam}(\Omega) + T - \varepsilon + 1)^{\frac{36}{\alpha^2}} \quad (197)$$

provided that $\alpha(\|\theta(\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \stackrel{(185)}{\leq} \alpha(\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty} + 1)$ is small enough and $\alpha < \frac{\alpha_0}{2}$.

Using $\theta \in C([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))$ and $\alpha < \alpha_0/2$ and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \\ &= \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T]} \|\theta\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + \int_\varepsilon^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} ds + \int_\varepsilon^T \|\theta(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \right) \\ &\lesssim \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\|\theta(\varepsilon)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \text{diam}(\Omega) + T - \varepsilon + 1)^{\frac{36}{\alpha^2}} \\ &\leq (\|\theta_0\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \text{diam}(\Omega) + T + 1)^{\frac{36}{\alpha^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (198)$$

This implies the result by using monotone convergence theorem. \square

LEMMA 6. For any $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k > 0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq \beta_0$, and $\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_1}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_1} \dots \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_k}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_k} \lesssim (1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty})^{\sum_{j=1}^k \varkappa_j} \left(\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_0}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_0} + T + 1 \right) \quad (199)$$

provided $\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \varkappa_j \leq \beta_0 \varkappa_0$.

PROOF. Using Holder's inequality, we only need to prove (199) with $\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \varkappa_j = \beta_0 \varkappa_0$. Indeed, in view of (22), we have

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_j}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_j} \lesssim \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_j - \frac{\beta_j \varkappa_j}{\beta_0}} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\beta_j \varkappa_j}{\beta_0}} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_j - \frac{\beta_j \varkappa_j}{\beta_0}} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_0}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\beta_j \varkappa_j}{\beta_0}}. \quad (200)$$

Thus,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_1}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_1} \dots \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_k}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_k} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\sum_{j=1}^k \varkappa_j - \varkappa_0} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{\beta_0}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_0}. \quad (201)$$

This implies (199) with $\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \varkappa_j = \beta_0 \varkappa_0$. \square

COROLLARY 2. *For any $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k > 0$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, and $\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_1}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_1} \cdots \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_k}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_k} \lesssim (1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty})^{\sum_{j=1}^k \varkappa_j} \left(\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)} + T + 1 \right) \quad (202)$$

provided $\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \varkappa_j \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

COROLLARY 3. *For any $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k > 0$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq \alpha$, and $\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_1}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_1} \cdots \|\theta\|_{C^{\beta_k}(\Omega)}^{\varkappa_k} \lesssim (1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty})^{\sum_{j=1}^k \varkappa_j} \left(\int_0^T \|\theta\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + T + 1 \right) \quad (203)$$

provided $\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \varkappa_j \leq 2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2-\alpha}$.

8. Local Existence with Holder Initial Data and Global Higher Regularity

We consider

$$\partial_t v + b \cdot \nabla v + \Lambda_D v = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (204)$$

with $b = \nabla^\perp w \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$, $w = f = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1/10]$. Assume $v \in L^\infty([0, T], C^{2\alpha_0}(\overline{\Omega})) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((0, T], C^{1+\alpha_0}(\overline{\Omega}))$ and $b \in L^\infty([0, T], C^{\alpha_0}(\overline{\Omega}))$. The following inequalities hold for any $T > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \left(\|v_0\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|f(s)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{6}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_0}} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} := J_1, \end{aligned} \quad (205)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \lesssim (1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))})^2 (1+T) \left(T^{\alpha_0} \|v_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|f(s)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + (1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))})^{\frac{3}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))} := J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (206)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{1-\alpha_0+\gamma} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^\gamma \|v(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{1-\alpha_0+\gamma} \|f(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{6}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_0}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^\gamma \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

for any $\gamma > 0$.

PROOF. We have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|v(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty]. \quad (208)$$

1) *Boundary estimate:* Let $1 \leq i \leq N_1$. As (103), we multiply (204) by $\chi = \chi_i^0$, using (95), (77) and $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we arrive at

$$\partial_t \tilde{v} + \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} + L^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{v} = f_2, \quad (209)$$

$$\tilde{v} = \mathcal{F}(\chi_i^0 v), \quad \tilde{b} = \mathbf{a} \nabla^\perp \mathcal{F}(\chi_i^1 w), \quad (210)$$

where \mathbf{a} is given in (52) and satisfies (58);

$$f_2 = \tilde{b} \cdot \mathcal{F}((\nabla \chi_i^0) v) + \mathcal{F}(\chi_i^0 f) + \mathbf{R}_{\chi_i^0}(v). \quad (211)$$

Using (78) (58), and $w = f = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have

$$\|\tilde{b}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|b\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} \quad \forall \beta \in [0, 1), \quad (212)$$

$$\|f_2\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} + (1 + \|\tilde{b}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \|v\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|b\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} \quad \forall \beta \in (0, 1). \quad (213)$$

We apply (116), (117) with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$ and use (212), (213) and (208) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(B_i^0 \cap \Omega))} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(B_i^0 \cap \Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^2 \left(\|v_0\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|f(s)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + T^{1-\alpha_0} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))} \right) \\ & \quad + \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{6}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_0}} \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} := J_0, \end{aligned} \quad (214)$$

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(B_i^0)} \lesssim J_2. \quad (215)$$

2) *Interior estimate:* Define $\bar{v} = \chi_i^0 v$ for $N_1 < i \leq N$. We have

$$\partial_t \bar{v} + (\chi_i^1 b) \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{v} = f_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (216)$$

where

$$f_1 = \chi_i^0 f + \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{v} - \chi_i^0 \Lambda_D v. \quad (217)$$

In view of (84), we have for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$

$$\|f_1(s)\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|f(s)\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} + \|v(s)\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)}. \quad (218)$$

We apply (116), (117) with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$ to get that

$$\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(B_i^0))} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(B_i^0)} \lesssim J_0, \quad (219)$$

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(B_i^0)} \lesssim J_2. \quad (220)$$

We cover Ω by $\cup_{i=1, \dots, N} B_i^0$, then we obtain (206) and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}} + t^{1-\alpha_0} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}} \lesssim J_0. \quad (221)$$

This follows (205) by using $\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega))}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and holder's inequality. We apply (205) in $[s, T]$ with $s \in [0, T/4]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [s, T]} (t-s)^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_{[s, T]}^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \left(\|v(s)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [s, T]} (t-s)^{1-\alpha_0} \|f(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_{[s, T]}^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{6}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_{[s, T]}^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_0}} \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \\ & \lesssim s^{-\gamma} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^\gamma \|v(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{1-\alpha_0+\gamma} \|f(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + s^{-\gamma} \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{6}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_0}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^\gamma \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (222) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma > 0$.

Using the fact that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T/4]} \left(s^\gamma \sup_{t \in [s, T]} (t-s)^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \gtrsim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{1-\alpha_0+\gamma} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \quad (223)$$

we deduce (207). \square

LEMMA 7. Let $f_1, f_2 \in C^{2n+1}(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that $\Delta^k f_1 = \Delta^k f_2 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for any $k = 0, \dots, n$. Then,

$$\Delta^k \{f_1, f_2\} = 0 \quad (224)$$

holds on $\partial\Omega$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n$, where we recall (88) that the Poisson bracket is given by $\{f_1, f_2\} = \nabla^\perp f_1 \cdot \nabla f_2$.

