

**ON A QUESTION OF KEATING AND RUDNICK
ABOUT PRIMITIVE DIRICHLET CHARACTERS
WITH SQUAREFREE CONDUCTOR**

NICHOLAS M. KATZ

ABSTRACT. We prove equidistribution results, in the function field setting, for the L-functions attached to primitive, odd Dirichlet characters with a fixed squarefree conductor.

INTRODUCTION

We work over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ inside a fixed algebraic closure \bar{k} . We fix a squarefree monic polynomial $f(X) \in k[X]$ of degree $n \geq 2$. We form the k -algebra

$$B := k[X]/(f(X)),$$

which is finite étale over k of degree n . We denote by $u \in B$ the image of X in B under the “reduction mod f ” homomorphism $k[X] \rightarrow B$. Thus we may write this homomorphism as

$$g(X) \in k[X] \mapsto g(u) \in B.$$

We denote by B^\times the multiplicative group of B , and by χ a character

$$\chi : B^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

We extend χ to all of B by decreeing that $\chi(b) := 0$ if $b \in B$ is not invertible.

The (possibly imprimitive) Dirichlet L -function $L(\chi, T)$ attached to this data is the power series in $\mathbb{C}[[T]]$ given by

$$L(\chi, T) := \sum_{\text{monic } g(X) \in k[X]} \chi(g(u)) T^{\deg(g)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n T^n,$$

$$A_n := \sum_{g(X) \in k[X] \text{ monic of deg. } n, \gcd(f, g)=1} \chi(g(u)).$$

If χ is nontrivial, then $L(\chi, T)$ is a polynomial in T of degree $n - 1$.

Moreover, if χ is “as ramified as possible”¹, then this L-function is “pure of weight one”, i.e., in its factored form $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1 - \beta_i T)$, each reciprocal root β_i has complex absolute value

$$|\beta_i|_{\mathbb{C}} = \sqrt{q}.$$

For such a χ , its “unitarized” L -function $L(\chi, T/\sqrt{q})$ is the reversed characteristic polynomial $\det(1 - T A_\chi)$ of some element A_χ in the unitary group $U(n-1)$ (e.g., take $A_\chi := \text{Diag}(\beta_1/\sqrt{q}, \dots, \beta_{n-1}/\sqrt{q})$). In $U(n-1)$, conjugacy classes are determined by their characteristic polynomials, so $L(\chi, T/\sqrt{q})$ is $\det(1 - T\theta_\chi)$ for a well defined conjugacy class θ_χ in $U(n-1)$. In order to keep track of the input data (k, f, χ) , we denote this conjugacy class

$$\theta_{k,f,\chi}.$$

Now suppose E/k is a finite extension field of k . Our polynomial f remains squarefree over E . We form the E -algebra $B_E := E[X]/(f(X))$, and for each character χ of B_E^\times which is as ramified as possible, we get a conjugacy class $\theta_{E,f,\chi}$.

The question posed by Keating and Rudnick was to show that for fixed f , the collections of conjugacy classes

$$\{\theta_{E,f,\chi}\}_{\chi \text{ char. of } B_E^\times \text{ as ramified as possible}}$$

become equidistributed in the space $U(n-1)^\#$ of conjugacy classes of $U(n-1)$ (for the measure induced by Haar measure on $U(n-1)$) as E runs over larger and larger finite extensions of k .

In fact, we will show something slightly stronger, where we fix the degree $n \geq 2$, but allow sequences of input data (k_i, f_i) , with k_i a finite field (of possibly varying characteristic) and $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ squarefree of degree n . We will show that, in any such sequence in which $\#k_i$ is archimedeanly increasing to ∞ , the collections of conjugacy classes

$$\{\theta_{k_i,f_i,\chi}\}_{\chi \text{ char. of } B_i^\times \text{ as ramified as possible}}$$

become equidistributed in $U(n-1)^\#$. Here is the precise statement, which occurs as Theorem 5.10 in the paper.

Theorem. *Fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and a sequence of data (k_i, f_i) with k_i a finite field (of possibly varying characteristic) and $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$*

¹Factor f as a product of distinct monic irreducible polynomials, say $f = \prod_j f_j$. Then B is canonically the product of the algebras $B_j := k[X]/(f_j(X))$, and χ is the product of characters χ_j of these factors. The condition “as ramified as possible” is that each χ_j be nontrivial, and that the restriction of χ to $k^\times \subset B^\times$ be nontrivial. Characters satisfying this last condition, that χ be nontrivial on k^\times , are called odd.

squarefree of degree n . If $\#k_i$ is archimedeanly increasing to ∞ , the collections of conjugacy classes

$$\{\theta_{k_i, f_i, \chi}\}_{\chi \in \text{TotRam}(k_i, f_i)}$$

become equidistributed in $U(n-1)^\#$ as $\#k_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Keating and Rudnick use this result to prove their Theorem 2.2 in [K-R], cf. [K-R, (5.16)].

In an appendix, we give an analogous result, Theorem 6.4, for “even” characters which are as ramified as possible, given that they are even, under the additional hypothesis that each $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ have a zero in k_i . Here the equidistribution is in the space of conjugacy classes of $U(n-2)$. This additional hypothesis, that each $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ have a zero in k_i , should not be necessary, but at present we do not know how to remove it. Already the case when each $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ is an irreducible cubic seems to be open.

1. PRELIMINARIES ON THE L -FUNCTION

We return to our initial situation, a finite field k , an integer $n \geq 2$, a squarefree polynomial $f(X) \in k[X]$, and the finite étale k -algebra $B := k[X]/(f(X))$. We have the algebra-valued functor \mathbb{B} on variable k -algebras R defined by

$$\mathbb{B}(R) := B_R := B \otimes_k R = R[X]/(f(X)),$$

and the group-valued functor \mathbb{B}^\times on variable k -algebras R defined by

$$\mathbb{B}^\times(R) := B_R^\times = \mathbb{B}(R)^\times.$$

Because f is squarefree, \mathbb{B}^\times is a smooth commutative groupscheme² over k , which over any extension field E of k in which f factors completely becomes isomorphic to the n -fold product of \mathbb{G}_m with itself. More precisely, if f factors completely over E , say $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$, then for any E -algebra R , we have an R -algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{B}(R) = R[X]/\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)\right) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n R$$

of $\mathbb{B}(R)$ with the n -fold product of R with itself, its algebra structure given by componentwise operations, under which the image u of X maps by

$$u \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

So for any E -algebra R , we have $\mathbb{B}^\times(R) := \mathbb{B}(R)^\times \cong (R^\times)^n$.

²In fact \mathbb{B}^\times is the generalized Jacobian of \mathbb{P}^1/k with respect to the modulus $\infty \cup \{f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{A}^1\}$.

For E/k a finite extension field, B_E is a finite étale B algebra which as a B -module is free of rank $\deg(E/k)$. Let us denote by \mathbb{B}_E the functor on k -algebras $R \mapsto \mathbb{B}_E(R) := B_E \otimes_k R$. Then $\mathbb{B}_E(R)$ is a finite étale $\mathbb{B}(R)$ -algebra, so we have the norm map

$$\text{Norm}_{E/k} : \mathbb{B}_E \rightarrow \mathbb{B}.$$

Its restriction to unit groups gives a homomorphism of tori which is étale surjective,

$$\text{Norm}_{E/k} : \mathbb{B}_E^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\times,$$

whose restriction to k -valued points gives a surjective³ homomorphism

$$\text{Norm}_{E/k} : \mathbb{B}^\times(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\times(k).$$

We will also have occasion to consider $\mathbb{B}(R)$ as a finite étale R -algebra which is free of rank n as an R -module, giving us **another** norm map

$$\text{Norm}_{B/k} : \mathbb{B}(R) \rightarrow R,$$

which by restriction gives a homomorphism which is étale surjective, with geometrically connected kernel,

$$\text{Norm}_{B/k} : \mathbb{B}^\times(R) \rightarrow R^\times.$$

For any finite extension E/k , this second norm map

$$\text{Norm}_{B/k} : \mathbb{B}^\times(E) \rightarrow E^\times$$

is surjective.

