RIGID LOCAL SYSTEMS AND ALTERNATING GROUPS

ROBERT GURALNICK, NICHOLAS M. KATZ, PHAM HUU TIEP

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The local systems in general	2
3.	The candidate local systems for $Alt(2q)$	4
4.	Basic facts about \mathcal{H}_n	5
5.	basic facts about \mathcal{H}_{2q-1}	6
6.	Basic facts about the group G_{geom} for $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$	7
7.	The third moment of $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$ and of $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$	8
8.	Exact determination of G_{arith}	11
9.	Identifying the group	12
Ref	References	

1. INTRODUCTION

In earlier work [Ka-RLSFM], Katz exhibited some very simple one parameter families of exponential sums which gave rigid local systems on the affine line in characteristic p whose geometric (and usually, arithmetic) monodromy groups were $SL_2(q)$, and he exhibited other such very simple families giving $SU_3(q)$. [Here q is a power of the characteristic p, and p is odd.] In this paper, we exhibit equally simple families whose geometric monodromy groups are the alternating groups Alt(2q). We also determine their arithmetic monodromy groups. See Theorem 3.1. [Of course from the resolution [Ray] of the Abhyankar Conjecture, any finite simple group whose order is divisible by p will occur as the geometric monodromy group of some local system on $\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$; the interest here is that it occurs in our particularly simple local systems.]

In the earlier work of Katz, he used a theorem to Kubert to know that the monodromy groups in question were finite, then work of Gross [Gross] to determine which finite groups they were. Here we do not

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1600056 and the third author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1665014. The first author would also like to thank the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton for its support.

have, at present, any direct way of showing this finiteness. Rather, the situation is more complicated and more interesting. Using some basic information about these local systems (cf. Theorem 6.1), the first and third authors prove a fundamental dichotomy: the geometric monodromy group is either Alt(2q) or it is the special orthogonal group SO(2q-1). The second author uses an elementary polynomial identity to compute the third moment as being 1 (cf. Theorem 7.1), which rules out the SO(2q-1) case. This roundabout method establishes the theorem. It would be interesting to find a "direct" proof that these local systems have integer (rather than rational) traces; this integrality is in fact equivalent to their monodromy groups being finite, cf. [Ka-ESDE, 8.14.6]. But even if one had such a direct proof, it would still require serious group theory to show that their geometric monodromy groups are the alternating groups.

2. The local systems in general

Throughout this paper, p is an odd prime, q is a power of p, k is a finite field of characteristic p, ℓ is a prime $\neq p$,

$$\psi = \psi_k : (k, +) \to \mu_p \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\flat}$$

is a nontrivial additive character of k, and

$$\chi_2 = \chi_{2,k} : k^{\times} \to \pm 1 \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}^{\times}$$

is the quadratic character, extended to k by $\chi_2(0) := 0$. For L/k a finite extension, we have the nontrivial additive character

$$\psi_{L/k} := \psi_k \circ \operatorname{Trace}_{L/k}$$

of L, and the quadratic character $\chi_{2,L} = \chi_{2,k} \circ \operatorname{Norm}_{L/k}$ of L^{\times} , extended to L by $\chi_{2,L}(0) = 0$.

On the affine line \mathbb{A}^1/k , we have the Artin-Schreier sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(x)}$. On \mathbb{G}_m/k we have the Kummer sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2(x)}$ and its extension by zero $j_!\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2(x)}$ (for $j:\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ the inclusion) on \mathbb{A}^1/k .

For an odd integer n = 2d + 1 which is prime to p, we have the rigid local system

$$\mathcal{F}(k,n,\psi) := FT_{\psi}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(x^n)} \otimes j_! \mathcal{L}_{\chi_2(x)})$$

on \mathbb{A}^1/k . Let us recall the basic facts about it, cf. [Ka-NG2, 1.3 and 1.4].

It is lisse of rank n, pure of weight one, and orthogonally self dual, with its geometric monodromy group

$$G_{geom} \subset \mathrm{SO}(n, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}).$$

Recall that G_{geom} is the Zariski closure in $SO(n, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}})$ of the image of the geometric fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k})$ in the representation which "is" the local system $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$. For ease of later reference, we recall the following fundamental fact.

Lemma 2.1. For any lisse local system on $\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k}$, the subgroup Γ_p of its G_{geom} generated by elements of p-power order is Zariski dense.

Proof. Denote by N the Zariski closure of Γ_p . Then N is a normal subgroup of G_{geom} . We must show that the quotient $M := G_{geom}/N$ is trivial. We first note that M/M^0 is trivial, as it is a finite, prime to p quotient of $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k})$. Thus M is connected. We next show that $M^{red} := M/\mathcal{R}_u$, the quotient of M by its unipotent radical, is trivial. For this, it suffices to show that M has no nontrivial irreducible representations. But any such representation is a local system on $\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k}$ which is tamely ramified at ∞ , so is trivial. Thus M is unipotent. But $H^1(\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ vanishes, so any unipotent local system on $\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{k}$ is trivial, and hence M is trivial.

Let us denote by $A(k, n, \psi)$ the Gauss sum

$$A(k, n, \psi) := -\chi_2(n(-1)^d) \sum_{x \in k^{\times}} \psi(x)\chi_2(x).$$

By the Hasse-Davenport relation, for L/k an extension of degree d, we have

$$A(L, n, \psi_{L/k}) = (A(k, n, \psi))^d.$$

The twisted local system

$$\mathcal{G}(k, n, \psi) := \mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi) \otimes A(n, k, \psi)^{-deg}$$

is pure of weight zero and has

$$G_{geom} \subset G_{arith} \subset \mathrm{SO}(n, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}).$$

Concretely, for L/k a finite extension, and $t \in L$, the trace at time t of $\mathcal{G}(k, n, \psi)$ is

$$\operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{t,L}|\mathcal{G}(k,n,\psi)) = -(1/A(L,n,\psi_{L/k})) \sum_{x \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(x^{n}+tx)\chi_{2,L}(x) =$$
$$= -(1/A(L,n,\psi_{L/k})) \sum_{x \in L} \psi_{L/k}(x^{n}+tx)\chi_{2,L}(x),$$

the last equality because the χ_2 factor kills the x = 0 term.

Let us recall also [Ka-NG2, 3.4] that the geometric monodromy group of $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$, or equivalently of $\mathcal{G}(k, n, \psi)$, is independent of the choice of the pair (k, ψ) . To end this section, let us recall the relation of the local system $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$ to the hypergeometric sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \mathcal{H}(!, \psi; \text{all char's of order dividing } n; \chi_2).$$

According to [Ka-ESDE, 9.2.2], $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)|\mathbb{G}_m$ is geometrically isomorphic to a multiplicative translate of the Kummer pullback $[n]^*\mathcal{H}_n$. [An explicit descent of $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)|\mathbb{G}_m$ through the *n*'th power map is given by the lisse sheaf on \mathbb{G}_m whose trace function at time $t \in L^{\times}$, for L/k a finite extension, is

$$t \mapsto -\sum_{x \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(x^n/t + x)\chi_{2,L}(x/t).$$

The structure theory of hypergeometric sheaves show that this descent is, geometrically, a multiplicative translate of the asserted \mathcal{H}_n .]

3. The candidate local systems for Alt(2q)

In this section, we specialize the n of the previous section to

$$n = 2q - 1 = 2(q - 1) + 1.$$

The target theorem is this.

Theorem 3.1. Let p be an odd prime, q a power of p, k a finite field of characteristic p, ℓ a prime $\neq p$, and ψ a nontrivial additive character of k. For the ℓ -adic local system $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q - 1, \psi)$ on \mathbb{A}^1/k , its geometric and arithmetic monodromy groups are given as follows.

- (1) $G_{geom} = \text{Alt}(2q)$ in its unique irreducible representation of dimension 2q - 1.
- (2a) If -1 is a square in k, then $G_{geom} = G_{arith} = Alt(2q)$.
- (2b) If -1 is not a square in k, then $G_{arith} = \text{Sym}(2q)$, the symmetric group, in its irreducible representation labeled by the partition $(2, 1^{2q-2})$, i.e.

(the deleted permutation representation of $\text{Sym}(2q) \otimes \text{sgn.}$

Remark 3.2. The traces of elements of Alt(n) (respectively of Sym(n)) in its deleted permutation representation (respectively in every irreducible representation) are integers. One sees easily (look at the action of $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$) that the local system $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$ has traces which all lie in \mathbb{Q} , but as mentioned in the introduction, we do not know a direct proof that these traces all lie in \mathbb{Z} .

