# **Rigidity of hypersurfaces**

# János Kollár

Princeton University

April, 2018

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三三 - のへで

#### Theorem

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be a smooth hypersurface of degree n + 1. Assume that  $n \ge 3$ . Then every birational map  $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$  to any Fano variety X' is an isomorphism.

Noether-Fano method: aims to get similar results for other Fano varieties.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ○○○

#### History

```
Max Noether (1870)
Fano (1908, 1915),
Segre (1942),
```

```
Iskovskikh-Manin (1971),
Pukhlikov (1987, 1998, 2002),
Corti (1995, 2000)
Cheltsov (2000, 2005),
de Fernex-Ein-Mustață (2003),
de Fernex (2013, 2016),
```

Z. Zhuang also with C. Stibitz, Y. Liu

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Main step 1 (for any Fano variety)

**Step 1.** Choose m' such that  $| - m' K_{X'} |$  is very ample and consider  $M := \phi^{-1} | - m' K_{X'} |$  as a sub-linear system of some  $|mK_X|$ .

Note:  $\phi$  is an isomorphism iff M is base point free. Noether-Fano inequality: M must be "quite" singular at

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

some point of its base locus.

"Quite" singular=  $(X, \frac{1}{m}M)$  is not canonical.

#### Main step 2 (for any hypersurface)

- V: smooth hypersurface,
- $-D \in |\mathcal{O}_V(m)|$  a divisor,  $M \subset |\mathcal{O}_V(m)|$  a movable pencil

**Lemma 1.** *D* can be unexpectedly singular only at finitely many points.

(Simplest case: a hyperplane can be tangent only at finitely many points.)

**Lemma 2.** *M* can be unexpectedly singular only along finitely many curves.

#### Main step 3

# **Step 3.** Restricting to general $W := X \cap H$ containing the "worst" point *p*, we get

- $(W, \frac{1}{m}M|_W)$  is not log canonical at p and
- $(W, \frac{1}{m} |2M_W|) \text{ is log canonical outside } p.$

#### **Comments:**

- Restricting to W makes the singularity at p worse.
- Going to |2M| is a small but important trick. It controls singularties along curves.

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

#### New ingredient: Main step 4

# Theorem (Zhuang)

Y: smooth projective, dimension d; L ample and  $\Delta \sim L$  a Q-divisor.  $(L \sim \frac{2}{m}M|_W)$ Assume:

-  $\Delta$  is log canonical outside a finite set of points and -  $(Y, \frac{1}{2}\Delta)$  is not log canonical. Then

 $h^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes L) \geq \frac{1}{2}3^d.$ 

・ロ ・ ・ 母 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ う へ つ

**Restate:** If  $(Y, \frac{1}{2}\Delta)$  is not log canonical then

 $h^0(Y,\omega_Y\otimes L)\geq \frac{1}{2}3^d.$ 

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

**Note:** If  $h^0(Y, L) > {3d \choose d} \sim 6.75^d$ , then there is a  $D \in |L|$  such that  $\operatorname{mult}_p D > 2d$ , hence  $(Y, \frac{1}{2}D)$  is not log canonical at p.

Informally: no accidental isolated singularities!

#### Hypersurface case

# Steps 1-3 give

• 
$$W = W_{n+1}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$
 thus  $K_W \sim 0$  and

2 
$$\Delta \sim 2H$$
 such that

• 
$$\left(W, \frac{1}{2}\Delta\right)$$
 is not log canonical at *p* but

(
$$W, \overline{\Delta}$$
) is log canonical outside  $p \in W$ .  
By Step 4

$$\binom{n+2}{2} = h^0(W, \omega_W(2)) \ge \frac{1}{2}3^{n-1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三三 - のへで

Impossible for  $n \ge 5$ .

Main step 1 (Noether-Fano inequality)

We have  $X \xleftarrow{p} Z \xrightarrow{q} X'$  and  $M \subset |-mK_X|, M_Z, M' \subset |-m'K_{X'}|$ . Write  $K_Z = q^*K_{X'} + E_q \quad M_Z = q^*M'$  and  $K_Z = p^*K_X + E_p \quad M_Z = p^*M - F_p$ .

For any c we have

$$K_Z + cM_Z \equiv q^*(K_{X'} + cM') + E_q$$
  
 $K_Z + cM_Z \equiv p^*(K_X + cM) + E_p - cF_p.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

$$K_Z + cM_Z \equiv q^*(K_{X'} + cM') + E_q$$
  

$$K_Z + cM_Z \equiv p^*(K_X + cM) + E_p - cF_p.$$

Setting  $c = \frac{1}{m'}$ , we see that

$$K_Z + \frac{1}{m'}M_Z \equiv q^*(K_{X'} + \frac{1}{m'}M') + E_q \equiv E_q \ge 0.$$

So  $K_X + \frac{1}{m'}M \equiv p_*(E_q) \ge 0$ , hence  $m \ge m'$ . Setting  $c = \frac{1}{m}$  gives

$$K_Z + \frac{1}{m}M_Z \equiv p^*(K_X + \frac{1}{m}M) + E_p - \frac{1}{m}F_p \equiv E_p - \frac{1}{m}F_p.$$