How is all this related to our L -function? For each integer $r \geq 1$, denote by k_r/k the unique extension field of k of degree r (inside our fixed algebraic closure of k). Recall that $f(X) \in k[X]$ is squarefree of degree $n \geq 1$, and that u denotes the image of X in $B = k[X]/(f(X))$.

Lemma 1.1. *For χ a character of B , we have the identity*

$$L(\chi, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{r \geq 1} S_r T^r / r\right), \quad S_r = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{A}^1[1/f](k_r)} \chi(\text{Norm}_{k_r/k}(u - t)).$$

Proof. The key observation is that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^1[1/f](k_d)$ generates the extension k_d/k , and has monic irreducible polynomial $P(X)$ over k , then $\gcd(f, P) = 1$ and $P(X) = \text{Norm}_{k_d/k}(X - \alpha)$ in $k[X]$. Hence $P(u) = \text{Norm}_{k_d/k}(u - \alpha)$ in B . We apply this as follows.

Write the L -function as the Euler product

$$L(\chi, T) = \prod_{\substack{\text{monic irred.} \\ P(X), \gcd(f, P)=1}} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(P(u))T^{\deg(P)}}.$$

³By Lang's theorem [La, Thm. 2], because its kernel is smooth and geometrically connected.

Taking log's, we must check that for each $r \geq 1$ we have the identity

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{A}^1[1/f](k_r)} \chi(\text{Norm}_{k_r/k}(u-t)) = \sum_{d|r} \sum_{\substack{\text{irred } P, \deg(P)=d, \\ \gcd(f,P)=1}} d\chi(P(u))^{r/d}.$$

To see this, partition the points $t \in \mathbb{A}^1[1/f](k_r)$ according to their monic irreducible polynomials over k . For each divisor d of r , and each monic irreducible $P(X)$ of degree d with $\gcd(f, P) = 1$ and roots τ_1, \dots, τ_d in $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f](k_d)$, each of the d terms $\chi(\text{Norm}_{k_r/k}(u - \tau_i))$ is equal to $\chi(P(u))^{r/d}$ (simply because $\text{Norm}_{k_d/k}(u - \tau_i) = P(u)$, and, as $\tau_i \in k_d$, $\text{Norm}_{k_r/k}(u - \tau_i) = (\text{Norm}_{k_d/k}(u - \tau_i))^{r/d}$). \square

2. COHOMOLOGICAL GENESIS

We now choose a prime number ℓ invertible in k , and an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} , into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. In this way, we view χ as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ -valued character of B^\times . Attached to χ , we have the ‘‘Kummer sheaf’’ \mathcal{L}_χ on \mathbb{B}^\times . Recall that \mathcal{L}_χ is obtained as follows. We have the $q = \#k$ 'th power Frobenius endomorphism F_k of \mathbb{B} . The Lang torsor, i.e., the finite étale galois covering $1 - F_k : \mathbb{B}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\times$, has structural group $B^\times = \mathbb{B}^\times(k)$. We then push out this B^\times -torsor on \mathbb{B}^\times by $\overline{\chi}$, to obtain the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf \mathcal{L}_χ on \mathbb{B}^\times . It is lisse of rank one and pure of weight zero.

We have a k -morphism (in fact an embedding)

$$\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \subset \mathbb{B}^\times,$$

given on R -valued points, R any k -algebra, by

$$t \in \mathbb{A}^1[1/f](R) \mapsto u - t \in \mathbb{B}(R).$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any k -algebra R , and any $t \in \mathbb{A}^1(R) = R$, we have the identity*

$$\text{Norm}_{B/k}(u - t) = (-1)^n f(t) \in R.$$

Proof. In the k -algebra $B = k[X]/(f(X))$, multiplication by u (the class of X in B) has characteristic polynomial f (theory of the ‘‘companion matrix’’), i.e., taking for R the polynomial ring $k[T]$, we have $\text{Norm}_{B/k}(T - u) = f(T) \in R = k[T]$, hence $\text{Norm}_{B/k}(u - T) = (-1)^n f(T) \in k[T]$, and this is the universal case of the asserted identity. \square

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ the lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf of rank one on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]$ obtained as the pullback of \mathcal{L}_χ on \mathbb{B}^\times by the embedding $t \mapsto u - t$ of $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]$ into \mathbb{B}^\times . In view of Lemma 1.1, the L -function $L(\chi, T)$ is, via the chosen embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the L -function of $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/k$ with

coefficients in $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$. This sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]$ is lisse of rank one and pure of weight zero. The compact cohomology groups

$$H_c^i := H_c^i(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$$

vanish for $i \neq 1, 2$, and by the Lefschetz trace formula we have the formula

$$L(\chi, T) = \det(1 - TFrob_k|H_c^1) / \det(1 - TFrob_k|H_c^2).$$

We now turn to a closer examination of these cohomology groups. For this, we first examine the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ geometrically, i.e., pulled back to $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}$, and describe it in terms of translations of Kummer sheaves \mathcal{L}_ρ on \mathbb{G}_m . Recall that the tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{tame}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$ is the inverse limit over prime-to p integers N , growing multiplicatively, of the groups $\mu_N(\bar{k})$, via the N 'th power Kummer coverings of \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} by itself. It is also the inverse limit, over finite extension fields E/k growing by inclusion, of the multiplicative groups E^\times , with transition maps the Norm, via the Lang torsor coverings $1 - F_E$ of \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} by itself. For any continuous $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$ -valued character ρ of $\pi_1^{tame}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$, we have the corresponding Kummer sheaf \mathcal{L}_ρ on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} . The characters of finite order of $\pi_1^{tame}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$ are precisely those which arise from characters ρ of E^\times for some finite extension E/k . More precisely, a character ρ of finite order of $\pi_1^{tame}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$ comes from a character of E^\times if and only if $\rho = \rho^{\#E}$ (equality as characters of $\pi_1^{tame}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$). For such a character ρ , the Kummer sheaf \mathcal{L}_ρ on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} begins life on \mathbb{G}_m/E .

To analyze the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ geometrically, first choose a finite extension field E/k in which f factors completely, say $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$. Then $\mathbb{B}(E)^\times \cong (E^\times)^n$, and $\chi_E := \chi \circ \text{Norm}_{E/k}$ as character of $(E^\times)^n$ is of the form $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \prod \chi_i(x_i)$, for characters χ_1, \dots, χ_n of E^\times . Then \mathbb{B}^\times , pulled back to \bar{k} , becomes \mathbb{G}_m^n , and \mathcal{L}_χ on it becomes the external tensor product $\boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i}$ of usual Kummer sheaves \mathcal{L}_{χ_i} on the factors. Over \bar{k} , the embedding of $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]$ into \mathbb{B}^\times given by $t \mapsto u - t$ becomes the embedding of $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}$ into \mathbb{G}_m^n given by

$$t \mapsto (a_1 - t, \dots, a_n - t).$$

Thus the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ is geometrically isomorphic to the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k} = \text{Spec}(\bar{k}[t][1/f(t)])$.

Lemma 2.2. *With the notations of the previous paragraph, we have the following results.*

- (1) *We have $H_c^2 = 0$ if and only if some χ_i is nontrivial, in which case H_c^1 has dimension $n - 1$.*

- (2) *The group H_c^1 is pure of weight one if and only if every χ_i is nontrivial and the product $\prod_{i=1}^n \chi_i$ is nontrivial.*

Proof. Both assertions are invariant under finite extension of the ground field, so it suffices to treat universally the case in which f factors completely over k . The character χ_i is the local monodromy of $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ at the point a_i , and the product $\prod_{i=1}^n \chi_i$ is its local monodromy at ∞ . For assertion (1), we note that the group H_c^2 is either zero or one-dimensional. It is nonzero if and only if the lisse rank one sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ is geometrically constant, i.e., if and only if its local monodromy at each of the points ∞, a_1, \dots, a_n is trivial. The dimension assertion results from the Euler-Poincaré formula: because $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ is lisse of rank one and at worst tamely ramified at the missing points, it gives

$$\chi_c(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) = \chi_c(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 1 - n.$$

For assertion (2), we argue as follows. If all the χ_i are trivial, i.e., if χ is trivial, then \mathcal{L}_χ on \mathbb{B}^\times is trivial, $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]$ is trivial, and its H_c^1 has dimension n and is pure of weight zero.