4

4. Basic facts about \mathcal{H}_n

In this section, we assume that $n \geq 3$ is odd and that n(n-1) is prime to p. The geometric local monodromy at 0 is tame, and a topological generator of the tame inertia group $I(0)^{tame}$, acting on \mathcal{H}_n , has as eigenvalues all the roots of unity of order dividing n.

The geometric local monodromy at ∞ is the direct sum

 $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2} \oplus W$; W has rank n-1, and all slopes 1/(n-1).

Because n is odd, the local system \mathcal{H}_n is (geometrically) orthogonally self dual, and det(\mathcal{H}_n) is geometrically trivial (because trivial at 0, lisse on \mathbb{G}_m , and all ∞ slopes are $\leq 1/(n-1) < 1$). Therefore det(W) is geometrically \mathcal{L}_{χ_2} . From [Ka-ESDE, 8.6.4 and 8.7.2], we see that up to multiplicative translation, the geometric isomorphism class is determined entirely by its rank n-1 and its determinant \mathcal{L}_{χ_2} . Because n-1 is even and prime to p, it follows that up to multiplicative translation, the geometric isomorphism class of W is that of the $I(\infty)$ -representation of the Kloosterman sheaf

 $Kl_{n-1} := Kl(\psi; \text{ all char's of order dividing } n-1).$

By [Ka-GKM, 5.6.1], we have a global Kummer direct image geometric isomorphism

$$Kl_{n-1} \cong [n-1]_{\star} \mathcal{L}_{\psi_{n-1}},$$

where we write ψ_{n-1} for the additive character $x \mapsto \psi((n-1)x)$. Therefore, up to multiplicative translation, the geometric isomorphism class of W is that of $[n-1]_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$. Pulling back by [n-1], which does not change the restriction of W to the wild inertia group $P(\infty)$, we get

$$[n-1]^*W \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu_{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\zeta x)}$$

A further pullback by n'th power, which also does not change the restriction of W to $P(\infty)$, gives

$$[n-1]^{\star}[n]^{\star}W \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu_{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\zeta x^n)}.$$

Thus we find that the $I(\infty)$ representation attached to a multiplicative translate of $[n-1]^* \mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$ is the direct sum

$$\mathbb{1} \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu_{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\zeta x^n)} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\alpha x^n)}.$$

This description shows that the image of $P(\infty)$ in the $I(\infty)$ -representation attached to $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$ is an abelian group killed by p.

Lemma 4.1. Let L/\mathbb{F}_p be a finite extension which contains the n-1'st roots of unity. Denote by $V \subset L$ the additive subgroup of L spanned by the n-1'st roots of unity. Denote by V^* the Poincaré dual of V:

$$V^{\star} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V, \mu_p(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})).$$

Then the image of $P(\infty)$ in the $I(\infty)$ -representation attached to $\mathcal{F}(k, n, \psi)$ is V^* , and the representation restricted to V^* is the direct sum of

 $\mathbb{1} \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu_{n-1}(L)} (\text{evaluation at } \zeta) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}} (\text{evaluation at } \alpha).$

Proof. Each of the characters $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\alpha x^n)}$ of $I(\infty)$ has order dividing p. Given an *n*-tuple of elements $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}}$, consider the character

$$\Lambda := \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}} (\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\alpha x^n)})^{\otimes a_{\alpha}} =$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{\psi((\sum_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}} a_{\alpha} \alpha) x^n)}.$$

The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) $\sum_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}} a_{\alpha} \alpha = 0.$

(2) The character Λ is trivial on $I(\infty)$.

(3) The character Λ is trivial on $P(\infty)$.

Indeed, it is obvious that (1) \implies (2) \implies (3). If (3) holds, then for $A := \sum_{\alpha \in \mu_{n-1}(L) \cup \{0\}} a_{\alpha} \alpha$, we have that $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(Ax)}$ is trivial on $P(\infty)$, so is a character of $I(\infty)/P(\infty) = I(\infty)^{tame}$, a group of order prime to p. But $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(Ax)}$ has order dividing p, so is trivial on $I(\infty)$, hence A = 0.

This equivalence shows that the character group of the image of $P(\infty)$ is indeed the \mathbb{F}_p span of the α 's, i.e., it is V. The rest is just Poincaré duality of finite abelian groups.

5. BASIC FACTS ABOUT \mathcal{H}_{2q-1}

Taking n = 2q - 1, the geometric local monodromy at 0 of \mathcal{H}_{2q-1} is tame, and a topological generator of the tame inertia group $I(0)^{tame}$, acting on \mathcal{H}_n , has as eigenvalues all the roots of unity of order dividing 2q - 1.

Turning now to the action of $P(\infty)$, we have

Lemma 5.1. Denote by $\zeta_{2q-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ a primitive 2q-2 'th root of unity. In the $I(\infty)$ -representation attached to $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$, the character group V of the image of $P(\infty)$ is the \mathbb{F}_p -space

$$V = \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \zeta_{2q-2} \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Fix a nontrivial additive character ψ_0 of \mathbb{F}_q , and denote by ψ_1 the nontrivial additive character of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} given by

$$\psi_1 := \psi_0 \circ \operatorname{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}.$$

Then the image V^* of $P(\infty)$ is itself isomorphic to V, and the representation of $P(\infty)$ is the direct sum of the characters

$$\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_1(\alpha x) \bigoplus \oplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \psi_1(\zeta_{2q-2}\beta x).$$

Proof. When n = 2q - 1, then n - 1 = 2(q - 1). The field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} contains the 2(q - 1)'th roots of unity. The group $\mu_{2(q-1)}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ contains the subgroup $\mu_{q-1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ with index 2, the other coset being $\zeta_{2(q-1)}\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$. Thus the \mathbb{F}_p span of $\mu_{2(q-1)}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ inside the additive group of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is indeed the asserted V. The characters $\psi_1(\alpha x)$, as α varies over \mathbb{F}_q , are each trivial on $\zeta_{2q-2}\mathbb{F}_q$ (because $\operatorname{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\zeta_{2q-2}) = 0$) and give all the additive characters of \mathbb{F}_q (on which $\operatorname{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}$ is simply the map $x \mapsto 2x$). The characters $\psi_1(\zeta_{2q-2}\beta x)$, as β varies over \mathbb{F}_q , are trivial on \mathbb{F}_q (because $\operatorname{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\zeta_{2q-2}) = 0$) and give all the characters of $\zeta_{2q-2}\mathbb{F}_q$ (because $\zeta_{2(q-1)}^2$ lies in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}).

Corollary 5.2. The image of $P(\infty)$ in the $I(\infty)$ -representation attached to

$$\mathcal{F}(k,2q-1,\psi)\oplus \mathbb{1}$$

is the direct sum

$$V = \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \zeta_{2q-2} \mathbb{F}_q$$

acting through the representation

$$\operatorname{Reg}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \oplus \operatorname{Reg}_{\zeta_{2q-2}\mathbb{F}_q}.$$

6. Basic facts about the group G_{geom} for $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q - 1, \psi)$

Recall that G_{geom} is the Zariski closure in $SO(2q-1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}})$ of the image of $\pi_1^{geom} := \pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1/\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ in the representation attached to $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$. Thus G_{geom} is an irreducible subgroup of $SO(2q-1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}})$.

Theorem 6.1. We have the following two results.

- (1) G_{geom} is normalized by an element of $SO(2q 1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}})$ whose eigenvalues are all the roots of unity of order dividing 2q 1 in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$.
- (2) G_{geom} contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$, acting through the virtual representation

$$\operatorname{Reg}_{\operatorname{first}} \oplus \operatorname{Reg}_{\operatorname{second}} - \mathbb{1}.$$

Proof. The local system $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q - 1, \psi)$ is, geometrically, a multiplicative translate of the Kummer pullback $[2q-1]^*\mathcal{H}_{2q-1}$. Therefore G_{geom} is a normal subgroup of the group G_{geom} for \mathcal{H}_{2q-1} , so is normalized by any element of this possibly larger group. As already noted, local monodromy at 0 for \mathcal{H}_{2q-1} is an element of the asserted type. This proves (1). Statement (2) is just a repeating of what was proved in the previous lemma. $\hfill \Box$

7. The third moment of $\mathcal{F}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$ and of $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$

Let us recall the general set up. We are given a lisse \mathcal{G} on a lisse, geometrically connected curve C/k. We suppose that \mathcal{G} is ι -pure of weight zero, for an embedding ι of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ into \mathbb{C} . We denote by V the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ representation given by \mathcal{G} , and by G_{geom} the Zariski closure in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of the image of $\pi_1^{geom}(C/k)$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, the *n*'th moment of \mathcal{G} is the dimension of the space of invariants

$$M_n(\mathcal{G}) := \dim((V^{\otimes n})^{G_{geom}})$$

Recall [Ka-MMP, 1.17.4] that we have an archimedean limit formula for $M_n(\mathcal{G})$ as the lim sup over finite extensions L/k of the sums

$$(1/\#L)\sum_{t\in C(L)} (\operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{t,L}|\mathcal{G}))^n,$$

which we call the empirical moments.