So  $K_{X'} + \frac{1}{m}M' \equiv q_*(E_p - \frac{1}{m}F_p)$ . If  $E_p - \frac{1}{m}F_p$  is effective, then  $m' \ge m$ . Thus

$$m = m', \quad p_*(E_q) = 0, \quad q_*(E_p - \frac{1}{m}F_p) = 0.$$

With little work:  $X \cong X'$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 シックの

#### What is "quite" singular?

**Conclusion:** If  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  not an isomorphism then

$$E_{\rho} - \frac{1}{m}F_{\rho} = \left(K_{Z} + \frac{1}{m}M_{Z}\right) - \rho^{*}\left(K_{X} + \frac{1}{m}M\right)$$

is not effective.

**Question:** Which *p*-exceptional divisor has negative coefficient?

**Example.** (First blow-up). If E is obtained by blowing up a codimension r center W then

$$\operatorname{coeff}(E) = (r-1) - \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{mult}_W M.$$

If this is negative then

$$\operatorname{mult}_W M > (r-1)m.$$

Problem. Higher blow-ups are much harder to see, and a see

#### What is "quite" singular?

- variety X (smooth or normal or ...) - D: divisor  $\Delta$  or linear system M or ideal sheaf I, Take a log resolution  $\pi : Y \to X$  and write

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_{Y} &= \pi^{*} \mathcal{K}_{X} + \sum e_{i} E_{i} \\ \pi^{*} \mathbf{D} &= \sum_{i} a_{i} E_{i} \quad \text{or} \\ &= \sum_{i} a_{i} E_{i} + (\text{free linear system}) \quad \text{or} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Y} \left( -\sum_{i} a_{i} E_{i} \right). \quad \text{Thus} \\ \mathcal{K}_{Y} &= \pi^{*} \left( \mathcal{K}_{X} + c \mathbf{D} \right) + \sum_{i} (e_{i} - ca_{i}) E_{i}. \end{split}$$

#### Definition:

 $(X, c\mathbf{D})$  is canonical if  $e_i - ca_i \ge 0$   $(\forall Y, \forall i)$  $(X, c\mathbf{D})$  is klt if all  $e_i - ca_i > -1$   $(\forall Y, \forall i)$  $(X, c\mathbf{D})$  is log canonical if all  $e_i - ca_i \ge -1$   $(\forall Y, \forall i)$ 

#### Typical example

 $\begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{C}^n \\ D &= \left(\sum_i \lambda_i x_i^{m_i} = 0\right) \text{ or } M = |x_i^{m_i}| \text{ or } I = (x_i^{m_i}). \\ (X, \mathbf{D}) \text{ is log canonical iff } 1 \leq \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}. \\ (X, c\mathbf{D}) \text{ is log canonical iff } c \leq \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}. \end{aligned}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三里 ・ つへの

# Main step 2 (for any hypersurface)

# Lemma (Fano, Segre, Pukhlikov, Cheltsov, Suzuki)

- V: smooth hypersurface,
- $-D \in |\mathcal{O}_V(m)|$  a divisor,  $M \subset |\mathcal{O}_V(m)|$  a movable pencil
- $-\eta \in V$  a (non-closed) point.
  - If dim  $\eta \geq 1$  then mult<sub> $\eta$ </sub>  $D \leq m$ .
  - 2 If dim  $\eta \ge 2$  then mult<sub> $\eta$ </sub> $(M \cdot M) \le m^2$ .

Proof. Simplest case:  $\eta$  generic point of a line *L*. Intersect *V* with a general 2-plane containing *L*. Get C + L and  $C \cap L$  is d - 1 general points on *L*. So

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dm &=& \left( C+L\cdot D \right) \\ &\geq& \left( d-1 \right) {\rm mult}_\eta \, D + \left( L\cdot D \right) \\ &\geq& \left( d-1 \right) {\rm mult}_\eta \, D + m. \quad \Box \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

#### Main step 3 (Corti, de Fernex, ...)

# Corollary from Step 2:

- $-(X, \frac{1}{m}M)$  is not canonical at a finite set of points p,
- $-(X, \frac{1}{m}|2M|)$  is log canonical outside a finite set of curves.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cut with a very general  $H \ni p$  to get  $W = X \cap H$ .

 $- (W, \frac{1}{m}M|_W) \text{ is not } \log \text{ canonical at } p,$  $- (W, \frac{1}{m}|2M_W|) \text{ is log canonical outside a finite set.}$  Main step 3 (Corti, de Fernex, ...)

Change to divisors: Set

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{m}$$
(general member of  $|2M_W|$ ).

#### Key properties

- $\Delta \sim \mathcal{O}_W(2)$ ,
- $(W, \frac{1}{2}\Delta) \text{ is not log canonical at } p,$
- $(W, \Delta)$  is log canonical outside a finite set of points.