Suppose now that χ is nontrivial, i.e., that at least one χ_i is nontrivial. Denote by $j : \mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ the inclusion. Then we have a short exact sequence of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^1

$$0 \rightarrow j_! \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \rightarrow Pct \rightarrow 0,$$

in which Pct is a skyscraper sheaf, supported at those of the points ∞, a_1, \dots, a_n where the local monodromy is trivial, and is punctually pure of weight zero with one-dimensional stalk at each of these points. The long exact cohomology sequence then gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, Pct) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, j_! \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, j_* \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) \rightarrow 0$$

in which the middle term $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, j_! \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is the cohomology group H_c^1 , the third term $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, j_* \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is pure of weight one [De-Weil II, 3.2.3], and the first term, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1/\bar{k}, Pct)$ is pure of weight zero and of dimension the number of points among ∞, a_1, \dots, a_n where the local monodromy is trivial. \square

Given a character χ of B^\times , how do we determine what $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ looks like, geometrically? We know that, in terms of the factorization of f , say $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$ over some finite extension field E/k , $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ is geometrically isomorphic to the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k} = \text{Spec}(\bar{k}[t][1/f(t)])$. We have an easy interpretation of the product $\prod_i \chi_i$ of all the χ_i .

Lemma 2.3. *For $\rho :=$ the restriction of χ to k^\times (k^\times seen as a subgroup of B^\times), the composition $\rho \circ \text{Norm}_{E/k}$ is the character of E^\times given by the product $\prod_i \chi_i$ of all the χ_i .*

Proof. Under the E -linear isomorphism of $B_E = E[X]/(f)$ with the n -fold self product of E , E viewed as the constant polynomials is diagonally embedded. Thus $\prod_i \chi_i$ is the effect of $\chi \circ \text{Norm}_{B_E/B}$ on E^\times (viewed as a subgroup of B_E^\times). The restriction to E^\times of this norm map $\text{Norm}_{B_E/B} : B_E^\times \rightarrow B^\times$ is the norm map $\text{Norm}_{E/k} : E^\times \rightarrow k^\times$. \square

To further analyze this question, in a “ k -rational” way, we first factor our squarefree monic f as a product of distinct monic k -irreducible polynomials, say

$$f = \prod P_i, \quad \deg(P_i) := d_i.$$

Then with

$$B_{P_i} := k[X]/(P_i),$$

we have an isomorphism of k -algebras

$$B := k[X]/(f) \cong \prod_i B_{P_i}, \quad g \mapsto (g \pmod{P_i})_i,$$

and a character χ of B^\times is uniquely of the form

$$\chi(g) = \prod_i \chi_{P_i}(g \pmod{P_i}),$$

for characters χ_{P_i} of $B_{P_i}^\times$.

So it suffices treat the case when f is a single irreducible polynomial P of some degree $d \geq 1$. Choose a root a of P in our chosen \bar{k} . This choice gives an isomorphism of B_P with the unique extension field k_d/k of degree d_i inside \bar{k} , namely $g \mapsto g(a)$. Via this isomorphism, the character χ_P becomes a character χ of k_d^\times . After extension of scalars from k to k_d , we have a k_d -linear isomorphism

$$B_P \otimes_k k_d = k_d[X]/(P) \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} k_d, \quad g(X) \mapsto (g(\sigma(a)))_\sigma.$$

Then for $g(X) \in k_d[X]/(P_i)$, its k_d/k -Norm down to B_P is

$$\text{Norm}_{k_d/k}(g(X)) = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} g^\tau(X) \pmod{P} = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} g^\tau(a) \in k_d.$$

So we have the identity

$$(\chi \circ \text{Norm}_{k_d/k})(g(X)) = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} \chi(g^\tau(a)) = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} (\chi \circ \tau)(g(\tau^{-1}(a))).$$

The arguments $g(\tau^{-1}(a))$ of the characters $\chi \circ \tau$ are just the components, in another order, of g in the isomorphism $k_d[X]/(P) \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_d/k)} k_d$. In other words, the pullback of χ by the k_d/k -Norm from $B_P \otimes_k k_d$ down to B_P has components $(\chi, \chi^q, \dots, \chi^{q^{d-1}})$. Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For P an irreducible monic k -polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$, and χ a character of $B_P^\times \cong k_d^\times$ (via $u \mapsto a$, a a chosen root of P in k_d), the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\chi(u-t)$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/P]$ is geometrically isomorphic to the tensor product $\otimes_{i=0}^{d-1} \mathcal{L}_{\chi^{q^i}(a^{q^i}-t)}$.*

Combining these last two lemmas with Lemma 2.2, we get the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let f be a squarefree monic k -polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$, $f = \prod_i P_i$ its factorization into monic k -irreducibles, χ a character of B^\times , and, for each P_i , χ_{P_i} the P_i -component of χ . The group $H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is pure of weight one if and only if χ is nontrivial on k^\times and each χ_{P_i} is nontrivial, in which case H_c^1 has dimension $n-1$ and $H_c^2 = 0$.*

3. THE DIRECT IMAGE THEOREM

In this section, we work over \bar{k} . The following theorem gives sufficient⁴ conditions for a certain perverse sheaf to be irreducible (part (2)) and in addition to be isomorphic to no nontrivial multiplicative translate of itself (part (3)). This result will allow us, in sections 4 and 5, to apply the theory developed in [Ka-CE].

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$ is a squarefree polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \bar{k} . Let χ_1, \dots, χ_n be characters of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$ of finite order, and form the lisse sheaf*

$$\mathcal{F} := \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$$

on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}$. Then we have the following results.

- (1) *For any scalar $\lambda \in \bar{k}^\times$, the direct image $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} by the polynomial map $\lambda f : \mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}$ is a middle extension sheaf on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} , of generic rank n , and the perverse sheaf $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}[1]$ is geometrically semisimple.*
- (2) *If one of the χ_i , say χ_1 , is a singleton among the χ 's, in the sense that $\chi_1 \neq \chi_j$ for every $j \neq 1$, then the perverse sheaf $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}[1]$ on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} is irreducible.*

⁴There is no reason to think that this result is optimal.

- (3) *If two of the χ_i , say χ_1 and χ_2 are each singletons among the χ 's, then the irreducible perverse sheaf $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} is not isomorphic to any nontrivial multiplicative translate of itself.*

Proof. To prove (1), we argue as follows. The map $\lambda f : \mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}$ is finite and flat of degree n . As f has all distinct roots, its derivative f' is not identically zero, so over the dense open set U of \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} obtained by deleting the images under λf of the zeroes of f' , the map λf is finite étale of degree n . Thus $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ has generic rank n . It is a middle extension because \mathcal{F} is a middle extension on the source (being lisse), and λf is finite, flat, and generically étale, cf. [Ka-TLFM, first paragraph of the proof of 3.3.1]. On the dense open set U , $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}$ is (the pullback from some finite subfield E of \bar{k} of) a lisse sheaf which is pure of weight zero, hence is geometrically semisimple [De-Weil II, 3.4.1 (iii)]. Therefore [BBD, 4.3.1 (ii)] the perverse sheaf $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]|_U$ is semisimple, and this property is preserved by middle extension from U to \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} .

Suppose now that χ_1 is a singleton among the χ 's. We claim that $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is irreducible. Since $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is just a multiplicative translate of $f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$, it suffices to show that $f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is irreducible. Since $f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is semisimple, we must show that the inner product

$$\langle f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1], f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1] \rangle = 1.$$

By Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\langle f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1], f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}[1], f^*f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1] \rangle.$$

So we must show that $\mathcal{F}[1]$ occurs at most once in $f^*f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$. We will show the stronger statement, that denoting by $I(a_1)$ the inertia group at the point $a_1 \in \mathbb{A}^1(\bar{k})$, the $I(a_1)$ -representation of $\mathcal{F}[1]$ occurs at most once in the $I(a_1)$ -representation of $f^*f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$. As a finite flat map of \mathbb{A}^1 to itself, f is finite étale over a neighborhood of 0 in the target (because f has n distinct roots a_1, \dots, a_n , the preimages of 0). We first infer that the $I(0)$ -representation of $f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is the direct sum of the χ_i , and then that for each j the $I(a_j)$ -representation of $f^*f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is the direct sum of the χ_i . At the point a_1 , the $I(a_1)$ -representation of $\mathcal{F}[1]$ is χ_1 , and by the singleton hypothesis χ_1 occurs only once in the direct sum of the χ_i , so only once in the $I(a_1)$ -representation of $f^*f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$.