PROOF. First, we note by induction that our assumptions regarding the boundary conditions imply that for any $x \in \partial\Omega$, we have

$$\partial_N^{2k_1} \partial_{N^\perp}^k f_1(x) = \partial_N^{2k_1} \partial_{N^\perp}^k f_2(x) = 0 \quad (225)$$

for all $k \geq 0, k_1 \geq 0, 2k_1 + k \leq 2n + 1$, where $N = n(x)$ is the unit outward normal vector at $x \in \partial\Omega$ and N^\perp is the tangent vector $(-N_2, N_1)$. Indeed, it follows by induction that such a derivative can be represented as a linear combination of tangential derivatives of powers of the Laplacian. The proof of this representation uses the rotation invariance of the Laplacian, which implies that $\partial_N^2 = \Delta - \partial_{N^\perp}^2$, an identity that is then employed at the inductive step, establishing the representation, and thus (225).

Then, using the rotation invariance of both the Laplacian and the Poisson bracket, we have that

$$\Delta^k \{f_1, f_2\} = (\partial_N^2 + \partial_{N^\perp}^2)^k (\partial_{N^\perp} f_1 \partial_N f_2 - \partial_N f_1 \partial_{N^\perp} f_2) \quad (226)$$

holds at each $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Opening brackets with the Leibniz formula and using (225) in (226), we obtain (224). \square

Now, we use Proposition 8 to prove local existence and higher regularity of the system (4) and (5). We define

$$\begin{aligned} S_{T,R} = \{v \in L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega)) : v|_{\partial\Omega} = \Delta v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ v(t=0) = \theta_0, \|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|v\|_{3,T} \leq R\}, \quad (227) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|v\|_{0,T} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \quad (228)$$

$$\|v\|_{1,T} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{1-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \quad (229)$$

$$\|v\|_{3,T} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{3-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{3+\alpha_0}(\Omega)}. \quad (230)$$

We define the map $\mathcal{T}(\theta) = v$ as a solution of

$$\partial_t v + b \cdot \nabla v + \Lambda_D v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (231)$$

$$b = \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta, \theta \in S_{T,R}, \quad (232)$$

with $v(t=0) = \theta_0 \in C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

In view of (85) and (86) and we have

$$\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^\kappa, \quad (233)$$

for any $\kappa \in (0, 1]$.

LEMMA 8. *There exist $R > 0$ large enough and $T > 0$ small enough depending on $\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}$ and Ω such that $\mathcal{T}(S_{T,R}) \subset S_{T,R}$ and*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\theta_1) - \mathcal{T}(\theta_2)\|_{3,T} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{3,T} \quad \forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in S_{T,R}. \quad (234)$$

PROOF. 1) Let $\theta \in S_{T,R}$, $v = \mathcal{T}(\theta)$. We have

$$\|v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (235)$$

Thus, to get $\mathcal{T}(S_{T,R}) \subset S_{T,R}$, we have to show that $\|v\|_{3,T} \leq R$ for some $R > 0$ large and $T > 0$ small enough.

Using Proposition 8 with $f = 0$ and $T \in (0, 1]$; and using (233) we have

$$\|v\|_{0,T} \lesssim \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^\kappa\right)^4 \|\theta_0\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^{\frac{8}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad (236)$$

$$\|v\|_{1,T} \lesssim (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^{\frac{4}{\alpha_0}} \left(T^{\alpha_0} \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + T^{1-\alpha_0} \|v\|_{0,T}\right), \quad (237)$$

for any $\kappa \in (0, 1]$.

In order to obtain higher regularity of v , we apply Δ_D to the equation (231) and obtain

$$\partial_t \Delta v + b \cdot \nabla \Delta v + \Lambda_D \Delta v = \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D \theta \cdot \nabla v - \sum_{j=0,1} 2\partial_{x_j} b \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_j} v := f. \quad (238)$$

In view of Lemma (7), we have $f = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. So, we can apply (207) in proposition 8 with $\gamma = 2$ and for any $T > 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\Delta v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^\kappa\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^2 \|\Delta v(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|f(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^{\frac{8}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} (1+T) \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^2 \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (239)$$

By interpolation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|f(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D \theta \cdot \nabla v - \partial_{x_j} b \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_j} v(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (1+T) T^{2\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{0,T} + \|v\|_{0,T})^{1+\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{3,T} + \|v\|_{3,T})^{1-\alpha_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (240)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} s^2 \|\Delta v(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|v\|_{0,T}^{\frac{1-\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{3,T}^{\frac{2}{3-\alpha_0}}, \quad (241)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} s^2 \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim T^{2\alpha_0} \|v\|_{0,T}^{\frac{1+\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{3,T}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha_0)}{3-\alpha_0}}. \quad (242)$$

Thus, we obtain for any $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{3,T} &\lesssim \|v\|_{0,T} + \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^\kappa\right)^4 (T+1)^2 \|v\|_{0,T}^{\frac{1-\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{3,T}^{\frac{2}{3-\alpha_0}} \\ &\quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^4 (T+1)^3 T^{2\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{0,T} + \|v\|_{0,T})^{1+\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{3,T} + \|v\|_{3,T})^{1-\alpha_0} \\ &\quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^{\frac{8}{\alpha_0}} T^{1+\alpha_0} (1+T) \|v\|_{0,T}^{\frac{1+\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{3,T}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha_0)}{3-\alpha_0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (243)$$

By the Holder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{3,T} &\lesssim \|v\|_{0,T} + \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} \|\theta\|_{0,T}^\kappa\right)^{\frac{4(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0}} (T+1)^{\frac{2(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{0,T} \\ &\quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^4 (T+1)^3 T^{2\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{0,T} + \|v\|_{0,T})^{1+\alpha_0} (\|\theta\|_{3,T} + \|v\|_{3,T})^{1-\alpha_0} \\ &\quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0,T})^{\frac{8(3-\alpha_0)}{\alpha_0(1+\alpha_0)}} T^{3-\alpha_0} (1+T)^{\frac{3-\alpha_0}{1+\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{0,T}. \end{aligned} \quad (244)$$

Combining this with (236) we deduce for any $T \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{3,T} &\lesssim \|v\|_{0,T} + \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} R^\kappa\right)^{\frac{4(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0}} \|v\|_{0,T} \\ &\quad + (1+R)^4 T^{2\alpha_0} (R + \|v\|_{3,T})^2 + (1+R)^{\frac{8(3-\alpha_0)}{\alpha_0(1+\alpha_0)}} T^{3-\alpha_0} \|v\|_{0,T}, \end{aligned} \quad (245)$$

$$\|v\|_{0,T} \lesssim \left(1 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\kappa} R^\kappa\right)^4 \|\theta_0\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + (1+R)^{\frac{8}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (246)$$

Choosing $\kappa > 0$ small such that $\frac{4\kappa(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0} + 4\kappa \leq \frac{1}{10}$. In view of (245) and (246), we can take $R \geq 1$ large, then T small such that

$$\|v\|_{3,T} \leq C \|v\|_{0,T} + R^{\frac{1}{5}} \|v\|_{0,T} + R^{-10} \|v\|_{3,T} (1 + \|v\|_{3,T}) + R^{-10}, \quad (247)$$

$$\|v\|_{0,T} \leq R^{\frac{1}{5}}. \quad (248)$$

These imply $\|v\|_{3,T} \leq R$.

2) Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in S_{T,R}$ be such that $\theta_1(t=0) = \theta_2(t=0) = \theta_0$. Set $v_j = \mathcal{T}(\theta_j)$ and $\bar{v} = v_1 - v_2$, $\bar{\theta} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. We can write

$$\partial_t \bar{v} + \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \Lambda_D \bar{v} = -\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \bar{\theta} \cdot \nabla v_2, \quad (249)$$

with $\bar{v}(t=0) = 0$.

Using (237), we have

$$\|v_1\|_{1,T} + \|v_2\|_{1,T} \lesssim C(R, \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}) T^{\alpha_0} \forall T \in (0, 1]. \quad (250)$$

Because $\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \bar{\theta} \cdot \nabla v_2|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, so we apply (205) in Proposition 8 with $f = -\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \bar{\theta} \cdot \nabla v_2$ to obtain that for any $T \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\bar{v}\|_{0,T} \lesssim (1+R)^4 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} s^{1-\alpha_0} \|\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \bar{\theta} \cdot \nabla v_2(s)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}} \lesssim (1+R)^5 T^{\alpha_0} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{0,T}. \quad (251)$$

On the other hand, as (239) we have

$$\partial_t \Delta \bar{v} + \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta_1 \cdot \nabla \Delta \bar{v} + \Lambda_D \bar{\Delta} v = f_1, \quad (252)$$

where

$$f_1 = -\Delta \left(\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \bar{\theta} \cdot \nabla v_2 \right) - \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D \theta_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - \sum_{j=0,1} 2 \nabla^\perp \partial_{x_j} \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_j} \bar{v}, \quad (253)$$

and $f_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

It is true that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|f_1(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \lesssim C(R, \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}) T^{\alpha_0} (\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3, T} + \|\bar{v}\|_{3, T}). \quad (254)$$

Thus, we apply (207) of Proposition 8 with $f = f_1$ and $\gamma = 2$ to obtain for any $T \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\bar{v}(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{3+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \sim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\Delta \bar{v}(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim (1+R)^4 \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^2 \|\bar{v}(t)\|_{C^{2+2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^{3-\alpha_0} \|f_1(t)\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \quad + (1+R)^{\frac{8}{\alpha_0}} T^{1-\alpha_0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} t^2 \|\Delta \bar{v}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ & \stackrel{(241), (242)}{\lesssim} C(R, \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}) \left(\|\bar{v}\|_{0, T}^{\frac{1-\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|\bar{v}\|_{3, T}^{\frac{2}{3-\alpha_0}} + T^{\alpha_0} (\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3, T} + \|\bar{v}\|_{3, T}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (255)$$

$$+ C(R, \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}) T^{1+\alpha_0} \|\bar{v}\|_{0, T}^{\frac{1+\alpha_0}{3-\alpha_0}} \|\bar{v}\|_{3, T}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha_0)}{3-\alpha_0}}. \quad (256)$$

Combining this with (251), we obtain for any $T \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\bar{v}\|_{3, T} \lesssim C(R, \|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}) T^{\alpha_0} (\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3, T} + \|\bar{v}\|_{3, T}). \quad (257)$$

Thus, we deduce (234) by taking $T > 0$ small enough. \square

COROLLARY 4. *Assume that $\theta \in S_{R, T}$ is a solution of the system (4) and (5) for some $R, T > 0$. Then,*

$$\|\theta\|_{3, T} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{0, T} (1 + T + \|\theta\|_{0, T})^{\frac{30}{\alpha_0(1-\alpha_0)}}. \quad (258)$$

PROOF. Using (244) with $\kappa = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_{3, T} & \lesssim \|\theta\|_{0, T} + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0, T})^{\frac{4(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0}} (T+1)^{\frac{2(3-\alpha_0)}{1-\alpha_0}} \|\theta\|_{0, T} \\ & \quad + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0, T})^4 (T+1)^3 T^{2\alpha_0} \|\theta\|_{0, T}^{1+\alpha_0} \|\theta\|_{3, T}^{1-\alpha_0} + (1 + \|\theta\|_{0, T})^{\frac{8(3-\alpha_0)}{\alpha_0(1+\alpha_0)}} T^{3-\alpha_0} (1+T)^{\frac{3-\alpha_0}{1+\alpha_0}} \|\theta\|_{0, T}. \end{aligned} \quad (259)$$

Thus, by Holder's inequality, we obtain (258). \square

REMARK 8. *As in the proof of (244), we use Lemma 7 and apply (207) of Proposition 8 to*

$$\partial_t \Delta^k v + \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta \cdot \nabla \Delta^k v + \Lambda_D \Delta^k v = \nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta \cdot \nabla \Delta^k v - \Delta^k \left(\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta \cdot \nabla v \right) \quad (260)$$

with $\gamma = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ to deduce that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{2n-\alpha_0} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2n+1+\alpha_0}(\Omega)} \lesssim (1+T + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)})^{c_n} \quad (261)$$

for some c_n and $\Delta^k \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ for any $t \in (0, T]$ and $k = 0, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 6. *Let $\theta_0 \in C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for some $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1/10)$. Then, the equation (4) has a global unique solution θ satisfying*

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\nabla_{t,x}^3 \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega) e^{-ct}, \quad (262)$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and for some $c > 0$.

PROOF. Using Lemma 8 and the Banach fixed point theorem, the equation (4) has a local unique solution θ in $[0, T_1]$ satisfying

$$\|\theta\|_{3, T_1} < \infty. \quad (263)$$

for some $T_1 > 0$. Moreover, we also have $\theta \in C([0, T_1], C^{\alpha_0}(\Omega))$.

Define

$$T^* := \sup\{T : \theta \text{ exists on } [0, T] \text{ with } \|\theta\|_{4, T} < \infty\}. \quad (264)$$

where

$$\|\theta\|_{4, T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{3-\alpha_0} \|\nabla_x^3 \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (265)$$

In view of (263), we have $T^* > 0$.

We prove $T^* = +\infty$. Indeed, we assume $0 < T^* < \infty$. So, we can apply Theorem 5 for θ in $[0, T]$ for any $T < T^*$ to get that

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega) (t+1)^{\frac{36}{\alpha^2}}, \quad (266)$$

for any $t \leq T^*$ and for some $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ small enough.

Using (258), we get

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + t^{3-\alpha/2} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{3+\alpha/2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega) (t+1)^{m_0}, \quad (267)$$

for any $t \in (0, T^*]$ and for some $m_0 > 0$. From this and (263) we get a contradiction.