Theorem 7.1. For the lisse sheaf $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q - 1, \psi)$ on \mathbb{A}^1/k , we have

$$M_3(\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)) = 1.$$

Proof. Fix a finite extension L/k. For $t \in L$, we have

Trace(
$$Frob_{t,L}|\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$$
) = $(-1/A(L, 2q-1, \psi_{L/k}))\sum_{x \in L} \psi_{L/k}(x^{2q-1}+tx)\chi_{2,L}(x)$

with the twisting factor given explicitly as

$$A(L, 2q - 1, \psi_{L/k}) = -\chi_{2,L}(-1) \sum_{x \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(x) \chi_{2,L}(x).$$

Write g_L for the Gauss sum

$$g_L := \sum_{x \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(x) \chi_{2,L}(x).$$

Then the empirical M_3 is the sum

$$(1/\#L)(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{t \in L} \sum_{x,y,z \in L} (\psi_{L/k}(x^{2q-1}+y^{2q-1}+z^{2q-1}+t(x+y+z))\chi_{2,L}(xyz) =$$

= $(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{x,y,z \in L, x+y+z=0} \psi_{L/k}(x^{2q-1}+y^{2q-1}+z^{2q-1})\chi_{2,L}(xyz) =$
= $(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{x,y \in L} \psi_{L/k}(x^{2q-1}+y^{2q-1}+(-x-y)^{2q-1})\chi_{2,L}(xy(-x-y)).$

The key is now the following identity.

Lemma 7.2. In $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$, we have the identity

$$x^{2q-1} + y^{2q-1} + (-x-y)^{2q-1} = xy(x+y) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,-1\}} (x-\alpha y)^2.$$

If we write $q = p^{f}$, then collecting Galois-conjugate terms this is

$$xy(x+y)\prod_{h\in\mathcal{P}_f}h(x,y)^2,$$

where \mathcal{P}_f is the set of irreducible $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$ which are homogeneous of degree dividing f, monic in x, other than x or x + y.

Proof. Because $x^{2q-1} + y^{2q-1} + (-x - y)^{2q-1}$ is homogeneous of odd degree 2q - 1 and visibly divisible by y, it suffices to prove the inhomogenous identity, that in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ we have

$$x^{2q-1} + 1 - (x+1)^{2q-1} = x(x+1) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,-1\}} (x-\alpha)^2.$$

The left side

$$P(x) := x^{2q-1} + 1 - (x+1)^{2q-1}$$

has degree 2q.

So it suffices to show that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, -1\}, P(x)$ is divisible by $(x - \alpha)^2$. The key point is that for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$\beta^{2q-1} = \beta$$

and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ we have

$$\alpha^{2q-2} = 1$$

Thus for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we trivially have $P(\beta) = 0$. The derivative P'(x) is equal to

$$P'(x) = -x^{2q-2} + (x+1)^{2q-2}.$$
 So if both α and $\alpha + 1$ lie in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} , then $P'(\alpha) = -1 + 1 = 0$.

With this identity in hand, we now return to the calculation of the empirical moment, which is now

$$(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{x,y \in L} \psi_{L/k}(xy(x+y) \prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x,y)^2) \chi_{2,L}(xy(-x-y)).$$

The set of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(L)$ at which $\prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x, y) = 0$ has cardinality at most (q-2)(#L-1). So the empirical sum differs from the modified empirical sum

$$(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{x,y \in L} \psi_{L/k}(xy(x+y) \prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x,y)^2) \chi_{2,L}(xy(-x-y) \prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x,y))$$

by a difference which is

 $(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3$ (a sum of at most (q-2)(#L-1) terms, each of absolute value 1).

So the difference in absolute value is at most $q/\sqrt{(\#L)}$, which tends to zero as L grows (remember q is fixed). The modified empirical sum we now rewrite as

$$(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{t \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(t)\chi_{2,L}(-t)N_L(t),$$

with $N_L(t)$ the number of L-points on the curve \mathcal{C}_t given by

$$\mathcal{C}_t : xy(x+y) \prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x,y)^2 = t.$$

Because $xy(x+y) \prod_{h \in \mathcal{P}_f} h(x, y)^2$ is homogeneous of degree 2q-1 prime to p and is not a d'th power for any $d \geq 2$, the curves \mathcal{C}_t are smooth and geometrically irreducible for all $t \neq 0$, cf. [Ka-PES, proof of 6.5]. Moreover, by the homogeneity, these curves are each geometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{C}_1 , indeed the family become constant after the tame Kummer pullback $[2q-1]^*$. Thus for the structural map $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{F}_p$, $R^2\pi_!(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1), R^1\pi_!\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is lisse of some rank r, tame at both 0 and ∞ , and mixed of weight ≤ 1 , and all other $R^i\pi_!(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$.

So our modified empirical moment is

$$(\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{t \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(t) \chi_{2,L}(-t) (\#L - \operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{t,L}|R^1\pi_!\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \\ = (\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{t \in L^{\times}} \psi_{L/k}(t) \chi_{2,L}(-t) (\#L) \\ - (\chi_{2,L}(-1)/g_L)^3 \sum_{t \in L^{\times}} \operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{t,L}|\mathcal{L}_{\chi(t)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi_2(t)} \otimes R^1\pi_!\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Remembering that $g_L^2 = \chi_{2,L}(-1)$, we see that the first sum is $\chi_{2,L}(-1)$. We now show that the second sum is $O(1/\sqrt{\#L})$, or equivalently that the sum

$$\sum_{t \in L^{\times}} \operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{t,L} | \mathcal{L}_{\psi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi_2} \otimes R^1 \pi_! \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$$

is O(#L). By the Lefschetz trace formula [Gro-FL], the second sum is

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{L}|H^{2}_{c}(\mathbb{G}_{m}/\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}},\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{2}}\otimes R^{1}\pi_{!}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\\ &-\operatorname{Trace}(Frob_{L}|H^{1}_{c}(\mathbb{G}_{m}/\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}},\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi_{2}}\otimes R^{1}\pi_{!}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\end{aligned}$$

The H_c^2 group vanishes, because the coefficient sheaf is totally wild at ∞ (this because it is \mathcal{L}_{ψ} tensored with a lisse sheaf which is tame at ∞). The second sum is O(#L), by Deligne's fundamental estimate [De-Weil II, 3.3.1] (because the coefficient sheaf of mixed of weight ≤ 1 , so its H_c^1 is mixed of weight ≤ 2).

Thus the empirical moment is $\chi_{2,L}(-1)$ plus an error term which, as L grows, is $O(1/\sqrt{\#L})$. So the lim sup is 1, as asserted.

8. EXACT DETERMINATION OF G_{arith}

Theorem 8.1. Suppose known that $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q-1, \psi)$ has $G_{geom} = \operatorname{Alt}(2q)$. Then its G_{arith} is as asserted in Theorem 3.1, namely it is $\operatorname{Alt}(2q)$ if -1 is a square in k, and is $\operatorname{Sym}(2q)$ if -1 is not a square in k.

Proof. For q > 3, the outer automorphism group of Alt(2q) has order 2, induced by the conjugation action of Sym(2q). Therefore the normalizer of Alt(2q) in SO(2q - 1) (viewed there by its deleted permutation representation) is the group Sym(2q) (viewed in SO(2q - 1) by (deleted permutation representation) \otimes sgn). If q = 3, the automoprhism group is slightly bigger but the stabilizer of the character of the deleted permutation module is just Sym(2q). [Indeed, either of the exotic automorphisms of Alt(6) maps the cycle (123) to an element which in Sym(6) is conjugate to (123)(456). The element (123) has trace 2, whereas (123)(456) has trace -1 (both viewed in SO(5) by the deleted permutation representation)]. Since we have a priori inclusions

$$G_{geom} = \operatorname{Alt}(2q) \triangleleft G_{arith} \subset \operatorname{SO}(2q-1),$$

the only choices for G_{arith} are Alt(2q) or Sym(2q).