Suppose now that both χ_1 and χ_2 are singletons. We must show that for any scalar $\lambda \neq 1$ in \bar{k}^{\times} , the perverse irreducible sheaves $[\lambda f]_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ and $f_{\star}\mathcal{F}[1]$ on \mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k} are not isomorphic. We argue by contradiction, and thus suppose the two are isomorphic. Choose a finite subfield E of \bar{k}

over which the scalar λ , the points a_i , the characters χ_i and the open set U are all defined, so that we may speak of the geometrically irreducible perverse sheaves $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1]$ and $f_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1]$ on \mathbb{G}_m/E . Each of these is pure of weight zero. On the dense open set $U \subset \mathbb{G}_m/E$, the sheaves $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}$ and $f_* \mathcal{F}$ are lisse and geometrically isomorphic, so one is a constant field twist of the other, say $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}|_U \cong f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \alpha^{\deg}|_U$, for some scalar $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$. Taking middle extensions, we find an arithmetic isomorphism

$$[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1] \cong f_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1] \otimes \alpha^{\deg}$$

on \mathbb{G}_m/E . Because both $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1]$ and $f_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1]$ are pure of weight zero, the scalar α must be pure of weight zero. This arithmetic isomorphism implies that (and, given the geometric irreducibility, is in fact equivalent to the fact that) for any finite extension L/E , and any point $t \in L^\times$, we have an equality of traces

$$\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{L,t} | [\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)) = \alpha^{\deg(L/E)} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{L,t} | f_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)).$$

Because $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2)[1]$ is a geometrically irreducible perverse sheaf on \mathbb{G}_m/E which is pure of weight zero, we have the estimate, as L/E runs over larger and larger finite extensions,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)} |\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{L,t} | [\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}(1/2))|^2 = 1 + O(1/\sqrt{\#L}),$$

or equivalently the estimate

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)} |\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{L,t} | [\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F})|^2 = \#L + O(\sqrt{\#L}).$$

Indeed, it suffices to check that this second estimate holds instead for the sum over points $t \in U(L)$, as this sum omits at most $\#(\mathbb{G}_m \setminus U)(\overline{k})$ terms, each of which is itself $O(1)$. Because $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F}$ is lisse on U and pure of weight zero, the sum over U is given, by the Lefschetz trace formula, in terms of the sheaf $\text{End} := \text{End}([\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F})$ as

$$\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_L | H_c^2(U/\overline{k}, \text{End})) - \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_L | H_c^1(U/\overline{k}, \text{End})).$$

The sheaf End is pure of weight zero. By the geometric irreducibility of $([\lambda f]_* \mathcal{F})|_U$, the $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(U)$ -coinvariants of End are just the constants $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, so the group H_c^2 above is just $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(-1)$, on which Frob_L acts as $\#L$. The H_c^1 group is mixed of weight ≤ 1 , so we get the asserted estimate.

We now rewrite the sum of squares as follows. The sheaves \mathcal{F} and

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} := \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\chi}_i(a_i - t)}$$

have complex conjugate trace functions, as do their direct images by any λf . As α is pure of weight zero, we have $\bar{\alpha} = 1/\alpha$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^{\deg(L/E)} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)} |\text{Trace}(Frob_{L,t} | [\lambda f]_{\star} \mathcal{F})|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)} (\text{Trace}(Frob_{L,t} | [\lambda f]_{\star} \mathcal{F})) (\text{Trace}(Frob_{L,t} | f_{\star} \bar{\mathcal{F}})) = \\ &= \alpha^{\deg(L/E)} \#L + O(\sqrt{\#L}). \end{aligned}$$

We now rewrite this penultimate sum as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)} \left(\sum_{x \in L, \lambda f(x)=t} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x} | \mathcal{F}) \right) \left(\sum_{y \in L, f(y)=t} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y} | \bar{\mathcal{F}}) \right) = \\ & \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(L), \lambda f(x)=f(y) \neq 0} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x} | \mathcal{F}) \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y} | \bar{\mathcal{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

For $j : \mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \subset \mathbb{A}^1$, if we add the n^2 terms

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x} | j_{\star} \mathcal{F}) \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y} | j_{\star} \bar{\mathcal{F}})$$

for the points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(L)$ with $f(x) = f(y) = 0$, i.e., for the n^2 points (a_i, a_j) , we only change our sum by $O(1)$ (and we don't change it at all if all the χ_i are nontrivial). So we end up with the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(L), \lambda f(x)=f(y)} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x} | j_{\star} \mathcal{F}) \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y} | j_{\star} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) = \\ &= \alpha^{\deg(L/E)} \#L + O(\sqrt{\#L}). \end{aligned}$$

We now explain how this estimate leads to a contradiction. Consider the affine curve of equation $\lambda f(x) = f(y)$ in \mathbb{A}^2 . It is singular at the finitely many points (a, b) which are pairs of critical points of f , i.e., $f'(a) = f'(b) = 0$, such that $\lambda f(a) = f(b)$. It is nonsingular at each pair of zeroes (a_i, a_j) of f . Replacing E by a finite extension if necessary, we may further assume that each irreducible component of the curve $\lambda f(x) = f(y)$ over E is geometrically irreducible (i.e., that each irreducible factor of $\lambda f(x) - f(y)$ in $E[x, y]$ remains irreducible in $\bar{k}[x, y]$). The penultimate sum is, up to an $O(1)$ term, the sum over the irreducible components C_j of the curve $\lambda f(x) = f(y)$, of the sums

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in C_j(L)} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x} | j_{\star} \mathcal{F}) \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y} | j_{\star} \bar{\mathcal{F}}).$$

By the estimate for the sum, over the various C_j , of these sums, there is at least one irreducible component, call it C for which this sum is **not** $O(\sqrt{\#L})$. The equation of any C_j divides the polynomial $\lambda f(x) - f(y)$, whose highest degree term is $\lambda x^n - y^n$. Therefore the highest degree

term of any divisor is a product of linear terms $\mu y - x$, with the various possible μ 's the n 'th roots of λ . So an irreducible component C_i , given by a degree d_i divisor of $\lambda f(x) - f(y)$, is finite flat of degree d_i over the y -line (and over the x line as well).

On the original curve $\lambda f(x) = f(y)$, for each a_j there are n points (a_j, y) on the curve, namely $y = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. On an irreducible component C_j , given by a degree d_j divisor of $\lambda f(x) - f(y)$, there are at most d_j values of y such that (a_1, y) lies on C_j . Each of these points is a smooth point of the original curve, so it lies only on the irreducible component C_j . As there are $n = \sum d_j$ points (a_1, y) on the original curve, we must have exactly d_j points on C_j of the form (a_1, y) .

Now consider an irreducible component C on which our sum is not $O(\sqrt{\#L})$. Let us denote by \mathcal{C} the dense open set of the smooth locus of C which, via f , lies over \mathbb{G}_m . The sum

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{C}(L)} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,x}|\mathcal{F})\text{Trace}(Frob_{L,y}|\overline{\mathcal{F}})$$

differs only by $O(1)$ from the sum over C , so it too is not $O(\sqrt{\#L})$. In terms of the (restriction to \mathcal{C} of the) lisse, pure of weight zero, lisse of rank one sheaf

$$\mathcal{G} := \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-x)} \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\chi_i}(a_i-y)}$$

on $\mathbb{A}^2[1/(f(x)f(y))]$, this last sum is

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{C}(L)} \text{Trace}(Frob_{L,(x,y)}|\mathcal{G}).$$

By the Lefschetz trace formula, this sum is

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_L|H_c^2(\mathcal{C}/\overline{k}, \mathcal{G})) - \text{Trace}(Frob_L|H_c^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{k}, \mathcal{G})).$$

Because \mathcal{G} is pure of weight zero and lisse of rank one, the H_c^2 is either zero or is one-dimensional and pure of weight two, and this second case only occurs when \mathcal{G} is geometrically constant on \mathcal{C} . The H_c^1 is mixed of weight ≤ 1 . So the failure of an $O(\sqrt{\#L})$ estimate means that the H_c^2 is nonzero, and hence that \mathcal{G} is geometrically constant on \mathcal{C} .