Therefore, for any $t \geq 1$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{3+\alpha/2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega) (t+1)^{m_0}. \quad (268)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\partial_t (\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) + 2\|\Lambda_D^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0. \quad (269)$$

Using (27),

$$\partial_t (\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) + 2\mu_1 \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0. \quad (270)$$

This implies

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq e^{-2\mu_1 t} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (271)$$

Using interpolation, we obtain from this and (268) that for any $t \geq 1$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{C^{3+\alpha/4}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega) e^{-ct}, \quad (272)$$

for some $c > 0$. Since $\partial_t v = -\nabla^\perp \Lambda_D^{-1} \theta \cdot \nabla v - \Lambda_D v$,

$$\|\partial_{t,x}^3 v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{3+\alpha/4}(\Omega)} (\|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 1)^{10}. \quad (273)$$

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

REMARK 9. *In view of Remark (8), we obtain that the solution θ satisfies $\Delta^n \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{2\alpha_0}(\Omega)} + t^{2n+1-\alpha_0} \|\nabla_{t,x}^{2n+1} \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\theta_0\|_{C^{3\alpha_0}(\Omega)}, \Omega, n) e^{-c_n t}, \quad (274)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $c_n > 0$.

9. Appendix 1

This is a construction in $d = 2$. A similar construction can be done in any dimension. We take without loss of generality $\varphi(x_1)$ to have $\varphi' = 0$ for $|x_1| \geq \ell$ and $|\varphi''| \leq C_0$. We assumed $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$ and $|\varphi'(x_1)| \leq \epsilon$ for all x_1 . Clearly, $\varphi(x_1) = h_1 = \varphi(\ell)$ for $x_1 \geq \ell$ and $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(-\ell) = h_2$ for $x_1 \leq -\ell$. We take

$$Y_2(x) = x_2 - \varphi(x_1). \quad (275)$$

Now

$$\nabla Y_2(x) = e_2 - \varphi'(x_1)e_1 \quad (276)$$

is a globally defined vector field

$$N = e_2 - \varphi'(x_1)e_1. \quad (277)$$

and if we build a function $Z(x)$ so that $N \cdot \nabla Z = 0$. We set

$$\nabla Z = \gamma(x)(e_1 + \varphi'(x_1)e_2). \quad (278)$$

This can be done if, and only if,

$$\partial_2 \gamma = \partial_1(\varphi' \gamma), \quad (279)$$

which is a first order equation

$$N \cdot \nabla \gamma = \varphi'' \gamma. \quad (280)$$

We solve this on characteristics and show that the solution is global. It is good to set data on the curve

$$\Gamma = \{x \mid x_2 = \varphi(x_1)\}. \quad (281)$$

The characteristics are

$$\frac{d\xi}{ds} = -\varphi'(\xi) \quad (282)$$

with $\xi(0) = x_1$ and

$$\frac{d\eta}{ds} = 1 \quad (283)$$

with initial data $\eta(0) = \varphi(x_1)$. Clearly

$$\eta(s) = \varphi(x_1) + s. \quad (284)$$

On characteristics, γ solves

$$\frac{d}{ds} \gamma(\xi(s), \eta(s)) = \varphi''(\xi(s)) \gamma(\xi(s), \eta(s)). \quad (285)$$

We set the initial data for γ on the curve Γ ,

$$\gamma(\xi(0), \eta(0)) = \gamma(x_1, \varphi(x_1)) = 1. \quad (286)$$

It is clear from our assumptions that the characteristics exist for all s . If $|x_1| \geq \ell$ the characteristics are vertical lines $\xi(s) = x_1$. Also, if $x_1 = 0$ the characteristic is the x_2 axis. Moreover $\gamma(x_1, x_2) = 1$ for $|x_1| \geq \ell$. We note that $\gamma(0, s) = e^{\varphi''(0)s}$. It is instructive to look at the case $\varphi = \frac{x_1^2}{2}$ for which $\xi = x_1 e^{-s}$ and $\gamma = e^s$. In this case we can determine $\gamma(x_1, x_2)$ implicitly from the relation $x_2 = \gamma^2 \frac{x_1^2}{2} + \log \gamma$.

We define $Y_1(x)$ by setting

$$Y_1(x) = x_1 + \chi(x_2) \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma(z, x_2) - 1) dz \quad (287)$$

where $\chi(x_2) = 1$ for $|x_2| \leq H$ and $\chi(x_2) = 0$ for $|x_2| \geq 2H$ with $H > \epsilon \ell$. We have

$$\partial_1 Y_1 = \chi(x_2) \gamma(x_1, x_2) + (1 - \chi(x_2)) \quad (288)$$

and, using (279),

$$\partial_2 Y_1 = \chi(x_2) \gamma(x_1, x_2) \varphi'(x_1) + \frac{d\chi}{dx_2} \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma(z, x_2) - 1) dz. \quad (289)$$

Therefore

$$\nabla Y_1 \cdot \nabla Y_2 = -\varphi'(1 - \chi(x_2)) + \frac{d\chi}{dx_2} \int_0^{x_1} (\gamma(z, x_2) - 1) dz \quad (290)$$

vanishes in a neighborhood of Γ . We can arrange the cutoff χ so that $x \mapsto (Y_1, Y_2)$ is a global diffeomorphism. This is done by noting from (288) that $\partial_1 Y_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ may be arranged by ensuring $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on the support of χ . This follows if H is small enough, which is possible if $\epsilon\ell$ is small. Then the Jacobian $\det \nabla Y$ is bounded away from zero, provided ϵ and ℓ are small enough and $|\chi'| \leq CH^{-1}$. This shows that $x \mapsto Y$ is locally injective. To show global invertibility we show that Y can be continuously deformed to the identity, by taking φ to zero. We note also

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(x) &= x_1, & \text{for } |x_2| \geq 2H, \\ Y_2(x) &= x_2. & \text{for } |x_1| \geq \ell. \end{aligned} \quad (291)$$

Once we have established the existence of the smooth diffeomorphism $X = Y^{-1}$, we have the intertwining (62).

10. Appendix 2: Estimates of heat kernels

In this section, we establish estimates for the heat kernel of the operator

$$L = -\operatorname{div}_x(A(x)\nabla_x) \quad (292)$$

in \mathbb{R}^d , for $d \geq 2$ where A is a symmetric matrix-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying

$$A(x) \geq c_1 I \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (293)$$

$$\|\nabla A\|_{L^\infty} + \|A\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_2, \quad (294)$$

with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

Let be $H_L(x, y, t)$ the kernel of $\partial_t + L$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t H_L(x, x+z, t) - \operatorname{div}_z(A(x+z)\nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H(x, x+z, t) &= \delta_{z=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (295)$$

for any $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

It is well-known that the kernel $H_L(x, y, t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{c_4 t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_4 \frac{|z|^2}{t}) \leq H_L(x, x+z, t) \leq \frac{1}{c_3 t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_3 \frac{|z|^2}{t}) \quad (296)$$

for some $c_3, c_4 > 0$, see [16, Theorem 3.3.4]. Moreover, we also have

$$|\nabla_x H_L(t, x, y)| + |\nabla_y H_L(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{c_3}{4} \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}), \quad (297)$$

see [19, chapter IV, section 13, (13.1)] for case $t \in (0, 1]$; when $t \geq 1$, it follows by using semigroup property: $H_L(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_L(\frac{1}{2}, x, z) H_L(t - \frac{1}{2}, z, y) dz$.