Denoting by V the representation of G_{arith} given by $\mathcal{G}(k, 2q - 1, \psi)$, the action of G_{arith} on line

$$\mathbf{L} := (V^{\otimes 3})^{G_{geom}}$$

is a character of G_{arith}/G_{geom} . We claim that this character is the sign character sgn of $G_{arith} \subset \text{Sym}(2q)$. To see this, we argue as follows.

For any $n \geq 3$, denoting by V_n the deleted permutation representation of Sym(n+1), one knows that

$$(V_n^{\otimes 3})^{\operatorname{Sym}(n+1)} = (V_n^{\otimes 3})^{\operatorname{Alt}(n+1)}$$

is one dimensional, [Indeed, if S^{λ} denotes the complex irreducible representation of Sym(n+1) labeled by the partition $\lambda \vdash (n+1)$, then $V_n = S^{(n,1)}$ and $\text{sgn} = S^{(1^{n+1})}$. An application of the Littlewood-Richardson rule to

$$S^{\lambda} \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Sym}(n)}^{\operatorname{Sym}(n+1)}(S^{(n)}) = S^{\lambda} \oplus (S^{\lambda} \otimes V_n)$$

yields

$$V_n \otimes V_n = S^{(n+1)} \oplus S^{(n,1)} \oplus S^{(n-1,2)} \oplus S^{(n-1,1^2)}$$

see [FH, Exercise 4.19]. Further similar applications of the Littlewood-Richardson rule then show that $V_n \otimes V_n \otimes V_n$ contains the trivial representation $S^{(n+1)}$ once but does not contain sgn.] Hence that the action of Sym(n + 1) on

$$((V_n \otimes \operatorname{sgn})^{\otimes 3})^{\operatorname{Alt}(n+1)}$$

is $\operatorname{sgn}^3 = \operatorname{sgn}$. Taking n = 2q - 1, we get the claim.

Now apply Deligne's equidistribution theorem, in the form [Ka-Sar, 9.7.10]. It tells us that if G_{arith}/G_{geom} has order 2 instead of 1, then the Frobenii $Frob_{t,L}$ as L runs over larger and larger extensions of k of even (respectively odd) degree become equidistribued in the conjugacy classes of G_{arith} lying in G_{geom} (respectively lying in the other coset $G_{arith} \setminus G_{geom}$). Then as L/k runs over finite extensions of odd degree, the empirical third moment will be $-1 + O(1/\sqrt{\#L})$. On the other hand, if $G_{arith} = G_{geom}$, then every empirical moment will be $1 + O(1/\sqrt{\#L})$.

9. Identifying the group

In this section, we use the information obtained earlier to identify the group. We choose a field embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, so that we may view $G := G_{geom}$ as an algebraic group over \mathbb{C} .

So let p be an odd prime with q a power of p. We start by assuming that G is an irreducible, Zariski closed subgroup of $SO(2q - 1, \mathbb{C}) =$ SO(V) such that G contains Q, an elementary abelian subgroup of order q^2 . Moreover, we assume that we may write $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$ so that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ where V_0 is a trivial Q-module, $V_0 \oplus V_i$ is the regular representation for Q_i and Q_i acts trivially on the other summand. Moreover, we assume that G is a quasi-p group (in the sense that the subgroup generated by its p-elements is Zariski dense), cf. Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 9.1. V is tensor indecomposable for Q_1 . More precisely, $V \neq X_1 \otimes X_2$ where the X_i are Q_1 -modules each of dimension ≥ 2 .

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose $V = X_1 \otimes X_2$ with each X_i of (necessarily odd) dimensional ≥ 2 . Let χ_{X_i} be the character of Q_1 on X_i . So $\chi_{X_1} = a_0 \mathbb{1} + \sum a_{\chi} \chi$ and $\chi_{X_2} = b_0 \mathbb{1} + \sum b_{\chi} \chi$ where the χ are the nontrivial characters of Q_1 .

We first reduce to the case when both a_0, b_0 are nonzero. The multiplicity of the trivial character of Q_1 in V is q, so we have

$$q = a_0 b_0 + \sum_{\chi} a_{\chi} b_{\overline{\chi}}.$$

So either a_0b_0 is nonzero, and we are done, or for some nontrivial χ we have $a_{\chi}b_{\overline{\chi}}$ nonzero. In this latter case, replace X_1 by $X_1 \otimes \overline{\chi}$ and X_2 by $X_2 \otimes \chi$.

Since each nontrivial character χ of Q_1 occur exactly once in V, for each such χ we have

$$1 = a_0 b_{\chi} + a_{\chi} b_0 + \sum_{\rho \neq \chi} a_{\rho} b_{\chi \overline{\rho}}.$$

In particular we have the inequalities

$$a_0 b_{\chi} \leq 1, \quad a_{\chi} b_0 \leq 1.$$

Because a_0, b_0 are both nonzero, we infer that if $a_{\chi} \neq 0$, then $a_{\chi} = b_0 = 1$ (respectively that if $b_{\chi} \neq 0$, then $a_0 = b_{\chi} = 1$). It cannot be the case that all a_{χ} vanish, otherwise X_1 is the trivial module of dimension > 1. This is impossible so long as X_2 is nontrivial, as each nontrivial character of Q_1 occurs in V exactly once. But if all a_{χ} and all b_{χ} vanish, then V is the trivial Q_1 module, which it is not. Therefore $a_0 = 1$ and, similarly, $b_0 = 1$, and all a_{χ}, b_{χ} are either 0 or 1. Now use again that the multiplicity of the trivial character of Q_1 in V is q, so we have

$$q = a_0 b_0 + \sum_{\chi} a_{\chi} b_{\overline{\chi}}.$$

This is possible only if all a_{χ} and all b_{χ} are 1. But then each X_i has dimension q, which is impossible, as the product of their dimensions is 2q-1.

Lemma 9.2. The following statements hold for G.

(1) G preserves no nontrivial orthogonal decomposition of V.

(2) V is not tensor induced for G.

Proof. We first prove (1). We argue by contradiction. Suppose that $V = W_1 \perp \ldots \perp W_r$ with r > 1. Because G acts irreducibly, G transitively permutes the W_i , and all the W_i have the same odd dimension d (because 2q - 1 = rd). Since r divides 2q - 1, gcd(r, p) = 1, so the p-group Q fixes at least one of the W_i , say W_1 . Because r > 1, there are other orbits of Q on the set of blocks. Any of these has cardinality some power of p, so the corresponding direct sum of W_i 's has odd dimension. As 2q - 1 is odd, there must be evenly many other orbits, so at least three orbits in total. In each Q-stable odd-dimensional orthogonal space, Q lies in a maximal torus of the corresponding SO group, so has a fixed line. Hence dim $V^Q \geq 3$, contradiction.

We next show that V is not tensor induced. We argue by contradiction. If V is tensor induced, write $V = W \otimes \ldots \otimes W$ (with $f \ge 2$ tensor factors, dim $W < \dim V$). Then Q_1 must act transitively on the set of tensor factors (otherwise the representation for Q_1 is tensor decomposable and the previous lemma gives a contradiction).

So by Jordan's theorem, there exists an element $y \in Q_1$ that acts fixed point freely on the set of the f tensor factors. All such elements are conjugate in $GL(W) \wr Sym(f)$ and we have

$$\chi_V(y) = (\dim W)^{f/p}.$$

[Indeed, after replacing y by a $\operatorname{GL}(W) \wr \operatorname{Sym}(f)$ -conjugate, the situation is this. Each orbit of y on the set of tensor factors has length p, and yacts on each corresponding p-fold self product of W, indexed by \mathbb{F}_p , by mapping $\otimes_i w_i$ to $\otimes_i w_{i+1}$. In terms of a basis $B := \{e_j\}_{j=1,\dots,\dim W}$ of W, the only diagonal entries of the matrix of y on this $W^{\otimes p}$ are given by the dim W vectors $e \otimes e \dots \otimes e$ with $e \in B$.] On the other hand, we have $\chi_V(y) = q - 1$ for any nonzero element y of Q_1 . Thus, if $d = \dim W$, we have $d^{f/p} = q - 1$. Thus, dim $V = d^f = (q - 1)^p > 2q - 1$, a contradiction.

Corollary 9.3. Let $L \leq SO(V)$ be any subgroup containing G and let $1 \neq N \triangleleft L$. Then N acts irreducibly on V.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Note that the conclusions of Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2 also hold for L.