Suppose first that the equation of C is of degree $d \geq 2$. Then there are d points (a_1, a_i) on C , at least one of which is of the form (a_1, a_i) with $a_i \neq a_1$. The curve C is finite etale over both the x -line and the y -line at the point (a_1, a_i) . So the functions $x - a_1$ **and** $y - a_i$ are each uniformizing parameters at this point. From the expression for \mathcal{G} , at the point (a_1, a_i) on C its inertia group representation is that of $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1(x-a_1)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\chi_i}(y-a_i)}$. In other words, its inertia group representation at

(a_1, a_i) is the character χ_1/χ_i . But this character is nontrivial (because χ_1 is a singleton), contradicting the geometric constance of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{C} .

It remains to treat the case in which the equation for C is of degree one. In this case, the above argument still works unless the unique point on C of the form (a_1, y) has $y = a_1$. In this case, we use the fact that we have a second singleton, χ_2 . Using this singleton, we could still use the above argument unless the unique point on C of the form (a_2, y) has $y = a_2$. So we only need treat the case when both the points (a_1, a_1) and (a_2, a_2) lie on C . But in this case, the equation for C , being of degree one, must be $y = x$. But if $y - x$ divides $\lambda f(x) - f(y)$, we reduce mod $y - x$ to find that $(\lambda - 1)f(x) = 0$, and hence $\lambda = 1$, contradiction. \square

4. A PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE

In this section, we continue with a squarefree monic k -polynomial f of degree $n \geq 2$, $B := k[X]/(f)$, and a character χ of B^\times . Over a finite extension E/k where f factors completely, say $f(X) = \prod_i (X - a_i)$, the lisse rank one sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/k$ becomes isomorphic to the sheaf $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/E$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let χ be a character of B^\times whose constituent characters χ_i satisfy the following three conditions.*

- (1) *The χ_i are pairwise distinct.*
- (2) *The product $\prod_i \chi_i$ is nontrivial, (i.e., χ is nontrivial on k^\times).*
- (3) *For at least one index i , $\chi_i^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$.*

Fix $\lambda \in k^\times$, and form the perverse sheaf

$$N(\lambda, \chi) := [\lambda f]_* (\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})(1/2)[1]$$

on \mathbb{G}_m/k . Then we have the following results.

- (1) *$N(\lambda, \chi)$ is geometrically irreducible, pure of weight zero, and lies in the Tannakian category \mathcal{P}_{arith} in the sense of [Ka-CE]. It has generic rank n , Tannakian “dimension” $n - 1$, and it has at most $2n$ bad characters.*
- (2) *$N(\lambda, \chi)$ is geometrically Lie-irreducible in \mathcal{P} .*
- (3) *$N(\lambda, \chi)$ has $G_{geom} = G_{arith} = GL(n - 1)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and the disjointness of the χ_i , $N(\lambda, \chi)$ is geometrically irreducible. It visibly has generic rank n . As $n \geq 2$, it is not a Kummer sheaf, so, being geometrically irreducible, it lies in \mathcal{P} . Its Tannakian dimension is

$$\chi_c(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}, N(\lambda, \chi)) = -\chi_c(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}, [\lambda f]_* (\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})) =$$

$$= -\chi_c(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) = -\chi_c(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}) = n - 1.$$

Because $N(\lambda, \chi)$ has generic rank n , it has at most $2n$ bad characters, namely those whose inverses occur in either its $I(0)$ -representation or in its $I(\infty)$ -representation.

On some dense open set $j : U \subset \mathbb{G}_m$, $[\lambda f]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is a lisse sheaf of rank n , which is pure of weight zero, hence $j^*N(\lambda, \chi)$ is pure of weight zero (the Tate twist $(1/2)$ offsets the shift $[1]$). By irreducibility $N(\lambda, \chi)$ must be the middle extension of $j^*N(\lambda, \chi)$, cf.[BBD, 5.3.8], so remains pure of weight zero [BBD, 5.3.2]. Again by the disjointness of the χ_i , part (3) of Theorem 3.1, together with [Ka-CE, Cor. 8.3], we get that $N(\lambda, \chi)$ is geometrically Lie-irreducible in \mathcal{P} .

It remains to explain why $N(\lambda, \chi)$ has $G_{geom} = G_{arith} = GL(n-1)$. Since we have a priori inclusions $G_{geom} \subset G_{arith} \subset GL(n-1)$, it suffices to prove that $G_{geom} = GL(n-1)$. The idea is to apply [Ka-CE, Thm. 17.1]. We may compute G_{geom} after extension of scalars to E . Suppose that $\chi_1^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$. The construction $M \mapsto M \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\chi}_1}$ induces a Tannakian isomorphism of $\langle N(\lambda, \chi) \rangle_{arith}$ with $\langle N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\chi}_1} \rangle_{arith}$. So it suffices to prove that $N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\chi}_1}$ has $G_{geom} = GL(n-1)$. By the disjointness assumption on the χ_i , the trivial character $\mathbb{1}$ occurs exactly once in the $I(0)$ -representation of $N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\chi}_1}$. So by [Ka-CE, Thm. 17.1], it suffices to show that the trivial character does not occur in its $I(\infty)$ -representation, or equivalently that χ_1 does not occur in the $I(\infty)$ -representation of $N(\lambda, \chi)$. This $I(\infty)$ -representation is $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{L}_{\prod_i \chi_i}$, and \mathcal{L}_{χ_1} occurs in it if and only if $[\lambda f]^*(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})$ occurs in $\mathcal{L}_{\prod_i \chi_i}$. Because λf has degree n , the pullback $[\lambda f]^*(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1^n}$ as $I(\infty)$ -representation. So if $\chi_1^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$, then \mathcal{L}_{χ_1} does not occur in the $I(\infty)$ -representation $[\lambda f]_* \mathcal{L}_{\prod_i \chi_i}$, and we conclude by applying [Ka-CE, Thm. 17.1] to $N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\chi}_1}$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let χ be a character of B^\times whose constituent characters χ_i satisfy the three conditions of the previous theorem. Suppose that $q := \#k$ satisfies the inequality $\sqrt{q} \geq 1+2n$. For each character ρ of k^\times which is good for $N(\lambda, \chi)$ (i.e., such that for $j : \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ the inclusion, the “forget supports” map gives an isomorphism $j_!(N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho) \cong Rj_*(N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho)$, or equivalently, $\bar{\rho}$ does not occur in the local monodromy at either 0 or ∞ of $N(\lambda f, \chi)$), denote by $\theta_{k, \lambda f, \chi, \rho}$ the conjugacy class in $U(n-1)$ whose reversed characteristic polynomial is given by*

$$\det(1 - T\theta_{k, \lambda f, \chi, \rho}) = \det(1 - TFrob_k | H_c^0(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}, N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho)).$$

Let Λ be a nontrivial irreducible representation of $U(n-1)$ which occurs in $std^{\otimes a} \otimes (std^\vee)^{\otimes b}$. Then we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\rho \in \text{Good}(k, \lambda f, \chi)} \text{Trace}(\Lambda(\theta_{k, \lambda f, \chi, \rho})) \right| \\ & \leq (\#\text{Good}(k, \lambda f, \chi)) 2(a+b+1)(2n)^{a+b}/\sqrt{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, $N(\lambda, \chi)$ has $G_{\text{geom}} = G_{\text{arith}} = GL(n-1)$. So this is [Ka-CE, Remark 7.5 and the proof of Theorem 28.1], applied to $N := N(\lambda, \chi)$ with the constant C there, an upper bound for each of the generic rank, the number of bad characters, and the Tannakian dimension of N , taken to be $2n$. \square

The interest of this Corollary is that the (trivial) Leray spectral sequence for $[\lambda f]_!$ gives a $Frob_k$ -isomorphism of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^0(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k}, N(\lambda, \chi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho) & \cong H_c^0(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(\lambda f(t))}(1/2)[1]) = \\ & = H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(\lambda f(t))}(1/2)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\text{Norm}_{B/k}(u-t) = (-1)^n f(t)$. So if we denote by ρ_{Norm} the character of B^\times given by

$$\rho_{\text{Norm}} := \rho \circ \text{Norm}_{B/k},$$

then $\mathcal{L}_{\rho((-1)^n f(t))}$ is $\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{\text{Norm}}(u-t)}$, and the conjugacy class $\theta_{k, (-1)^n f, \chi, \rho}$ is none other than the conjugacy class $\theta_{k, f, \chi \rho_{\text{Norm}}}$ of the Introduction.