In particular, (297) implies

$$\|e^{-tL} \operatorname{div}(g)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \min\{t, 1\}^{-\frac{1-a}{2}} \|g\|_{\dot{C}^a(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (298)$$

for any $a \in (0, 1)$.

For fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$L_y = -\operatorname{div}_x(A(y)\nabla_x). \quad (299)$$

Its heat kernel is given in (39),

$$G_{A(y)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det A(y)}(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{(A(y)^{-1}z \cdot z)}{4t}), \quad (300)$$

and the square root of the operator L_y is given by

$$L_y^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) = \frac{\tilde{c}_0}{\sqrt{\det A(y)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(x+z)}{(A(y)^{-1}z \cdot z)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} dz. \quad (301)$$

The Lipschitz continuity properties

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |L_{y_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) - L_{y_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)| \lesssim \min\{|y_1 - y_2|, 1\} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^a}^a \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+a}}^{1-a}, \quad (302)$$

$$|(L_{y_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) - L_{y_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)) - (L_{y_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(y) - L_{y_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(y))| \lesssim \min\{|y_1 - y_2|, 1\} |x - y|^a \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+a}}. \quad (303)$$

hold for any $a \in (0, 1)$. Indeed, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{y_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x) - L_{y_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(x)) - (L_{y_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(y) - L_{y_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(y)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(y_1, y_2, z) (\delta_z u(y) - \delta_z u(x)) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (304)$$

with

$$F(y_1, y_2, z) = \frac{\tilde{c}_0}{\sqrt{\det A(y_1)}} \frac{1}{(A(y_1)^{-1}z \cdot z)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} - \frac{\tilde{c}_0}{\sqrt{\det A(y_2)}} \frac{1}{(A(y_2)^{-1}z \cdot z)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}. \quad (305)$$

Then, (303) follows by using the following inequalities

$$|\delta_z u(y) - \delta_z u(x)| \leq |x - y|^{a+\epsilon_0} |z|^{1-\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+a}} \text{ for } |z| \geq |x - y|, \quad (306)$$

$$|(\delta_z u(y) - z \nabla u(y)) - (\delta_z u(x) - z \nabla u(x))| \leq |x - y|^{a-\epsilon_0} |z|^{1+\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+a}} \text{ for } |z| \leq |x - y|, \quad (307)$$

$$|F(y_1, y_2, z)| \lesssim \frac{\min\{1, |y_1 - y_2|\}}{|z|^{d+1}}, \quad (308)$$

for $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{a}{2})$.

In order to study $\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we make use of the following fine properties of the kernel $H_L(x, y, t)$.

LEMMA 9. *The following inequalities hold*

$$|H_L(x, x+z, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t)| + |H_L(x+z, x, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t)| \lesssim \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (309)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} \\ &+ |\nabla_z H_L(x+z, x, t) - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t}), \end{aligned} \quad (310)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - \delta_h^z \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} \\ &+ |\delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x+z, x, t) - \delta_h^z \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} \lesssim |h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}) \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (311)$$

for any $t > 0$ and $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$. Here $\delta_h f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x)$.

PROOF. Our proof is based on a method of freezing coefficients. It is similar to [19, chapter IV, section 13] and it is probably not new.

1) Using (295), we have for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\partial_t H_L(x, x+z, t) - \operatorname{div}_z (A(x+z_0) \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t)) = \operatorname{div}_z ((A(x+z) - A(x+z_0)) \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t)) \quad (312)$$

so, integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H_L(x, x+z, t) - G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s) (A(x+z') - A(x+z_0)) \nabla_{z'} H_L(x, x+z', s) dz' ds. \end{aligned} \quad (313)$$

Thus, for any $t \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |H_L(x, x+z, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t)| &\stackrel{(297)}{\lesssim} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z-z'|^2}{t-s})}{(t-s)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \min\{|z-z'|, 1\} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' ds \\ &\lesssim \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z-z'|^2}{4(t-s)}) \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{4s})}{(t-s)^{\frac{d}{2}} s^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} dz' ds \\ &\lesssim \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \int_0^t \frac{\min\{t-s, s\}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}), \end{aligned} \quad (314)$$

holds with $c_5 > 0$. Here we have used the fact that

$$\frac{\min\{|z-z'|, 1\}}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z-z'|^2}{2(t-s)}) \lesssim 1, \quad (315)$$

$$\frac{|z-z'|^2}{t-s} + \frac{|z'|^2}{s} \geq \frac{|z|^2}{2t}. \quad (316)$$

Therefore,

$$|H_L(x, x+z, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t}) \quad (317)$$

holds for some $c_0 > 0$.

2) We apply ∇_z to both sides of (313), then we take $z_0 = z$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z} (A(x+z') - A(x+z)) \nabla_{z'} H_L(x, x+z', s) dz' ds. \end{aligned} \quad (318)$$

So, as in (314)

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z} &\stackrel{(297)}{\lesssim} \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \int_0^t \frac{\min\{t-s, s\}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1+t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}). \end{aligned} \quad (319)$$

3) We apply δ_h^z to both sides of (318) with $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - (\nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h, t)|_{z_0=z+h} - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z}) \right| \\
& \stackrel{(297)}{\lesssim} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z+h} (A(x+z') - A(x+z+h)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z} (A(x+z') - A(x+z)) \right| \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' ds \\
& := \int_0^t M(h, s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{320}$$

When $s \in [t - |h|^2, t]$, as (314) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
M(h, s) & \lesssim \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \sum_{i=0,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z-z'+ih|^2}{4(t-s)}) \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{4s})}{(t-s)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{321}$$

When $s \in [0, t - |h|^2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M(h, s) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z+h} - \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z+h} \right| \\
& \quad \times \min\{|z-z'|, 1\} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z+h} - \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z} \right| \\
& \quad \times \min\{|z-z'|, 1\} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla_z^2 G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h-z', t-s)|_{z_0=z+h} \right| |h| \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz'.
\end{aligned} \tag{322}$$

Since $|h|^2 \leq \min\{t-s, 1\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M(h, s) & \lesssim |h| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z-z'|^2}{t-s}) \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z'|^2}{s})}{(t-s)^{\frac{d+2}{2}} s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dz' \\
& \lesssim |h| \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \frac{\min\{t-s, s\}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{d+2}{2}} s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{323}$$

Combining this with (320) and (321), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - (\nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h, t)|_{z_0=z+h} - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t)|_{z_0=z}) \right| \\
& \lesssim \int_{t-|h|^2}^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds + \int_0^{t-|h|^2} |h| \exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t}) \frac{\min\{t-s, s\}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{d+2}{2}} s^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \\
& \lesssim |h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}) \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{324}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x, x+z, t) - \delta_h^z \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t) \Big|_{z_0=z} \right| \\
& \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) + \left| \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h, t) \Big|_{z_0=z+h} - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z+h, t) \Big|_{z_0=z} \right| \\
& \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|} \frac{\exp(-c_5 \frac{|z|^2}{8t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{325}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also obtain for any $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| H_L(x+z, x, t) - G_{A(x+z)}(z, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t}), \\
& \left| \nabla_z H_L(x+z, x, t) - \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t) \Big|_{z_0=z} \right| \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t}), \\
& \left| \delta_h^z \nabla_z H_L(x+z, x, t) - \delta_h^z \nabla_z G_{A(x+z_0)}(z, t) \Big|_{z_0=z} \right| \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|} \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. Thus, we obtain (309) and (310),(311). \square