(i) Because N is normal in L, V is completely reducible for N. Let V_1, \ldots, V_r be the distinct N-isomorphism classes of N-irreducible submodules of V. Because V is L-self dual, it is a fortiori N-self dual. Therefore the set of V_i is stable by passage to the N-dual, $V_i \mapsto V_i^*$. The group L acts transitively on the set of the V_i . Either every V_i is N-self-dual, or none is (the L-conjugates of an N-self dual representation are N-self dual).

When we write V as the direct sum of its the *N*-isotypic ("homogeneous" in the terminology of [C-R, 49.5]) components,

$$V = W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_r$$

then for some integer $e \geq 1$ we have N-isomorphisms

 $W_i \cong eV_i :=$ the direct sum of e copies of V_i .

If r > 1 and all the W_i are self dual, then this is an orthogonal decompositon (because for $i \neq j$, the inner product pairing of (any) V_i with (any) V_j is an N-homomorphism from V_i to $V_j^* \cong V_j$, so vanishes). This contradicts Lemma 9.2.

Suppose r > 1 and no V_i is self-dual. Then the V_i occur in pairs of duals. Therefore both r and dim V are even, again a contradiction.

(ii) We have shown that r = 1 and e > 1, i.e. $V \cong eV_1$. Now we apply Clifford's theorem, cf. [C-R, Theorem 51.7]. Thus L preserves the N-isomorphism class of V_1 , and so we get an irreducible projective representation $L \mapsto \operatorname{PGL}(V_1) = \operatorname{PSL}(V_1)$, and V as a projective representation of L is $V_1 \otimes X$ with L acting (projectively) irreducibly on Xthrough L/N, and X of dimension e. Furthermore, the two factor sets associated to these two projective representations are inverses to each other (because the tensor product $V_1 \otimes X = V$ is a linear representation of Q_1). Since $e \dim V_1 = \dim V = 2q - 1$, both e and $n = \dim V_1$ are coprime to p.

We now claim that, restricted to Q_1 , each of the tensor factors V_1 and X lifts to a genuine linear representation. Indeed, using the fact that $PGL(n, \mathbb{C}) = PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_n \to \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to 1,$$

the obstruction for $(V_1)|_{Q_1}$, which is given by the first factor set restricted to Q_1 , lies in the cohomology group $H^2(Q_1, \mu_n)$. As $p \nmid n$ while Q_1 is a *p*-group, this cohomology group vanishes; and so the first factor set restricted to Q_1 is trivial, whence so is the second. Thus the Q_1 -module V is tensor decomposable, contradicting Lemma 9.1. \Box

We next show that G is finite. It is convenient to use one more fact about G. There is a subgroup A (namely the group G_{geom} for the hypergeometric sheaf \mathcal{H}_{2q-1}) of SO(V) such that G is normal in A, A/G is cyclic of order dividing 2q - 1 and A contains an element x of order 2q - 1 with distinct eigenvalues on V.

We also use the fact that G has a nontrivial fixed space on $V \otimes V \otimes V$ (Theorem 7.1).

Theorem 9.4. G is finite.

Proof. Suppose not. Let N be any nontrivial normal (closed) subgroup of G. By Corollary 9.3, N is irreducible on V.

(i) Let G^0 be the identity component of G. We now show that G^0 is a simple algebraic group. Taking $N = G^0$, we have that G^0 acts irreducibly and hence is semisimple (as it lies in SO(V)). Moreover, the center of G^0 is trivial (because it consists of scalars in SO(V)). Therefore if G^0 is not simple, it is the product of adjoint groups L_j , $1 \le j \le t$ (namely the adjoint forms of the factors of its universal cover), and V is the (outer) tensor product $V = \bigotimes_{j=1}^t V_j$ of nontrivial irreducible L_j -modules V_j . By [GT, Corollary 2.7], G permutes these tensor factors

 V_j . This action is transitive, otherwise we contradict Lemma 9.1. But this implies that V is tensor induced for G, contradicting Lemma 9.2. Thus G^0 is a simple algebraic group.

(ii) Because the subgroup of G generated by its p-elements is Zariski dense, the finite group G/G^0 is generated by its p-elements. As p is odd, it follows that either $G = G^0$ is a simple algebraic group or p = 3and $G^0 = D_4(\mathbb{C})$. [In all other cases, the outer automorphism group, i.e., the automorphism group of the Dynkin diagram of G^0 , has order at most 2.] Since A/G has odd order, it follows that $A \leq G$ as well unless $G^0 = D_4(\mathbb{C})$ and 3 divides 2q - 1.

Suppose first that A is connected and so a simple algebraic group. Then it contains a semisimple element x acting with distinct eigenvalues. This implies that a maximal torus has all weight spaces of dimension at most 1. Moreover, the module is in the root lattice (since it is odd dimensional and orthogonal). By a result of Howe [Ho] (see also [Pa, Table]), it follows if $G \neq SO(V)$, then either $G = G_2(\mathbb{C})$ with dim V = 7 or $G = PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$. If dim V = 7, then q = 4, a contradiction. If $G = PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$, then any finite abelian subgroup of odd order is cyclic and so Q does not embed in G.

So G = SO(V). However, SO(V) has no nonzero fixed points on $V \otimes V \otimes V$ and this contradicts Theorem 7.1.

Thus, it follows that A is disconnected. So the connected component is $D_4(\mathbb{C})$ and this acts irreducibly on V. If $D_4(\mathbb{C})$ contains the element of order 2q-1, then a maximal torus has all weight space of dimension 1 and again using [Ho], we obtain a contradiction. If not, then 3 divides 2q-1, whence $p \geq 5$ and $Q \leq D_4(\mathbb{C})$. Any elementary abelian psubgroup of $D_4(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in a torus and so again we see that the connected component has all weight spaces of dimension at most 1 and we obtain the final contradiction using [Ho]. \Box

Let $F^*(X)$ denote the generalized Fitting subgroup of a finite group X (so X is almost simple precisely when $F^*(X)$ is a non-abelian simple group).

Corollary 9.5. A and G are almost simple and $F^*(A) = F^*(G)$ acts irreducibly on V.

Proof. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. By Corollary 9.3, N acts irreducibly, and so by Schur's lemma $C_A(N) = Z(N) = 1$ as A < SO(V) with dim V odd. So N is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups. Arguing as in p. (i) of the proof of Theorem 9.4, we see that N is non-abelian simple (otherwise the module V would be tensor

induced). As $C_G(N) = 1$, we see that $N \triangleleft G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(N)$, and so G is almost simple and $F^*(G) = N$.

Now, as $G \triangleleft A$, A normalizes N. Again since $C_A(N) = 1$ we have that $N \triangleleft A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(N)$, and so A is almost simple and $F^*(A) = N$. \Box

We next observe that:

Lemma 9.6. $F^*(G)$ is not a sporadic simple group.

Proof. Notice that both G and A are generated by elements of odd order (*p*-elements for G, these and elements of order 2q - 1 for A). On the other hand, we have $S \leq G \leq A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ for $S = F^*(G)$. One knows [Atlas] that if S is sporadic, then $|\operatorname{Out}(S)| \leq 2$. Therefore, if S is a sporadic simple group, then G = A = S. The result now follows easily from information in [Atlas]. Namely, we observe that if q is an odd prime power with q^2 dividing |G|, then G has no irreducible representation of dimension 2q - 1.

We next consider the case $F^*(G) = \operatorname{Alt}(n)$. First note $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$ contains no noncyclic elementary abelian groups of odd order and so is ruled out. Since 2q - 1 is odd, we see that if $G = \operatorname{Alt}(n)$, then $A = G = \operatorname{Alt}(n)$ (as the outer automorphism group of $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ is a 2-group).

Theorem 9.7. Let $\Gamma = Alt(n)$ with $n \ge 6$. Suppose that $x \in \Gamma$ has odd order and V is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module such that x acts as a semisimple regular element on V. Then one the following holds:

- (1) V is the deleted permutation module of dimension n-1 (i.e. the nontrivial irreducible constituent of $\mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{Alt}(n)}_{\operatorname{Alt}(n-1)}$), and x is either an n-cycle (for n odd) or a product of two disjoint cycles of coprime lengths (for n even); or
- (2) n = 8, x has order 15 and dim V = 14.

Proof. First note that if V is the deleted permutation module of dimension n-1, an element with 3 or more disjoint cycles has at least a two dimensional fixed space on V. Similarly, if x has two disjoint cycles of lengths which are not coprime, then x has a two dimensional eigenspace on V.

Next we observe that if x is semisimple regular on V, then the order of x is at least dim V. This proves the result for $6 \le n \le 14$ by inspection of the odd order elements and dimensions of the irreducible modules, aside from the case n = 8 and dim V = 14 (note that Alt(8) contains an element of order 15). Recall that Alt(8) \cong GL₄(2) and it acts 2-transitively on the nonzero vectors. The only irreducible module of dimension 14 is the irreducible summand of the permutation module of dimension 15. In this case x has a single orbit in the permutation representation and so x is semisimple regular on V.