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Multiplier ideals (prelude to Main step 4)

Take a log resolution  $\pi: Y \to (X, \Delta)$ . Write

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{K}_{Y} &=& \pi^{*}\mathcal{K}_{X} + \sum e_{i}E_{i} \\ \pi^{*}\Delta &=& \sum_{i}a_{i}E_{i} \end{array}$$

**Definition:**  $\mathcal{J}(\Delta) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum (e_i - [a_i])E_i)$ . Note: supp $(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}(\Delta))$  = points where  $(X, \Delta)$  is not klt. **Nadel vanishing.** If  $L - \Delta$  is ample (or nef and big) then

 $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(\Delta)) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0.$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Step 4 in three easy lemmas

**Lemma 1.** If  $(X, \frac{1}{2}\Delta)$  is not lc then  $(X, \mathcal{J}(\Delta))$  is not lc. **Lemma 2.** If  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc away from finitely many points then  $H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes L) \ge \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}((1-\epsilon)\Delta))$ . **Lemma 3.** If (X, I) is not lc then  $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_X/I) \ge \frac{1}{2}3^n$ . Proof of Lemma 2: Set  $\Delta' = (1-\epsilon)\Delta$ . Then  $L - \Delta' \equiv \epsilon L$  is ample so

 $H^0(\omega_X \otimes L) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}(\Delta')) \to H^1(\omega_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(\Delta')).$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Last group zero by Nadel vanishing.

# Proof of Lemma 1

Since 
$$\mathcal{J}(\Delta) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y (\sum (e_i - [a_i]) E_i, \pi^* \mathcal{J}(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y (\sum (e_i - [a_i]) E_i).$$
  
So if  $\pi^* \mathcal{J}(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_Y (-\sum a'_i E_i)$  then  $a'_i \ge [a_i] - e_i.$   
 $(X, \frac{1}{2}\Delta)$  not  $\mathsf{lc} \Rightarrow \exists i : e_i - \frac{1}{2}a_i < -1.$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} e_i-a_i' &\leq e_i-\left([a_i]-e_i
ight)=2e_i-[a_i]<2e_i-a_i+1\ &\leq 2ig(e_i-rac{1}{2}a_iig)+1<-2+1=-1. \end{array}$$

So  $(X, \mathcal{J}(\Delta))$  is not lc.

Log canonical threshold (prelude to proof of Lemma 3)

**Definition.** lcth( $\mathbf{D}$ ) := biggest *c* such that (*X*, *c* $\mathbf{D}$ ) is lc.

**Lemma.** For any smooth X and effective divisor  $\Delta$ 

$$\frac{\operatorname{\mathsf{mult}}_{\rho}\Delta}{\operatorname{\mathsf{dim}} X} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{\mathsf{lcth}}_{\rho}(\Delta)} \leq \operatorname{\mathsf{mult}}_{\rho}\Delta.$$

Arnol'd multiplicity:  $lcth_p(\Delta)^{-1}$ 

**Informally.**  $\operatorname{lcth}_p(\Delta)^{-1}$  is like the multiplicity for large values, but the two are quite different for small values.

## Co-length and log canonical threshold



Proof. Using flat defomation to toric ideal ( $\sim$  Gröbner basis) and lower semicontinuity of lcth, we may assume that *I* is monomial.

#### Newton polytope

For  $\prod x_i^{r_i} \in I$  we mark the point  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  with a big dot for generators and invisible dot for others. The Newton polytope is the boundary of the convex hull of the marked points.



The Newton polygon of  $(y^7, y^5x, y^3x^2, yx^4, x^6)$ 

main face in red

- $\sum (x_i/m_i) = 1$ : main face of its Newton polytope
- $I^{\text{sat}} := \{\prod_i x^{r_i} : \sum (r_i/m_i) \ge 1\} \supset I.$
- lcth $(I^{\rm sat}) = \sum (1/m_i)$
- Check by weighted blow up that  $lcth(I) = \sum (1/m_i)$ .



Set  $c = \operatorname{lcth}(I)$ .

**Corollary.** (combinatorial number) = the minimal number of lattice points in a simplex whose face contains  $(\frac{1}{c}, \ldots, \frac{1}{c})$ . That is:

$$\min_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \#\Big\{\mathbb{N}^n \cap \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\right)\Big\}.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

## Three computations

# Corollary

If  $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in I$  then  $\operatorname{length}(R/I) \ge n^n/(n! \cdot \operatorname{lcth}(I)^n)$ .

# Corollary

 $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\frac{1}{m}|C_1, C_2|$  is not lc at a point p then  $(C_1 \cdot C_2)_p > 4m^2$ .

うっつ ボート・バル・マート

# Corollary (= Lemma 3)

If I is not lc then length $(R/I) \geq \frac{1}{2}3^n$ .

Proof.  $\left(\sum \frac{x_i}{m_i} \le \sum \frac{1}{m_i}\right)$  contains at least half of the points in  $\{0, 1, 2\}^n$ .