PROPOSITION 9. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\left| (\nabla_x + \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}), \tag{326}$$

$$\left| (\delta_h^x \nabla_x + \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t) \right| \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|} \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right), \tag{327}$$

for any $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$ and $t > 0$. In particular, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h^x \nabla_x + \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t)| dy \lesssim \min\left\{ \frac{|h|}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, 1 \right\} \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}\right), \tag{328}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| dy \lesssim \frac{\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\}}{\sqrt{t}} + |h| \frac{\log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|})}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{329}$$

$$\sup_{x, y} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{|h|}\right) \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}}, \tag{330}$$

for any $|h| \leq 1, t > 0$.

PROOF. By (310) and (311), we have for $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t) - \nabla_x G_{A(z_0)}(x-y, t) \Big|_{z_0=x} \right| \\
& + \left| \nabla_y H_L(x, y, t) + \nabla_x G_{A(z_0)}(x-y, t) \Big|_{z_0=y} \right| \lesssim \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}), \tag{331}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t) - \delta_h^x \nabla_x G_{A(z_0)}(x-y, t) \Big|_{z_0=x} \right| \\
& + \left| \delta_h^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, t) + \delta_{-h}^x \nabla_x G_{A(z_0)}(x-y, t) \Big|_{z_0=y} \right| \lesssim |h| \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|} \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{332}
\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain (326) and (327). Moreover, we have for any $|h| \leq \sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h^x \nabla_x + \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t)| dy \stackrel{(327)}{\lesssim} \frac{|h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|})}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \quad (333)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| dy &\stackrel{(332)}{\lesssim} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|h|}{t^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}) + |h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}) \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dy \\ &\sim |h| \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|})}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (334)$$

$$\sup_{x, y} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| \stackrel{(332)}{\lesssim} \frac{|h|}{t^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} + |h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}) \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |h| \log(2 + \frac{1}{|h|}) \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}}; \quad (335)$$

when $\sqrt{\min\{t, 1\}}/10 \leq |h| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h^x \nabla_x + \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla_x + \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, t)| dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x H_L(x+h, y, t) + \nabla_y H_L(x, y-h, t)| dy \\ &\stackrel{(326)}{\lesssim} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}) dy \lesssim \log(2+t) \lesssim \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|}); \end{aligned} \quad (336)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| dy &\stackrel{(331)}{\lesssim} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t})}{t^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} + \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}) dy \\ &\sim \frac{\log(2+t)}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\}}{\sqrt{t}} + |h| \frac{\log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|h|})}{\min\{t, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \end{aligned} \quad (337)$$

$$\sup_{x, y} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} + \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim |h| \log(2 + \frac{1}{|h|}) \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^{\frac{d}{2}} \min\{t, 1\}}. \quad (338)$$

These imply (328), (329) and (330). \square

REMARK 10. *In view of Lemma 9 and Proposition 9, it follows that for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 + \alpha$, the inequality*

$$\sup_{s \in [0, 1]} s^{\frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{2}} \|\nabla e^{sL} v\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|v\|_{C^\beta} \quad (339)$$

holds.

We denote now

$$D(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+1}} dy. \quad (340)$$

LEMMA 10. *We have for any $h \neq 0$*

$$D(\delta_h u)(x) \geq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{-1} |h|^{-1} |\delta_h u(x)|^3. \quad (341)$$

PROOF. We recall from [12, 13]. For $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\delta_h u)(x) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta\left(\frac{|z|}{\lambda}\right) \frac{|\delta_h u(x)|^2}{|z|^{d+1}} dz - 2|\delta_h u(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_h u(x+z) \frac{\eta\left(\frac{|z|}{\lambda}\right)}{|z|^{d+1}} dz \right| \\ &\geq C|\delta_h u(x)|^2 \lambda^{-1} - 2|\delta_h u(x)| \|u\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\eta\left(\frac{|z|}{\lambda}\right)}{|z|^{d+1}} - \frac{\eta\left(\frac{|z-h|}{\lambda}\right)}{|z-h|^{d+1}} \right| dz \\ &\geq C|\delta_h u(x)|^2 \lambda^{-1} - C^{-1}|\delta_h u(x)| \|u\|_{L^\infty} |h| \lambda^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (342)$$

Here η is a cutoff function in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\eta = 0$ in $[0, 1]$ and $\eta = 1$ in $[2, \infty)$. This implies the result. \square

We also define $L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as the inverse operator of $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ given by

$$L^{-\frac{1}{2}}u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_L(x, y, s) u(y) dy ds. \quad (343)$$

LEMMA 11. *The following inequalities hold*

$$|\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}} |h|^{\frac{1}{3}} D(\delta_h v)(x)^{\frac{1}{3}} + |h| \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (344)$$

for any $|h| \lesssim 1$;

$$\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}) \log(2 + \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}), \quad (345)$$

and

$$\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|v\|_{C^\alpha} + \|v\|_{L^1}, \quad (346)$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

PROOF. 1) For $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, $|h| \lesssim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + \int_{\lambda^2}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, s)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \int_2^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h^x \nabla_x H_L(x, y, s)| |v(y)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (347)$$

In view of (332), (328) and (329), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim \int_2^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h| \log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{s}}{|h|}) \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}}} |v(y)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \lesssim |h| \log\left(\frac{2}{|h|}\right) \|v\|_{L^1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}}; \\ I_2 &\lesssim \int_{\lambda^2}^2 \left(\frac{\min\{\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{s}}, 1\}}{\sqrt{s}} + |h| \frac{\log(2 + \frac{\sqrt{s}}{|h|})}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{|h|}{\lambda} + |h| \log\left(\frac{2}{|h|}\right)^2 \right) \|v\|_{L^\infty}; \end{aligned} \quad (349)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + \int_0^{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\delta_h^x \nabla_x + \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, s)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)| |\delta_h v(y) - \delta_h v(x)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + \int_0^1 \min\left\{\frac{|h|}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}, 1\right\} \log\left(2 + \frac{\sqrt{s}}{|h|}\right) s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\lambda D(\delta_h v)(x)} + |h| \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (350)$$

here we have used the fact that

$$\int_0^{\lambda^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)|^2 |x - y|^{d+1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \lesssim \sqrt{\lambda}. \quad (351)$$

Therefore,

$$|\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| \lesssim \sqrt{\lambda D(\delta_h v)(x)} + \frac{|h|}{\lambda} \|v\|_{L^\infty} + |h| \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (352)$$

Choosing

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{|h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2}{D(\delta_h v)(x) + |h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (353)$$

to get (344).