Now assume that $n \ge 15$.

Suppose first that x has at most three nontrivial cycles. Then the order of x is less than $(n/3)^3 = n^3/27$ and so dim $V < n^3/27$. Let W be a complex irreducible Sym(n)-module whose restriction to Alt(n) contains $V|_{Alt(n)}$. Since $2 \le \dim W < 2n^3/27$, it follows by [Ra, Result 3] that $W \cong S^{\lambda}$ or $S^{\lambda} \otimes \text{sgn}$, where S^{λ} is the Specht module labeled by the partition λ of n, with $\lambda = (n - 1, 1), (n - 2, 2), \text{ or } (n - 2, 1, 1)$. Restricting back to Alt(n), we see that $V|_{Alt(n)} = S^{\lambda}|_{Alt(n)}$.

Note that

$$\dim S^{(n-2,1,1)} = (n-1)(n-2)/2, \quad \dim S^{(n-2,2)} = n(n-3)/2.$$

It is straightforward to see that the dimension of the fixed space of x on either of these modules is at least two dimensional, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$ and $V|_{Alt(n)}$ is the deleted permutation module of dimension n-1.

We now induct on n. The base case $n \leq 14$ has already done. We may assume that x has at least four nontrivial cycles (each of odd length, as x has odd order). View $x \in J := \text{Alt}(a) \times \text{Alt}(b)$. where the projection into Alt(b) is a b-cycle and so the projection into Alt(a)is a product of at least three disjoint cycles. Thus, $a \geq 9$. Let W be an irreducible J-submodule of V with Alt(a) acting nontrivially. So $W = W_1 \otimes W_2$ with W_1 an irreducible Alt(a)-module. Then x must be multiplicity free on each W_i and by induction x can have at most two cycles in Alt(a), a contradiction. \Box

Note that the previous result does fail for n = 5. Alt(5) has a 5-dimensional representation in which an element of order 5 has all eigenvalues occurring once. Thus if G = Alt(n), we see that n = 2q and V is the deleted permutation module.

Corollary 9.8. If $G = G_{geom}$ is an alternating group Alt(n) for some n, then n = 2q.

Finally, we consider the case where G is an almost simple finite group of Lie type, defined over \mathbb{F}_s , where $s = s_0^f$ is a power of a prime s_0 . Let us denote

$$S := F^*(G) = F^*(A).$$

Recall that S is simple, irreducible on V, and Z(S) = 1 by Corollary 9.5. We will freely use information on character tables of simple groups available in [Atlas, GAP], as well as degrees of complex irreducible

characters of various quasisimple groups of Lie type available in [Lu]. Finally, we will also use bounds on the smallest degree d(S) of nontrivial complex irreducible representations of S as listed in [T, Table 1].

Theorem 9.9. Suppose $s_0 \neq p$. Then $S \cong Alt(m)$ with $m \in \{5, 6, 8\}$.

Proof. (i) Assume the contrary. We will exploit the existence of the subgroup $Q \leq G$. Recall that the *p*-rank $m_p(G)$ is the largest rank of elementary abelian *p*-subgroups of *G*. Furthermore,

(9.9.1)
$$\operatorname{Aut}(S) \cong \operatorname{Inndiag}(S) \rtimes \Phi_S \Gamma_S,$$

where Inndiag(S) is the subgroup of inner-diagonal automorphisms of S, Φ_S is a subgroup of field automorphisms of S and Γ_S is a subgroup of graph automorphisms of S, as defined in [GLS, Theorem 2.5.12]. As $F^*(G) = S$, we can embed G in Aut(S). Now, given an elementary abelian *p*-subgroup P < G of rank $m_p(G)$, we can define a normal series

$$1 \le P_1 \le P_2 \le P,$$

where $P_1 = P \cap \text{Inndiag}(S)$ and $P_2 = P \cap (\text{Inndiag}(S) \rtimes \Phi_S)$. As Φ_S is cyclic and P is elementary abelian, P_2/P_1 has order 1 or p. Set e = 1 if $S \cong P\Omega_8^+(s)$ and p = 3, and e = 0 otherwise. Then $|P/P_2| \leq p^e$.

Next we bound $|P_1|$ when S is not a Suzuki-Ree group. Let $\Phi_j(t)$ denote the j^{th} cyclotomic polynomial in the variable t, and let m denote the multiplicative order of s modulo p, so that $p|\Phi_m(s)$. Note that we can find a simple algebraic group \mathcal{G} of adjoint type defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_s$ and a Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that Inndiag $(S) \cong \mathcal{G}^F$. Letting r denote the rank of \mathcal{G} , then one can find r positive integers k_1, \ldots, k_r and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_r = \pm 1$ such that

$$|\text{Inndiag}(S)| = s^N \prod_{j \ge 1} \Phi_j(s)^{n_j} = s^N \prod_{i=1}^{\prime} (s^{k_i} - \epsilon_i)$$

for suitable integers N, n_j . Then, according to [GLS, Theorem 4.10.3(b)], $|P_1| \leq p^{n_m}$. Let $\varphi(\cdot)$ denote the Euler function, so that $\deg(\Phi_m) = \varphi(m)$. Inspecting the integers k_1, \ldots, k_r , one sees that $n_m \leq r/\varphi(m)$. It follows that

$$|P_1| \le \Phi_m(s)^{n_m} \le ((s+1)^{\varphi(m)})^{r/\varphi(m)} \le (s+1)^r.$$

In fact, one can verify that this bound on $|P_1|$ also holds for Suzuki-Ree groups. Putting all the above estimates together, we obtain that

(9.9.2)
$$q^2 = |Q| \le |P| \le (s+1)^{r+1+q}$$

We will show that this upper bound on q contradicts the lower bound

$$(9.9.3) 2q - 1 = \dim V \ge d(S)$$

in most of the cases. Let f^* denote the odd part of the integer f.

(ii) First we handle the case when S is of type D_4 or 3D_4 . Here, $q \leq (s+1)^3$ by (9.9.2). On the other hand, $d(S) \geq s(s^4 - s^2 + 1)$, contradicting (9.9.3) if $s \geq 3$. If s = 2, then $\Phi_S \Gamma_S = C_3$, and so instead of (9.9.2) we now have that $q^2 \leq 3^5$, whence $q \leq 13$, $2q-1 \leq 25 < d(S)$, again a contradiction.

From now on we may assume e = 0.

Next we consider the case $S = \text{PSL}_2(s)$. Then $\text{Out}(S) = C_{\text{gcd}(2,s-1)} \times C_f$, and $m_p(S) \leq 1$. It follows that Q is not contained in S but in $S \rtimes C_f$ and $3 \leq p | f^*$, and furthermore $q^2 = |Q| \leq (s+1)f^*$. As $d(S) \geq (s-1)/2$, (9.9.3) now implies that

$$s + 1 = s_0^f + 1 \le 16f^*,$$

a contradiction if $s_0 \ge 5$, or $s_0 = 3$ and $f \ge 5$, or $s_0 = 2$ and $f \ge 7$. If $s_0 = 3$ and $f \le 4$, then $f^* = 3 = f = p$, forcing $p = s_0$, a contraction. Suppose $s_0 = 2$ and $f \le 6$. If p = 5, then $f^* = 5$ and $m_p(G) = 1$, ruling out the existence of Q. If p = 3, then f = 3, 6, whence $q^2 \le 9$ and $2q - 1 \le 5 < d(S)$.

Suppose that $S = {}^{2}B_{2}(s)$ or ${}^{2}G_{2}(s)$ with $s \ge 8$. Since $m_{p}(S) \le 1$, we see that $q^{2} \le (s+1)f$, contradicting (9.9.3) as $d(S) \ge (s-1)\sqrt{s/2}$.