5. THE EQUIDISTRIBUTION THEOREM

We continue with a squarefree monic k -polynomial f of degree $n \geq 2$, $B := k[X]/(f)$, and a character χ of B^\times . Over a finite extension E/k where f factors completely, say $f(X) = \prod_i (X - a_i)$, the lisse rank one sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/k$ becomes isomorphic to the sheaf $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/E$.

Let us say that χ is “totally ramified” (what we called “as ramified as possible” in the Introduction) if each χ_i and the product $\prod_i \chi_i$ are all nontrivial. In view of Lemma 2.2, χ is totally ramified if and only if the group $H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is pure of weight one, or equivalently if and only if the group $H_c^0(\mathbb{A}^1[1/f]/\bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}(1/2)[1])$ is pure of weight zero, in which case it has dimension $n-1$.

Let us say that a totally ramified χ is “generic” if, **in addition** to being totally ramified, its constituent characters χ_i satisfy the three conditions of Theorem 4.1. We denote by

$$\text{TotRam}(k, f), \text{ resp. } \text{TotRamGen}(k, f)$$

the sets of totally ramified (respectively totally ramified and generic) characters of B^\times .

Lemma 5.1. *Let χ be a totally ramified character of B^\times . Let ρ be a character of k^\times which is good for $N((-1)^n f, \chi)$. Then the product character $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ is totally ramified. Moreover, χ is generic if and only if $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ is generic.*

Proof. Indeed, if geometrically we have $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}(u-t)} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i\rho(a_i-t)}$; we view ρ and the χ_i as characters of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(\mathbb{G}_m/\bar{k})$, to make sense of the products $\chi_i\rho$. Alternatively, if f splits over E , think of ρ as the character $x \mapsto \rho(\text{Norm}_{E/k}(x))$ of E^\times . Thus the constituent characters of $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ are the $\chi_i\rho$. That ρ is good for $N((-1)^n f, \chi)$ means precisely $\bar{\rho}$ does not occur in the local monodromy of $N((-1)^n f, \chi)$ at either 0 or ∞ . Its absence at 0 is the nontriviality of each $\chi_i\rho$. Its absence at ∞ is that $\rho^n \prod_i \chi_i$ is nontrivial, i.e., that $\prod_i (\chi_i\rho)$ is nontrivial. Thus $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ is totally ramified. If in addition χ is generic, say $\chi_1^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$, then $(\chi_1\rho)^n \neq \prod_i (\chi_i\rho)$, and hence $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ is generic as well. Conversely, if χ is totally ramified and $\chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ is totally ramified and generic, then $\bar{\rho}$ is good for $N((-1)^n f, \chi\rho_{\text{Norm}})$, and so by the previous argument χ is totally ramified and generic. \square

We now combine this lemma with Corollary 4.2 to get a result concerning those conjugacy classes $\theta_{k,f,\chi}$ of the Introduction whose χ is totally ramified and generic.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose $\sqrt{q} \geq 1+2n$. Let Λ be a nontrivial irreducible representation of $U(n-1)$ which occurs in $\text{std}^{\otimes a} \otimes (\text{std}^\vee)^{\otimes b}$. Then we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\chi \in \text{TotRamGen}(k,f)} \text{Trace}(\Lambda(\theta_{k,f,\chi})) \right| \\ & \leq (\#\text{TotRamGen}(k,f)) 2(a+b+1)(2n)^{a+b}/\sqrt{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us say that two totally ramified generic characters χ and χ' of B^\times are equivalent if $\chi' = \chi\rho_{\text{Norm}}$ for some (necessarily unique) character ρ of k^\times . Break the terms of the sum into equivalence classes. The sum over the equivalence class of χ is precisely the sum bounded by Corollary 4.2, with λ there taken to be $(-1)^n$. \square

Our final task is to infer from this estimate an estimate for the sum over **all** χ in $\text{TotRam}(k,f)$. For this, we now turn to giving upper and lower bounds for $\#\text{TotRam}(k,f)$ and for $\#\text{TotRamGen}(k,f)$. We define three monic integer polynomials of degree n ,

$$P_{\text{all},n}(X) := X^n - 1,$$

$$P_{TR,n}(X) := (X - 2)^n - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} X^i,$$

and

$$P_{TRG,n}(X) := (X - 1 - n)^n + (X - 2)^n - X^n + 1 - n \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} X^i.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For $q := \#k$, we have the (trivial) estimate*

$$\#TotRam(k, f) \leq P_{all,n}(q) = q^n - 1.$$

Proof. Indeed, B^\times is a subset of $B \setminus \{0\}$, whose cardinality is $q^n - 1$, so $q^n - 1$ is an upper bound for the total number of characters of B^\times . \square

Lemma 5.4.

$$\#TotRam(k, f) \geq P_{TR,n}(q) = (q - 2)^n - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i,$$

and

$$\#(\{\text{char's of } B^\times\} \setminus TotRam(k, f)) \leq q^n - 1 - (q - 2)^n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i.$$

Proof. Factor f as the product of k -irreducible monic polynomials P_i of degree d_i . Thus $n = \sum_i d_i$, and $\#B^\times = \prod_i (q^{d_i} - 1) \geq (q - 1)^n$. So there are at least $(q - 1)^n$ characters χ of B^\times . We now count the characters which violate or satisfy the two conditions of being totally ramified.

Since $k^\times \subset B^\times$, the restriction map on characters is surjective. So the condition that $\chi|_{k^\times}$ be nontrivial disqualifies $\#B^\times / (q - 1) = (\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 1)) / (q - 1)$ of them.

The condition that each constituent character χ_i is nontrivial is equivalent to the condition that when we write χ as the product of characters χ_{P_i} of the factors $(k[X]/(P_i))^\times$, each χ_{P_i} is nontrivial. So there are $\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 2)$ choices of χ which satisfy this condition. If we now omit the ones which are trivial on k^\times , we are left with at least

$$\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 2) - \left(\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 1) \right) / (q - 1)$$

characters which are totally ramified. From the inequalities $q^d - 2 \geq (q - 2)^d$ and $\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 1) \leq q^n - 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#TotRam(k, f) &\geq \prod_i (q^{d_i} - 2) - \left(\prod_i (q^{d_i} - 1) \right) / (q - 1) \geq \\ &\geq (q - 2)^n - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the previous lemma, we get the asserted upper bound for the number of characters of B^\times which are not totally ramified. \square

Lemma 5.5. *For $q \geq n + 1$, we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \#TotRamGen(k, f) &\geq P_{TRG, n}(q) = \\ &= (q - 1 - n)^n + (q - 2)^n - q^n + 1 - n \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We now count the characters which violate or satisfy the two additional conditions which make a totally ramified character generic

We first turn to the condition that for at least one of the χ_i , $\chi_i^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$. Suppose first that f is itself irreducible. Then χ is a character of the field $B^\times \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$, and its constituent characters χ_1, \dots, χ_n are the characters $\chi, \chi^q, \dots, \chi^{q^{n-1}}$. The condition that $\chi^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$ is the condition that $\chi^n \neq \chi^{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}$, which disqualifies at most $1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1} - n$ possible χ .