2) We have for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + \int_0^{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla_x + \nabla_y) H_L(x, y, s)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \int_{\lambda^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x H_L(x, y, s)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \int_2^\infty \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x H_L(x, y, s)| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (354)$$

Using (326) and (331), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)| |v(y) - v(x)| dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + \log(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}) \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)| |x - y|^\alpha dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \log(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}) \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^\alpha \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \log(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}) \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (355)$$

This implies (345) by choosing $\lambda^\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}}$.

3) Now we prove (346). In (355), we take $\lambda^\alpha = \frac{\|v\|_{L^\infty}}{\|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}}$ to obtain

$$\|\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \log(2 + \frac{\|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}}{\|v\|_{L^\infty}}) \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \|v\|_{L^1}. \quad (356)$$

Moreover, in view of the proof of (352), we have

$$|\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(x)| \lesssim \int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds + |h| \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty},$$

for any $|h| \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \lesssim |h|^\alpha (\|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} + \|v\|_{L^1}), \quad (357)$$

for any $|h| \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Indeed, using (331) and (332), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{|h|^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_0^{|h|^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) (\delta_h v(y) - \delta_h v(x)) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{|h|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)| |y - x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |h|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{|h|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{s}) |y-x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |h|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{|h|^2} |h|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \sim |h|^\alpha \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}, \tag{358}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|h|^2}^1 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s) v(y) dy \right| s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \int_{|h|^2}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_{-h}^y \nabla_y H_L(x, y, s)| |x-y|^\alpha dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \\
& \lesssim \int_{|h|^2}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|h|}{s^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{s}) |x-y|^\alpha dy s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \\
& \lesssim \int_{|h|^2}^1 |h| s^{-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}} ds \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha} \sim |h|^\alpha \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}. \tag{359}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (357). \square

LEMMA 12. *We have for any $|h| \leq 1$,*

$$|h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h v(x)|^2 \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} (D(\delta_h v)(x) + |h|^2 \log(2/|h|)^6 \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2). \tag{360}$$

PROOF. In view of (341) and (344), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h v(x)|^2 \\
& \lesssim |h|^{-1} \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}} |h|^{\frac{1}{3}} D(\delta_h v)(x)^{\frac{1}{3}} + |h| \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) (\|v\|_{L^\infty} |h| D(\delta_h v)(x))^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} D(\delta_h v)(x) + |h|^{\frac{2}{3}} \log(2/|h|)^2 \|v\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|_{L^\infty} D(\delta_h v)(x)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} (D(\delta_h v)(x) + |h|^2 \log(2/|h|)^6 \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (360). \square

COROLLARY 5. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& |h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h(\eta v)(x)|^2 \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} D(\delta_h(\eta v))(x) + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta_h v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
& \quad + |h| (\|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} + (1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}) \log(2 + \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}) \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
& \quad + |h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \tag{361}
\end{aligned}$$

where η is a Lipschitz cutoff function such that $\eta = 1$ in $B(0, r_0)$ and $\eta = 0$ in $B(0, 2r_0)^c$ and $\text{supp } v \subseteq B(0, r_1)$ for some $r_1 \geq 4r_0$

PROOF. Since $|\delta_h(\eta v)(x) - \eta(x) \delta_h v(x)| \lesssim |h| \|v\|_{L^\infty}$, we have

$$|\eta(x) \delta_h v(x)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\delta_h(\eta v)(x)|^2 - C |h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2. \tag{362}$$

Thus,

$$\eta^2 |h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h v(x)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h(\eta v)(x)|^2 - C |h| |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (363)$$

Note that

$$\delta_z \delta_h(\eta v)(x) - \eta(x) \delta_z \delta_h v(x) = v(x+h) (\delta_z \delta_h \eta)(x) + (\delta_z \eta)(x) \delta_h v(x+z) + (\delta_h \eta)(x+z) (\delta_z v)(x+h), \quad (364)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^2 D(\delta_h v)(x) &\leq 2D(\delta_h(\eta v))(x) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v(x+h)^2 |\delta_z \delta_h \eta(x)|^2 \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+1}} \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_h v(x+z)|^2 |\delta_z \eta(x)|^2 \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+1}} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_z v(x+h)|^2 |\delta_h \eta(x+z)|^2 \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+1}} \\ &\leq 2D(\delta_h(\eta v))(x) + C \left(|h| \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\delta_h v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C |h|^2 \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (365)$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta_z v(x+h)|^2 \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+1}} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (366)$$

Combining (363), (365) with (360), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h|^{-1} |\delta_h \nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)| |\delta_h(\eta v)(x)|^2 &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} D(\delta_h(\eta v))(x) + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta_h v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\quad + |h| \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} + |h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} + |h| |\nabla L^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(345)}{\lesssim} \|v\|_{L^\infty} D(\delta_h(\eta v))(x) + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta_h v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + |h|^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\ &\quad + |h| (\|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty} + (1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}) \log(2 + \|v\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}) \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (367)$$

This implies (361). \square

LEMMA 13. *We denote*

$$Ju(x) := (L_y^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x)|_{y=x} - L^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x)). \quad (368)$$

The following inequalities hold

$$|J(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x+z) - u(x)|}{|z|^d (|z| + 1)} dz, \quad (369)$$

$$\|J\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{C^{\alpha_1 + \kappa}}, \quad (370)$$

for any $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $\kappa \in (0, 1 - \alpha_1)$. Moreover,

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (371)$$

PROOF. We have

$$J(x) = c_0 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_0(z, x, s) (u(x) - u(x+z)) dz s^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \quad (372)$$

with

$$J_0(z, x, s) := G_{A(x)}(z, s) - H_L(x, x+z, s). \quad (373)$$

In view of (309) and (326), we have for any $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|J_0(z, x, s)| \lesssim |G_{A(x+z)}(z, s) - G_{A(x)}(z, s)| + \frac{\exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (1+s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{s})}{s^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \quad (374)$$

and

$$|\nabla_x J_0(z, x, s)| \leq |\nabla_x(H_L(x, x+z, s))| + |\nabla_x(G_{A(x)}(z, s))| \lesssim \frac{\log(2+s)}{s^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{s}). \quad (375)$$

So, we obtain (369) and (371).

Now, we prove (370). By an interpolation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\|J_0(z, \cdot, s)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} \|u(\cdot) - u(\cdot+z)\|_{L^\infty} + \|J_0(z, \cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\cdot) - u(\cdot+z)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}}) dz s^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\|J_0(z, \cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\alpha_1} \|\nabla J_0(z, \cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\alpha_1} \min\{|z|, 1\}^{\alpha_1+\kappa} \\ &\quad + \|J_0(z, \cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} \min\{|z|, 1\}^\kappa) dz s^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \|u\|_{C^{\alpha_1+\kappa}}, \end{aligned} \quad (376)$$

for any $\kappa \in (0, 1 - \alpha_1)$.