Now we consider the remaining cases with r = 2. Then $q \leq (s+1)^{3/2}$ by (9.9.2). This contradicts (9.9.3) if $S = G_2(s)$ (and $s \geq 3$), as $d(S) \geq s^3 - 1$. Similarly, $S \ncong PSL_3(s)$ with $s \geq 5$ and $S \ncong PSU_3(s)$ with $s \geq 8$. If $S = PSp_4(s)$, then the case $2 \nmid s \geq 19$ is ruled out since $d(S) \geq (s^2 - 1)/2$, and similarly the case $2 \mid s \geq 8$ is ruled out since $d(S) = s(s-1)^2/2$. In the remaining cases, $\Phi_S \Gamma_S$ is a 2-group, and so $Q \leq S$, $q^2 \leq (s+1)^2$, $q \leq s+1$. Now $PSL_3(s)$ and $PSU_3(s)$ with $s \geq 4$ are ruled out by (9.9.3), and the same for $PSp_4(s)$ with $s \geq 4$. Note that when s = 3, $q \geq 4$ and so $gcd(q, 2s) \neq 1$, a contradiction. If $S = SL_3(2)$, then q = 3 and S has no irreducible character of degree 2q - 1. Finally, $Sp_4(2)' \cong Alt(6)$.

Next we handle the groups with r = 3. Here $q \leq (s+1)^2$ by (9.9.2). Then (9.9.3) implies that $s \leq 5$. In this case, $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ is a 2-group, and so $Q \leq S$ and $q \leq (s+1)^{3/2}$ by (9.9.2). Using (9.9.3), we see that $s \leq 3$. The remaining groups S cannot occur, since S does not have a real-valued complex irreducible character of degree 2q - 1.

(iii) From now we may assume that $r \ge 4$ (and S is not of type D_4 or ${}^{3}D_4$). First we consider the case s = 2. If $S = \mathrm{SL}_n(2)$ with $n \ge 5$, then since $\mathrm{Out}(S) = C_2$, the arguments in (i) show that $q^2 \le 3^{n-1}$. This contradicts (9.9.3), since $d(S) = 2^n - 2$. Suppose $S = \mathrm{SU}_n(2)$ with $n \ge 7$. Note by [TZ, Theorem 4.1] that the first three nontrivial irreducible characters of S are Weil characters and either non-realvalued or of even degree, and the next characters have degree at least $(2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} - 4)/9$. Hence (9.9.3) can be improved to

$$2q - 1 \ge (2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} - 4)/9,$$

which is impossible since $q^2 \leq 3^n$ by (9.9.2). If $S = \text{PSU}_n(2)$ with n = 5, 6, then $q^2 \leq 3^6$, and S has no nontrivial real-valued irreducible character of odd degree $\leq 2q - 1 \leq 53$. If $S = {}^2F_4(2)'$ or $F_4(2)$, then $q^2 \leq 3^5$, $q \leq 13$, and S has no nontrivial real-valued irreducible character of odd degree $\leq 2q - 1 \leq 25$.

Suppose $S = \text{Sp}_{2n}(2)$ or $\Omega_{2n}^{\pm}(2)$. Then Out(S) is a 2-group (recall S is not of type D_4), and so $q^2 \leq 3^n$. On the other hand, $d(S) \geq (2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} - 2)/3$, contradicting (9.9.3). Finally, if S is of type E_8 , E_7 , E_6 , or 2E_6 , then $q^2 \leq 3^8$ whereas $d(S) > 2^{10}$, again contradicting (9.9.3).

(iv) Suppose that $S = PSp_{2n}(s)$ with $n \ge 4$ and $2 \nmid s \ge 3$. Then by (9.9.2) and (9.9.3) we have

$$(s^n - 1)/2 \le 2q - 1 \le 2(s + 1)^{(n+1)/2} - 1,$$

implying $n \leq 5$ and s = 3. In this case, inspecting the order of $PSp_{10}(3)$ we see that $q^2 \leq 121$, and so $2q - 1 \leq 21 < d(S)$, a contradiction.

Next suppose that $S = \text{PSU}_n(3)$ with $n \ge 5$. Then $q \le 2^n$ and $d(S) \ge (3^n - 3)/4$, and so (9.9.3) implies that n = 5. In this case, inspecting the order of $\text{SU}_5(3)$ we see that $q^2 \le 61$, and so $2q - 1 \le 13 < d(S)$, again a contradiction.

Now we may assume that $r \geq 4$, $s \geq 3$, $S \ncong \operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(s)$ if $2 \nmid s$, and moreover $s \geq 4$ if $S \cong \operatorname{PSU}_n(s)$. Then one can check that $d(S) \geq s^r \cdot (51/64)$ (with equality attained exactly when $S \cong \operatorname{PSU}_5(4)$). Hence (9.9.2) and (9.9.3) imply that

$$(51/64)^2 \cdot s^{2r} \le d(S)^2 \le (2q-1)^2 < 4(s+1)^{r+1} \le 4 \cdot (4s/3)^{r+1},$$

and so

$$(3s/4)^{r-1} < 4 \cdot (64/51)^2 \cdot (4/3)^2$$

which is impossible for $r \ge 4$.

Theorem 9.10. Suppose $s_0 = p$. Then $S \cong Alt(6)$.

Proof. (i) Assume the contrary. We now exploit the existence of the element $x \in A$ of order 2q - 1 which has simple spectrum on V. As before, we can embed A in $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ and again use the decomposition (9.9.1). Let $\langle y \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap \operatorname{Inndiag}(S)$. We also view $S = \mathcal{G}^F$ for some Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ of a simple algebraic group \mathcal{G} of adjoint type, defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Note that y is an F-stable semisimple

element in \mathcal{G} , hence it is contained in an F-stable maximal torus \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} by [DM, Corollary 3.16]. It follows that $|y| \leq |\mathcal{T}^F| \leq (s+1)^r$, if r is the rank of \mathcal{G} . Set e = 3 if S is of type D_4 or 3D_4 , and e = 1 otherwise. Then the decomposition (9.9.1) shows that

$$|x|/|y| \le ef^*,$$

where f^* denotes the odd part of f as before (and $s = p^f$). We have thus shown that

(9.10.1)
$$2q - 1 = |x| \le (s+1)^r e f^*.$$

We will frequently use the following remark:

(9.10.2) Either
$$f = 1$$
 and $s \ge 3f^*$, or $s \ge 9f^*$.

We will show that in most of the cases (9.10.1) contradicts (9.9.3). First we handle the case S is of type D_4 or 3D_4 , whence $d(S) \ge s(s^4 - s^2 + 1)$. Hence (9.10.1) and (9.10.2) imply that

$$s(s^4 - s^2 + 1) \le 2q - 1 \le s(s+1)^4/3$$

if f > 1, a contradiction. If f = 1, then since $2q - 1 = \dim V$ is coprime to 2s, we see by [Lu] that

$$2q - 1 > s^7/2 > 3(s + 1)^4,$$

contradicting (9.10.1).

(ii) From now on we may assume that e = 1. Next we rule out the case where $V|_S$ is a Weil module of $S \in \{PSL_n(s), PSU_n(s)\}$ with $n \ge 3$, or $S = PSp_{2n}(s)$ with $n \ge 2$. Indeed, in this case, if $S = PSL_n(s)$ then

dim
$$V = (s^n - s)/(s - 1), (s^n - 1)/(s - 1)$$

is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo p and so cannot be equal to 2q - 1. Similarly, if $S = PSU_n(s)$, then $V|_S$ can be a Weil module of dimension 2q - 1 only when 2|n and dim $V = (s^n - 1)/(s + 1)$. In this case,

$$q = (2q - 1)_p = ((s^n + s)/(s + 1))_p = s$$

(where N_p denotes the *p*-part of the integer N), and so $2s - 1 = (s^n + s)/(s+1)$, a contradiction. Likewise, if $S = PSp_{2n}(s)$, then $V|_S$ can be a Weil module of dimension 2q - 1 only when p = 3 and dim $V = (s^n + 1)/2$. In this case,

$$s^n = (2\dim V - 1)_p = (4q - 3)_p,$$

and so q = 3 and n = 2. One can show that $PSp_4(3)$ does possess a complex irreducible module of dimension 2q - 1 = 5, with an element x of order 5 with simple spectrum on V and a subgroup $Q \cong C_3^2$ with

desired prescribed action on V; however, any such module is not selfdual. Henceforth, for the aforementioned possibilities for S we may assume that dim $V \ge d_2(S)$, the next degree after the degree of Weil characters. Note that $d_2(S)$ for these simple groups S is determined in Theorems 3.1, 4.1, and 5.2 of [TZ].

(iii) Suppose $S = \text{PSL}_2(s)$; in particular, $s \neq 9$. Assume $f \geq 4$. As $\text{Out}(S) = C_{2,s-1} \times C_f$, we see that $q^2 \leq sf_p < s^2/20$, whereas $2q-1 \geq d(S) \geq (s-1)/2$, a contradiction. If $f \leq 3$ but $f_p > 1$, then f = p = 3, $s = 3^3$, $q^2 \leq sf = 3^4$, forcing q = 9. But then $S = \text{PSL}_2(27)$ has no irreducible character of degree 2q-1 = 17. Thus $f_p = 1$, $q^2 \leq s$, and so (9.9.3) implies that $s \leq 17$. As $s \neq 9$, we see that $m_p(G) = m_p(S) = 1$, contradicting the existence of Q.