If f is not irreducible, let P be an irreducible factor of some degree $d < n$, and χ_P the P -constituent of χ . The constituents of χ_P as character of $(k[X]/(P))^\times$ are $\chi_P, \chi_P^q, \dots, \chi_P^{q^{d-1}}$. Think of these as the first d constituents of χ . We can be sure that there is some choice of index $j \in [1, d]$ such that $\chi_j^n \neq \prod_i \chi_i$ if we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq d} \chi_j^n \neq \left(\prod_i \chi_i \right)^d.$$

This is the condition that

$$\chi_P^{(n-d)(1+q+\dots+q^{d-1})} \neq \left(\prod_{d+1 \leq i \leq n} \chi_i \right)^d.$$

So for any given choice of the P_i -components of χ for all the **other** irreducible factors P_i of f , at most $(n-d)(1+q+\dots+q^{d-1})$ characters χ_P are disqualified. So the total number of characters χ which fail this second condition is at most $(n-d)(1+q+\dots+q^{d-1}) \prod_{P_i \neq P} (q^{d_i} - 1)$. From the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (n-d)(1+q+\dots+q^{d-1}) \prod_{P_i \neq P} (q^{d_i} - 1) &= (n-d) \left(\prod_{\text{all } P_i} (q^{d_i} - 1) \right) (q-1) \\ &\leq (n-1)(q^n - 1)/(q-1) \end{aligned}$$

we see that the in either case, f irreducible or not, there are at most

$$(n-1) \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i \right)$$

characters χ of B^\times which violate this first condition.

We now turn to the condition that the constituents χ_i be all distinct. Again we factor f , and this time collect the factors according to their degrees. Suppose that there are e_i factors $P_{d_i,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, e_i$ whose degrees are d_i . The first condition for distinctness is that for each $P_{d_i,j}$ -component $\chi_{P_{d_i,j}}$ the d_i characters $\chi_{P_{d_i,j}}^{q^i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d_i - 1$ are all distinct, or in other words that the orbit of $\chi_{P_{d_i,j}}$ under the q 'th power map has full length d_i , rather than some proper divisor of d_i . The characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}^\times$ whose orbit length is a proper divisor of d_i are those which come from (by composition with the relative norm) characters of subfields \mathbb{F}_{q^r} for some proper divisor r of d_i . So the number of such short-orbit characters is at most $\sum_{r|d_i, r < d_i} (q^r - 1)$, and this is trivially bounded by

$$\sum_{r|d_i, r < d_i} (q^r - 1) \leq -1 + \sum_{r|d_i, r < d_i} q^r \leq -1 + \sum_{1 \leq r \leq d_i/2} q^r \leq -1 + [d_i/2]q^{[d_i/2]}.$$

So the number of full-orbit characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}^\times$ is at least

$$q^{d_i} - [d_i/2]q^{[d_i/2]} \geq q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1}.$$

Suppose now that for each irreducible factor $P_{d_i,j}$ of f , we have chosen a full-orbit (i.e., orbit length d_i) character. For irreducibles of different degrees, there can be no equality of their constituent characters, because the orbit-lengths are different. If there are $e_i \geq 2$ irreducible factors of the same degree d_i , say $P_{d_i,1}, \dots, P_{d_i,e_i}$, then we may choose $\chi_{P_{d_i,1}}$ to be any of the at least $q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1}$ full-orbit characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}^\times$. Then we must choose $\chi_{P_{d_i,2}}$ to be a full-orbit character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}}^\times$ which does lie in the orbit of $\chi_{P_{d_i,1}}$, thus excluding d_i possible full-orbit characters. Continuing in this way, we see that there are at least

$$\prod_{d_i \text{ which occur}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{e_i-1} (q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1} - jd_i) \right)$$

characters χ of B^\times all of whose constituents are distinct.

Because $q \geq n + 1$, each factor $(q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1} - jd_i)$ satisfies

$$(q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1} - jd_i) \geq (q^{d_i} - q^{d_i-1} - n) \geq (q - 1 - n)^{d_i}.$$

[For the last inequality, write $q = X + n + 1$; then we are saying that $(X + n + 1)^{d_i-1}(X + n) \geq X^{d_i} + n$, which obviously holds for $X \geq 0$ and $d_i \geq 1$.] Thus for $q \geq n + 1$, there are at least

$$(q - 1 - n)^n$$

characters χ of B^\times all of whose constituents are distinct.

Removing from these those which violate the first condition, we are left with at least

$$(q - 1 - n)^n - (n - 1) \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i \right)$$

characters which, if totally ramified, are also generic. We have already seen that at most

$$q^n - 1 - (q - 2)^n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i$$

characters fail to be totally ramified. Taking (some of) these away, we end up with at least

$$\begin{aligned} & (q - 1 - n)^n - (n - 1) \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i \right) - (q^n - 1 - (q - 2)^n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i) = \\ & = (q - 1 - n)^n + (q - 2)^n - q^n + 1 - n \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i \end{aligned}$$

characters which are totally ramified and generic. \square

Lemma 5.6. *We have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \#(TotRam(k, f) \setminus TotRamGen(k, f)) & \leq P_{all,n}(q) - P_{TRG,n}(q) = \\ & = (q - 1 - n)^n + (q - 2)^n - 2q^n + 2 - n \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Combine Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5. \square

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a real constant C_n such that for $q \geq C_n$, we have*

$$P_{all,n}(q) - P_{TRG,n}(q) \leq P_{TRG,n}(q) / \sqrt{q}.$$

Proof. The difference $P_{all,n}(X) - P_{TRG,n}(X)$ is a real polynomial of degree $n - 1$, while $P_{TRG,n}(X)$ is a real polynomial which is monic of degree n . \square

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose $q \geq C_n$ and $\sqrt{q} \geq 1 + 2n$. Let Λ be a nontrivial irreducible representation of $U(n - 1)$ which occurs in $std^{\otimes a} \otimes (std^\vee)^{\otimes b}$. Then we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\chi \in TotRam(k, f)} \text{Trace}(\Lambda(\theta_{k, f, \chi})) \right| \\ & \leq (\#TotRamGen(k, f)) 4(a + b + 1) (2n)^{a+b} / \sqrt{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We break the sum into two pieces, the sum over $\chi \in \text{TotRamGen}(k, f)$, and the sum over $\chi \in \text{TotRam}(k, f) \setminus \text{TotRamGen}(k, f)$. By Corollary 5.2, the absolute value of the first sum is bounded by

$$(\#\text{TotRamGen}(k, f))2(a + b + 1)(2n)^{a+b}/\sqrt{q}.$$

The second sum has at most

$$P_{\text{all},n}(q) - P_{\text{TRG},n}(q) \leq P_{\text{TRG},n}(q)/\sqrt{q} \leq (\#\text{TotRamGen}(k, f))/\sqrt{q}$$

terms, each of which, being the trace of a unitary conjugacy class in a representation of dimension at most $(n-1)^{a+b}$, is bounded in absolute value by $(n-1)^{a+b}$. So the absolute value of the second sum is bounded by

$$(\#\text{TotRamGen}(k, f))(n-1)^{a+b}/\sqrt{q},$$

which is less than the upper bound for the first sum. So doubling the upper bound for the first sum is safe. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose $q \geq C_n$ and $\sqrt{q} \geq 1+2n$. Let Λ be a nontrivial irreducible representation of $U(n-1)$ which occurs in $\text{std}^{\otimes a} \otimes (\text{std}^\vee)^{\otimes b}$. Then we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1/\#\text{TotRam}(k, f)) \sum_{\chi \in \text{TotRam}(k, f)} \text{Trace}(\Lambda(\theta_{k, f, \chi}))| \\ & \leq 4(a + b + 1)(2n)^{a+b}/\sqrt{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Indeed, $\#\text{TotRamGen}(k, f) \leq \#\text{TotRam}(k, f)$. \square

Thus we obtain our target result.

Theorem 5.10. *Fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and a sequence of data (k_i, f_i) with k_i a finite field (of possibly varying characteristic) and $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ squarefree of degree n . If $\#k_i$ is archimedeanly increasing to ∞ , the collections of conjugacy classes*

$$\{\theta_{k_i, f_i, \chi}\}_{\chi \in \text{TotRam}(k_i, f_i)}$$

become equidistributed in $U(n-1)^\#$ as $\#k_i \rightarrow \infty$.