Combining this with (374) and (375), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1}} &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}} \log(2+s)^{\alpha_1} \min\{|z|, 1\}^{\alpha_1+\kappa} \\ &\quad + \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\{|z|, 1\}^\kappa) \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{s}) dz s^{-\frac{3+d}{2}} ds \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha_1+\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \min\{s, 1\}^{\frac{1+\kappa}{2}} \log(2+s)^{\alpha_1} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \|u\|_{C^{\alpha_1+\kappa}} \sim \|u\|_{C^{\alpha_1+\kappa}}. \end{aligned} \quad (377)$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^\beta \exp(-c_0 \frac{|z|^2}{s}) dz = s^{\frac{d+\beta}{2}} \forall \beta > -d.$$

This implies (370). \square

LEMMA 14. *Let D be defined by (340). The following inequalities hold*

$$v(x) L^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x) - \frac{1}{2} L^{\frac{1}{2}} (v^2)(x) \geq cD(v)(x), \quad (378)$$

and

$$\left| (\delta_h L^{\frac{1}{2}} v)(x) - L^{\frac{1}{2}} (\delta_h v)(x) \right| \lesssim |h|^{1-\alpha} (\|u\|_{C^{1-\alpha+\kappa}} \|u\|_{C^{1-\alpha-\kappa}})^{\frac{1}{2}} + |h| (\|u\|_{C^{1+\kappa}} \|u\|_{C^{1-\kappa}})^{\frac{1}{2}} + |h|^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L^1}, \quad (379)$$

for any $|h| \leq 1$ and $0 < \kappa \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}$. In particular,

$$\left| (\delta_h L^{\frac{1}{2}} v)(x) - L^{\frac{1}{2}} (\delta_h v)(x) \right| \lesssim |h|^{1-\alpha} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}} + \|u\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (380)$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$.

PROOF. 1) Because $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_L(x, y, t) dy = 1$ for any $t > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty H_L(x, y, t) t^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt \stackrel{(296)}{\sim} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq y, \quad (381)$$

we have

$$v(x) L^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x) - \frac{1}{2} L^{\frac{1}{2}} (v^2)(x) = c \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_L(x, y, t) (v(x) - v(y))^2 t^{-\frac{3}{2}} dy dt \geq c' D(v)(x). \quad (382)$$

This implies (378).

2) Now we prove (379). Note that for any $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$I(x) := (\delta_h L^{\frac{1}{2}} v)(x) - L^{\frac{1}{2}} (\delta_h v)(x) = c \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)L} (\operatorname{div}(\delta_h A) \nabla) \tau_h(e^{-sL} v) ds t^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt, \quad (383)$$

where

$$\tau_h f(x) = f(x + h). \quad (384)$$

Using (298) and the fact that

$$\int_s^\infty \min\{t - s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt \lesssim \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (385)$$

$$\|(\delta_h A) \nabla \tau_h(e^{-sL} v)\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim |h|^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{L^\infty} + |h| \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{C^\alpha}, \quad (386)$$

$$\|\nabla e^{sL} v\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim s^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|v\|_{L^1} \quad \forall s \geq 1, \quad (387)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|I\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \min\{t - s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \|(\delta_h A) \nabla \tau_h(e^{-sL} v)\|_{C^\alpha} ds t^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \min\{s, 1\}^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|h|^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{L^\infty} + |h| \|\nabla e^{sL} u\|_{C^\alpha}) ds \\ &\lesssim |h|^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L^1} + \int_0^1 s^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} (|h|^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{L^\infty} + |h| \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{C^\alpha}) ds \\ &\lesssim |h|^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L^1} + |h|^{1-\alpha} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} s^{\frac{\alpha-\kappa}{2}} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} s^{\frac{\alpha+\kappa}{2}} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + |h| \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} s^{\frac{\alpha-\kappa}{2}} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{C^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} s^{\frac{\alpha+\kappa}{2}} \|\nabla e^{-sL} v\|_{C^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (388)$$

This implies (379) by using (339). Then, (380) follows from (379) and interpolation inequality. \square

Data availability statement. This research does not have any associated data.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments. The work of PC was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2106528 and by a Simons Collaboration Grant 601960. The work of MI was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2204614. Q.H.N. is supported by the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences startup fund; CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research, Grant No. YSBR-031; and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12288201); and the National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2021YFA1000800.

References

- [1] L.A. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. of Math.*, 171(3) (2010), 1903–1930.
- [2] X. Cabre, J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian, *Adv. Math.* 224 (2010), no. 5, 2052–2093.
- [3] K. Chen, R. Hu, Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Well-posedness for local and nonlocal quasilinear evolution equations in fluids and geometry, in preparation.
- [4] P. Constantin, Geometric statistics in turbulence, *SIAM Review* 36, (1) (1994). 73–98.
- [5] P. Constantin, M. Ignatova, Remarks on the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions and applications, *IMRN*, 2017, Issue 6, (2017), 1653–1673.
- [6] P. Constantin, M. Ignatova, Critical SQG in bounded domains, *Annals of PDE*, 2 (2016), no 8.
- [7] P. Constantin, M. Ignatova, Estimates near the boundary for critical SQG, *Annals of PDE* 6 (2020)

- [8] P. Constantin, M.-C. Lai, R. Sharma, Y.-H. Tseng, and J. Wu, New numerical results for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 50(1) (2012), 1–28.
- [9] P. Constantin, A.J. Majda, and E. Tabak, Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasigeostrophic thermal active scalar, *Nonlinearity*, 7(6) (1994), 1495–1533.
- [10] P. Constantin, H. Q. Nguyen, Global weak solutions for SQG in bounded domains, *Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 71 11, (2018), 2323-2333.
- [11] P. Constantin, H. Q. Nguyen, Local and global strong solutions for SQG in bounded domains. *Physica D*, 376-378 (2018), 195-203.
- [12] P. Constantin, V. Vicol, Nonlinear maximum principles for dissipative linear nonlocal operators and applications, *GAFSA* 22 (2012) 1289-1321.
- [13] P. Constantin, A. Tarfulea, V. Vicol, Long time dynamics of forced critical SQG, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 335 (2015), no. 1, 93-141.
- [14] P. Constantin and J. Wu, Regularity of Hölder continuous solutions of the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 25(6) (2008) 1103–1110.
- [15] D. Córdoba, Nonexistence of simple hyperbolic blow-up for the quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(3) (1998) 1135–1152.
- [16] E.B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, by E. B. Davies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [17] I.M. Held, R.T. Pierrehumbert, S.T. Garner, and K.L. Swanson, Surface quasi-geostrophic dynamics, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 282 (1995), 1–20.
- [18] M. Ignatova, Construction of solutions of the critical SQG equation in bounded domains, *Advances in Mathematics*, 351 (2019), 1000–1023.
- [19] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Providence, AMS (1968).
- [20] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, and A. Volberg, Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Invent. Math.*, 167(3) (2007), 445–453.
- [21] L. Silvestre, V. Vicol, and A. Zlatoš, On the Loss of Continuity for Super-Critical Drift-Diffusion Equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 207(3) (2013) 845–877.
- [22] L. F. Stokols, A. F. Vasseur, Hölder regularity up to the boundary for critical SQG on bounded domains, arXiv:1906.00251v1 [math.AP], 1 Jun (2019).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544
Email address: const@math.princeton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122
Email address: ignatova@temple.edu

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE - CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Email address: qhnguyen@amss.ac.cn