Next we consider the case $S = PSL_3(s)$ or $PSU_3(s)$. If f > 1, then (9.9.3)-(9.10.2) imply

$$(s-1)(s^2-s+1)/3 \le d_2(S) \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^2 s/9,$$

which is impossible. Thus f = 1, whence

$$(s-1)(s^2-s+1)/3 \le d_2(S) \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^2,$$

yielding $s \leq 5$. But if s = 3 or 5, then any nontrivial $\chi \in Irr(S)$ of odd degree coprime to s is a Weil character, which has been ruled out in (ii).

Suppose now that $S = PSL_4(s)$ or $PSU_4(s)$. For $s \ge 5$ we have

$$(s-1)(s^3-1)/2 \le d_2(S) \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^3 s/3,$$

which is possible only when $s \leq 11$. Thus $3 \leq s \leq 11$, whence $f^* = 1$, and so

$$(s-1)(s^3-1)/2 \le d_2(S) \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^3,$$

leading to s = 3. If s = 3, then any odd-order element in G has order ≤ 13 , whereas d(S) = 21, contradicting (9.9.3).

To finish off type A, assume now that $S = PSL_n(s)$ or $PSU_n(s)$ with $n \ge 5$. Then (9.9.3)–(9.10.2) imply

$$\frac{(s^n+1)(s^{n-1}-s^2)}{(s+1)(s^2-1)} \le d_2(S) \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^{n-1}s/3,$$

whence

$$s^{2n-3} < (s+1)^n s/3 < s^{51n/40}$$

(because $(s+1)/s \le 4/3 < 3^{11/40}$), a contradiction as $n \ge 5$.

(iv) Suppose $S = P\Omega_{2n}^{\pm}(s)$ with $n \ge 4$. For $n \ge 5$ we get that

$$\frac{(s^n - 1)(s^{n-1} - s)}{s^2 - 1} \le d(S) \le 2q - 1 \le (s+1)^n f \le (s+1)^n s/3,$$

whence

$$s^{2n-3.1} < (s+1)^n s/3 < s^{51n/40},$$

a contradiction. If n = 4, then $S = P\Omega_8^-(s)$. In this case, since 2q - 1 is coprime to 2s, [Lu] implies that

$$2q - 1 \ge (s^4 + 1)(s^2 - s + 1)/2 > (s + 1)^4 s/3,$$

again a contradiction.

Suppose $S = PSp_{2n}(s)$ with $n \ge 2$ or $\Omega_{2n+1}(s)$ with $n \ge 3$. Using the bound $2q - 1 \ge d_2(S)$ for $S = PSp_{2n}(s)$ and $2q - 1 \ge d(S)$ otherwise, we get for $n \ge 3$ that

$$\frac{(s^n - 1)(s^n - s)}{s^2 - 1} \le 2q - 1 \le (s + 1)^n f \le (s + 1)^n s/3,$$

whence

$$s^{2n-2.1} < (s+1)^n s/3 < s^{51n/40},$$

a contradiction. If n = 2, then $S = PSp_4(s)$, and we have

$$s(s-1)^2 \le 2q-1 \le (s+1)^s/3,$$

forcing $q \leq 9$. If $5 \leq q \leq 9$, then since the degree $2q - 1 = \dim V$ is coprime to 2s, we again get $2q - 1 > 300 \geq (s + 1)^2 s/3$. Finally, $PSp_4(3)$ has no nontrivial non-Weil character of degree coprime to 6.

(v) If S is of type E_6 , 2E_6 , E_7 , or E_8 , then

$$(s^{5} + s)(s^{6} - s^{3} + 1) \le d(S) \le 2q - 1 \le (s + 1)^{8} f \le (s + 1)^{8} s/3,$$

a contradiction. Similarly, if $S = F_4(s)$, then

$$s^8 - s^4 + 1 = d(S) \le 2q - 1 \le (s+1)^4 s/3,$$

which is impossible. Likewise, if $S = G_2(s)$ with $s \ge 5$, then

$$s^{3} - 1 \le d(S) \le 2q - 1 \le (s+1)^{2}s/3,$$

again a contradiction. Next, if $S = G_2(3)$, then $2q - 1 \le 16$ cannot be a degree of an irreducible character of S. Finally, if $S = {}^2G_2(s)$, then

$$s^{2} - s + 1 = d(S) \le 2q - 1 \le (s+1)f \le (s+1)s/3,$$

again a contradiction since $s \ge 27$.

Our proof is now concluded by applying Theorem 9.7.

References

- [As] Aschbacher, M., Maximal subgroups of classical groups, On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, Invent. Math. 76 (1984), 469–514.
- [Atlas] Conway, J. H., Curtis, R. T., Norton, S. P., Parker, R. A. and Wilson, R. A., Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [C-R] Curtis, C.W. and Reiner, I., Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience Publishers, New York 1962, xiv+689 pp.
- [De-Weil II] Deligne, P., La conjecture de Weil II, Pub. Math. I.H.E.S. 52 (1981), 313-428.
- [DM] Digne, F. and Michel, J., Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [FH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [GAP] The GAP group, GAP groups, algorithms, and programming, Version 4.4, 2004, http://www.gap-system.org.
- [GLS] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., and Solomon, R.M., The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 3. Part I. Chapter A, volume 40 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [Gross] Gross, B. H., Rigid local systems on \mathbb{G}_m with finite monodromy. Adv. Math. 224 (2010), no. 6, 2531-2543.
- [Gro-FL] Grothendieck, A., Formule de Lefschetz et rationalité des fonctions L, Seminaire Bourbaki 1964-65, Exposé 279, reprinted in Dix Exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, 1968.
- [GT] Guranick, R. M. and Tiep, P. H., Symmetric powers and a conjecture of Kollar and Larsen, Invent. Math. 174 (2008), 505–554.
- [Ho] Howe, R., Another look at the local θ-correspondence for an unramified dual pair. Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989), 93–124, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 2, Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.
- [Ka-ESDE] Katz, N., Exponential sums and differential equations. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 124. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990. xii+430 pp.
- [Ka-GKM] Katz, N., Gauss Sums, Kloosterman Sums, and Monodromy Groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 116. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988. ix+246 pp.
- [Ka-MMP] Katz, N., Moments, Monodromy, and Perversity. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 159. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. viii+475 pp.

- [Ka-NG2] Katz, N., Notes on G₂, determinants, and equidistribution, Finite Felds and their Applications 10 (2004), 221-269.
- [Ka-PES] Katz, N., Perversity and Exponential Sums, in Algebraic Number Theory - in honor of K. Iwasawa, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 17, 1989, 209-259.
- [Ka-RLS] Katz, N., Rigid Local Systems. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 139. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996. viii+223 pp.
- [Ka-RLSFM] Katz, N., Rigid local systems on A¹ with finite monodromy. preprint available at www.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/gpconj87.pdf.
- [Ka-Sar] Katz, N., and Sarnak, P., Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 45. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. xii+419 pp.
- [LS] Liebeck, M. W. and Seitz, G. M. On the subgroup structure of classical groups, Invent. Math. 134 (1998), 427–453.
- [Lu] Lübeck, F., Character degrees and their multiplicities for some groups of Lie type of rank < 9, http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Frank.Luebeck/ chev/DegMult/index.html.
- [Pa] Panyushev, D. I., Weight Multiplicity Free Representations, g-Endomorphism Algebras, and Dynkin Polynomials, J. London Math. Soc. 69 (2004), 273–290.
- [Ra] Rasala, R., On the minimal degrees of characters of S_n , J. Algebra 45 (1977), 132-181.
- [Ray] Raynaud, M. Revêtements de la droite affine en caractéristique p > 0 et conjecture d'Abhyankar. Invent. Math. 116 (1994), no. 1-3, 425-462.
- [T] Tiep, P. H., Low dimensional representations of finite quasisimple groups, Proceedings of the London Math. Soc. Symposium "Groups, Geometries, and Combinatorics", Durham, July 2001, A. A. Ivanov et al eds., World Scientific, 2003, N. J. 277–294.
- [TZ] Tiep, P. H. and Zalesskii, A. E., Minimal characters of the finite classical groups, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), 2093–2167.

E-mail address: guralnic@usc.edu, nmk@math.princeton.edu, tiep@math.rutgers.edu