6. APPENDIX: THE CASE OF “EVEN” CHARACTERS

We continue to work with a squarefree monic polynomial $f(X) \in k[X]$ of degree $n \geq 2$, and the k -algebra $B := k[X]/(f(X))$. We say that a character χ of B^\times is even if it is trivial on k^\times (viewed as a subgroup of B^\times).

Lemma 6.1. *The character χ is even if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ is lisse at ∞ (more precisely, if and only if, denoting by $j : \mathbb{A}^1[1/f] \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ the inclusion, the middle extension sheaf $j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 is lisse at ∞). Moreover, for even χ we have the formula*

$$\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{k,\infty}|j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) = 1.$$

Proof. The first assertion is immediate from the geometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}$ with the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)}$, together with Lemma 2.3. For the second assertion, we argue as follows. We have a morphism $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\times$ given by $t \mapsto 1/t$. The corresponding pullback sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(1/t)}$ on \mathbb{G}_m is trivial, i.e., isomorphic to the constant sheaf $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, precisely because χ is trivial on k^\times . So on $\mathbb{G}_m[1/f]$, we have arithmetic isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi(1/t)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\chi(u/t-1)}.$$

In terms of the uniformizing parameter $s := 1/t$ at ∞ , we have $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\chi(su-1)}$. Extending $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(su-1)}$ across ∞ , i.e., across $s = 0$, by direct image, we get

$$\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{k,\infty}|j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)}) = \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_{k,0}|j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(su-1)}) = \chi(-1) = 1,$$

the last equality because, once again, χ is trivial on k^\times . \square

Let us say that an even character χ is totally ramified if, in the geometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\chi_i(a_i-t)},$$

each χ_i is nontrivial. Then we have the following lemma, analogous to Lemma 2.5

Lemma 6.2. *The even character χ is totally ramified if and only if the group $H_c^1(\mathbb{P}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})$ is pure of weight one, in which case H_c^1 has dimension $n - 2$, and $H_c^2 = 0$.*

Let us denote by $\text{TotRamEven}(k, f)$ the set of even characters of B^\times which are totally ramified. Attached to each $\chi \in \text{TotRamEven}(k, f)$, we have a conjugacy class $\theta_{k,f,\chi} \in U(n-2)^\#$, defined by its reversed characteristic polynomial via the equation

$$\det(1 - T\sqrt{\#k}\theta_{k,f,\chi}) = \det(1 - T\text{Frob}_k|H_c^1(\mathbb{P}^1[1/f] \otimes_k \bar{k}, j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(u-t)})).$$

Keating and Rudnick, in a personal communication, made the following conjecture, the “even” version of Theorem 5.10.

Conjecture 6.3. *Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and a sequence of data (k_i, f_i) with k_i a finite field (of possibly varying characteristic) and $f_i(X) \in$*

$k_i[X]$ squarefree of degree n . If $\#k_i$ is archimedeanly increasing to ∞ , the collections of conjugacy classes

$$\{\theta_{k_i, f_i, \chi}\}_{\chi \in \text{TotRamEven}(k_i, f_i)}$$

become equidistributed in $U(n-2)^\#$ as $\#k_i \rightarrow \infty$.

At present, we can prove this only under the additional (and highly artificial) hypothesis that each $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ has a zero in k_i .

Theorem 6.4. *Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and a sequence of data (k_i, f_i) with k_i a finite field (of possibly varying characteristic) and $f_i(X) \in k_i[X]$ squarefree of degree n . Suppose each f_i has a zero in k_i . If $\#k_i$ is archimedeanly increasing to ∞ , the collections of conjugacy classes*

$$\{\theta_{k_i, f_i, \chi}\}_{\chi \in \text{TotRamEven}(k_i, f_i)}$$

become equidistributed in $U(n-2)^\#$ as $\#k_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Replacing each f_i by an additive translate $X \mapsto X + a_i$ of itself, we reduce to the case when each f_i is of the form $f_i(X) = Xg_i(X)$, with $g_i \in k_i[X]$ squarefree and having $g_i(0) \neq 0$.

The idea is that the theorem is a consequence of a (slight variant of) Theorem 5.10, applied to the g_i . To explain this, let us fix a finite field k , a squarefree monic $g(X) \in k[X]$ of degree $n-1$ with $g(0) \neq 0$, and put $f(X) := Xg(X)$. Let us write $B_f := k[X]/(f(X))$, $B_g := k[X]/(g(X))$, $B_X := k[X]/(X) \cong k$. Then

$$B_f \cong k \times B_g.$$

For $P(X)$ a monic irreducible in $k[X]$ which is prime to f , the image of $P(X)$ in B_f^\times is, via this isomorphism, the pair

$$(P(0), P \bmod g) = (\text{the scalar } P(0) \in k^\times) \times (1, P/P(0) \bmod g).$$

For an even character χ_f of B_f^\times , with components χ_X, χ_g , we therefore have

$$\chi_f(P \bmod f) = \chi_f(1, P/P(0) \bmod g) = \chi_g(P/P(0)).$$

If χ_f lies in $\text{TotRamEven}(k, f)$ then χ_X is nontrivial, each constituent character χ_i of χ_g is nontrivial, and, by the evenness of χ_f , the restriction of χ_g to k^\times is the inverse of the nontrivial character χ_X . In other words, $\chi_g \in \text{TotRam}(k, g)$. Conversely, given $\chi_g \in \text{TotRam}(k, g)$, define χ_X to be the restriction to k^\times of $1\chi_g$; then the pair (χ_X, χ_g) taken to be χ_f lies in $\text{TotRamEven}(k, f)$.

For $P(X) = X - t$ a linear irreducible, and χ_f even, we have

$$\chi_f(X - t) = \chi_g((X - t)/(-t)) = \chi_g(1 - X/t).$$

Exactly as in section 2 of this paper, we find an arithmetic isomorphism on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/f] = \mathbb{G}_m[1/g]$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi_f(u-t)} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(1-u/t)}.$$

In terms of the parameter $s := 1/t$ on \mathbb{G}_m , and the palindrome $g^{pal}(s) := s^{deg(g)}g(t)$ of g , our sheaf becomes $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(1-us)}$ on $\mathbb{G}_m[1/g^{pal}]$, and has an obvious lisse extension across $s = 0$ to the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(1-us)}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1[1/g^{pal}]$. [N.B. Here the u is still the image of X in B_g , and χ_g is our character of B_g^\times . But it is the zeroes of $g^{pal}(s)$ we must avoid.]

We now define conjugacy classes $\Theta_{k,g,\chi_g} \in U(n-2)^\#$, for each $\chi_g \in TotRam(k,g)$, through their reversed characteristic polynomials

$$\det(1 - T\sqrt{\#k}\Theta_{k,g,\chi_g}) = \det(1 - TFrob_k|H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1[1/g^{pal}] \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(1-us)}).$$

With these preliminaries out of the way, we see that we have reduced Theorem 6.4 to the variant of Theorem 5.10 for the conjugacy classes $\{\Theta_{k,g,\chi_g}\}_{\chi_g \in TotRam(k,g)}$. To prove this variant, we repeat the proof of Theorem 5.10, but looking at the direct image by g^{pal} of $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(1-us)}$ (rather than looking at the direct image by $(-1)^{deg(g)}g$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_g(u-t)$, as we did in proving Theorem 5.10). \square

REFERENCES

- [BBB] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J., and Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers. (entire contents of) *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers, I* (Conférence de Luminy, 1981), 5-171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [De-Weil II] Deligne, P., La conjecture de Weil II. *Publ. Math. IHES* 52 (1981), 313-428.
- [Ka-CE] Katz, N., Convolution and Equidistribution: Sato-Tate Theorems for Finite-Field Mellin Transforms. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 180. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [Ka-TLFM] Katz, N., Twisted L-functions and monodromy. *Annals of Math. Studies*, 150. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002. viii+249 pp.
- [K-R] Keating, J.P., and Rudnick, Z., The Variance of the number of prime polynomials in short intervals and in residue classes, arXiv:1204.0708v2 [math.NT], 2012.
- [La] Lang, S., Algebraic Groups over Finite Fields, *Am. J. Math.* Vol. 78, No. 3 (Jul., 1956), pp. 555-563.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS, FINE HALL, NJ 08544-1000, USA
E-mail address: nmk@math.princeton.edu